# STUDIES ON VIRGIN HARDWOOD FOREST: I-DENSITY AND FREQUENCY OF THE WOODY PLANTS OF DONALDSON'S WOODS, LAWRENCE COUNTY, INDIANA 

Stanley A. Cain, Indiana University ${ }^{1}$

I. Introduction. Primeval, or "virgin" forests, timbered areas which have never been lumbered or grazed, are rapidly becoming exceedingly rare and of small area in the central hardwoods region. Exact statistical knowledge of the condition of such relics of the widespread forest known to the Indian and the early pioneers is not at hand. The early botanists had the description of new species and matters of distribution to occupy their attention. The overworked foresters, coming into the field later, were largely pressed by economic problems arising from the vast tracts of second-growth hardwood, overgrazed woodlots, abandoned agricultural land and erosion. The magnificent "interminable" forests which played such a commonplace rôle in the lives of our forefathers have practically become extinct, to the wonder and surprise of a later generation gradually becoming "outdoor" conscious, largely through the activities of such organizations as the Indiana Department of Conservation. The recognition of the immediate necessity for detailed study of the few remaining undisturbed forest tracts is spreading. It is to be hoped that the next few years will see the necessary expenditure of time and money by several workers and organizations to enable the satisfactory building up of a botanical picture of the forests our forefathers found necessary to destroy for the advance of civilization.

American students of plant communities have never considered it feasible to undertake as intensive studies as have the European ecologists and plant geographers. Plant sociological concepts as expressed by Braun-Blanquet and Pavillard (2) in their "Vocabulaire de Sociologie Végetále" and Braun-Blanquet (1) in the text "Pflanzensoziologie" are even yet somewhat strange to most, and largely dismissed with some such remark as, "Our flora (American) is so much richer and our territories larger! We could never afford the time to do such work!" The last few years, however, have seen a start in this type of botanical investigation by foresters, for example, Lutz $(12,13)$, grazing investigators as Hanson (8, 9), Sampson (18), McGinnies (14), and other ecologists as Gleason $(6,7)$, Kenoyer ( 10,11 ), Nichols (15), Romell (17), and others. In another paper the writer, Cain (4), has presented a general discussion of Braun-Blanquet's concepts with a form for a table which

[^0]will permit the maximum condensation of data. The headings for this table are presented here to show, both the fragmentary nature of the present paper and the possibilities which lie ahead in the plan for a complete description of virgin hardwood communities. The present paper presents data on density, dominance or coverage, here in the aspect of basal area, and frequency, i. e., the quantitative analytical aspects of the organization of a community. (Table I; $1 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ ).

It is hoped that, when a bulk of data on virgin forests are obtained, light will be thrown on several perplexing problems in ecology. For example, among the several authors who have attempted to map the distribution of the deciduous forest formation, its associations and sub-

## TABLE I

| Sociologic Résumé |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Species List |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { I }}{\text { Organization }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | II <br> Synecology <br> A <br> 1 <br> Physiognomy |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\text { Analytic }}{\text { A }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ \text { Syn- } \\ \text { thetic } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1Quantitative |  |  | $\stackrel{2}{\text { Qualitative }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a <br>  | b <br>  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & b \\ & \text { b } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c } \\ & \text { 求 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $a$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ 0 0 0 0 0 | b | a <br>  | b |  |  |
| 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-3 | 1-4 | 1-4 | 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-2. | 1- $\alpha$ | 1-5 | Classes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]climaxes, there is considerable discrepancy; Weaver and Clements (22), Schantz and Zon (19), Shelford (20), etc., yet no great attention has been paid to virgin areas, especially in regions of slow physiographic change. Again, as Deam and others have suggested for common weed trees, such as persimmon (Diospyros), sassafras, etc., a tremendous increase in abundance since the clearing of the forests and the later abandonment of wide acreage, especially in hill regions, it occurs to the writer that certain forest trees in partially cut climax woods may enjoy a greater abundance than in primeval conditions. This may be the


Fig. 1. Maple reproduction under beech consociation, near Jasper, DuBois County, Indiana.
case in some instances in the Beech-Maple climax, for the selective cutting of more valuable trees as white oak (Quercus alba), tulip (Liriodendron tulipfera), walnut (Juglans nigra), etc., may have left the way open for maple and beech to rapidly reach a stage where they alone are codominants, or form consociations. Also, in some work in a small tract of virgin forest at Turkey Run State Park, Esten (5) has found evidence which may be interpreted to show that maple is succeeding beech. There is a scattering of very large old monarch white oaks, a strong dominance of beech and a heavy reproduction of sugarmaple (Acer saccharum). In DuBois County, about five miles north of Jasper, Indiana, there are several tracts of virgin timber. Figure 1 shows a beech woods with maple undergrowth from this region. So it is that detailed statistical studies will contribute much to the finer aspects of succession and the matter of consociations and of later serial stages in various hardwood regions.
II. The Area. Donaldson's Woods is one of the attractions at Spring Mill State Park, located about three miles southeast of Mitchell, Lawrence County, Indiana. The county is entirely within the unglaciated south-central portion of the state, usually referred to as the "Knobs."

