PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS,
SATURDAY, MAY 14, 1870, 72 O'CLOCK P. M.

The Common Council met in regular session.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, Daniel Macauley, in the chair, and the following members:

Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—17.

Absent—Councilman Shepherd.

The proceedings of the regular session held May 7, 1870, were read and approved.

Mr. Wiles from the Committee on Contracts, made the following report:

Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolist

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Contracts, would report to your honorable body, that an ordinance was passed by the Common Council, April 16th, 1870, to grade and gravel Davidson street and sidewalks from the north side of North street to St. Clair street, and the contract awarded May 7th, 1870, to David Sylvester, who was the lowest bidder. We find upon examination, that said street has never been opened north of Peru Railroad street, and recommend that the action of the Council, awarding said contract, be now reconsidered.

We herewith, report an ordinance for the improvement of said street from the north side of North street to Peru Railroad street, and recommend that the same be passed.

Respectfully submitted,

W. D. WILES, ISAAC THALMAN, Committee.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Newman reported that the Finance Committee, after considering and consulting the petition of citizens asking an appropriation for the semi-centennial celebration, could not agrse, and referred the matter back to Council, with the request that the Committee be discharged.

Dr. Woodburn moved that the sum of two thousand dollars be appropriated.

Mr. Cottrell moved to amend by inserting one thousand dollars.

Mr. Locke moved to amend the amendment by inserting five hundred dollars.

The question being on the amendment to appropriate five hundred dollars.

Affirmative-none.

Those who voted in the negative were, Brown, Cottrell, Harrison,

Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—17.

So the amendment was lost.

The vote being on the amendment appropriating one thousand dollars.

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Harrison, Newman, Thalman, Weaver and Whitsit—7.

Those who voted in the negative were, Councilmen Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Pyle, Reagan, Thoms, Wiles and Woodburn—10.

So the amendment was lost.

The vote was then taken on Dr. Woodburn's motion to appropriate two thousand dollars.

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Newman,. Thalman and Weaver—3.

Those who voted in the negative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Harrison, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Pyle, Reagan, Thoms, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—14.

So the motion was lost.

By consent, Mr. Thoms introduced Special Ordinance No. 103, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Davidson street and sidewalks, from Washington to Market street.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Thoms moved the rules be suspended, and that the ordinance be read the second and third times and passed.

The question being on the suspension of the rules,

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cot-

taell, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—17.

Negative-none.

So the rules were suspended, and the ordinance read the second and third times and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—17.

Negative-none.

So the ordinance passed.

The ordinance providing for the compensation of City Officers, and Officers and Members of the Police Force and Fire Department, for the fiscal year ending May, 1871, being the special order, was taken up, read and acted upon, section by section.

Mr. Cottrell moved to strike out the \$3,300, for Mayor.

The question being on striking out.

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Heckman, Kennington, Reagan and Whitsit—6.

Those who voted in the negative were, Councilmen Harrison, Kahn, Locke Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Wiles and Woodburn—11.

So the motion to strike out was lost.

Mr. Kennington moved to strike out \$400 allowed the Marshal and insert \$600.

Which was lost by the following vote:

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Heckman, Kennington and Whitsit -3.

Those who voted in the negative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Harrison, Kahn, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Wiles and Woodburn—14.

Mr. Brown moved to strike out \$1,600 as the Street Commissioners salary and insert \$1,400.

Which was lost by the following vote:

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Heckman, Kennington, Reagan and Whitsit—6.

Those who voted in the negative were, Councilmen Harrison, Kahn, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Wiles and Woodburn—11.

Dr. Woodburn moved to strike out two per cent. as the Treasurer's compensation, and insert $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent.

Which was lost by the following vote:

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Heckman, Pyle, Thoms, Whitsit and Woodburn—7.

Those who voted in the negative were, Councilmen Harrison, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Weaver and Wiles—10.

Mr. Kennington moved to strike out \$250 as the pay of Policemen, and insert \$2.

Which was lost by the following vote:

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Kennington, Reagan and Whitsit—5.

Those who voted in the negatiive were, Councilmen Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Wiles and Woodburn—12.

Mr. Brown offered the following amendment to the 4th section:

Insert after the words "city ordinances" and any policeman who shall make claim for any such witness fees, shall be fined in any sum not less than five dollars nor more than fifty dollars, and shall be dismissed from the service.

The question being on the adoption of the amendment.

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit and Woodburn—14.

Those who voted in the negative were, Councilmen Harrison, Newman and Wiles—3.

So the amendment was adopted.

Mr. Whitsit moved to strike out \$1,400 as Chief Fire Engineer, and insert \$1,500.

Which was lost by the following vote:

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Heckman, Kennington, Reagan and Whitsit—6.

Those who voted in the negative were, Councilmen Harrison, Kahn, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Wiles and Woodburn—11.

Mr. Cottrell moved to strike out \$2 25 per day for Fireman and insert \$2 50.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Marsee, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, and Whitsit—11.

Those who voted in the negative were, Councilmen, Locke, Newman, Thoms, Weaver, Wiles and Woodburn—6.

The Ordinance was then ordered to be engrossed.

On motion, the Ordinance was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver and Wiles—11.

Those who voted in the negative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrel, Kennington, Locke, Whitsit and Woodburn—6.

