# REGULAR MEETING

# Monday, December 19, 1927

The Common Council of the City of Indianapolis met in regular session in the Council Chamber at 7:30 p. m., Monday, December 19th, 1927, President Claude E. Negley in the chair.

The Clerk called the roll.

Present: Hon. Claude E. Negley, President, and seven members, viz: Otis E. Bartholomew, Walter R. Dorsett, Millard W. Ferguson, Boynton J. Moore, Edw. Raub, Robert E. Springsteen, Dr. A. H. Todd.

Absent: O. Ray Albertson.

On motion of Mr. Bartholomew, seconded by Mr. Raub, the reading of the minutes of the previous meeting was dispensed with.

# COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR

December 7, 1927.

To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

Gentlemen—I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to William A. Boyce, Jr., City Clerk, General Ordinance No. 110, 1927.

AN ORDINANCE, transferring and reappropriating the sum of Seven Hundred (\$700.00) Dollars from Municipal Garage Fund No. 12-1 to the Assessment Bureau Fund No. 12-1 in the Department of Public Works, and declaring a time when the same shall take effect.

I have, also, this day approved with my signature and delivered

to William A. Boyce, Jr., City Clerk, General Ordinance No. 113, 1927.

AN ORDINANCE, transferring the sum of four thousand, nine hundred and thirty-five dollars and sixty-five cents (\$4,935.65) from the Board of Public Works Street Repair Fund No. 12-2 and reappropriate the same to the Board of Public Works City Civil Engineer Inspectors Fund No. 11-3.

Very truly yours,

L. ERT. SLACK, Mayor. December 7, 1927.

To the Honorable President and Member, of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

Gentlemen—I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to William A. Boyce, Jr., City Clerk, General Ordinance No. 106, 1927.

AN ORDINANCE, transferring the sum of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars out of fund No. 24 "Printing and Advertising," Electrical Department, Board of Public Safety and transferring the sum of Nine Hundred Fifty (\$950.00) Dollars out of fund No. 38 "General Supply" Electrical Department, Board of Public Safety, declaring an emergency and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

Also, General Ordinance No. 107, 1927.

AN ORDINANCE, transferring the sum of Ten Thousand Five Hundred (\$10,500.00) Dollars to the Board of Public Works Street Commissioner's Account No. 12-7 "Street Cleaning," declaring an emergency and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

Also, General Ordinance No. 108, 1927.

AN ORDINANCE, transferring the sum of Twenty (\$20.00) Dollars from the Department of Public Safety, Building Department, Item No. 24, "Printing and Advertising" and reappropriating the same to the Department of Public Safety, Building Department, Item No. 21 "Communication and Transportation;" transferring the sum of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars from the Department of Public Safety, Building Department, Item No. 24 "Printing and Advertising" and reappropriating the same to the Department of Public Safety, Building Department, Item No. 72 "Equipment;" transferring the sum of Two Hundred Sixty (\$260.00) Dollars from the Department of Public Safety, Safety (\$260.00)

lic Safety, Building Department, Item No. 24 "Printing and Advertising" and re-appropriating the same to the Department of Public Safety, Building Department, Item No. 36 "Office Supplies;" declaring an emergency and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

Also, General Ordinance No. 111, 1927.

- AN ORDINANCE, transferring and re-appropriating the sum of Two Thousand (\$2,000.00) Dollars from the fund known as Street and Alley Materials No. 43, Two Hundred Sixty (\$260.00) Dollars from the fund known as Rent and Tax No. 54, the sum of Two Hundred Forty (\$240.00) Dollars from the fund known as Repair Parts No. 45 in the Street Commissioners Department to General Supplies No. 38 in the Street Commissioners Department in the Department of Public Works, and declaring a time when the same shall take effect. Also, General Ordinance No. 112, 1927.
- AN ORDINANCE, transferring and re-appropriating the sum of Eight Hundred Seventy-five (\$875.00) Dollars from the fund known as Unimproved Streets No. 12-2 Light Trucks, the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars from the fund known as Unimproved Streets No. 12-2 Helpers, the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars from the fund known as Heat, Light & Power No. 22, the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars from the fund known as Printing and Advertising No. 24, the sum of Two Hundred (\$200.00) Dollars from the fund known as Fuel and Ice No. 32; all under the Street Commissioners Office in the Department of Public Works to Garage and Motor Supplies Fund No. 33, and declaring a time when the same shall take effect.

