### REGULAR MEETING.

Council Chamber, City of Indianapolis, Ind.

Monday, April 6, 1908.

The Common Council of the City of Indianapolis met in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, April 6, 1908, at 7:30 o'clock, in regular session, President William J. Neukom in the chair.

Present: The Hon. William J. Neukom, President of the Common Council, and 19 members, viz: Messrs. Brown, Cottey, Hamlet, Wood, Davis, Smither, Rhodes, Bangs, Uhl, Stickelman, Hartmann, Portteus, Royse, Donavon, Sullivan, Hofmann, Hilkene, Wright and Henry.

Absent, 1, viz.: Mr. Eppert.

Mr. Hartmann moved that the reading of the Journal be dispensed with. Carried.

#### COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT, CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS. INDIANAPOLIS, IND., March 23, 1908.

To the President and Members of the Common Council:

Gentlemen: I return herewith with my approval the following ordinances:

Appropriation Ordinance No. 10, 1908, being "An ordinance providing

for the appropriation of \$8,000, to and for the use of the Department of

Public Works, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect."
General Ordinance No. 21, 1908, being "An ordinance supplemental to General Ordinance No. 116, 1907, entitled, 'An ordinance for the transfer of certain public funds heretofore appropriated to the Department of Public Works,' approved January 6, 1908, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect."

Special Ordinance No. 3, 1908, being "An ordinance providing a name for the alley running north from E. Tenth street to Sixteenth street, be-

tween Park avenue and Broadway."

I have the honor to remain,

Yours very truly, C. A. BOOKWALTER, Mayor.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT, CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS. INDIANAPOLIS, IND., March 23, 1908.

To the President and Members of the Common Council:

Gentlemen: I return herewith without my approval General Ordinance No. 24, skating rinks."

I return herewith without my approval General Ordinance regulating roller

The intent of this ordinance as shown by its title and context, is to provide a law for the further protection of boys and girls against the possible evil of bad associations, and in such respect is one which appeals most strongly to me. However, the age limits fixed in this ordinance are not right. I am just as deeply interested in the welfare of the girls and boys in Indianapolis as any other citizen, and always have been and always shall be ready in every way within my power as an official, to provide safe-guards against immoral associations, but in my opinion this ordinance interferes in an unnecessarily harsh degree, in so far as the age limits are concerned, with an amusement, which of itself, is of an innocent character. Skating is not immoral, and skating rinks in Indianapolis are well conducted. They are not to be compared with dance halls. In no skating rink in this city are intoxicating liquors sold, and as I am informed, all of them are closed before 11 p. m.

The State of Indiana, by an Act of the General Assembly, approved March 4, 1905, has provided a safe-guard against the dance hall evil. Under the terms of this act it is made illegal for any proprietor of any dance hall constructed the terms of this act.

dance hall, concert hall or place of entertainment where wines or spirituous or malt liquors are sold or given away, or with which any place for the sale of wines or spirituous or malt liquors is directly connected, who shall allow any male person under the age of 16 years, or any female person under the age of 17 years, to frequent or visit such dance hall. In my opinion the age limit fixed in this act should not be raised in any ordinance affecting a harmless amusement such as skating, especially in view of the fact that none of these rinks are even remotely connected with the liquor traffic.

Discussing further this question of the age limit as fixed in this ordinance, permit me to say that in my opinion any attempt that is made to deny to young men under 21 years of age the opportunity to indulge in harmless amusement, will only result in forcing them to look for recreation in other channels which are harmful. There are in Indianapolis fully five thousand young men over the age of 16 and under 21, who are self-supporting. Can it be said that a law should be enacted denying these young men the right to visit places of harmless amusement after

6 o'clock, when the fact that they are self-supporting, and hence are compelled to work during the day precludes their indulgence in this harmless amusement before 6 o'clock. There is an old adage that "All work and no play makes Jack a dull boy," and from my own standpoint, I prefer that the boys of Indianapolis shall be permitted to visit places of amusement of this character rather than for them to frequent other more questionable places of amusement or to be found lounging about corner groceries or drug stores.