Physiographically the area is in the regional unit known as the Mitchell plain, composed in the main of residual soils formed from the Mitchell limestone. In the soil survey of the county, Bushnell (3), the soils of Donaldson's Woods are referred to the Frederick silt loam. The region of the Park presents a most excellent example of the karst topography, with numerous sinkholes, sinkhole ponds and caves characteristic of underground drainage. The general elevation of the region is approximately 700 feet above sea level.

There is no weather station at the Park, but data from Bedford, about 14 miles to the north, and from Salem, about 25 miles to the


Mean annual precioitation, 41.5 inches, Soring Mill
Fig. 2. Precipitation "rose" for the vicinity of Spring Mill State Park, constructed on the basis of monthly means.
southeast, are presented below. The mean annual temperature for Bedford is 55.1 F . and for Salem is 54.2 F ., giving an average for the vicinity of Spring Mill State Park of 54.65 F. The mean annual precipitation at Bedford is 40.35 inches and at Salem is 42.67 inches, giving an average for the vicinity of Spring Mill State Park of 41.5 inches. These mean figures are taken from the annual weather report summarizing all available data up to and including 1925. The following figures present monthly precipitation averages. This type of diagram, figure 2, the writer believes was first introduced by Prof. Transeau at the Oxford meeting of the Ohio Academy, 1931.
III. The Vegetation. A. Methods. The shape and size of the tract to be studied was determined by running a line around the boundary with the aid of a steel tape and a U. S. Forest Service compass mounted on a Jacob's staff. From this outline map the location of the quadrats
was determined to give maximum dispersal of twenty-five sets of quadrats well within the limits of the woods. Figure 3. The permanent quadrats consist of twenty-five plots, each $10 \times 10$ meters, for the study of all woody plants of one inch or more d. b. h. (diameter breast high, i. e., 4.5 feet above the ground). In the northeast corner of each of these quadrats was staked off additional ones $4 \times 4$ meters for the study by height classes of smaller woody plants. A study of herbaceous plants has not yet been made but the plan is to study one meter quadrats in each of the corners of the larger plots, as indicated in figure 3.


Fig. 3. Outline of Donaldson's Woods showing the position of 25 sets of permanent quadrats.

All quadrats were charted for permanent record. In the 100 meter quadrats the exact location and d. b. h. is given to scale for each stem over one inch. In the 16 meter quadrats the location and height by six inch classes is given, except for seedlings under six inches high, which are tabulated at the bottom of each quadrat sheet. For illustrations of the two types of quadrats see figures 4 and 5 . From these data abundance, or rather density, which is abundance on a unit area basis, frequence, and basal area are computed. No effort was made to estimate dominance on a basis of coverage, by crown classes for each species. Collectively, however, the tree stratum would have class 5 coverage, 76-100 per cent. Basal area, which can be considered as one aspect of
coverage, is computed from the results of the $2510 \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$. quadrats.
Certain of the more important species are presented in a comparative manner by means of phytographs, a type of diagram devised by Lutz (12). The four axes of the phytographs are percentage expressions of (1) abundance of stems 10 inches d. b. h. or over, (2) frequency of stems 10 inches or over, (3) size classes in which the species is found (see Table V), and (4) basal area.


Fig. 4. Sample quadrat sheet in which position and diameters of trees are indicated.

The field work was always done by two men. Exact compass lines were maintained for control, linear measurements and heights were made by metric steel tapes, while diameters were determined by calipers except for stems over 36 inches d. b. h. One man measured and the other recorded or charted as the case required.
B. Results. The results of this statistical study are considered under three headings, density, basal area, and frequency. Table II shows the symbols used in charting the 45 species of woody plants encountered in the survey. There may be a few other species which were
unobserved. At the present, however, only Campsis radicans and Celtis pumila are to be added to the list.

