## Proceedings of Board of Aldermen.

## ADJOURNED SESSION—August 3, 1881.

The Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, met in the Aldermanic Chamber, on Wednesday evening, August 3d, A. D. 1881, at eight o'clock, in adjourned session.

PRESENT—His Honor, President Layman, in the Chair, and Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, and Tucker—9.

ABSENT-Alderman Wood-1.

MESSAGES AND PAPERS FROM THE COMMON COUNCIL.

The following special message was read:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—The Common Council, in regular session, held in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, July 25th, 1881, adopted the following motion:

"That J. A. Victor be granted permission to grade by his own property, under the direction of the City Civil Engineer, first alley south of Seventh street, running from railroad track west to Howard street; work to be done at the expense of gaid J. A. Victor."

For the Common Council:

Jos. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

On motion, the action of the Common Council in adopting the motion as set forth in the foregoing message, was concurred in.

The following special message was read:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen: -The Common Council, in regular session, held in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, August 1st, 1881, adhered to their former action in concurring in the recommendation that the Fire Board be authorized to purchase a new hose carriage.

For the Common Council:

Jos. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

Alderman Tucker moved that action on the above matter be indefinitely postponed.

sig. 42.

Alderman Drew moved as an amendment, that it be referred to the Committee on Fire Department.

Which amendment was adopted, and so referred.

The following special message was read:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—The Common Council, in regular session, held in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, August 1st, 1881, adhered to their former action in concurring in the recommendation of the Committee on Public Property, that William Hadley, rental agent, collect that part of the Tomlinson Estate known as No. 27, west Ohio street, now occupied by Dr. Pritchard; and that the funds be placed where they properly belong.

I submit the same for your consideration.

For the Common Council:

Jos. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

Alderman Hamilton moved that the Board of Aldermen recede from their former action.

Which motion was adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 6—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Rorison, Seibert, and President Layman.

NAYS, 3-viz. Aldermen Mussmann, Newman, and Tucker.

The action of the Common Council was then concurred in.

The following special message was read:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—The Common Council, in regular session, held in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, August 1st, 1881, adhered to their former action in concurring in so much of the ninth clause of the report of the Committee on Contracts, as directs the City Civil Engineer to make all his specifications for the old style of stone curbing, except in cases where the property owners petition for the extra finish.

I submit the same for your consideration.

For the Common Council:

Jos. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

On motion, the matter as set forth in the foregoing message, was referred to the Committee on Streets & Alleys and Sewers & Drainage.

The following special message was read:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—The Common Council, in regular session, held in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, August 1st, 1881, adhered to their former action in recommending that the Street Commissioner be instructed to place the bars one inchlower than the new lamps now being placed in the tunnel.

For the Common Council:

Jos. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

Alderman Tucker moved to refer to the Committee on Streets & Alleys and Sewers & Drainage.

Alderman Hamilton moved as an amendment, that the Board of Aldermen recede from their former action.

Which amendment was adopted.

The action of the Common Council was then concurred in.

The following message was read and received:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:-I herewith transmit to your honorable body certain papers, which were favorably passed upon by the Common Council, at its regular session, held on Monday evening, August 1st, 1881, for your action upon same.

For the Common Council:

Jos. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

The following report from His Honor, the Mayor, (see page 408, ante) was read and received:

Indianapolis, August 1st, 1881.

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—The collections for the month of July, were as follows; Policemens' witness fees...... \$220 35 Fines due the city ..... 22 25

Which amount I have this day paid to the City Treasurer, and deposited his receipt with the City Clerk.

..... \$422 15

Very respectfully, D. W. Grubbs, Mayor.

The following report from the City Clerk was read:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:-I herewith report the following entitled affidavits, now on file in my office, for the collection of street improvement by precepts, to-wit:

James Mahoney vs. Thomas C. Reading, for...... \$32 65 

 Charles S. Roney vs. William A. Bell, for...
 28 95

 Charles S. Roney vs. Lucy F. Hines, for...
 7 24

 James Mahoney vs. Arthur G. Fosdyke, for...
 12 49

 6 00 6 00 6 00 6 00 6 00 6 00

And recommend that you order the precept to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Jos. T. MAGRER, City Clerk. 1

On motion, the favorable action of the Common Council on the above report (see page 410, ante) was concurred in, and the precepts ordered to issue by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, and President Layman.

NAYS 2-viz. Aldermen Hamilton, and Tucker.

The report of the City Hospital and Branch for the month of July, 1881 (see page 413, ante) was read and received.

