## IROCEEDINGS

OF TIIE

## () OMMONCOUNCIL。

REGULARSESSION.

## CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, Monday, September 16th, 1867, $7 \frac{1}{2}$ o'clock, p. m. $\}$

The Common Council met in regular session.
Present-His Honor, the Mayor, Daniel Macauley, in the chair, and the following members:

Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn-17.

Absent—Councilmen Schmidt—1.
The proceedings of the regular session held September 9, 1867, and of the adjourned sessions held September 11 and 12, 1867, were read and approved.

Mr. Brown offered the following resolution :
Resolved, That the Street Commissioner be instructed to employ such force as he may have at his command to open the Lake McCarty ditch, instead of employing the chain-gang, the said work to not exceed $\$ 100$.

The question being on the adoption of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn -17 .

No Councilman voting in the negative.
So the resolution was adopted.

Mr．Burgess introduced special ordinance No．86－1867，entitled：
An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp－posts，lamps and fixtures， complete to burn gas，except the service pipe，on Georgia street，between Meridian and I！linois streets，

Which was read the first time by its title．
Mr．Coburn offered the following motion：．
That Messrs，Burk，Ross \＆Falkner be permitted to erect scales on the east side of west street immediately north of the Terre Haute Railroad track．

Which was adopted．
Mr．Coburn，also，offered the following motion：
That the Street Commissioner be instructed to sell or remove the old $⿴ 囗 十$ ook and Ladder House on the East Market Space．

Which was adopted．
Mr．Colley offered the following motion：
That the ewners of lots one and two，in out－lot two in Wood＇s addition，be allowed to pave with good hard burned brick the sidewalks in front of said lots，and also curb the outside edges with good wood or stone curbing，and the same to be done in sixty days－and the Civil Engineer is hereby directed to set the grade stakes for the same，the same to be done under his direction and supervision．

Which was adopted．
Mr．Cottrell presented the following petition：
Indianapolis，Sept．16， 1867.
To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis：
Your petitioners would respectfully represent that they were the contrac－ tors for grading and graveling East street，between Bicking street and the Corporation line south；the stakes were set by the former Engineer，（Mr． Staples，）and partly graveled，after which the stakes were changed by the present Engineer．We have completed the work according to the stakes of the latter，and would respectfully ask your honorable body to allow us the extra expense caused us by said change，to－wit，seventy－five（ $\$ 75.00$ ）dollars－ and your petitioners will ever pray．

JOHN SCHEIER．
Which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements．

## Mr．Cottrell offered the following resolution：

Resolved，That the City Marshal is hereby directed by the Common Coun－ cil of the City of Indianapolis，Indiana，to remove all the Railroad tracks across South Delaware street that have not complied with the terms of the grant giving them the right of way across said street．
Which was referred to the City Attorney．

Mr. Cottrell introduced special ordinance No. 87-1867, entitled:
An Ordinance to provide for curbing of the outer edge of the sidewalk on the west side of Alabama street, between Cumberland street and Virginia Avenue. and, also, to provide for the bowldering of the gutter on the west side of Alabama street, between Cumberland street and Virginia Avenue,

Which was read the first time by its title.
Mr. Davis offered the following motion:
That the City Attorney be, and is hereby, directed to examine and report to this Council by what authority the Indiana Central Railroad Company have and do occupy Maryland street with their shops; and by what authority they maintain more than one track on said street.

Which was adopted.
Mr. Davis offered the following motion :
That the Terre Haute and Indianapolis Railroad Company be, and is hereby directed to establish and maintain a flag-man at the intersection of Kentucky Avenue and Louisiana street.

Which was adopted.

## Mr. Davis offered the following motion :

That Mr. Henderson have permission to place a box not more than four feet wide in the alley in the rear of his premises (Meridian street, between New York and Vermont streets,) for the purpose of holding manure, \&ce, from the stable on said premises-said box to be kept in a manner not offensiveto the health or comfort of residents of the neighborhood.

Which was referred to the Committee on Revision of Ordinances.

## Mr. Foster presented the following petition:

Indinnapolis, Sept. 16, 1867.
To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:
Gentlemen:-The undersigned, resident property owners on South West street in said city, along the line of said street from Merrill to Root street, respectfully petition your honorable body not to grade and gravel the same the present season-the season for improving the streets being far advanced if let now would not be completed this winter.

Wm Sheets, A. Turner, Wm. F. Borr,

Lawrence Coredon, Edmond Wussey, And 5 others.