Considering Table III which presents the density of all species encountered in the 25 quadrats, which were $10 \times 10$ meters in size, by inch classes, we find a total of 309 stems in $2,500 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$. area. This survey is approximately 1 per cent of the tract of 61 acres. The one, two and three inch diameter classes contain about 70 per cent of the total number of stems. The following species have stems 10 inches


Fig. 5. Sample quadrat sheet in which position and height of woody plants are recorded from permanent quadrat.
d. b. h. or over: Acer saccharum, Carya glabra, Carya laciniosa, Fagus grandifolia, Fraxinus americana, Liriodendron tulipifera, Nyssa sylvatica Quercus alba, Quercus borealis var. maxima, Quercus velutina. The most consistently represented species in the larger size classes is Quercus alba, with a maximum of 47 inches d. b. h. Liriodendron tulipifera is next with a maximum of 34 inches, although there were larger trees present in the tract outside the quadrats. Referring to Table IX, the species of greatest density are Acer saccharum with 43 stems, Fagus guandifolia with 36 stems, Fraxinus americana with 36 stems and Quercus alba with 26
stems. Among the small trees, Cornus florida is the only one of the six species of any abundance, with 98 stems.

## TABLE II

Symbols used on quadrat maps for species of Donaldson's Woods
AR -Acer rubrum
AS -Acer saccharum
AC -Amelanchier canadensis
AT -Asimina triloba
BA - Benzoin aestivale
CaC - Carpinus caroliniana
CCd - Carya cordiformis
CG - Carya glabra
CL - Carya laciniosa
CO -Carya ovata
CS -Celastrus scandens
CLO -Celtis occidentalis
CC —Cercis canadensis
CF -Cornus florida
COb - Cornus obliqua
CA -Corylus americana
CR? - Crataegus sp?
EV - Evonymus atropurpureus
FG - Fagus grandifolia
FA - Fraxinus americana
FL - Fraxinus lanceolata
JN - Juglans nigra
LT -Liriodendron tulipifera

AR -Acer rubrum
AC -Amelanchier canadensis
AT - Asimina triloba
BA - Benzoin aestivale
al -Carpinus caroliniana

- Carya cordiformis

CG - Carya glabra
CO - Carya ovata
CS -Celastrus scandens
${ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{L}$-Celtis occidentalis
CF - Cornus florida
COb - Cornus obliqua
CR?-Crataegus sp?
EV - Evonymus atropurpureus
FG - Fagus grandifolia

- Fraxinus americana

JN - Juglans nigra
LT -Liriodendron tulipifera

MC -Menispermum canadense
MR - Morus rubra
NS -Nyssa sylvatica
OV -Ostrya virginiana
PS -Prunus serotina
PQ - Parthanocissus quinquefolia
QA -Quercus alba
QI -Quercus imbricaria
QM - Quercus Muhlenbergii
QR -Quercus borealis var. maxima
QV -Quercus velutina
RR - Rhus radicans
SV -Sassafras officinale
SG -Smilax glauca
SR -Smilax rotundifolia
SO -Symphoricarpus orbiculatus
UF - Ulmus fulva
VV -Vaccinium vacillans
ViA - Viburnum acerifolium
VP - Viburnum prunifolium
VPD-Viburnum pubescens deamii
VA - Vitis aestivalis

TABLE III
Density of species by inch diameter classes from 25 quadrats, $10 \times 10$ meters: Donaldson's Woods

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{In} \\ \mathrm{DB} \\ \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | AR | AS | CG | CL | CO | CC | CF | FG | FA | LT | MR | NS | OV | QA | QR | QV | SV | VP | VA | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 17 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 38 | 6 | 21 |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 106 |
| 2 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 34 | 9 | 10 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 78 |
| 3 | 3 | 12 |  |  | 3 |  | 19 | 5 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 6 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | 1 |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | . . |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | … |  |  |  | 4 |
| 17 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . . . |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ |
| 27 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 309 |

${ }^{1}$ The scientific names used in this paper follow Deam's publications on trees and shrubs: Deam, C. C. "Trees of Indiana," Indiana Department of Conservation, 1932. Deam, C. C. "Shrubs of Indiana," Indiana Department of Conservation, 1924.

Table IV presents the density of all species encountered in 25 quadrats, each $4 \times 4$ meters, by six inch height classes. These include all plants under one inch d. b. h. of a woody nature. Any over 9.5 feet high, yet less than one inch in diameter are put in the last column. The species well represented throughout the height classes are: (AS)

## TABLE IV

Density of species by height classes (under 1 inch d. b. h.) derived from 25 quadrats $4 \times 4$ meters: Donaldson's Woods