Very truly yours, L. ERT. SLACK, Mayor.

December 15, 1927.

To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

### Gentlemen:

I herewith return, without my approval, General Ordinance No. 115, entitled "An Ordinance regulating taxicabs, requiring owners to furnish bonds or contracts for liability insurance, providing a penalty for the violation thereof and declaring a time when the same shall take effect."

My objection to this ordinance is that the amount of total liability to be carried, to-wit, \$15,000, is in my opinion, excessive and unreasonable. Investigation with respect to this character of legislation in other cities discloses that this amount is much larger than is required in such cities.

Another reason for disapproving this proposed ordinance, is that it permits a personal bond and provides that such bond is to be approved by the City Controller. I can not approve of the giving of a personal bond in liability of this character. It is too heavy to ask the City Controller or any officer in connection with matters of this kind where there is a great amount of liability involved to make the investigation necessary in connection with the giving of a personal bond to determine the responsibility of the sureties on such bond. No bond should be permitted in the matter of carrying such a large responsibility where it is not covered by the corporate sureties doing bonding or liability business. Personal sureties on bonds of this character may be able to qualify at the time of the execution of the bond, and thereafter become financially involved and responsibility lessened or entirely wiped out. For this reason I disapprove this feature of the ordinance permitting personal bonds be given.

Very truly yours,

L. ERT SLACK, Mayor.

December 15, 1927.

To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

### Gentlemen:

I herewith return, without my approval, General Ordinance No. 105, entitled an "Ordinance permitting the Pennsylvania Railroad Company to install fiash light signals at certain street crossings, repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith and declaring a time when the same shall take effect."

The proposition involved in this ordinance of changing the character of safety signals at the crossings mentioned in the ordinance from that of a crossing watchman to that of the horizontal flashing light signals meets with my approval, but the ordinance provides merely that the railroad company is "authorized" and "permitted" to make this change and that the present crossing watchmen at these crossing are discontinued as and when the horizontal flash light signals are installed.

My objection to this is that there is no requirement on the part of the ordinance that this change be made and no requirement that it be maintained permanently hereafter. The language of the ordinance authorizing and permitting, in my opinion, should be enlarged and amended so that crossing watchmen should continue as at present, and when the change is made as authorized and permitted, then they be required to thereafter permanently maintain the horizontal flashing signals at these various places. The present ordinances require watchmen be maintained, and these ordinances should not be repealed unless and until the new system also be made as a requirement instead of merely an authorization or a permitting of the change be made.

You will understand that I am pleased to see such changes made because it is in the interest of a higher degree of safety at these crossings, but this particular ordinance is not sufficiently safe-guarded by language which would require the maintenance of the new character of signals or warnings.

Very truly yours,

L. ERT. SLACK,

Mayor.

December 19, 1927.

To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

### Gentlemen:

The office of the City Controller gives me the information that there are certain important transfer ordinances that should be passed by the Common Council prior to the end of 1927 and I am, therefore, respectfully suggesting and requesting the Council to call, pursuant to law, a special meeting of the Common Council to be held on Thursday evening, December 29, 1927, at the usual meeting hour, for the purpose of considering and passing these transfer ordinances.

Respectfully requesting consideration of this matter, and kindly wishing you and each of the members of the Council a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year, I am

Very truly yours,

L. ERT. SLACK, Mayor.

### COMMUNICATIONS FROM CITY OFFICIALS

December 15, 1927.

To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

# Gentlemen:

Attached hereto are thirteen copies of an Ordinance to amend General Ordinance No. 9, 1925, known as the Official Thoroughfare Plan of the City of Indianapolis, by including as a Thoroughfare, Fifty-second Street from the east corporation line to the west corporation line of the City of Indianapolis.

It is the recommendation of the City Plan Commission that your honorable body take favorable action on this ordinance.

Very truly yours,

CITY PLAN COMMISSION,
Marie Victor, Secretary.

December 19, 1927.

To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

#### Gentlemen:

We herewith present to you an ordinance for the installing of flash light signals at certain street crossings of the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, the installing of such signals having been approved by this Board.

