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Gasoline refiners in 1905 were getting less than four gallons of gasoline from a barrel of petroleum. Due to increased consumption, in 1923 they were getting 13 gallons by cutting deeper into the crude oil. This would have been inadequate had they not also resorted to cracking the heavy petroleum oils. Of course this made a less volatile gasoline and more difficult of use in automobiles, but by blending it with the more volatile casinghead gas, obtained from natural gas, they were able to make a very satisfactory product, giving probably a little more mileage than the old straight run gasoline. Today over 50 per cent of petroleum is turned into gasoline.

So the supply of gas has been able to keep pace with the growing demands, and to do so without working hardships on most makes of cars. But these necessary deviations from the old straight distillation process is putting a greater and greater variety of gasolines on the market. Then, due to the fact that some makes of cars have been slow to adopt self starters and other devices which would enable them to use the less volatile gasolines, the refiners were obliged to prepare still another more volatile type of gas known as the high test or aviation gas. This gas is indispensable for airplanes. It makes a good gas for use in winter and cold climates and in cars which start with difficulty when the motors are cold; but the more there is of the low boiling fraction present in a gasoline the less the mileage and the higher the cost of the gasoline. The distillat:on ranges of gasolines on the market today show a tendency toward a single product for summer and winter use; and are leaving it to the manufacturers to adapt the cars to a standard gasoline for all seasons.

We seem destined to have a special gasoline for the high compression engines, a gas that will stand greater pressure without knocking. The problem has been partially solved by the addition of certain antiknock substances to the ordinary gasoline.

Then the keen effort to conserve our petroleum has drawn to some extent alcohol and the benzol hydrocarbons into the preparation of gasolines. What has been said thus far in way of introduction is not for the purpose of describing these gases or finding fault with the number of them, but merely to call your attention to the fact that when you drive up to a station to fill your gasoline tank you have a bewildering variety from which to choose, and there seems to be a growing tendency to have at least four gasoline companies represented at each cross street; each dispensing at least two grades of gas, superior in mileage and "pep" to the types sold on the other three corners.

[^0]In the absence of technical knowledge or training and of any gasoline recommendations from the manufacturer of the car, how is the average automobile driver to know which gas will give the best service? Aside from the matter of starting a cold, "lazy" motor, the most vital question for the average low pressure engine is that of the mileage obtainable from the various gasolines by their actual use on the road.

Every autoist has determined the mileage of some gasoline by using it on a trip and dividing the speedometer reading by the number of gallons of gasoline purchased. Results thus obtained are practically worthless for comparison of gasolines, since they do not take into account various factors which influence the mileage, such as the wind, condition and kind of roads, load carried, traffic congestion, tire inflation, speed of travel, and actual quantities of gasoline received at filling stations. There are enough variables involved in running an automobile that any mileage results obtained by disregarding them must be looked upon with a bit of suspicion.

So this paper is a report of an investigation undertaken with the intention of taking these variables into account just as fully as the circumstances would permit. But at best, the results are not strictly quantitative in character and the order of the mileage might have been somewhat different had some other make of car been used. The report is given, however, with the hope that the method at least may have some value.

A two-passenger Buick coupe, weighing 3,215 pounds, was used in the tests. The car had been run only about 5,000 miles and was in excellent running condition. A temporary gas tank holding slightly more than a gallon was mounted above and to the side of the carburetor. A T-connection was placed in the main fuel line at the point where it enters the carburetor. Through its side arm the gasoline from the temporary tank was admitted to the main fuel line. Valves were placed in each line so that the gasoline might be fed to the carburetor from either tank as desired.

A run with a given gasoline was made by placing a gallon of it in the temporary tank. A narrow neck bottle was used to measure the gasoline. It was calibrated to deliver a gallon at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The calibration mark was on the narrow neck. A second bottle of the gasoline was taken along for the return trip. The runs were made on a wide concrete road on which traffic was light. Nearly all the route is level. The runs were all made when the road was dry, and they were usually made early in the morning when the traffic was lightest. The car was driven to the starting point just outside the city. By this initial run and by means of the heat regulator the engine was warmed up to $130^{\circ}$, its normal temperature. This temperature was maintained as nearly as possible throughout the run. At the starting point the gasoline was shut off from the regular tank and the car run "idle" until the gas in the pipe and carburetor was used up. The valve in the temporary line was now opened, the trip speedometer set at 0 miles, and the car started and run as nearly as possible at a speed of 25 miles until the gallon
of gas was used up. The speedometer reading gave the mileage for the trip out.