Height by Feet

| Symb. | .5- | 5 | 10 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |  | 56 | 60 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 9.5 | plus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AR | 106 | 17 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| AS. | 19 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  | . |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |
| AC | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| BA | 32 | 6 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CaC | 64 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 1 | ... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CCd}^{\text {che }}$ | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CG | 43 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| CL. |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CO | 3 11 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . $\cdot$. |
| ClO | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CC | 27 | 2 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| CF | 47 | 40 | 21 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| COb | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CA | 3 |  | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cr? | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . . . |
| EA | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FG | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FA | 93 | 57 | 34 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 86 | 6 | 2 | . |  | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |
| LT | 10 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MC | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MR | 5 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NS | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| OV | 19 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS | 20 | 3 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PQ | 1,432 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| QA | 47 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2R | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RR | 46 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SV | 48 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SG | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR | 83 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SO. |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UF | 57 | 22 | 7 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| VV | 9 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ViA | 21 | 8 | 8 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VP. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VPD | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VA. | 13 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Acer saccharum, (CG) Carya glabra, (CF) Cornus forida, (FA) Fraxinus americana, (NS) Nyssa sylvatica, (UF) Ulmus fulva. In the smallest height class (AR) Acer rubrum shows especially heavy reproduction. Beech, (FG) Fagus grandifolia is conspicuously low in these small sizes although it holds up well in the larger size classes.

Computing on a common basis of $2,500 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$. area the following interesting contrast in density of size classes is found:

Woody plants under 1 in. d. b. h................ . . 7,100
Woody plants from 1 to 9 in. d. b. h............ 309
Woody plants 10 in. d. b. h. or over............. 38

## TABLE V

Density of 11 species illustrated in the phytographs by five size classes (Based on 25 quadrats, $10 \times 10$ meters)

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } 1 \\ & \text { ft. high } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5-12 \\ \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{high} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-3 \mathrm{in} . \\ \mathrm{D.} . \mathrm{B.} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4-9 \mathrm{in} . \\ \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{B} . \mathrm{H} . \end{gathered}$ | 10 in. and over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quercus alba | 325 |  | 2 | 5 | 19 |
| Quercus borealis var. maxim: | 31 |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| Liriodendron tulipifera | 69 |  |  |  | 3 |
| Fagus grandifolia. | 44 | 12 | 20 | 6 | 2 |
| Nyssa sylvatica | 25 | 50 | 4 |  | 3 |
| Acer rubrum | S00 | 31 | 9 | 7 |  |
| Carya cordiformis | 44 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Carya glabra. | 400 | 150 | 8 | 1 | 3 |
| Fraxinus americana | 1,150 | 319 | 35 |  | 1 |
| Acer saccharum | 175 | 100 | 37 | 4 | 2 |
| Cornus florida. | 675 | 194 | 91 | 7 |  |

[^2]
## TABLE VI

Woody plants of Donaldson's Woods according to frequency derived from species 1 inch d. b. h. or over from 25 quadrats $10 \times 10$ meters each.
Class $E, 1$ species of $81-100 \%$ frequency-
CF-Cornus florida ................................................ . . . . . $92 \%$
Class D, 2 species of $61-80 \%$ frequency-
QA—Quercus alba
$72 \%$
AS—Acer saccharum ................................................... . . $68 \%$
Class C, 3 species of $41-60 \%$ frequency-
FG—Fagus grandifolia .............................................. . . . . $48 \%$
FA—Fraxinus americana ............................................ $48 \%$
CO—Carya ovata ........................................................ . . . $44 \%$
Class B, 2 species of $21-40 \%$ frequency-
AR—Acer rubrum ................................................... $40 \%$
CG—Carya glabra ....................................................... . . . $24 \%$
Class $A, 11$ species of $1-20 \%$ frequency-
NS—Nyssa sylvatica . .............................................. . . . . $20 \%$
LT—Liriodendron tulipifera ....................................... . . $12 \%$
QR—Quercus borealis var. maxima................................. . . $12 \%$
OV—Ostyra virginiana ............................................... $12 \%$
CL—Carya laciniosa .................................................... $8 \%$
MR—Morus rubra ......................................................... . . $8 \%$
CC—Cercis canadensis ................................................ $4 \%$
QV—Quercus velutina .................................................. $4 \%$
SO—Sassafras officinale ............................................. $4 \%$
VP—Virburnum prunifolium ...................................... $4 \%$
VA—Vitis aestivalis ................................................ $4 \%$

## TABLE VII

## Woody plants of Donaldson's Woods according to frequency derived from species less than 1 inch d. b. h. from 25 quadrats $4 \times 4$ meters.

