## PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

## COMMON COUNCIL.

## ADJOURNED SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS,
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 12, 1867, 7½ o'clock, p. m.

The Common Council met in adjourned session.

Present—Hon. Sims A. Colley, President pro tem., in the chair, and the following members:

Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Davis, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—16.

Absent-Councilman Cottrell-1.

The special order of business being the continuation of the trial of August Richter, Street Commissioner.

Mr. Elliott, Attorney for the City, read charge No. 5, and introduced the following witnesses:

Henry Ritter, August Ritter, and Christ. Rodenbaum, who were severally examined on behalf of the prosecution.

Mr. Ketcham asked the consent of the Council to allow Mr. Richter to make a statement of the matter.

Which was granted.

After having heard the statement of Mr. Richter, and all the witnesses having been examined, the question was then put,

Shall the charge be sustained?

No Councilman voting in the affirmative.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Brown, Colley, Davis, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—14.

So the charge was not sustained.

Charge No. 6, Specification No. 1, was then taken up and submitted to the Council on the evidence given in the previous charge.

The question being, shall the charge be sustained? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Colley, Davis, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Stanton and Woodburn—11.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Loomis, Mac-Arthur, Schmidt and Seidensticker—4.

So the charge was not sustained, there not being a two-thirds vote of all the members of the Council.

The second Specification of Charge No. 6, was then taken up and the following witnesses examined:

Mr. Fred. Richter and David Gilchrist.

After having heard the witnesses, the question then being, shall the charge be sustained?

No Councilman voting in the affirmative.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Colley, Davis, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—14.

So the charge was not sustained.

The third Specification of Charge No. 6, was then taken up, and, on motion by Mr. Ketcham, Mr. Richter was allowed to make a statement in relation to the same.

The question then being, shall the charge be sustained?

No Councilman voting in the affirmative.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess,

Colley, Davis, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—14.

So the charge was not sustained.

The first Specification of Charge No. 5, was then taken up, and the following witnesses examined:

For the prosecution, David Gilchrist.

For the defense, Henry Coburn, Esq., August Ritter, Christ. Rodenbaum, and Fred. Richter.

August Richter, Esq., was also, by consent of Council, allowed to make a statement.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Mr. MacArthur moved to adjourn.

The ayes and noes being demanded, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Colley, Goddard, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt and Stanton—6.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Davis, Geisel, Henschen, Kappes, Seidensticker and Woodburn—9.

So the motion to adjourn was lost.

The question then being, shall the charge be sustained? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Davis, Geisel, Henschen, Kappes and Woodburn—7.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Coburn, Colley, Goddard, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Seidensticker and Stanton—8.

So the charge was not sustained.

Mr. Seidensticker then moved to adjourn until Friday evening, June 14th, 1867, at  $7\frac{1}{2}$  o'clock, P. M.

The ayes and noes being demanded, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Goddard, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt and Seidensticker—8.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Brown, Davis, Geisel, Henschen, Kappes. Stanton and Woodburn—7.

So the motion to adjourn was adopted.

SIMS A. COLLEY, President pro tem.

## ATTEST:

D. M. BANSDELL, City Clerk.