Impingement of Fishes at the Indianapolis Power and Light Company Generating Station on the White River at Petersburg, Indiana

JOHN O. WHITAKER, JR. Department of Life Sciences Indiana State University Terre Haute, Indiana 47809

Introduction

Impingement is the lodging of organisms on the protective screens at the inflow channels of electric generating plants. The screens function to keep unwanted items out of the mechanism of the plant, and are automatically cleaned periodically by water running through them. The "impinged" items were previously allowed to move out a trough and back into the river, but now are removed. Impingement has caused concern as a possible hazard to fish at generating plants.

This work was part of a study of the effects of the Indianapolis Power and Light Company generating station on the White River at Petersburg, Indiana (Proffitt, 1969; Proffitt and Benda, 1971; Whitaker and Schlueter, 1973; Whitaker, Schlueter and Tieben, 1977). The objective of this phase of the work was to determine the extent of impingement of fish at the generating plant.

Materials and Methods

To determine effects of impingement on fish of the White River at the IPALCO plant, seines were placed across the impingement outflow trough and all fish were collected during extended sampling periods. The first seine was a 1/4-in. nylon mesh, and the second was of 1/8-in. nylon mesh. Both were heavily weighted. The nets were in position for 25-35 hours per collecting trip, and were checked periodically. Accumulated debris was removed and examined for the presence of fish, mollusks, and other large animal life. Early in the study, the fish were identified and numbers of the various species were simply counted, while later, they were assessed by size class. Also, during the latter part of the study, notation was made as to whether the fish were dead, alive, or in various stages of decomposition when collected. It was assumed that the "fresh" fish had been alive when impinged, but that the rotting fish had simply floated into the plant entrance after death. However, some of the fresh fish may have been dead when impinged, as dead fish can remain in fresh condition for several days in cold weather. On January 23-25, 1976, a sample was taken before, during and after deicing, to see if that process had any notable effect on the fishes impinged.

Eight reptiles were taken in the impingement sample, but are not included in the Tables. They included four map turtles, *Graptemys geographica*, three softshelled turtles, *Trionyx spinifer*, and one water snake, *Nerodia sipedon*.

Results

The total number, number per hour, and projected number per year of all species of fish taken in the impingement sample (excluding the deicing sample) are given in Table 1. These totaled 10,772 individuals. Of these, 9784 (90.8%) were gizzard shad, *Dorosoma cepedianum*, followed by *Ictalurus punctatus*, channel catfish (444, 4.1%) and *Ictiobus* sp., buffalo (149, 1.4%; young individuals of *I. niger* and *I. bubalus*). A total of 32 separate species is listed, along with some individuals identified only to genus and some unidentified fish.

Species	Total		Projected	
	No.	No/hr	No/Year	
Dorosoma cepedianum-Gizzard Shad	9784	6.99	61231	
Ictalurus punctatus – Channel Catfish	444	0.32	2779	
Ictiobus spBuffalo	149	0.11	932	
Aplodinotus grunniens-Freshwater drum	72	0.05	451	
Pimephales vigilax-Bullhead minnow	46	0.03	288	
Hybognathus nuchalis-Silvery minnow	35	0.03	219	
Cyprinus carpio-Carp	30	0.02	188	
Notropis spilopterus-Spotfin shiner	29	0.02	181	
Ichthyomyzon unicuspis-Silver lamprey	26	0.02	163	
Lepomis macrochirus – Bluegill	20	0.01	125	
Pylodictus olivaris – Flathead catfish	19	0.01	119	
Carpiodes carpio-River carpsucker	16	0.01	100	
Hiodon alosoides – Goldeye	14	0.01	88	
Lepomis cyanellus—Green sunfish	13	0.01	81	
Micropterus punctulatus-Spotted bass	8	0.01	50	
Pomoxis annularis – White crappie	6	0.004	38	
Carpiodes velifer – Highfin carpsucker	6	0.004	38	
Morone chrysops-White bass	6	0.004	38	
Alosa chrysochloris – Skipjack herring	5	0.004	31	
Lepomis megalotis – Longear sunfish	4	0.003	25	
Stizostedion canadense-Sauger	3	0.002	19	
Lepisosteus osseus – Longnose gar	3	0.002	19	
Moxostoma sp. – Redhorse sp.	3	0.002	19	
Hybopsis storeriana – Silver chub	3	0.002	19	
Anguilla rostrata – American eel	1	0.001	6	
Polyodon spathula – Paddlefish	1	0.001	6	
Lepisosteus platostomus – Shortnose gar	1	0.001	6	
Lepomis sp. – Sunfish sp.	1	0.001	6	
Carpiodes cyprinus-Quillback	1	0.001	6	
Scaphirhynchus platorhynchus-Shovelnose sturgeon	1	0.001	6	
Carassius auratus – Goldfish	1	0.001	6	
Ictiobus cyprinellus – Bigmouth buffalo	1	0.001	6	
Semotilus atromaculatus – Creek chub	1	0.001	6	
Ictiobus bubalus—Smallmouth buffalo	1	0.001	6	
Ictalurus melas – Black bullhead	1	0.001	6	
Unidentified fish	17	0.01	106	
Totals	10,772	7.70	67,413	

TABLE 1. Numbers of fish impinged during the 1399.75 hours (58.3 days) of sampling time at the IPALCO generating plant at Petersburg, together with number per hour and projected number per year.