Since this ordinance has reached me, I have been approached by many good citizens who protest against the provision that no boy and girl shall attend these places of amusement unless accompanied by his or her parent. I find that it is the custom in many neighborhoods for parties of young people to be made up, and then, properly chaperoned by the father or mother of some one girl or boy, go to some one of these skating rinks. Under the provision of this ordinance this would not be possible. Each boy and girl in parties of this kind would be denied admission to the rink when not accompanied by his or her parent. The fact that the parents had been willing to place their children under the guardianship of their neighbor, would not meet with the requirements of this law. I do not believe this to be a reasonable provision. The age limit for girls and boys should not exceed that fixed in the state law governing dance halls. I am of the same opinion in regard to this question as I was relative to the question of chaperons in public parks. I then expressed the belief, and I reiterate it now, that one ounce of moral training in a Christian home at a mother's knee is worth a ton of legislation of this kind. I have the honor to remain,

Yours very truly, C. A. Bookwalter, MaMayor.

#### REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

From City Controller:

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE, OFFICE OF CITY CONTROLLER. Indianapolis, Ind., April 6, 1908.

To the President and Members of the Common Council:

GENTLEMEN: The superintendent of the City Hospital, with the approval of the Board of Health, has requested me to recommend an ordinance fixing the monthly salary of the chief clerk and book-keeper at \$75.00 per month, the chef at \$75.00 per month, the head janitor at \$40.00

per month, the cher at \$75.00 per month, the head jainter at \$40.00 per month, the laundry women at \$15.00 per month, the laundry man at \$50.00 per month and laundry fore-woman at \$20.00 per month.

Believing that the City Hospital authorities should be given competent help, and believing that these salaries are reasonable, I herewith submit an ordinance amendatory to the present salary ordinance providing for the compensation above cited, and recommend its passage.

Respectfully submitted,

GEO. T. BREUNIG, City Controller.

## From City Controller:

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE, OFFICE OF CITY CONTROLLER. INDIANAPOLIS, IND., April 6, 1908.

To the President and Members of the Common Council:

Gentlemen: I herewith present a communication from the chairman of the May 30th Memorial Committee, requesting me to recommend the appropriation of the sum of \$200.00, to defray the expenses of the decoration of soldiers' graves. It gives me pleasure to recommend the passage of the accompanying ordinance providing for the appropriation requested above.

Respectfully submitted,

Geo. T. Breunig, City Controller.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., March 18, 1908.

Honorable Geo. T. Breunig, City Controller:

Dear Sir: As chairman of the committee appointed by the Memorial Committee to prepare for the decoration of soldiers' graves on May 30, 1908, I am authorized to request you to recommend to the City Council an appropriation of two hundred dollars or so much thereof as may be necessary to pay the expenses of the proper observance of said day. Messrs. Neukom and Smither, members of the City Council, were requested by our committee to act with me on said committee.

I have the honor to be;

Very respectfully yours,

IRVIN ROBBINS.

## From City Controller:

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE, OFFICE OF CITY CONTROLLER. INDIANAPOLIS, IND., April 6, 1908.

To the President and Members of the Common Council:

Gentlemen: I herewith submit a communication from the Department of Public Works submitting a letter from the Department of Public Health and Charities, in which said communication I am requested to recommend the appropriation of the proceeds of the bond sale as is provided in General Ordinance No. 27, 1908; which said ordinance provides for the issue of \$60,000.000 of bonds for the erection and equipment of a detention hospital.

I herewith recommend the appropriation as is contemplated in Section

7 of said ordinance.

Respectfully submitted,

GEO. T. BREUNIG, City Controller. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, OFFICE OF THE BOARD. INDIANAPOLIS, IND., April 6, 1908.