The valves in the two gas lines were reversed. The car was run a few feet further, turned, and driven back to the spot where the run had ended. The extra gallon of gasoline was put into the temporary tank, and the same procedure followed in making the return trip as on the outward trip. The run was made both ways in an attempt to eliminate the effect of any wind which might be assisting or retarding the speed; and to correct for any difference in elevation of the starting and ending points. The average of the two mileages was taken as the mileage for that particular gasoline.

The tires were each time inflated to 38 pounds pressure, and the carburetor properly adjusted for the gasoline. The same load was carried in the car, and the windows lowered the same distance to make the resistance of the air uniform in all the runs. In none of the runs was it necessary to stop the car or to change the speed more than two or three miles, and then only for a very short time. It is generally thought by experts that a car will give the best mileage when running at a speed of 20 miles. If this is the speed of maximum efficiency, then running either faster or slower decreases the mileage. But at a 25 mile speed deviations above and below tend to correct each other. While this speed may have cut down the mileage slightly, the comparative results were made more accurate. The relation of speed and mileage is shown in one of the runs with Indian common gasoline which was made at 40 miles speed. This cut the mileage from 17.83 to 16.

The results obtained by using 24 of the more common gasolines, purchased at the regular filling stations, are tabulated in the table on the following page.

It is very easy to draw false conclusions from these data. The relative commercial values of these gasolines is not shown by these mileages for they are not all competing solely on the mileage basis. There is no very sure standard for rating them when such qualities are considered as freedom from knocking, ease of starting, carbonizing the cylinder, etc. But for the particular car used in these tests, and probably for most cars, these figures represent the relative number of miles per gallon which can be obtained from these different gasolines.

The distillation range of each gasoline was determined and appears in the table. This was done to see if the mileage might not be a function of the position and shape of the distillation curve. In general the higher the end point the greater the mileage, unless it has also a large volatile fraction. This is due to the fact that the larger molecules burn longer and deliver power during the entire stroke of the piston. But a few of the curves obtained for these gasolines need further study before any definite statements can be made on the above question.
Table Showing Mileage and Distillation Range of 24 Gasolines Marketed in Terre Haute.