This new ordinance has been corrected to comply with the suggestions in the Mayor's veto of General Ordinance 105, 1927.

We would appreciate your giving this ordinance your favorable and early consideration.

Yours very truly, BOARD OF PUBLIC SAFETY, By Claude C. McCoy, Secretary.

### OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

December 15, 1927.

To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

#### Gentlemen:

The undersigned, Chairman of Committees composed of delegates from many West Side organizations, wish to convey to you the expression of the unified committee in extending to you an invitation to attend the opening of the Municipal Gardens and the formal presentation of the use of the same to the West Side citizens under the direction and the supervision of the Park Board of the City of Indianapolis.

It is proposed to open the proceedings with music at 8 o'clock p. m., Thursday, December 22nd, 1927, which will take about an hour, then have short addresses by the Mayor, members of the Board of Park Commissioners and Common Council of the city, until 9 o'clock, after which the hall will be turned over to the youth for dancing.

Complete program has not been arranged and should we be able to have programs printed we will send some for your use.

> Very truly yours, L. B. CALVELAGE, Chairman, Unified Committees.

On motion of Mr. Moore, seconded by Mr. Dorsett, the Common Council recessed at 8:35.

The Common Council reconvened at 9:12 with the same members present as before.

### REPORTS FROM STANDING COMMITTEES

Indianapolis, Ind., December 19, 1927.

To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis, Indiana: Gentlemen:

We, your Committee on Public Safety, to whom was referred General Ordinance No. 116, 1927, entitled "An Ordinance amending General Ordinance 46, 1925, Elevator Safety," beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be passed.

ROBT. E. SPRINGSTEEN, Chairman.

M. W. FERGUSON

O. E. BARTHOLOMEW

A. H. TODD

Indianapolis, Ind., December 19, 1927.

To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

### Gentlemen:

We, your Committee on Board of Public Safety, to whom was referred General Ordinance No. 120, 1927, entitled "Making Harding Street a Preferential," beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be passed.

ROBT. E. SPRINGSTEEN, Chairman.

M. W. FERGUSON

A. H. TODD

O. E. BARTHOLOMEW

Indianapolis, Ind., December 19, 1927.

To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

#### Gentlemen:

We, your Committee on Public Safety, to whom was referred General Ordinance No. 102, 1927, entitled "Amending Building Code, Division Walls," beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be stricken from the files.

ROBT. E. SPRINGSTEEN, Chairman.

M. W. FERGUSON

A. H. TODD

O. E. BARTHOLOMEW

Indianapolis, Ind., December 19, 1927.

To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

### Gentlemen:

We, your Committee on Law and Judiciary, to whom was referred Resolution 24, 1927, entitled "Printing Proceedings," beg

leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be passed.

WALTER R. DORSETT O. E. BARTHOLOMEW A. H. TODD

# INTRODUCTION OF GENERAL ORDINANCES

# By City Plan Commission:

# GENERAL ORDINANCE 123, 1927

AN ORDINANCE to amend General Ordinance No. 9, 1925, known as the Official Thoroughfare Plan of the City of Indianapolis.

WHEREAS, the City Plan Commission of the City of Indianapolis has by resolution adopted the following amendment to the Thoroughfare Plan for such city indicating the location, alignment and width of thoroughfares within the limits of such city, and

WHEREAS, the Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis has by its resolution adopted said amendment to the Thoroughfare Plan,

### THEREFORE

- Be It Ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:
- Section 1. That General Ordinance No. 9, 1925, known as the Official Thoroughfare Plan of the City of Indianapolis, be amended and changed to include as a Thoroughfare that portion of FIFTY SECOND STREET from the west corporation line to the west property line of Meridian Street in the City of Indianapolis.
- Section 2. That said portion of FIFTY-SECOND STREET be of the property line width of eighty (80) feet, and a roadway width of sixty (60) feet.
- Section 3. That all copies of the Official Thoroughfare Plan maps be amended to include said portion of FIFTY-SECOND STREET.
- Section 4. That said ordinance be in full force and effect from and after its passage.

Which was read a first time and referred to a special committee consisting of Dr. Todd, chairman, and Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Moore, Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Springsteen.