Which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

## Mr. Davis presented the following petition :

Indianapolis, Sept. 16, 1867.
To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:
We, the undersigned, would respectfully ask permission to erect a covered bridge-way connecting the second story of our store, known as Nos. 26 and 28 West Washington street, built upon the east $\frac{1}{2}$ of lot 7, square 55 , with the 2 d story of a building we propose to erect upon a part of lot 12 , square

55 , upon the opposite side of the alley running by the rear of said east half of lot 7 ; said bridge to be constructed of either wood or iron, as may be directed by the Board of Public Improvements; to be enclosed and covered with a metal roof, to be 9 feet in width "npon the inside, and not to exceed 12 feet in highth, and at least 18 feet above the alley beneath.

Respectfully submitted,
JAMES M. HUME, WM. L. ADAMS,

We, the undersigned, owners of property adjoining upon the west, would respectfully state that we see no reason why the prayer of the petitioners should not be granted.
H. BATES,
E. H. BATES.

We, the owners or occupants of property upon the same street and square running through to the alley hereby proposed to be bridged, would respectfully state that we see no reason why the prayer of the petitioners should not be granted.

WM. \& J. BRADEN,
R. R. PARKER,
W. I. HASKIT \& CO., BOWEN, STEWART \& CO.
Which was granted.

## Mr. Foster presented the following petition:

Indianapolis, Sept. 16, 1867.
To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:
Gentlemen:-The undersigned, resident tax payers on Kentucky Avenue, respectfully petition your honorable body to stop the contractor on the above street from using very poor gravel; the gravel now being used is more sand than gravel, the contract being for good, coarse, river or bar gravel.

Martha G. Smith,
McKernan \& Pierce,
McKernan, Pierce \& Yandes,

Mrs. Jennie Coulen, John Rupp,

And 3 others.

Which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements and Civil Engineer.

Mr. Geisel introduced special ordinance No. 88-1867, entitled:
An Ordinance to provide for grading the alley running east and west through out-lot forty-six, between Liberty and Noble street, and graveling the sidewalks with good river or creei gravel,
Which was read the first time by its title.
Mr. Geisel presented the following petition:
Indianapolis, Sept. 16, 1867.
To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:
We, the undersigned citizens and property holders living on and in the vicinity of Cherry street and Massachusetts Avenue, would respectfully petition the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis that Cherry street may be extended from its present terminus to Massachusetts Avenue.
Orin Aborn,
John F. Council,
E. B. Dill,
S. F. Burk,

Mary Burk,
Rachel Ray.
Which was received.

## Mr. Geisel offered the following motion :

That the City Clerk notify the Commissioners that a petition has been presented to this Council, by the property holders in the vicinity of Cherry st. and Massachusetts Avenue, to extend Cherry street from its present terminus to Massachusetts Avenue, and that the Clerk give the notice required by section 63 of the City Charter.

Which was adopted.
Mr. Geisel presented the following affidavit:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { STATE OF INDIANA, } \\ \text { marion county, }\end{array}\right\}$ ss:
Charles H. Roesener being duly sworn upon his oath states that he posted up in five public places, at different points along the street of Broadway, in the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, the notice-same as printed and attached and affixed to the affidavit of the Clerk for Douglass \& Conner hereto at-tached-but the notices posted by this affidavit were written and dated June 11, 1867. The same were by this affidavit posted on the said day of June 11, 1867.

CHARLES H. ROESENER.
Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 10th day of September, 1867. Witness my hand notarial seal. Carlin Hamlin, N. P.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { STATE OF INDIANA, } \\ \text { marion county, }\end{array}\right\}$ ss:
Personally appeared before the undersigned Henry A. Louden, Clerk for Douglass \& Conner, publishers of the Weekly Indiana State Journal, a newspaper, of general circulation, printed and published in the City of Indianapolis, in tho county aforesaid, who being duly sworn, upon his oath saith, that the rotice of which the attached is a true copy, was duly published in said paper for three weeks successively, the first of which publications was on the twentieth (20th) day of July, 1867, and the last on the third (3d) day of August, 1867.

HENRY A. LOU̇DEN.
Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 27 th day of August, 1867.

E. W. Halford, N. P.

Notice of Vacation.-There is a petion pending before the Common Council to vacate as many feet, and in such manner as necessary to make Broadway straight and 80 feet wide from St. Clair street to the city limits.