| SAMPLE | MILES |  |  | Tire Pressure | Speed in Miles | Ba-romter | Specific Gravity | Cost per Gallon | Cost <br> per <br> 1000 <br> Miles | Distillation Range Fah. ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Out | In | Aver. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1st Drop | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | End Point | Recovery | Residue | Dist. <br> Loss |
| Culley-Common | 16.9 | 16.15 | 16.53 | 38 | 25 | 747 | 720 | 18 | 10.88 | 93 | 140 | 174 | 203 | 232 | 259 | 279 | 313 | 347 | 388 | 433 | 97 | 7 | 1.3 |
| Culley-H. T. | 17.3 | 17.9 | 17.6 | 38 | 25 | 750 | 740 | 21 | 11.93 | 109 | 165 | 187 | 199 | 214 | 230 | 255 | 291 | 329 | 374 | 421 | 97.5 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| Red Crown | 17.2 | 16.65 | 16.92 | 38 | 25 | 750 | 740 | 18 | 10.64 | 111 | 167 | 208 | 230 | 255 | 279 | 300 | 324 | 347 | 379 | 415 | 97.5 | 1.7 | 8 |
| Solite. | 16.7 | 16.3 | 16.5 | 38 | 25 | 748 | 715 | 21 | 12.72 | 100 | 147 | 179 | 207 | 226 | 244 | 256 | 280 | 302 | 334 | 392 | 97.5 | 1.5 | 1 |
| Diamond-Commo | 17.15 | 18 | 17.58 | 38 | 25 | 748 | 740 | 18 | 10.24 | 106 | 167 | 203 | 230 | 261 | 279 | 300 | 325 | 356 | 390 | 448 | 98 | 1.7 | . 3 |
| Diamond-H. T. | 15.95 | 17.95 | 16.95 | 38 | 25 | 750 | 735 | 21 | 12.39 | 106 | 169 | 216 | 248 | 273 | 304 | 329 | 347 | 374 | 406 | 455 | 97.5 | 1.6 | . 9 |
| Linco-Common | 17.1 | 18.51 | 17.8 | 38 | 25 | 750 | 735 | 18 | 10.11 | 104 | 158 | 196 | 223 | 248 | 273 | 297 | 322 | 349 | 381 | 421 | 97 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Linco-H. T | 15.76 | 16.9 | 16.33 | 38 | 25 | 750 | 715 | 21 | 12.86 | 100 | 144 | 171 | 192 | 212 | 228 | 243 | 261 | 291 | 329 | 383 | 97 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| SinClair-Commo | 17.2 | 18 | 17.6 | 38 | 25 | 757 | 735 | 18 | 10.23 | 97 | 144 | 190 | 228 | 259 | 288 | 315 | 345 | 370 | 399 | 424 | 97.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| SinClair-H. T | 17.7 | 17.85 | 17.77 | 38 | 25 | 750 | 750 | 21 | 11.81 | 111 | 169 | 207 | 232 | 257 | 279 | 300 | 331 | 365 | 401 | 423 | 97 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Producers-Comm | 16.75 | 18.45 | 17.6 | 38 | 25 | 751 | 735 | 17 | 9.66 | 109 | 169 | 207 | 228 | 250 | 275 | 300 | 331 | 358 | 394 | 432 | 97.5 | 1.6 | . 9 |
| Producers-H. T | 16 | 16.1 | 16.05 | 38 | 25 | 750 | 685 | 20 | 12.46 | 100 | 126 | 136 | 147 | 156 | 169 | 179 | 189 | 208 | 235 | 300 | 97 | 1.3 | 1.7 |
| Quality-Commo | 16.9 | 17.4 | 17.15 | 38 | 25 | 755 | 730 | 18 | 10.49 | 109 | 160 | 192 | 221 | 241 | 268 | 300 | 336 | 367 | 405 | 439 | 97.5 | 1.6 | . 9 |
| Target. | 16.4 | 18.2 | 17.3 | 38 | 25 | 756 | 735 | 18 | 10.40 | 95 | 138 | 181 | 214 | 246 | 275 | 300 | 334 | 365 | 401 | 428 | 97.5 | 1.5 | 1 |
| Silver Flash | 16.7 | 16.6 | 16.65 | 38 | 25 | 754 | 725 | 21 | 12.61 | 93 | 138 | 174 | 205 | 214 | 250 | 275 | 297 | 320 | 349 | 374 | 97 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Shell-Comm | 16.73 | 17.9 | 17.31 | 38 | 25 | 754 | 730 | 18 | 10.40 | 111 | 154 | 194 | 226 | 252 | 275 | 300 | 324 | 349 | 378 | 401 | 97 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Shell-H.T. | 16.6 | 17.05 | 16.83 | 38 | 25 | 754 | 730 | 21 | 12.47 | 99 | 156 | 181 | 210 | 239 | 259 | 279 | 298 | 322 | 347 | 376 | 97.5 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Johnson-Comm | 17.3 | 16.85 | 17.08 | 38 | 25 | 753 | 730 | 18 | 10.54 | 102 | 154 | 196 | 230 | 257 | 284 | 316 | 349 | 378 | 410 | 437 | 97 | 1.3 | 1.7 |
| Johnson-H. T | 16.9 | 17.4 | 17.15 | 38 | 25 | 750 | 710 | 21 | 12.24 | 102 | 144 | 172 | 196 | 219 | 235 | 253 | 291 | 302 | 336 | 385 | 98 | 1.1 | . 9 |
| Indian-Commo | 18.35 | 17.3 | 17.83 | 38 | 25 | 749 | 730 | 18 | 10.09 | 108 | 162 | 199 | 226 | 259 | 280 | 302 | 325 | 354 | 390 | 430 | 97 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Indian-Common | 16.9 | 17.3 | 17.1 | 30 | 25 | 757 | 730 | 18 | 10.53 | 108 | 162 | 199 | 226 | 259 | 280 | 302 | 325 | 354 | 390 | 430 | 97 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Indian-Common | 15.5 | 16.5 | 16.0 | 38 | 40 | 754 | 730 | 18 | 11.25 | 108 | 162 | 199 | 226 | 259 | 280 | 302 | 325 | 354 | 390 | 430 | 97 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Indian Red | 17.2 | 18.1 | 17.65 | 38 | 25 | 753 | 735 | 21 | 11.33 | 102 | 160 | 192 | 221 | 241 | 262 | 280 | 302 | 329 | 367 | 414 | 97 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| White Rose | 16.8 | 17.28 | 17.04 | 38 | 25 | 750 | 735 | 21 | 12.32 | 117 | 174 | 210 | 234 | 252 | 275 | 293 | 315 | 342 | 378 | 415 | 97 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| Ethyl. | 17.45 | 17.5 | 17.48 | 38 | 25 | 755 | 735 | 21 | 12.01 | 111 | 171 | 207 | 235 | 257 | 279 | 304 | 325 | 349 | 383 | 421 | 97 | 1.2 | 1.8 |
| Aerolene | 17.45 | 18.1 | 17.78 | 38 | 25 | 748 | 780 | 21 | 11.25 | 131 | 189 | 212 | 228 | 248 | 271 | 289 | 307 | 327 | 370 | 430 | 97.5 | 1.6 | . 9 |


[^0]:    "Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci., vol. 38, 1928 (1929)."