## Class E, 4 species of $81-100 \%$ frequency-

FA-Fraxinus americana . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $96 \%$
CG—Carya glabra ..................................................... . . . . $84 \%$
CF—Cornus florida . ................................................... . . . . $88 \%$
PQ—Parthenocissus quinquefolia ................................ $84 \%$
Class $D, 5$ species of $61-80 \%$ frequency-
UF-Ulmus fulva . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $80 \%$
AR—Acer rubrum ...................................................... . . . . $64 \%$
QA—Quercus alba ......................................... . . . . . . . . . . . $64 \%$
SR—Smilax rotundifolia ............................................. . . . . $80 \%$
SO—Sassafras officinale ............................................. $68 \%$
Class C, 6 species of $41-60 \%$ frequency-
AS—Acer saccharum ................................................. . . $56 \%$
PS—Prunus serotina ................................................... $48 \%$
CC—Cercis canadensis ............................................... . . . $56 \%$
VA—Vitis aestivalis .................................................... . . . $48 \%$
RR—Rhus radicans .................................................... . . $44 \%$
ViA—Viburnum aerifolium ........................................ $44 \%$
Class $B$, 8 species of $2-40 \%$ frequency-
NS—Nyssa sylvatica ................................................. . $36 \%$
LT-Liriodendron tulipifera ....................................... . . . $24 \%$
CCd—Carya cordiformis ........... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $24 \%$
CaC—Carpinus caroliniana ........................................... . . . $36 \%$
BA—Benzoin aestivale . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $28 \%$
MR—Morus rubra . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $28 \%$
SG—Smilax glauca . ................................................... . . . . $28 \%$
OV—Ostrya virginiana ............................................... $24 \%$
Class A, 17 species of $1-20 \%$ frequency-
FG—Fagus grandifolia ............................................ . . . . $20 \%$
CO—Carya ovata ...................................................... . $12 \%$
QR—Quercus borealis var. maxima .............................. $12 \%$
CL—Carya laciniosa . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $8 \%$
ClO—Celtis occidentalis ............................................... . . . $4 \%$
CS—Celastrus scandens ............................................... . . . $20 \%$
VP-Viburnum prunifolium ....................................... . $20 \%$
CA—Corylus americana .............................................. . . . . $16 \%$
Cr?-Crataegus sp? ...................................................... . . $12 \%$
SO—Symphoricarpus orbiculatus .................................. . . . 8\%
VPD—Viburnum pubescens var. deamii.............................. $8 \%$
AT—Asimina triloba ................................................ . . . $4 \%$
COb—Cornus obliqua . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $4 \%$
EA—Evonymus atropurpureus ..................................... $4 \%$
AC—Amelanchier canadensis ....................................... . . . $4 \%$
MC—Menispermum canadensis ................................... $4 \%$
VV—Vaccinium vacillans ......................................... $4 \%$

On a basis of the 1 per cent survey there are 521 trees per acre one inch D. B. H. or over, while of the sizes less than that there are approximately 23,000 stems. The latter number is greatly increased by the abundance of (PG) Parthenocissus quinquefolia, the virginia creeper; (RR) Rhus radicans, the poison ivy, etc.

Table V gives the density of the species illustrated by the phytographs at the close of this section, on a basis of five size classes.

The frequence of species is usually expressed by five classes, or directly in percentages. Frequence refers to the number of quadrats in

## TABLE VIII

Comparison of frequency of species from 2 sets of quadrats: 25 quadrats, $10 \times 10$ meters; 25 quadrats, $4 \times 4$ meters

| Species | Frequency Percent |  |  | Life Form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$. 1 in. D. B. H. or over | 4 x 4 m . under 1 in. D. B.H. | Difference |  |
| Cornus florida | 92\% | 88\% | plus 4 | small tree |
| Quercus alba. | 72 | 64 | plus 8 | tree |
| Acer saccharum | 68 | 56 | plus 12 | tree |
| Fagus grandifolia | 48 | 20 | plus 28 | tree |
| Fraxinus americana | 48 | 96 | minus 48 | tree |
| Carya ovata. | 44 | 12 | plus 32 | tree |
| Acer rubrum. | 40 | 64 | minus 24 | tree |
| Carya glabra. | 24 | 84 | minus 60 | tree |
| Nyssa sylvatica | 20 | 36 | minus 16 | tree |
| Liriodendron tulipifera. . . . . | 12 | 24 | minus 12 | tree |
| Quercus borealis var. maxima. | 12 | 12 | $0$ | tree |
| Ostrya virginiana . . . . . . | 12 | 24 | minus 12 | small tree |
| Carya laciniosa. | 8 | 8 | . 0 | tree |
| Morus rubra... | 8 | 28 | minus 20 | small tree |
| Cercis canadensis | 4 | 56 | minus 52 | small tree |
| Quercus velutina.. | 4 | 0 | plus 4 | tree |
| Sassafras officinale | 4 | 68 | minus 64 | small tree |
| Viburnum prumifolium | 4 | 20 | minus 16 | small tree |
| Vitis aestivalis....... | 4 | 48 | minus 44 | vine |