By Mr. Moore:

# GENERAL ORDINANCE 124, 1927

- AN ORDINANCE, regulating taxicabs, requiring owners to furnish bonds or contracts for liability insurance, providing a penalty for the violation thereof and declaring a time when the same shall take effect.
- Be It Ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:
- Section 1. Every motor vehicle and electric vehicle, operated by a person, firm or corporation, along or upon any public street or highway within the City of Indianapolis, for the purpose of transporting persons for hire, operated only upon call by a special contract of hire and operated only from a garage, railroad depot, or regular taxicab stand and stationed at all times when not in operation at a garage, railroad depot, or regular taxicab stand, is hereby declare to be a taxicab, subject, however, to the exceptions and limitations hereinafter set forth in Section 5 of this ordinance.
- Section 2. It shall hereafter be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to operate or cause to be operated upon any public street within the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, a commercial automobile for the transportation of passengers for hire, commonly known as a taxicab, unless there shall have been filed with the City Controller of said city a corporate surety bond or a liability contract of insurance in a solvent and responsible company, authorized to do business in the State, which shall provide for the indemnification of such person, firm or corporation against loss or expense from claims, for damages, as well as the payment of any final judgment that may be rendered by a court of competent jurisdiction, against any said person, firm or corporation on account of bodily injury or death, accidentally suffered or alleged to have been suffered by any person or persons other than employees of said person, firm or corporation, by reason of the ownership maintenance or use of said taxicab as a commercial vehicle for the transportation of persons for hire in said city, in a sum not exceeding Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars for injury to or death of any one person; and subject to the same limit

for each additional person injured or killed, provided, however, that the total liability of said bond or contract of insurance for the loss on account of any one accident, resulting in bodily injuries or death to more than one person, shall be limited to and not exceed Fifteen Thousand (\$15,000.00) Dollars.

It shall be the duty of every person, firm or corporation to keep such bond or insurance in force during the full period of time for which he, they or it may be operating said taxicabs upon the streets of said City of Indianapolis, and in case said bond or contract of insurance is cancelled, or otherwise terminated, they shall immediately notify the City Controller of said City of Indianapolis, and if said bond or policy of insurance be cancelled, or otherwise terminated, such taxicabs shall not be operated in said city until a bond or policy of insurance meeting the requirements of this section shall have been filed with the City Controller.

Section 3. If the bond or contract of insurance complies with the provisions of Section 2 hereof, and the applicant has paid the license fees now required, or which may be hereafter required by said City of Indianapolis of owners of taxicabs, the City Controller of said city shall thereupon issue to said applicant therefor a certificate for each taxicab covered by said bond or contract of insurance, setting forth that the holder thereof has complied with this ordinance, which certificate shall be numbered serially and shall contain the trade name of the vehicle, the motor or serial number, type of body, state license number and date of the expiration of said bond or contract of insurance. It shall be the duty of the person, firm or corporation receiving such certificate to securely fasten same to said taxicab so that it will be visible to passengers riding therein.

Section 4. It is hereby made the duty of the Mayor to revoke any and all licenses issued to taxicabs under the provisions of city ordinances now in force, or which may be hereafter be passed, that have been issued to any person, firm or corporation, whenever he shall find that said person, firm or corporation has not complied with the provisions of this ordinance: Provided, however, that before said license shall be revoked by said Mayor he shall cause reasonable notice, of not less than three days, of the time and place of such hearing, to me mailed to said person, firm or corporation complained of.

Section 5. None of the provisions of this ordinance shall apply to commercial vehicles having a seating capacity of more than seven

passengers, commonly known as "busses" operated upon the streets or routes on which a regular service is maintained according to a regular time schedule, by virtue of and under the terms of ordinances or permits issued by the Public Service Commission of Indiana, or to busses or motor vehicles owned and operated by hotel companies or hotel proprietors between their hotels and railroad depots, for their exclusive use and benefit in conveying their guests to and from hotels.

Section 6. Any person, firm or corporation upon conviction for the violation of or on the failure to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be fined in any sum not exceeding One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars for each and every offense, and each day's operation in violation hereof shall constitute a separate offense.

Section 7. This ordinance shall be construed as being supplemental to all ordinances now in force regulating and licensing taxicabs.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be in full force and affect from and after its passage and legal publication according to law.

BOYNTON J. MOORE.

Which was read a first time and referred to the committee on Public Safety.