George T. Breunig, City Controller, City:

DEAR SIR: In accordance with the attached communication from the Board of Public Health and Charities, we hereby request that you recommend to the Common Council the passage of an ordinance appropriating the sum of \$60,000 to erect and equip a contagious disease hospital.

Yours respectfully,

JOSEPH T. ELLIOTT, F. J. MACK, Board of Public Works.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND CHARITIES. IND., April 3, 1908.

Hon. Board of Public Works, City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: It is hardly necessary for us to set forth the need of a contagious disease hospital in the City of Indianapolis, for we believe that you are fully acquainted with the situation. However, we might say that the City of Indianapolis has never had a contagious disease hospital.

For many years it has been the practice to rent a cottage in the vicinity of the City Hospital. For a long time, we had one on Redmond street, close to the City Hospital, for which we paid \$10.00 a month. In this we cared for measles, scarlet fever and diphtheria. It is unnecessary to state that this was anything but a hospital.

During the time of the small-pox epidemic in 1902 and 1903, the city bought the property adjoining the City Hospital on Maxwell street for the purpose of erecting an isolation hospital for smallpox. On the ground which we bought, there was a small cottage of five rooms, in which were kept smallpox patients, and after the epidemic had subsided, we used this building for the care of contagious and infectious diseases.

It is little short of inhuman to treat patients in this place. It is totally unfit for hospital purposes of any kind. There is no possibility of isolation of the different contagious diseases and we have had cases of scarlet fever, diphtheria and erysipelas in this building at the same time. However, it was the best we could do under the circumstances.

We believe the time has come for the City of Indianapolis to erect and maintain a modern contagious disease hospital.

A letter sent by the Mayor to the City Council, a short time ago, recommended to them a bond issue of \$60,000 to erect and equip a contagious disease hospital.

We, therefore, ask you to direct a letter to the City Controller, asking him for an appropriation of this amount for this purpose.

Respectfully yours,

EUGENE BUEHLER, Secretary, Board of Health.

By direction of Board of Public Health and Charities.

### From Board of Public Works:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
OFFICE OF THE BOARD.
INDIANAPOLIS, IND., April 6, 1908.

To the President and Members of the Common Council:

Gentlemen: I am directed by the Board of Public Works to forward to you, for your consideration and action thereon, the enclosed ordinance authorizing and empowering the Board of Public Works to proceed with the following described improvements:

Improvement Resolution No. 5474 for the improvement of the first alley east of Park avenue, from Seventeenth to Nineteenth streets, with

brick roadway;

Improvement Resolution No. 5459 for the improvement of Davidson street, from Washington to Michigan streets, with brick roadway and curbing.

Respectfully\_yours,

BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS. F. J. Noll, Jr., Clerk.

#### REPORTS FROM STANDING COMMITTEES.

#### From the Committee on Finance:

Indianapolis, Ind., April 6, 1908.

To the President and Members of the Common Council:

GENTLEMEN: Your Finance Committee, to which was referred Appropriation Ordinance No. 14, 1908, entitled "An ordinance supplemental to appropriation ordinance entitled, 'An ordinance appropriating the sum of \$2,514.93 to and for the use of the Department of Public Works, fixing a time when the same shall take effect,' approved March 3, 1908," begs leave to report that it has had the same under consideration and recommends that the same do pass.

Respectfully submitted,

HARRY E. ROYSE.
BENJ. A. BROWN.
W. A. RHODES.
H. C. SMITHER.
W. O. BANGS.
FAY WRIGHT.
JOHN L. DONAVON.

Mr. Royse moved that the report of the committee be concurred in. Carried.

### From the Committee on Finance:

Indianapolis, Ind., April 6, 1908.

To the President and Members of the Common Council:

Gentlemen: Your Finance Committee, to which was referred Appropriation Ordinance No. 11, 1908, entitled, "An ordinance appropriating the sum of \$145.81 to and for the use of the Department of Finance and fixing a time when the same shall take effect," begs leave to report that we have had the same under consideration and recommend that the same do pass.