Seasonal distribution of the fish is given in Table 2. It is apparent that relatively few fish are generally impinged. Sampling periods usually varied from 25 to 35 hours, and the total number of fish taken was less than 100 individuals in all but 8 of the 23 sampling periods. The largest impingement collections occurred on September 13-14, September 20-21, September 27-28, and October 11-12, 1975, when totals of 404, 7432, 875, and 476 individuals were taken. Again, the great majority of these fish, totalling 8727 of the 9097 (95.9%) fish, were gizzard shad, *Dorosoma cepedianum*. These fish were mostly in the 2-4" size classes, and thus included schools of young individuals

Tables 1 and 2 include all fish taken by impingement. However, some of the fish taken in these samples were in various stages of decomposition, and thus presumably were dead before drifting through the plant intake. Table 3 gives data for live and fresh fish in the later impingement samples. The total number of fish impinged during these periods was 10,533 in 928.5 hours, or 11.37 per hour.

ECOLOGY

Numbers of fish									
Sampling Dates	Dorosoma cepedianum	<i>Ictalurus</i> punctatus	Ictiobus sp.	Aplodinotus grunniens	Other species	Total Fish	Total hrs.	No. fisł per hr.	
1973-74	95	6	0	0	17	118	181	0.65	
1975									
Jan 25-27	1	0	0	0	2	3	46	0.06	
June 13-15	13	0	0	0	5	18	52.25	0.34	
28-30	6	0	0	0	2	8	51.5	0.16	
July 11-13	1	7	0	2	4	14	52	0.27	
18-20	6	13	0	0	4	23	45	0.51	
25-27	30	17	0	1	7	55	46.5	1.18	
Aug 3-5	4	4	2	0	5	15	48.5	0.31	
9-11	11	42	2	0	27	82	49	1.67	
13-15	11	115	3	16	17	162	52	3.12	
23-24	11	6	4	1	4	26	28	0.93	
30-31	12	18	3	2	3	38	38	1.00	
Sept 6-7	7	6	5	0	4	22	33	0.67	
13-14	156	117	90	7	34	404	31	13.03	
20-21	7265	27	23	3	24	7342	31.75	231.24	
27-28	842	16	3	1	13	875	31.75	27.56	
Oct. 4-5	9	0	2	0	3	14	32	0.44	
11-12	464	1	0	0	11	476	32	14.88	
18-19	90	1	1	0	0	92	32	2.88	
25-26	42	1	1	0	3	47	31.75	1.48	
Nov 1-2	195	4	0	0	2	201	32	6.28	
8-9	39	4	0	0	3	46	32	1.44	
15-16	341	5	3	8	4	361	32	11.28	
22-23	22	1	0	11	2	36	32	1.13	
Dec 21-22	16	1	0	0	1	18	30	0.60	
1976									
Mar 20-21	31	14	7	8	7	67	31	2.16	
Apr 24-25	11	0	0	1	8	20	32	0.63	
May 1-2	14	6	0	5	14	39	46	0.85	
May 8-9	7	1	0	1	12	21	31.25	0.67	
15-16	7	4	0	3	26	40	32	1.25	
21-22	6	2	0	0	22	30	32	0.94	
28-29	2	3	0	1	7	13	32	0.41	
June 5-6	11	2	0	1	7	21	32	0.66	
12-13	6	0	0	0	19	25	31.5	0.79	
	9784	444	149	72	323	10,772	1399.75	7.70	

TABLE 2. Seasonal distribution of fish taken by impingement sampling at IPALCO generating plant at Petersburg, Indiana. Species in which at least 50 individuals were taken are summarized separately; rest are combined.

Of these, 3767 were partially decomposed, leaving a total of 6,766 live or fresh fish, or 7.29 per hour. Again, the few samples with large numbers of small *Dorosoma* (Fall, 1975) contribute greatly to the overall calculated numbers per hour. In the 27 samples, there were 16 samples with less than 1 live or freshly killed fish per hour, and 21 with less than 3.

Most of the fish taken in the impingement samples were relatively small, less than 6 in. total length (many were 2-4" long). Table 4 summarizes data for all fish over 6 in. long taken in the impingement sample from August 23, 1975,