The report of the City Dispensary for the month of July, 1881, (see page 414, ante) was read and received.

The following report from the Board of Public Improvements (see page 424, ante) was read and received:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—We herewith report expenditures of the Street Repairs Department for the month of July, 1881, together with the total amount of expenditures to August 1st, 1881:

Pay-rolls	\$ 3,	354	49
Blacksmithing		77	55
Blacksmithing		86	29
Freight on stone		32	00
Freight on lumber		13	00
Gravel		255	25
Hardware		117	10
HardwareLumber		191	48
Sewer cart		23	00
Advertising.		150	
Sand		77	
Stone crossing		116	~ ~
Painting signs			00
Tatuting signs		10	-
Total expenditures for July, 1881	Q 4	363	94
Total expenditures per last report	Ψ 2	,649	86
Total expenditures per last report		,010	00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		

Respectfully submitted,

Edward H. Dean, Wm. H. Morrison, John R Cowie, Board of Public Improvements.

L. A. FULMER, Street Commissioner.

The following report from the Board of Public Improvements was read; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 425, ante) was concurred in:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—We submit the following for your consideration and action thereon:
We recommend that the pay of the Rodman and Axeman of the City Civil Engineer's Department be increased 25 cents per day, making \$2.00 per day for time actually employed.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward H. Dean,

Wm. H. Morrison, John R. Cowie, Board of Public Improvements. The following report from the Board of Public Improvements was read; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 425, ante) was concurred in:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

. Gentlemen:—We submit the following report for your consideration and action thereon:

We recommend that the pay of Robert McClintock, foreman of the bowlder gang, be increased 25 cents per day. Our reason for making said increase is, said foreman is paid by the day, and a large portion of the year he is not able to work, on account of the weather yet is at all times subject to the call of the Street Commissioner, thereby prevented from seeking other employment. We believe said increase is just, and due Mr. McClintock, and should be made.

. Respectfully submitted,

Edward H. Dean, Wm. H. Morrison, John R. Cowie, Board of Public Improvements.

The following petition was read, and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 425, ante) was concurred in:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:--The undersigned, contractor for grading and graveling Newman street and sidewalks, from Hill avenue to Pendleton Pike; also, said Newman street and sidewalks from Pendleton Pike to Brookside avenue, asks your honorable bodies for forty days additional time to complete the above work; and he says that he has used due diligence in the prosecution of the work, and that he was delayed in doing the same.

R. H. Patterson.

STATE OF INDIANA, Marion County, ss:

R. H. Patterson, being duly sworn, says the above statement is true.

Sworn to this 1st day of August, 1881.

[Seal.]

GEO. T. BREUNIG, Notary Public.

We have considered the above application, and recommend the request be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward H. Dean, Wm. H. Morrison, John R. Cowie, Board of Public Improvements.

The Mortality report of the Board of Health (see page 427, ante) was read and received.

The following report from the Committee on Public Health was read:

Gentlemen:—Your committee, to whom was referred the communication from the Board of Health, in reference to the "future water supply of our city," would report that we have gone over the grounds through which the proposed Gallery System adopted by the Water Company is to run, and find that instead of starting at the junction of Michigan street and the river, that they propose to start from a point north of Fall Creek, near the Belt Railroad, and extend northwest 6,000 feet. We are assured by the company's officials that they do not want the river water in any shape, but as it has been urged by some that the Gallery System might not meet an extreme emergency, it may be wise as a precautionary measure to connect with the river at some point above Fall Creek, to be used only in said cases of extreme emergency, consequent upon an extensive conflagration. Said connection

to be regulated by a system of gates or storage reservoirs. They propose to sink said gallery 20 to 30 feet below the water mark at the beginning, rising on a grade of from 3 to 4 feet to the mile, corresponding to the rise in a mile of the low water mark. From the point of commencement of the gallery to their pump works they propose to convey the water in light pipes.

We are of the opinion that the plan, if carried out as proposed, is a good one, and will supply our city and citizens with pure and wholesome water. As the company are earnest in their desire to do this work promptly, we would recommend that they be given every encouragement and assistance on the part of the city and citizens.

The company, through their manager, Mr. S. M. Dyer, desire that the work as it it progresses, be carefully examined by the city officials, that the public may feel satisfied that every precaution be taken to avoid any mistakes and prevent the contamination of the water in any way.

Respectfully submitted,

C. T. Bedford, Phil. Reichwein, Simeon Coy,

JOHN A. HENRY, City Attorney.