which a species occurs and is expressed in terms of per cent of the total number of quadrats examined in the particular study. For example, if a certain species is found in 10 out of 100 quadrats examined, totally disregarding the abundance of the species, it would have 10 per cent frequence. Again, if it was found in 23 out of 25 quadrats the frequency would be 92 per cent. It has been found more convenient and easier to comprehend if the frequency percentages from all the species in a community analyzed are thrown into five classes. Frequency class 1 contains all species from 1 to 20 per cent frequent, and similarly, F. 2 contains those from 21 to 40 per cent; F.3, 41 to 60 per cent; F.4, 61 to 80 per cent, and F.5, 81 to 100 per cent. These classes are sometimes referred to as $\mathrm{A}>\boldsymbol{B}>\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{D}<\mathrm{E}$ in which each succeeding class is smaller except the last, Class E being larger than $D$ under normal conditions.

Briefly then, this means that there are more species in a community which will be found to have low frequency. In Table VI, for example, there is only one species in Class E while there are 11 species in Class A. In this table the frequency is computed on a basis of the occurrence of a species in the 25 quadrats, each of 100 sq . m., considering only woody plants of 1 inch d. b. h. or more. Table VII is similar, but considers only woody plants of sizes under 1 inch d. b. h. from smaller quadrats,


Fig. 6. Phytographs of the more important trees of Donaldson's Woods.
25 of them, $4 \times 4$ meters. Table VIII presents the differences found from the two computations.

Basal area is the cross-sectional area of a tree or stand, in square feet measured at four and one-half feet above the ground, (d. b. h.) This is obtained from area of circles whose diameters equal those of the trees measured. There is never any attempt to account for the fact that the tree is seldom a circle in cross-section, except to average diameters at right angles when the tree is especially a-symmetrical. Table IX presents the results of the basal area computations from the one per cent survey ( $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$. quadrats) together with similar data from a 10 per cent survey. For the latter, strips connecting the quadrats in a

TABLE IX
Basal Area Study of Donaldson's Woods

| Spectes | 1\% Survey |  |  |  | $10 \%$ Survey |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25 quads $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$ .61 acres B.A., sq. ft | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { stems } \end{aligned}$ | Average B. A per stcm | B. A. per acre sq. ft. | 10 m . strips | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { stems } \end{aligned}$ | A verage <br> B. A. per stem | B. A. per acre sq. ft. |
| Quercus alba | 64.904 | 26 | 2.500 | 106.40 | 380.527 | 203 | 1.878 | 62.38 |
| Quercus borealis var. maxima | 4475 | 4 | 1. 119 | 7.33 | 69.186 | 48 | 1.441 | 11.34 |
| Liriodendron tulipifera... | 11.510 | 3 | 3837 | 18.87 | 50.905 | 23 | 2.213 | 8.34 |
| Fagus grandifolia.. | 5.890 | 36 | 164 | 9.66 | 26.838 | 209 | 128 | 4.40 |
| Nyssa sylvatica | 3.453 | 7 | 493 | 5.66 | 18.315 | 53 | 346 | 3.00 |
| Acer rubrum | 1.430 | 16 | 089 | 2.34 | 17.635 | 104 | 170 | 2.89 |
| Carya cordiformis |  |  |  |  | 16.856 | 11 | 1.532 | 2.76 |
| Carya glabra..... | 7.077 | 12 | 590 | 11.60 | 16.551 | 88 | 188 | 2.71 |
| Fraxinus americana | 1360 | 36 | 038 | 2.23 | 15.821 | 187 | 084 | 2.60 |
| Juglans nigra |  |  |  |  | 14110 | 4 | 3.527 | 2.31 |
| Acer saccharum | 2.947 | 43 | 068 | 483 | 12.602 | 235 | 054 | 2.07 |
| Carya ovata | 1.088 | 16 | 068 | 178 | 10.389 | 58 | 179 | 1.70 |
| Carya laciniosa | 812 | 3 | 271 | 133 | thrown | with Ca | rya ovata |  |
| Quercus velutina | 4280 | 1 | 4280 | 701 | thrown var. | with Qu axima | ercus bor | ealis |
| Quercus imbricaria |  |  |  |  | 3.546 | 2 | 1.773 | 58 |
| Quercus muhlenbergii |  |  |  |  | 2.890 | 1 | 2.890 | 48 |
| Ulmus fulva........ |  |  |  |  | 2014 | 22 | . 091 | 33 |
| Celtis occidentalis |  |  |  |  | -. 228 | 3 | . 076 | 04 |
| Prunus scrotina |  |  |  |  | 196 | 1 | 196 | 03 |
| Cornus florida. | 2478 | 98 | 025 | 406 | 18438 |  | 025 |  |
| Sassafras officinale | . 022 | 1 | 022 | . 04 | 2.759 | 25 | 110 | . 45 |
| Ostrya virginiana | 222 | 7 | 032 | 36 | 2.758 | 107 | 026 | 45 |
| Carpinus caroliniana |  |  |  |  | 1148 | 42 | 027 | 19 |
| Morus rubra...... | 277 | 5 | 055 | 45 | . 648 | 17 | 037 | 10 |
| Cercis canadensis | 049 | 3 | 016 | 08 | 189 | 10 | 019 | 03 |
| Asimina triloba |  |  |  |  | 020 | 4 | 005 | 003 |
| Amelanchier canadensis |  |  |  |  | 015 | 3 | 005 | . 002 |
| Viburnum prunifolium. | 005 | 1 | 005 | 01 | 010 | 2 | 005 | . 001 |
| 26 species 318 | $\begin{gathered} 318 \\ 521 \text { per acre } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $184.14$ | ${ }_{357}^{2,175}$ per acre |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 112.206 \\ & \text { sq. ft } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