PROPERTY HOLDERS ON BROADWAY.
Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.
Mr. Goddard introduced special ordinance No. 89-1867, entitled:
Is Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures complete to burn gas, except the service pipe, on Tennessee street, between Washington and Maryland streets,
Which was read the first time by its title.
Mr. Goddard presented the following remonstrance :
Indinnapolis, Sept. 16, 1867.
To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:
We, the undersigned residents of the Fifth Ward, do hereby protest against
the obstruction of Louisiana street, between West and Missouri streets, with coal sheds, thereby preventing the use of said street.

| Timothy Splan, | J. \& W. C. Burk, |
| :--- | :---: |
| John Cusick, | John F. Armbrusler, |
| J. R. Burk, | And 7 others. |

Which was referred to the two Councilmen from the Fifth Ward.
Mr. Goddard presented the following petition:
Indianapolis, Sept. 16, 1867.

## To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:-We, the undersigned owners of property on the south side of Norwood street, between Illinois and Tennessee streets, respectfully ask your honorable body to vacate 25 feet of out-lot 124 on the north side of said out-lot, making a street between said points 50 feet wide, George Norwood having already vacated 25 feet on the north side of out-lot 123 , and as in duty bound your petitioners will ever pray.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { John W. Byrkit, } & \text { Thomas Arthur. } \\
\text { John Morton, } & \text { S. B. Morris. }
\end{array}
$$

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys, with instructions to investigate matter and report the papers in proper shape.

## Mr. Goddard presented the following petition :

Indianafolis, Sept. 12, 1867.
To the Mayor and Common Council of the Chty of Indianapolis:
Gentiemex :-In laying off my addition on the south part of out-block No. 29, I made a thirty foot alley, running from east to west, which is used in the place of Merrill street, which is not passable; at the east end of this thirty fort street there is a low place, which comes up against Mississippi street; since it has been graded and graveled cannot be used asit ought to be cither. Your petitioner owns the three lots adjoining Pogue's Run, and at the time of the freshets some dirt has been washed from the end of the graded and graveled road which I paid for, some dirt of my lots and some dirt from other places stopping nearly up the regular proper channel of Pogue's Run, which cannot be taken out and used without injury to any person except your petitioner; I ask of the City Council liberty and power to take this dirt out that has been washed in the bed of Pogue's Run and put in on the east end of Irvin alley by the passage of the following resolution.

> Respectfully, .
$J \Lambda M E S$ GREER.
Resolved by the City Council of the City of Indianapolis, That James Greer, citizen of the Fifth Ward, has the liberty and power to take the dirt that has washed in Pogue's Creek adjoining his lots and put it on the east end of Irvin alley, which is in his addition to the City of Indianapolis, called Greer's Division of the south part of out-lot No. 29.

## Which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

## Mr. Goddard offered the following motion :

That Jacob Traub be permilted to pave in front of his property on south side of Washington street, between Mississippi street and the Canal, and that the Engineer set the necessary stakes.

Which was laid over temporarily.

Mr. Loomis presented the following petition:
Indianapolis, Sept. 16, 1867.
To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :
We. the undersigned, beg leave to ask the following permission, viz, to erect a powder magazine on tract of land belonging to the State of Indiana, (of whicn we have permission of State Officers,) west of old Cemetery, between Cemetery and River, being no nearer than several squares of any inhabited building. Very respectfully,

Your ob't servants,
HORN. ANDERSON \& CO.
Which was received.
Mr. Loomis offered the following motion:
That the City Clerk and Board of Public Improvements be directed to issue a permit to Horn \& Anderson to build a Powder Magazine on the bank of White River, in the rear of the City Cemetery.

Dr. Jameson offered the following amendment:
Provided, Said building should be removed when the Council shall deem the same unsafe.

Mr. Brown offered the following amendment:
And that the proprietors of the Cleveland Powder Company have permission to continue their Magazine on the ground where it now is.

Mr. Geisel moved to lay Mr. Brown's amendment upon the table.
Mr. Brown called for the ayes and noes.
The question being shall the amendment of Mr. Brown lay on the table, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Loomis, Seidensticker and Stanton-10.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Brown, Colley, Jameson, Kappes, MacArthur and Woodburn-6.

So the motion to lay on the table was adopted.
Dr. Jameson's amendment to Mr. Loomis' motion was accepted.
Mr. Brown called for the ayes and noes upon the motion of Mr. Loomis as amended by Dr. Jameson.

The question being shall the motion be adopted, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Burgess, Coburn, Cottrell, Jameson, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker and Stanton-8.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Brown, Colley,

Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Kappes and Woodburn -9 .

So the motion was not adopted.

## Mr. Loomis offered the following motion:

That the City Auditor be directed to prepare no bond for approval by this Council for any street improvement or for the erection of any lamp-posts or fixtures, or for any bridge work, until an award has been made by this Council, nor of any estimate for any of said work until the certificate of the Civil Engineer has been presented to this Council, certifying that the same has been completed according to the terms of his contract.