Alderman Hamilton moved to refer the above report to the Board of Health and Committee on Water and Public Health.

Which motion failed of adoption by the following vote:

AYES, 2-viz. Aldermen Hamilton, and Seibert.

Nays, 7-viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Tucker' and President Layman.

Alderman Seibert moved to lay the above report on the table.

Which motion to lay on the table was adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 5-viz. Aldermen Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert, and Tucker.

NAYS, 4-viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Rorison, and President Layman.

Alderman Tucker moved to reconsider the vote by which the above report was laid on the table.

Which motion was adopted, and the action of the Board of Aldermen reconsidered by the following vote:

AYES, 8—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS. 1-viz Alderman Seibert.

It was then moved that the action of the Common Council in adopting the report (see page 428, ante) be concurred in, but with the recommendation that the filtering gallery be extended so as to avoid the necessity of connecting with the river, which we think objectionable.

Which motion was adopted, and the report concurred in by the following vote:

AYES, 6-viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Newman, Rorison, Tucker, and Predent Layman.

NAYS, 3-viz. Aldermen Hamilton, Mussmann, and Seibert.

The following report from the Committee on Streets and Alleys was read; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 428, ante) was concurred in:

Indianapolis, July 25, 1881.

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen: —Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the following motion, to-wit:

"That Charles Jones be notified by the City Marshal, to cease standing his sprinkling wagon on southwest corner of Illinois and Fifth streets; and that he remove immediately his sprinkling plug on west side of Illinois street, a few feet south of Fifth street; and on his failure for five days after notice to move the same, the Street Commissioner shall remove said sprinkling plug at his expense." report thereon as follows:

We are informed that the residents of the square on which this plug is located

do not desire it, and therefore recommend that the motion be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

George Weaver,
B. W. Cole,
Simeon Coy,
Committee on Streets and Alleys.

The following motion (adopted by the Common Council—see page 441, was read:

That the Committee on Public Property be, and is hereby, ordered to place in the office of the Mayor a telephone, at the least expense possible to the city.

Alderman Hamilton moved to lay the above motion on the table.

Which failed of adoption.

The motion was then referred to the Committee on Public Light.

The following motion (adopted by the Common Council—see page 441, ante) was read, and failed of adoption:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, directed to cut the Canada thistle on College avenue, between Seventh and Eighth streets, east side.

The following resolution (adopted by the Common Council—see page 441, ante) was read:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Improvements and Street Commissioner, together with the City Civil Engineer, be, and are hereby, instructed to receive bids for the supply of water from the second vein at or near Circle Park, connecting the same with drinking fountains at the intersection of Massachusetts and Virginia avenues with Pennsylvania street, and Kentucky and Indiana avenues with Illinois street; also, at or near the center of Circle Park.

And it was concurrently adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 8—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, and President Layman.

NAYS, 1-viz. Alderman Tucker.

The following motion (adopted by the Common Council—see page 446, ante) was read, and concurrently adopted:

That the Board of Aldermen be requested to appoint a committee from their body to act with the Council committee and County Commissioners in the matter of the petition of Dr. Henry Jameson, Ingram Fletcher, and others, presented to Council to-night, and contemplating the erection of a new City Hospital and Work House.

On motion by Alderman Rorison, President Layman was requested to act as a member of such committee. Aldermen Hamilton and Seibert were then appointed to act as members of said committee.

The following motions (adopted by the Common Council—see pages 439, 440, 441, 446 and 447, ante) were read and concurrently adopted:

That the City Clerk be, and is hereby, directed to advertise for bids for the removal of dead animals from the city for the year ending July 1st, 1882.

That the Board of Health be, and is hereby, requested to investigate and abate the nuisance caused by the continued standing of water at the head of Meek street, north side, at the intersection of Dillon street, south of the Junction Railroad.

That Clemens Vonegut be, and is hereby, granted permission to grade and gravel the alleys running east and west between lots 10 and 11, and between lots 8 and 9, in Gatling's Sub. of O. L. 117, between Mulberry street and Madison avenue, and also the alley running north and south between said alleys and Mulberry street and Madison avenue, under the direction of the City Civil Engineer.

That Fred. Baggs, custodian of the Post Office building, be, and is hereby, granted permission to sink a driven well on Market street, to supply the drinking fountain on north side of the Post Office with good water for public use—said well to be constructed without any cost to the city.