north-south direction were examined. These strips were 10 m . wide (approximately one-half chain) and were 90 m . between strips. The discrepancy between the two talleys when expressed on an acre basis is difficult to account for. Certainly the ten per cent cruise is the most reliable as a measure of the stand. It may have so happened that especially large trees fell in the 25 quadrats. Both surveys reveal the importance of the following species: Quercus alba, Liriodendron tulipifera, Fagus grandifolia and Acer saccharum. Cornus florida is conspicuously the most important of the small trees.

In Table X is found the data used for the construction of the phytographs. See Figure 6. Quercus alba is shown to be especially important, rating high in respect to all four of the criteria, abundance, frequency, distribution through size classes and basal area. For the method of computation of these data see Table X.
C. Discussion. In 1927 Tillotson (21) said that the Central Hardwood Region included about $40,000,000$ acres of woodland in Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Lowa and Missouri, the southern portions of Michigan, Wisconsin and Minnesota, the eastern edge of Nebraska and Kansas, the northeastern corner of Oklahoma, northern Arkansas and western half of Kentucky and Tennessee. About "three-fourths of the timber-
producing acreage is in the form of farm wood lots, generally 10 to 40 acres in extent. Continual cutting of the best species and individuals, forest fires, and heavy pasturing have rendered these stands badly dilapidated and decadent . . . . so that the greater portion of the timber is on a slow but seemingly sure march to extinction. . . . . unless present practices are materially altered. A few virgin stands are still in existence, generally individual pieces of timberland held off the market for personal reasons by the owners, or else stands relatively inaccessible." The Central Hardwood Forest Experiment Station men found it difficult to locate some thirty-odd tracts of virgin timber for scientific studies of soil conditions, etc. Pegg and Thomas (16), writing in 1909 concerning the advisability of scientifically controlled wood lots for Indiana, remark on the rapid depletion of the timber. "Official records, which begin in 1870, show an acreage of $7,189,334$ acres in timbered lands in Indiana. In 1880 only 4,335,000 acres were left. By 1890 over $2,500,000$ acres more were cleared, of which 75,000 acres became waste land. Then Indiana ranked fifth with the states of the Union in the total output of lumber. In 1907 she ranked twenty-seventh."

Wherever one reads about forestry conditions one finds essentially the same story, with the exception, however, of an upward look in some states, especially those with classified land taxation. There are two common points of view concerning forest land. The one which held sway too long and completely was that trees are to be cut for their immediate profit, regardless of future consequences, the result being our

## TABLE X

Data for Construction of Phytographs: 11 Species

| Species | Radius 1: Abundance | Radius 2: Frequency | Radius 3: No. of Size Classes | Radius 4: Basal Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quercus alba | $50 \%$ | $56 \%$ | 4 | $58 \%$ |
| Quercus borealis var. maxima. | 8 | 12 | 3 | 4 |
| Liriodendron tulipifera. | 8 | 12 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 10 |
| Fagus grandifolia. | 5 | 8 | 5 | 5 |
| Nyssa sylvatica. | 8 | 12 | 4 | 3 |
| Acer rubrum. . . |  |  | 4 | 1 |
| Carya cordiformis |  |  | 2 |  |
| Carya glabra. . . . | 8 | 12 | 5 | 6 |
| Fraxinus americana | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| Acer saccharum. | 5 | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| Cornus florida. |  |  | 4 | 2 |

