Open Abdomen during Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation is a Safe and Effective Treatment for Abdominal Compartment Syndrome
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Background/Purpose
Historically, decompressive laparotomy and open abdomen for abdominal compartment syndrome has contraindicated Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ECMO) due to seemingly high risk of bleeding and infection. The literature shows few examples of this treatment, and the existing studies are inconclusive. The purpose of this study was to review the series at Riley Hospital for Children and evaluate the effectiveness of ECMO treatment for patients undergoing decompressive laparotomy with open abdomen to recommend future care guidelines.

Methods
We reviewed all pediatric (30 days to 18 years) patients treated with ECMO concurrently with decompressive laparotomy and open abdomen at Riley Hospital for Children from 2000-2019. We compared these patients with non-surgical pediatric patients supported with ECMO for respiratory failure at Riley Hospital for Children during the same period. Demographics, ECMO data, and outcomes were assessed. We performed t-test, ROC, and chi-square analyses. We defined significance as p=0.05.

Results
5 of 82 pediatric respiratory ECMO patients were treated with decompressive laparotomy and open abdomen. Survival among the surgical group was 60%, compared to 57% in the non-surgical group (p=0.9). Surgical patients had a similar incidence of bleeding complications (40%) compared to non-surgical patients (55.8%), p=0.486. Surgical patients had a significantly higher VIS (3126 vs 19.2, p=0.004), PaO2/FiO2 ratio (279.0 vs 72.9, p=0.031), and pump flow rate at 24hrs (112mL/kg/min vs 88.1mL/kg/min, p=0.045) than non-surgical patients, while receiving a similar volume of PRBCs (p=0.581) and requiring ECMO treatment for a similar amount of time (p=0.511).

Conclusion/Potential Impact
ECMO support in patients with decompressive laparotomy and open abdomen was associated with similar survival and bleeding complications compared to non-surgical ECMO patients. ECMO should be offered to or continued in eligible patients with abdominal catastrophe, as it is effective in supporting organ function while not significantly increasing the risk for complications.