Which was adopted.

## Mr. Loomis offered the following resolution:

Whereas, Hon. Jos. W. Davis has, from time to time, made certain charges against the Board of Public Improvements in their manner of awarding contracts and the general orders of said Board, therefore,
Be it resolved, That the Chair appoint a special committee of three members of Council to investigate the same, with power to send for persons and papers, and report at an early day to this Council.
The question being on the passage of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Colley, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker and Stanton -7.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen and Woodburn-10.

So the resolution was not adopted.
Mr. MacArthur presented the following petition:
Indianalolis, Sept. 14, 1867.
To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:
Gentlemen:-We, the undeasigned, most respectfully petition your honorable body to grant a permit for to grade and gravel our sidewalk on the east side of North West street, between North and St. Clair streets, with pit gravel, to the depth of six inches, according to the Engineer's stakes, at our expense.

| Pat. Stundon, | W. H. Grimes, |
| :--- | :---: |
| L. Boringe, | Jacob Conylor, |
| A. Artman, | And 5 others. |

Which was granted.
Mr. MacArthur offerod the following motion:
That Adam Gold be allowed to pave with brick the sidewalk in front of Steam Mill, lot No. 29, fronting on the National Road, between the toll gate and the waste way of the Central Canal.

Which was adopted.

Mr. MacArthur, also, offered the following motion :
That the Marshal notify A. Gay, the agent of the Central Canal, to cut the grass out of the same between Market street and the Corporation line north, so that the water can pass off the same-to be done in ten days.

Which was adopted.
Mr. MacArthur presented the following petition :
Indianapolis, Sept. 16, 1867.
To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:
The undersigned petitions your honorable body to grant him permission to lay a brick pavement in front of his house situated on lot eleven of the Steam Mill lot laid off by James M. Ray and James Blake, on the National Road in the City of Indianapolis.
E. HOLLOWELL.

Which was received.
Also, the following motion in relation to same.
That the City Civil Engineer be instructed to set the proper grade stakas for the above petitioner.

Which was adopted.
Mr. Seidensticker offered the following resolution:
Resolved, That in the construction of the Railroad between Indianapolis and Vincennes, it is the opinion of this Council that the line thereof should be so located as to cross White River near Martinsville and run through or inmediately by said town, and thence north on the east side of White River toward Indianapolis, and that the interests of said road and its connections, and the county through which it passes would be greatly promoted by the adoption of this route.

Resolved further, That this Council also expresses their gratification that this great and important work is under the control of men whose well known energy and enterprise is a guarantee of its speedy completion.

The question then being on the adoption of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jamcson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn-17.

No Councilman voting in the negative.
So the resolution was adopted.
By common consent Mr. Cottrell offered the following motion:
That when the City Auditor advertises for building the public cisterns, he shall provide that they shall be built under the supervision of the Chief Fire Engineer.

Which was adopted.

On motion by Mr. Brown sealed proposals for public improvements were referred to the Board of Public Improvements without reading.

Mr. Goddard moved that the rules be suspended for the purpose of taking up ordinances on third reading.

The question being on a suspension of the rules, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn-17.

No Councilman voting in the negative.
So the rules were suspended.
Mr. Goddard called up special ordinance No. 76-1867, entitled:
An Ordinance to provide for grading ang graveling Ray street and sidewalks, between the Bluff road and the Central Canal.

Which was read the third time, and placed upon its passage.
The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Colley, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker, Etanton and Woodburn-15.

Councilman Cottrell voting in the negative.
So the ordinance passed.
Under a further suspension of the rules, Mr. Goddard called up special ordinanee No. 62-1867, entitled:
An Ordinance to provide for paving with brick and curbing the outside edges of the sidewalk wlth Flat Rock stone the south sidewalk on Washington street, between Mississippi and Missouri streets,
Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.
The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Colley, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidenstlcker, Stanton and Woodburn-16.

No Councilman voting in the negative.
So the ordinance passed.
Under a further suspension of the rules, Mr. Geisel moved to reconsider the vote of the Council of Sept. 9, 1867, upon special ordinance No. 63-1867.

The question being to reconsider, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn-14.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Coburn and Col-ley-2.

So the vote was reconsidered.
Mr. Geisel then called up special ordinance No. 63-1867, entitled:
An Ordinance to provide for paving with brick and curbing the outer edges with Flat Rock stone the north sidewalk on Washington street, where the same has not been already paved and curbed, between Mississippi and Missouri streets,
Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.
The question being, shali the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn-14.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Coburn and Col-ley-2.