That patrolman Henry Williams be allowed full pay for the last half of the month of July, on account of the breaking of his arm while on duty.

That the City Civil Engineer be directed to bowlder the wings and place stone crossings on Alabama and Walnut streets, at their intersection, as the gutters on Alabama street are being curbed and bowldered at that point.

That the Jeffersonville, Madison & Indianapolis Railroad be, and is hereby, directed to dig and build cattle guards, within the next twenty days, at the intersection of the Jeffersonville, Madison & Indianapolis Railroad and the street on the south line of the Southern Park; and that the City Marshal serve the proper notice.

The following entitled ordinance was read the first time:

S. O. 19, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick, the south sidewalk of Michigan street, from Liberty street to East street.

The following entitled Appropriation Ordinances (passed by the Common Council) were severally read the first time:

- Ap. O. 47, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the Fire Department.
- Ap. O. 48, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis on account of the City Hospital and Branch.
- Ap. O. 49, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis on account of Station-Houses.
- Ap. O. 50, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis.
- Ap. O. 51, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Printing, Stationery, and Advertising.

On motion, the rules were suspended for the purpose of placing the above entitled appropriation ordinances on their final passage by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS-None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

Ap. O. 47, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the Fire Department. [Amount appropriated, \$868.88.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS-None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

Ap. O. 48, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating morey for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the City Hospital and Branch. [Amount appropriated, \$880.49.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS-None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times.

Ap. O. 49, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Station Houses. [Amount appropriated, \$148,83.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS-None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

Ap. O. 50, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis. [Amount appropriated, \$9,252.67.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9-viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS-None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

Ap. O. 51, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Printing, Stationery and Advertising. [Amount appropriated, \$1,168.46.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS-None.

The Committee on Public Light, through Alderman Tucker, submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Public Light report in favor of the passage of special ordinances Nos. 63 and 65, 1881.

Respectfully,

W. H. Tucker, D Mussmann, Committee.

Alderman Tucker offered the following motion; which was adopted:

That this Board will not pass upon any further appropriation ordinances excepregular salary ordinances, until estimates for the fiscal year for the several departments shall have been reported to this Board and adopted.

## PENDING ORDINANCES.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O 157, 1880—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp posts, lamps and fixtures (complete to burn gas, except the service pipes), on West street, between Kentucky avenue and Georgia streets,

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Alderman Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS, 2-viz. Aldermen DeRuiter and Hamilton.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

8. O. 13, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley east of Laurel street, from the first alley south of Prospect street to Orange street.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS-None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 63, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures (complete to burn gas, except the service pipes), on Woodlawn avenue, from Dillon street to Linden street.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 8—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, and Tucker.

NAYS, 1-viz. President Layman.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 65, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures (complete to burn gas, except the service pipes), on Hoyt avenue, from Dillon street to Linden street.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 8-viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, and Tucker.

NAYS, 1-viz. President Layman.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 71, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the roadway of West street, from First street to McIntyre street, and for widening the sidewalks thereof.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS-None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 72, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading, paving with brick and curbing with stone, the west sidewalk of West street, from Third street to McIntyre street.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS-None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 78, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick (where not already paved), the sidewalks of Spann avenue, between Dillon and Linden streets.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS-None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 36, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures (complete to burn gas, except the service pipes), on Dillon street, between Prospect and Elm streets.

And it failed to pass by the following vote:

A YES-None.

NAYS, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 99, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures (complete to burn gas, except the service pipes), on Hosbrook street, between Grove and Elk streets.

And it failed to pass by the following vote:

AYES-None.

Nays, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 104, 1880—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures (complete to burn gas, except the service pipes), on California street, between Indiana avenue and First street.

And it failed to pass by the following vote;

AYRS-None.

Nays, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rerison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 113, 1880—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures (complete to burn gas, except the service pipes), on Broadway street, between Seventh and Tenth streets.

And it failed to pass by the following vote:

AYES-None.

NAYS, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

Alderman Hamilton moved to reconsider the vote by which S. O. 91, 1880, failed to pass (see page 462, ante) at the last meeting.

Which motion was adopted, and the action of the Board of Aldermen reconsidered by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, and President Layman.

NAYS, 2-viz. Aldermen Drew, and Tucker.

S. O. 91, 1880, was then read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

AYES, 8—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS, 1-viz. Alderman Drew.

On motion, the Board of Aldermen then adjourned.

JAMES T. LAYMAN, President.

Attest: GEO. T. BREUNIG, Clerk.