By Board of Safety:

### GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 125, 1927

AN ORDINANCE, requiring the Pennsylvania Railroad Company to install flash light signals at certain street crossings, repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith and declaring a time when the same shall take effect.

Section 1. BE IT ORDAINED by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana, that the PENNSYL-VANIA RAILROAD COMPANY be and is hereby required, within six (6) months after this ordinance becomes effective, to install and maintain their horizontal flashing light signals, center location at the crossing of said railroad company's track at Southeastern Ave., Oriental St., State St., Madison Ave., and Minnesota St.; side location at Leota St., Palmer St., and Caven St., in lieu of the gates now operated at these intersections. These lights to be equipped with the flashing lights and a stationary light with the word "Stop"; to be

equipped with electric bell and cross-arm sign with the words "Railroad Crossing"; said lights to be operated manually from a tower which will be equipped with annunciator operated from track circuit to inform Crossing Watchman of approach of trains.

- Section 2. BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that the Pennsylvania Railroad be required to install their horizontal flashing signals of some design at Emerson Ave., and Audubon Road, center location and side location at Ritter Ave., Downey Ave., Butler Ave., and Arlington Ave., Irvington, to be equipped manually from a tower which will be equipped with an annunciator to inform the Crossing Watchman the time the trains are approaching from either direction.
- Section 3. BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that when the horizontal flashing light signals are installed and in operating condition at the said streets above mentioned, that the said Railroad Company may move and discontinue the Crossing Watchman now maintained on the ground.
- Section 4. Upon failure of said Railroad Company to install and horizontal flashing light signals as provided herein at each of said crossings, it shall be subject to a penalty of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars a day for such failure.
- section 5. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflictherewith are hereby declared null and void.
- Section 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and approval by the Mayor.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Public Safety.

### MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS

The Clerk called the attention of the Council to the fact that the Mayor had vetoed General Ordinance 105, 1927 and General Ordinance 115, 1927, and that any further action on these ordinances would have to be taken at this meeting. No action was taken.

# ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING

Mr. Springsteen called for General Ordinance 102, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

On motion of Mr. Bartholomew, seconded by Dr. Todd, General Ordinance 102, 1927, was ordered stricken from the files. The motion was passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 8, viz: Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Mr. Springsteen called for General Ordinance 116, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

On motion of Dr. Todd, seconded by Mr. Bartholomew, General Ordinance 116, 1927, was ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage.

General Ordinance 116, 1927, was read a third time by the Clerk and passed by the following roll call vote:

Ayes, 8, viz: Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Mr. Dorsett called for Resolution 24, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

On motion of Mr. Moore, seconded by Mr. Dorsett, Resolution 24, 1927, was ordered engrossed, read a third time, and placed upon its passage.

Resolution 24, 1927, was read a third time by the Clerk, but failed to pass by the following vote:

Ayes, 3, viz: Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Moore.

Noes, 5, viz: Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Mr. Moore called for General Ordinance 120, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Mr. Raub made a motion to refer General Ordinance 120, 1927, back to the Committee, with instructions to amend the title of the ordinance so as to make it conform to the ordinance itself.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Bartholomew, and passed by unanimous vote.

Mr. Moore made a motion to suspend the rules for consideration of General Ordinance 124, 1927. The motion was seconded by Dr. Todd, and passed by the following roll call vote:

Ayes, 8, viz: Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, President Negley.

Mr. Moore called for General Ordinance 124, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Mr. Raub presented the following written amendment to General Ordinance 124, 1927:

Indianapolis, Ind., December 19, 1927.

Mr. President:

I move that General Ordinance No. 124, 1927, be amended to read as follows:

# GENERAL ORDINANCE No. 124, 1927

AN ORDINANCE, regulating taxicabs, requiring owners to furnish bonds or contracts for liability insurance, providing a penalty for the violation thereof and declaring a time when the same shall take effect.

Be It Ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

Section 1. Every motor vehicle and electric vehicle, operated by a person, firm or corporation, along or upon any public street or highway within the City of Indianapolis, for the purpose of transporting persons for hire, operated only upon call by a special contract of hire, is hereby declared to be a taxicab, subject, however, to the exceptions and limitations hereinafter set forth in Section 5 of this ordinance.