[^3]present conditions; the other too sentimental and impractical, that not a tree should be cut. We have people with the latter point of view to thank, however, for the preservation of the few remaining tracts of virgin hardwood in the Central West. Between waste and exploitation, and sentiment, is the rational viewpoint of the forester, considering the forests to be a long-rotation crop, to be utilized, yet perpetuated. One


Fig. 7. One of several handsome specimens of Yellow Poplar, Liriodendron tulipifera, found in Donaldson's Woods.
cannot help but wish, at this late date, that seventy-five or a hundred years ago some one had thought of establishing state parks, so that larger areas of primeval forest would have been preserved for recreation, inspiration and as museums and scientific laboratories. The small areas which remain at Turkey Run, Spring Mill, and under private ownership are none the less admirable. It behooves the present generation of botanists and zoologists to study these tracts intensively before they, too, diminish or are modified.

Virgin forests are uneven-aged, over-ripe old monarchs overtopping and choking off the reproduction. When the pioneers first came it was
not uncommon to find yellow poplar, oak and other species 150,175 and almost 200 feet high with a girth of 25 feet. Today such a handsome tree as the poplar in Figure 7 is a monument, and trees five to six feet in diameter worthy of a long pilgrimage to see. Everywhere under these large trees the smaller trees, shrubs and herbaceous plants struggle for light while their root systems undergo severe competition for moisture. A spongy mass of forest litter makes a floor that holds rainfall and yet makes difficult the germination of certain lighter seeds. Very striking, however, is the abundant reproduction in the smaller size classes; seedlings up to a foot or so in height and saplings one to three inches or so in diameter. Everywhere they are waiting for one of the old trees to die and make an opening in the dense canopy into which they can grow in the severe struggle for existence. One needs only to examine the tables in this paper for examples of this situation. Yet to use such data for interpretation of matters of succession is extremely hazardous, for the elements of chance play a large part in what species are present at the right time and place to take advantage of opportunities for survival. For example, on a basis of a single study, such as this one of Donaldson's Woods, it would be unwise to say that white oak and yellow poplar; at present of high dominance, will be replaced by sugar maple, white ash and beech as the dominants die off, simply because of their greater density in the smaller size classes. Something of survival ability in the intermediate ages must be known. Then there is the matter of good and bad seed years for different species. The large number of seedlings of Acer rubrum, Carya glabra, Fraxinus americana, and Ulmus fulva may only be a temporary aspect. These are the matters which make the establishment of permanent quadrats important and the study of several comparable stands imperative if any adequate knowledge of virgin forest conditions is to be attained.
IV. Summary and Conclusions. Permanent quadrats have been established in a virgin forest tract known as Donaldson's Woods, located at Spring Mill State Park, where they will be protected and available for future study. Detailed investigation has been made of density, frequency and basal area of the woody plants encountered in the survey, which data, however, are only a fragment of the complete sociological study of the vegetation which it is proposed to complete as rapidly as time permits. Similar studies on other virgin forest tracts are to be undertaken so that data will be comparable and certain synthetic concepts built up. It is hoped that ample facilities will be provided for the larger task.
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[^1]:    * Abundance, expressed numerically, or as per cent, on a unit area basis is termed "density".
    $\dagger$ Presence, expressed numerically or as per cent, on a unit area basis is termed "constance".

    Table I. The form for a table which permits the most compact assembling of plant sociological data. No American plant community has been studied sufficiently intensively to permit the construction of such a table.

    Note: The quantitative data (1) are derived from quadrats; the analytic data (A) from the study of some one community; the synthetic data (B) from the study of several different examples (stands) of the same community. There are other sociologic concepts but these are the only ones permitting assignment to definite classes of the species composing a community, and hence, tabular presentation.

[^2]:    The basic figures for the sizes under 1 inch D.B.H. were taken from the 4 x 4 quadrats and multiplied by the figure necessary to make them equal to the density for $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$. quadrats.

[^3]:    1-Abundance: each species figured as a per cent of the total number of stems 10 inches D.B.H. or over.

    2-Frequency: each species figured on a basis of the 25 quadrats, $10 \times 10$ meters, i.e. per cent of quadrats containing stems 10 inches D.B.H. or over.

    3-Size Classes: each species indicated according to the number of the five size classes in which it is found. See table V.

    4-Basal Area: each species expressed as a per cent of the total basal area of all species computed on a basis of sq.ft. per acre.