Respectfully submitted,

HARRY E. ROYSE, BENJ. A. BROWN. W. A. RHODES, H. C. SMITHER, W. O. BANGS, FAY WRIGHT, JOHN L. DONAVON.

Mr. Royse moved that the report of the committee be concurred in. Carried.

### From the Committee on Ordinances:

Indianapolis, Ind., April 6, 1908.

To the President and Members of the Common Council:

Gentlemen: We, your Committee on Ordinances, to whom was referred Special Ordinance No.5, 1908, beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration and would recommend that the same do pass.

Respectfully submitted,

E. J. STICKELMAN.
OTTO HOFMANN.
JAS. F. SULLIVAN.

Mr. Stickelman moved that the report of the committee be concurred in. Carried.

Mr. Cottey called for the reading of the report of the Committee on Public Safety and Comfort on General Ordinance No. 10, 1908, which was read and printed in the Journal of March 2, 1908. The report of the committee was then read.

Mr. Cottey moved that the report of the committee be concurred in. Carried.

### From the Committee on Railroads:

To the President and Members of the Common Council:

Gentlemen: Your committee to whom was referred General Ordinance No. 26, being an ordinance granting The Marion County Construction Company the right to lay and maintain a sidetrack or switch, beg leave to report that they have had the same under consideration, and recommend that said ordinance do pass.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN F. WOOD. W. O. BANGS. BENJ. A. BROWN. JACOB H. HILKENE.

Mr. Wood moved that the report of the committee be concurred in. Carried.

#### INTRODUCTION OF APPROPRIATION ORDINANCES.

## By City Controller:

Appropriation Ordinance No. 15—1908: An ordinance appropriating the sum of \$200.00 to and for the use of the Department of Finance, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

Section I. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, That the sum of two hundred (\$200.00) dollars be, and the same is hereby, appropriated to and for the use of the Department of Finance to be used, or so much thereof as may be necessary, in the payment of expenses incidental to the observance of Memorial Day on May 30th, 1908.

SEC. 2. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after

its passage.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Finance.

#### INTRODUCTION OF GENERAL AND SPECIAL ORDINANCES.

# By Board of Public Works:

General Ordinance No. 28—1908: An ordinance authorizing and empowering the Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, to improve the first alley east of Park avenue from Seventeenth street to Nineteenth street, with brick roadway.

Whereas, The Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, did on the 28th day of February, 1908, adopt Improvement Resolution No. 5474, 1908, for the improvement of the first alley east of Park avenue from the north property line of Seventeenth street to the south property line of Nineteenth street, with brick roadway, and Whereas, The said Board of Public Works did at the same time fix the 16th day of March, 1908, at 10 o'clock a. m. as a date to hear all persons interested or whose property is affected by said proposed improvement; and the notice of the passage of said resolution and of the said

ment; and the notice of the passage of said resolution and of the said time for hearing was published on the 29th day of February, 1908, and the 7th day of March, 1908, in the Indianapolis Sun, a daily newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the City of Indianapolis, and notices by mail duly forwarded as provided by law; and

Whereas, On the 16th day of March, 1908, the Board having met in regular session, took final action on said Improvement Resolution with-

out modification; and
Whereas, On the 24th day of March, 1908, a written remonstrance was filed with the Board against the said improvement of first alley east of Park avenue, and the same was referred to the City Civil Engineer for

Whereas, On the 3d day of April, 1908, the City Civil Engineer filed his written report, stating that a majority of the resident property owners

had signed said remonstrance; and

Whereas, On the 3d day of April, 1908, the said Board of Public Works directed that said resolution be referred to the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, with a request that said Council pass an ordinance authorizing and empowering the said Board of Public Works to make said improvement in accordance with said resolution; now, therefore

SECTION I. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, that the Board of Public Works of said city be, and the same is, hereby authorized and empowered to improve the first alley east of Park avenue from the north property line of Seventeenth street to the south property line of Nineteenth street, with brick roadway, in accordance with Improvement Resolution No. 5474, 1908, adopted by the Board of Public Works on the 28th day of February, 1908.