	Total # dead			Total # of	No/hr of live	
.975	No. of fish	and decaying	Hrs.	fresh fish	or freshly killed fish	
Aug 3-5	15	10	48.5	5	0.10	
9-11	82	80	49	2	0.04	
13-15	162	58	52	104	2.00	
23-24	26	25	28	1	0.04	
30-31	38	35	38	3	0.08	
ept 6-7	22	14	33	8	0.24	
13-14	404	184	31	220	7.10	
20-21	7342	2989	31.75	4353	137.10	
27-28	875	217	31.75	658	20.72	
)et 4-5	14	0	32	14	0.44	
11-12	476	18	32	458	14.31	
18-19	92	0	32	92	2.88	
25-26	47	41	31.75	6	0.19	
lov 1-2	201	37	32	164	5.13	
8-9	46	12	32	34	1.06	
15-16	361	0	32	361	11.28	
22-23	36	0	32	36	1.13	
Dec 21-22	18	0	30	18	0.60	
1976						
Mar 20-21	67	0	31	67	2.16	
Apr 24-25	20	0	32	20	0.63	
May 1-2	39	0	46	39	0.85	
8-9	21	0	31.25	21	0.67	
15-16	40	5	32	35	1.09	
21-22	30	1	32	29	0.91	
28-29	13	9	32	4	0.13	
une 5-6	21	14	32	7	0.22	
12-13	25	18	31.4	7	0.22	
	10533	3767	928.50	6766	7.29	

TABLE 3. Fish in varying stages of decomposition (1976), during 928.5 hours ofsampling.

TABLE 4. Size classes of fish impinged at the IPALCO generating plant at Petersburg, Indiana. (Most of the 10,274 fish taken between August 23, 1975, and June 1976 were less than 6 inches long. Fish greater than 6 inches total length are indicated here.)

Size groupings					
Species	7-12″	13-18″	18 + "	Totals	
Dorosoma cepedianum	51	0	0	51	
Ichthyomyzon unicuspis	20	0	0	20	
Hiodon alosoides	14	0	0	14	
Cyprinus carpio	2	7	3	12	
Ictalurus punctatus	6	0	0	6	
Carpiodes carpio	4	0	0	4	
Aplodinotus grunniens	3	1	0	4	
Alosa chrysochloris	3	0	0	3	
Moxostoma sp.	1	1	0	2	
Scaphyrhynchus platorhynchus	0	0	1 (24″)	1	
Morone chrysops	0	1	0	1	
Pomoxis annularis	1	0	0	1	
Ictiobus bubalus	0	1	0	1	
Lepomis macrochirus	1	0	0	1	
Ictalurus melas	1	0	0	1	
	107	11	4	122	

ECOLOGY

through June, 1976 (when length of impinged fish were recorded). Of the 10,274 fish taken during this period, only 122 or 1.2% of the individuals were longer than 6 in. Of these, nearly half were gizzard shad, and 20 were silver lampreys.

Another question of interest related to the effect of the deicing process (warming of the intake screens by spraying warm water into the intake just ahead of the screens) on the impingement of the fish. A combination of scheduling problems, equipment problems at the plant, and warm weather reduced the sampling when deicing was occurring to one period. This period was on January 23 to 25, 1976 (Table 5). On January 24, ambient river temperature was 4°C at the sur-

	21 hours Before deicing		30 hours During deicing		51 hours Total	
	No.	No/hr	No.	No/hr	No.	No/hr
Ictiobus sp.	21	1.0	46	1.53	67	1.31
Aplodinotus grunniens	12	0.57	10	0.33	22	0.43
Dorosoma cepedianum	2	0.10	16	0.53	18	0.35
Notropis atherinoides	2	0.10	0	_	2	0.04
Hybognathus nuchalis	1	0.05	0	_	1	0.02
Ictalurus punctatus	1	0.05	11	0.37	12	0.24
Morone chrysops	0	_	1	0.03	1	0.02
Lepomis macrochirus	0	_	1	0.03	1	0.02
Lepisosteus osseus	0		1	0.03	1	0.02
Totals	39	1.86	86	2.87	125	2.45

TABLE 5. Fish taken by impingement sampling before and during deicing, Jan. 23-25,1976, at IPALCO plant at Petersburg, Indiana.

face. The impingement sampling seines were in place for 21 hours January 23-24 before deicing occurred. A total of 39 fish was taken, or 1.86 per hour. The deicers were then turned on and impingement sampling occurred for 30 additional hours and 86 fish were taken, or 2.87 per hour. This difference was not great, but was significant ($X^2 = 5.16$, 1 df).

It is unfortunate that more sampling periods were not possible in 1976 when deicers were operating. Additional data are needed, but it does appear that the deicer may attract some fish that otherwise would not have been impinged.

Literature Cited

- 1. PROFFITT, M. A. 1969. Effects of heated discharge upon aquatic resources of the White River at Petersburg, Indiana. Water Resources Research Center. Report of Investigations No. 3. 101 p.
- PROFFITT, M. A., and R.S. BENDA. 1971. Growth and movements of fishes, and distribution of invertebrates, related to a heated discharge into the White River at Petersburg, Indiana. Water Resources Research Center. Report of Investigations No. 5. 94 p.
- 3. WHITAKER, J. O., Jr., and R. A. SCHLUETER. 1973. Effects of heated discharge on fish and invertebrates of White River at Petersburg, Indiana. Water Resources Research Center. Report of Investigations No. 6. 123 p.
- 4. WHITAKER, J. O., JR., R. A. SCHLUETER, and G. L. TIEBEN. 1977. Effects of heated water on fish and invertebrates of White River at Petersburg, Indiana. Report of Investigations No. 8. 198 p.