Section 2. It shall hereafter be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to operate or cause to be operated upon any public street within the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, a taxicab, as above defined, unless there shall have been filed with the City Controller of said city a corporate surety bond or a liability contract of insurance in a solvent and responsible company, authorized to do business in the State of Indiana, which shall provide for the indemnification of such person, firm or corporation against loss or expense from claims for damages, as well as the payment of any final judgment that may be rendered by a court of competent jurisdiction, against any said person, firm or corporation on account of bodily injury or death, accidentally suffered or alleged to have been suffered by any persons other than employees of said person, firm or corporation, by reason of the ownership maintenance or use of said taxicab as a commercial vehicle for the transportation of persons for hire in said city, in a sum not exceeding Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars for injury to or death of any one person; and subject to the same limit for each additional person injured or killed, provided, however, that the total liability of said bond or contract of insurance for the loss on account of any one accident, resulting in bodily injuries or death to more than one person, shall be not less than Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars.

It shall be the duty of every person, firm or corporation to keep such bond or insurance in force during the full period of time for which he, they or it may be operating said taxicabs upon the streets of said City of Indianapolis, and in case said bond or contract of insurance is cancelled, or otherwise terminated, they shall immediately notify the City Controller of said City of Indianapolis, and if said bond or policy of insurance be cancelled, or otherwise terminated, such taxicabs shall not be operated in said city until a bond or policy of insurance meeting the requirements of this section shall have been filed with the City Controller.

Section 3. If the bond or contract of insurance complies with the provisions of Section 2 hereof, and the applicant has paid the license fees now required, or which may be hereafter required by said City of Indianapolis of owners of taxicabs, the City Controller of said City shall thereupon issue to said applicant therefor a certificate for each taxicab covered by said bond or contract of insurance, setting forth that the holder thereof has complied with this ordinance, which certificate shall be numbered serially and shall contain the trade name of the vehicle, the motor or serial number, type of body, state license number and date of the expiration of said bond or contract of insurance. It shall be the duty of the person, firm or corporation receiving such certificate to securely fasten same to said taxicab so that it will be visible to passengers riding therein.

Section 4. It is hereby made the duty of the Mayor to revoke any and all licenses issued to taxicabs under the provisions of city ordinances now in force, or which may hereafter be passed, that have been issued to any person, firm or corporation, whenever he shall find that said person, firm or corporation has not complied with the provisions of this ordinance; provided, however, that before said licenses shall be revoked by the said Mayor, he shall cause to be mailed to said person, firm or corporation, ten days' written notice of his intention to revoke their license to operate taxicabs.

Section 5. None of the provisions of this Ordinance shall apply to commercial vehicles having a seating capacity of more than seven passengers, commonly known as "busses" operated upon the streets on routes on which a regular service is maintained according to a regular time schedule, by virtue of and under the terms of ordinances or permits issued by the Public Service Commission of Indiana, or to busses or motor vehicles owned and operated by hotel companies or hotel proprietors between their hotels and railroad depots, for their exclusive use and benefit in conveying their guests to and from hotels.

Section 6. Any person, firm or corporation upon conviction for the violation of or on the failure to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be fined in any sum not exceeding One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars for each and every offense, and each day's operation in violation hereof shall constitute a separate offense.

Section 7. This ordinance shall be construed as being supplemental to all ordinances now in force regulating and licensing taxicabs.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and legal publication according to law.

The motion to amend was seconded by Mr. Springsteen, and passed by the following roll-call vote:

Ayes, 8, viz: Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

On motion of Mr. Moore, General Ordinance 124, 1927, as amended, was ordered engrossed, read a third time, and placed upon its passage.

General Ordinance 124, 1927, was read a third time by the Clerk as amended and passed by the following roll call vote:

Ayes, 8, viz: Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub, Mrs. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Mr. Moore made a motion to suspend the rules for consideration of General Ordinance 125, 1927.

Captain Reed, of the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, addressed the Council regarding General Ordinance 125, 1927.

Mr. Bartholomew seconded Mr. Moore's motion to suspend the rules. The motion failed to pass by the following vote:

Ayes, 6, viz: Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Noes, 2, viz: Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen.

On motion of Mr. Bartholomew, seconded by Dr. Todd, the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis adjourned at 9:38 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, held on the 19th day of December, 1927.

In witness whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

Caude E. Negley

William U. R

(SEAL)