SEC. 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after

its passage.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Public Safety and Comfort.

# By Board of Public Works:

General Ordinance No. 29-1908: An ordinance authorizing and empowering the Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, to improve Davidson street from the north property line of Washington street to the south property line of St. Clair street, with brick roadway and stone curbing.

Whereas, The Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, did on the 17th day of February, 1908, adopt Improvement Resolution No. 5459, 1908, for the improvement of Davidson street from the north property line of Washington street to the south property line of St. Clair street, with brick roadway and stone curbing; and Whereas, The said Board of Public Works did at the same time fix

the 4th day of March, 1908, at 10 o'clock a. m. as a date to hear all persons interested or whose property is affected by said proposed improvement, and caused legal notice of said day of hearing to be given to all property owners affected; and

Whereas, A petition of a majority of the resident property owners of said street was filed in the office of the Board of Public Works, petitioning for a modification of said resolution so as to provide for bitulithic

roadway; and

Whereas, On the 9th day of March, 1908, said hearing having been continued to this date, the Board of Public Works met in regular session, and after hearing all persons interested who appeared, and being of the opinion that said street should be improved with brick on account of the nature of the traffic thereon, overruled said petition for modification, and confirmed said resolution providing for brick roadway; and Whereas, On the 6th day of April, 1908, the said Board of Public

Whereas, On the 6th day of April, 1908, the said Board of Public Works directed that the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, be requested to pass an ordinance approving their action, and ordering said Davidson street improved with brick roadway and curbing

in accordance with said resolution; now, therefore

Section I. Bt it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, that said action of the Board of Public Works be and is hereby approved, and the improvement of Davidson street from the north property line of Washington street to the south property line of St. Clair street, with brick roadway and curbing be and is hereby ordered in accordance with Improvement Resolution No. 5459, 1908, adopted by the Board of Public Works on the 17th day of February, 1908, and confirmed on the 9th day of March, 1908.

SEC. 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after

its passage.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Public Property and Improvement.

# By City Controller:

General Ordinance No. 30—1908: An ordinance to amend General Ordinance No. 106, 1907, entitled "An ordinance amending Clause b and Clause c in Section 7 of an ordinance entitled 'An ordinance concerning the compensation of all officers, heads of departments, clerks, assistants, and employes of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, and repealing all ordinances in conflict herewith, approved May 16, 1907,' approved February 5, 1908."

Section I. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, That Clause b and Clause c in Section 7 of General Ordinance No. 106, 1907, entitled "An ordinance amending Clause b and Clause c in Section 7 of an ordinance entitled 'An ordinance concerning the compensation of all officers, heads of departments, clerks, assistants, and employes of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, and repealing all ordinances in conflict herewith, approved May 16, 1907, approved February 5, 1908," be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 7, Clause b. For the City Hospital, Detention Hospital, and

Flower Mission Hospital:
The superintendent of the City Hospital, Detention Hospital, and

Flower Mission Hospital, shall receive a salary at the rate of twenty-five

hundred (\$2,500.00) per annum.

The internes shall each receive a salary at the rate of twelve dollars

and fifty cents (\$12.50) per month.

The chief clerk and book-keeper shall receive a salary at the rate of seventy-five dollars (\$75.00) per month.

The assistant clerk shall receive a salary at the rate of forty dollars

(\$40.00) per month.

The night clerk shall receive a salary at the rate of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) per month. The matron shall receive a salary at the rate of forty dollars (\$40.00)

per month. The chief engineer shall receive a salary at the rate of eighty-two dol-

lars and fifty cents (\$82.50) per month.

The assistant engineer shall receive a salary at the rate of fifty dollars (\$50.00) per month.