So the ordinance passed.
By unanimous consent Dr. Jameson, from the Finrnce Committee, made the following report:

$$
\text { Indianapolis, Sept. 16, } 1867 .
$$

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:
Gentlemen:-The Committee on Finance beg leave to report in relation to sundry claims:

1st. That the petition of Moses Swank be granted-the same asking that fifteen hundred dollars in farm property, returned by mistake, be deducted from his assessment, \&c.

2d. That the motion, that the Treasurer be directed to pay David Springer for damages on account of opening street, be passed.

3d. That the petitions of Thomas P. Watson and Sarah Hineman be referred to the Assessor, who shall inquire and report to the Council as to how the assessments of said parties were made, and whether they refused to return their property as directed by law.

4th. That one-half of the taxes of property of Sarah A. Smith be remitted, the same having been used in part for school purposes.

5th. That the disposition made of the petition of Mrs. Galaway may be seen by examining the records of the Council for meetings held during the months of May or June last. The committee recommended that no abatement be made in that case.

All of which is respectfully submitted.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { P. H. JAMESON, } \\ \text { THOMAS COTTRELL, }\end{array}\right\}$ Committee.
Which was concurred in.

## By'unanimous consent Mr. Kappes, from the Committee on Benevolence and Hospitals, made the following report:

Indianapolis, Sept. 2, 1867.
To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:Gentlemen :-Your committee beg leave to introduce the report of the Su-perintendent of the Hospital, together with an ordinance appropriating mo-neys for the payment of sundry claims on account of City Hospital for themonth of August, 1867.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { J. HENRY KAPPES, } \\ \text { W. H. LOOMIS. } \\ \text { HENRY GEISEL, }\end{array}\right\}$ Committee.

## Also, the foliowing:

RECAPITULATION OF THE MONTHLY REPORT OF THE CONTENTS OF REGISTER OF patients of City hospital ending august 31, 1867.
Number of patients in Hospital at last report ..... 14
Number of patients received in Hospital since last report ..... 17
Number of patients born in Hospital since last report ..... 1
Number of patients discharged from Hospital since last report ..... 9
Number of patients died in Hospital since last report ..... 0
Number of patients remaining in Hospital at present report ..... 23
Also, the following :
RECAPITULATION OF THE WEEKLY REPORT OF CONTENTS OF REGISTER OF PATIENTS OF CITY HOSPITAL, ENDING SEPTEMBER 7, 1867.
Number of patients in Hospital at last report ..... 23
Number of patients received in Hospital since last report ..... 5
Number of patients born in Hospital since last report ..... 0
Number of patients discharged from Hospital since last report ..... 4
Number of patients died in Hospital since last report ..... 0
Number of patients remaining in Hospital at present report ..... 24
Also, the following:
recapitulation of the weekly report of contents of register of pa- tients of city hospital, ending september 14, 1867.
Number of patients in the Hospital at last report ..... 24
Number of patients received in the Hospital since last report ..... 1
Number of patients born in Hospital since last report ..... 0
Number of patients discharged from Hospital since last report ..... 5
Number of patients died in the Hospital since last report ..... 1
Number of patients remaining in the Hospital at present ..... 19
Also, the following:
Recapitulation of the weekly report of contents of register of pa- tIENTS OF CITY HoSpital, ending august 31, 1867.
Number of patients in Hospital at last report ..... 15
Number of patients received in Hospital since last report ..... 8
Number of patients born in Hospital since last report ..... 1
Mumber of patients discharged from Hospital since last report ..... 1
Number of patients died in Hospital since last report ..... 0
Number of patients remaining in Hospital at present report. ..... 23

Also, the following:


Also, the following:
recapitulation of the monthly report of expenditures of the city hospital, ending august 31, 1867.

Aggregate number of days for which subsistence, etc., was furnished_..- 566


On motion, the reports of the Committee on Benevolence and Hospitals, and of the Superintendent of the Hospital, were accepted and approved.

Mr. Kappes, from the same committee, introduced special appropriation ordinance No. 56-1867, entitled:

An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims on account of the City Hospital for the month of August, 1867,
[ Which was read the first and second times, and, under a suspension of the rules, was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Colley, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn-16.

No Councilman voting in the negative.
So the ordinance passed.
On motion by Mr. Seidensticker, the Council adjourned until Thursday Sept. 19, 1867, $7 \frac{1}{2}$ o'clock P. M.

DANIEL MACAULEY, Mayor.

Attest:
D. M. Ransdell, City Clerk.