The night engineer shall receive a salary at the rate of sixty dollars (\$60.00) per month.

The firemen shall each receive a salary at the rate of thirty-five dol-

lars (\$35.00) per month.

The druggist shall receive a salary at the rate of thirty dollars (\$30.00) per month.

The day orderly shall receive a salary at the rate of forty dollars (\$40.00) per month.

The night orderlies shall each receive a salary at the rate of thirty

dollars (\$30.00) per month. The head janitor shall receive a salary at the rate of forty dollars

(\$40.00) per month. The assistant janitors shall each receive a salary at the rate of twenty-

five dollars (\$25.00) per month.

The ambulance drivers shall each receive a salary at the rate of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) per month.

The laundry man shall receive a salary at the rate of fifty dollars (\$50.00) per month.

The laundry fore-woman shall receive a salary at the rate of twenty

dollars (\$20.00) per month. The laundry women shall each receive a salary at the rate of fifteen

dollars (\$15.00) per month.

The steward or store-keeper shall receive a salary not exceeding seventy-five dollars (\$75.00) per month.

The chef shall receive a salary at the rate of seventy-five dollars (\$75.00) per month.

The assistant chef shall receive a salary at the rate of forty dollars (\$40.00) per month.

The baker shall receive a salary at the rate of fifty dollars (\$50.00) per month.

The superintendent's cook shall receive a salary at the rate of twenty dollars (\$20.00) per month.

The dish washers shall each receive a salary at the rate of twelve dollars (\$12.00) per month.

The maids shall each receive a salary at the rate of fourteen dollars (\$14.00) per month.

The ward maids shall each receive a salary at the rate of fourteen dollars (\$14.00) per month.

The carpenter and painter shall receive a salary at the rate of sixty dollars (\$60.00) per month.

The seamstress' shall each receive a salary at the rate of twelve dollars (\$12.00) per month.

The elevator man shall receive a salary at the rate of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) per month.

The custodian of the Detention Hospital shall receive a salary at the

rate of thirty dollars (\$30.00) per month.

The custodian of the annex shall receive a salary at the rate of ten dollars (\$10.00) per month.

The custodian of the Flower Mission Hospital shall receive a salary

at the rate of twelve dollars (\$12.00) per month.

The pupil nurses of the Flower Mission Hospital shall each receive a

salary at the rate of five dollars (\$5.00) per month. The cook at the Flower Mission Hospital shall receive a salary at the

rate of twenty dollars (\$20.00) per month.

Clause c. For the Training School for Nurses:

The superintendent shall receive a salary at the rate of seventy-five dollars (\$75.00) per month.

The directress of the surgical department shall receive a salary at the

rate of seventy dollars (\$70.00) per month.

The graduate nurses shall each receive a graduating fee of fifty dollars (\$50.00).

The pupil nurses shall each receive a salary at the rate of five dollars (\$5.00) per month.

The janitor shall receive a salary at the rate of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) per month.

The maids shall each receive, a salary at the rate of fourteen dollars (\$14.00) per month.

SEC. 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with the

provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed. SEC. 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Fees and Salaries.

# By Mr. Rhodes:

General Ordinance No. 31—1908: An ordinance regulating roller skating rinks.

Section I. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, That it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, maintaining or operating or carrying on any skating rink for roller skating within the City of Indianapolis, to permit or allow any young man under seventeen (17) years of age, or any young women under sixteen (16) years of age, to use said skating rink, or attend or be present in such skating rink, on any day or evening after the hour of six (6) o'clock p. m., unless accompanied by a parent.

SEC. 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall, for each offense, be fined in any sum not ex-

ceeding twenty-five (\$25.00) dollars.

SEC. 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and publication once each week for two consecutive weeks in the Indianapolis Sun, a newspaper of general circulation, published in the City of Indianapolis.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Fees and Salaries.

#### MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS.

By Mr. Royse:

Indianapolis, April 6, 1908.

Resolution No. 3—1908:

WHEREAS, There is pending before this body an ordinance to appropriate the sum of \$45,000.00 to and for the use of the Board of Park Commissioners for buying of ground for boulevard and park purposes and for missioners for buying of ground for boulevard and park purposes and for the further purpose of immediately giving work to the unemployed, and there being some division of opinion among the members of this body and among the taxpayers as to advisability of appropriating the above sum at this time, in view of the fact that the proposed system of boulevards has not yet been outlined; and,

Whereas, On account of the business depression existing in this city among some of the large business establishments, thus throwing a great many workmen out of employment and many workmen out of employment and many workmen.

throwing a great many workmen out of employment, and in order that the City of Indianapolis may do its part towards the alleviation

of such suffering as there may be, be it

Resolved, That this body recommend to the Mayor and the Board of Public Works that they immediately employ as many men as possible to proceed with the usual spring cleaning of streets and alleys, especially those that are unimproved. This work can be done without any delay, as money is already appropriated for this purpose.

Which was read and referred to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. Cottey:

Indianapolis, Ind., April 6, 1908.

To the Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: I inclose the action of the Indianapolis Ministers' Association of this date as directed by vote of the same. Yours very truly,

SECRETARY OF THE ASSOCIATION.

Inasmuch as there are at present several hundred destitute and un-employed foreigners in Indianapolis who are being fed by charitable citizens; and

Inasmuch as these men are all able bodied and willing to work, be it therefore,

Resolved. That we request the city authorities to do all possible to provide employment for these destitute men.

By Mr. Donavon:

Indianapolis, Ind., 1908.

To the President and Members of the Common Council:

We, the undersigned property owners do most earnestly and sincerely protest against the passage by your honorable body of General Ordinance No. 10, 1908, in its amended form.

We have not at any time given our assent to this ordinance in its

amended form, either by person or through an attorney. We are unalterably opposed to the location of the Prest-O-Light plant either as a manufacturing plant, or as a store-house at the proposed location, on East South street, and as property owners and tax payers, we feel it is not fair, and do not believe that you will, if the facts are correctly presented to your honorable body.

We, therefore, ask for the passage of the ordinance in its original form. Mrs. Thomas G. Hedian, 427-431 S. Delaware and 456-458 Harmon

Mrs. Joseph L. Gavin, 433-35 S. Delaware street. Georgianna R. Ellis, 446-8 S. Alabama street, 461-63 S. Harmon street.

## By Mr. Hamlet:

Indianapolis, April 6, 1908.

Mr. President: I move that the President of the Common Council be authorized to appoint a committee of seven members of which the president shall be included, to investigate and prepare an ordinance amending an ordinance regulating the running at large of dogs in the City of Indianapolis.

Respectfully,

J. H. HAMLET.

Mr. Royse moved to lay the motion of Mr. Hamlet on the table. Carried.

#### ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING.

Mr. Royse called for Appropriation Ordinance No. 11, 1908, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Mr. Royse moved that Appropriation Ordinance No. 11, 1908, be ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage. Carried.

Appropriation Ordinance No. 11, 1908, was read a third time and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 20, viz.: Messrs. Brown, Cottey, Hamlet, Wood, Davis, Smither, Rhodes, Bangs, Uhl, Stickelman, Hartmann, Portteus, Royse, Donavon, Sullivan, Hofmann, Hilkene, Wright, Henry and President William J. Neukom.

Noes, none.

Mr. Royse called for Appropriation Ordinance No. 14, 1908, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Mr Royse moved that Appropriation Ordinance No. 14, 1908, be ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage. Carried.

Appropriation Ordinance No. 14, 1908, was read a third time and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 20, viz.: Messrs. Brown, Cottey, Hamlet, Wood, Davis, Smither, Rhodes, Bangs, Uhl, Stickelman, Hartmann, Portteus, Royse, Donavon, Sullivan, Hofmann, Hilkene, Wright, Henry and President William J. Neukom.

Noes, none.

Mr. Stickelman called for Special Ordinance No. 5, 1908, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Mr. Stickelman moved that Special Ordinance No. 5, 1908, be ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage. Carried.

Special Ordinance No. 5, 1908, was read a third time and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 19, viz.: Messrs. Brown, Cottey, Hamlet, Wood, Davis, Smither, Rhodes, Bangs, Stickelman, Hartmann, Portteus, Royse, Donavon, Sullivan, Hofmann, Hilkene, Wright, Henry and President William J. Neukom.

Noes, I, viz.: Mr. Uhl.

Mr. Cottey called for General Ordinance No 10, 1908, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Mr. Cottey moved that General Ordinance No. 10, 1908, be amended as recommended by the committee.

Mr. Davis called for the "ayes" and "noes."

The roll was called and the motion of Mr. Cottey was carried by the following vote:

Ayes, 13, viz.: Messrs. Brown, Cottey, Hamlet, Wood, Smither, Uhl, Stickelman, Hartmann, Sullivan, Hofmann, Hilkene, Henry, and President William J. Neukom.

Noes, 7, viz.: Messrs. Davis, Rhodes, Bangs, Portteus, Royse, Donavon and Wright.

Mr. Cottey moved that General Ordinance No. 10, 1908, be ordered engrossed as amended, read a third time and placed upon its passage.

Mr. Royse moved to lay the motion of Mr. Cottey on the table.

Mr. Uhl called for the "ayes" and "noes."

The roll was called and the motion to lay on the table was lost by the following vote:

Ayes, 7, viz.: Messrs. Davis, Rhodes, Bangs, Portteus, Royse, Donavon and Wright.

Noes, 13, viz.: Messrs. Brown, Cottey, Hamlet, Wood, Smither, Uhl, Stickelman, Hartmann, Sullivan, Hofmann, Hilkene, Henry and President William J. Neukom.

The motion of Mr. Cottey for engrossment was then put and carried by the following vote:

Ayes, 13, viz.: Messrs. Brown, Cottey, Hamlet, Wood, Smither, Uhl, Stickelman, Hartmann, Sullivan, Hofmann, Hilkene, Henry and President William J. Neukom.

Noes, 7, viz.: Messrs. Davis, Rhodes, Bangs, Portteus, Royse, Donavon and Wright.

Mr Davis moved to adjourn.

Mr. Uhl called for the "ayes" and "noes."

The roll was called and the motion to adjourn was lost by the following vote:

Ayes, 6, viz.: Messrs. Davis, Rhodes, Bangs, Portteus, Royse and Wright.

Noes, 14, viz.: Messrs. Brown, Cottey, Hamlet, Wood, Smither, Uhl, Stickelman, Hartmann, Donavon, Sullivan, Hofmann, Hilkene, Henry and President William J. Neukom.

General Ordinance No. 10, 1908, was read a third time and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 13, viz.: Messrs. Brown, Cottey, Hamlet, Wood, Smither, Uhl, Stickelman, Hartmann, Sullivan, Hofmann, Hilkene, Henry, and President William J. Neukom.

Noes, 7, viz.: Messrs. Davis, Rhodes, Bangs, Portteus, Royse, Donavon and Wright.

Mr. Wood called for General Ordinance No. 26, 1908, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Mr. Wood moved that General Ordinance No. 26, 1908, be ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage. Carried.

General Ordinance No. 26, 1908, was read a third time and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 20, viz.: Messrs. Brown, Cottey, Hamlet, Wood, Davis, Smither, Rhodes, Bangs, Uhl, Stickelman, Hartmann, Portteus, Royse, Donavon, Sullivan, Hofmann, Hilkene, Wright, Henry and President William J. Neukom.

Noes, none.

On motion of Mr. Davis, the Common Council at 9:05 o'clock P. M, adjourned.

President.

ATTEST:

City Clerk.

TRADES (MICH GOUNCIL) 12