So the ordinance paased.

By consent, His Honor, the Mayor, introduced General Ordinance No. 23, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance providing for the appointment of two additional day Policemen, and four additional night Policeman, for duty upon regular force of the city of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Brown moved that the ordinance be rejected.

The question being on the rejection of the ordinance,

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Heckman, Kennington, Reagan, Thoms and Whitsit—7.

Those who voted in the negative were, Councilmen Harrison, Kahn, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Thalman, Weaver, Wiles and Woodburn—10.

So the motion to reject was lost.

REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES.

Dr. Woodburn introduced Special Appropriation Ordinance No. 24, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the city of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time.

Dr. Woodburn from the Committee on Benevolence and Hospitals, presented the following:

RTECAPIULATION OF THE MONTHLY REPORT OF EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY HOSPITAL, ENDING APRIL 30, 1870.

Total expenditures for the month	\$551	2
Aggregate number of days for which subsistence, etc., was furnished	11	18:
Average expense per capita per diem	0.4	16

Also the following:

RECAPITULATION OF THE MONTHLY REPORT OF CONTENTS OF REGISTER OF PATIENTS OF CITY HOSPITAL, ENDING APRIL 30, 1870.

Number of patients in Hospital at last report	4:
Number of patients received in Hospital since last report	20
Number of patients born in Hospital since last report	1
Number of patients discharged from Hospital since last report	28
Number of patients died in Hospital since last report	3
Number of patients remaining in Hospital at present report	34

Which were received.

Also, Special Appropriation Ordinance No. 25, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims on account of the City Hospital, for the month of April, 1870.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Newman offered the following motion:

That the Committee on Finance be instructed to prepare and report an ordinance for preparing, issuing and sale of city bonds, for raising money to carry on the city government, and maintaining its credit for the ensuing year.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Thalman presocted the following report:

Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, beg leave to report that no objection has been made to the petition of Peter Dennis, et al, to vacate ten feet of ground off of Buchanan street, in front of lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10.

11, 12, 13 and 14, in out lot 100, and would recommend that the vacation be ordered and decreed by the Council.

Respectfully submitted,

ISAAC THALMAN, JOHN L. MARSEE, CHRIS HECKMAN,

Order of vacation of ten feet of ground off of Buchanan street, in front of lots from 5 to 14 inclusive.

Comes now the petitioners, Peter Dennis, et al, and produce proof that they have given the legal notice of the pendency before the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, of a petition for an order to vacate ten feet of ground off Buchanan street, in front of lots from 5 to 14 inclusive, in out lot 100 in the city of Indianapolis, by publication in the *Indianapolis Daily Journal*, a newspaper of general circulation, published in Indianapolis, which proof is as follows, to-wit:

Notice of Vacation.—Notice is hereby given of the pendency of a petiton of Peter Dennis et al., before the Common Council of the city of Indianapolis, to vacate ten (10) feet off Buchanan street, in front of lots Nos. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, in out lot No. 100, so that the said street, between East and Greer streets, will be 50 instead of 60 feet.

The said petition will be acted upon by the Common Council at its meeting to be held April 30, 1870.

STATE OF INDIANA, SS. MARION COUNTY,

Personally appeared before the undersigned, a notary public, Henry A. Louden, clerk for Douglass & Conner, publishers of the Daily and Weekly Indiana State Journal, newspapers of general circulation, printed and published in the City of Indianapolis, in the County aforesaid, who being duly sworn, upon his oath saith, that the notice of which the attached is a true copy, was duly published in said papers for two times, successively, the first of which publication was on the sixteenth (16) day of April, 1870, and the last on the twent-y-ninth (22) day of April, 1870.

HENRY A. LOUDEN.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this minth day of May, 1870.

[SEAL.] ANSEL C. GROOMS.

And also, by posting up notices, the proof of which is as follows, to-wit:

NOTICE OF VACATION.—Notice is hereby given of the pendency of a petition of Peter Dennis, et al., before the Common Council of the city of Indianapolis,

to vacate ten (10) feet off Buchanan street, in front of lots Nos. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, in out lot No. 100, and that the said street, between East and Greer streets, will be fifty feet instead of 60 feet.

The said petition will be acted upon by the Common Council at its meeting to be held April 30, 1870.

STATE OF INDIANA, SS.

Personally appeared before the undersigned, a notary public, in and for said county and State, Peter Dennis and on his oath says, that he posted up in three of the most conspicuous places on the line of the within named street, notices; of which proof is as following, to-wit:

PETER DENNIS.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 9th day of May, 1870.

JOHN G. WATERS, Notary Public.

And no person appearing to object or remonstrate against said vacation, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Common Council, and being fully advised in the premises, as to the validity of the petition and proceedins, it is ordered and decreed by the Common Council of the city of Indianapolis, that ten feet of ground be vacated off of the north side of Buchanan street, in front of lots Nos. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, in out lot No. 100.

Also, the following report:

Indianapolis, May 12, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, beg leave to report that no objection having been made to the vacation of the plat of ground known as Crane and Wesson's addition, would recommend that the said vacation be ordered.

Respectfully submitted,

ISAAC THALMAN, JOHN L. MARSEE, C. HECKMAN,

Order of vacation of Crane and Wesson's addition to Indianapolis.

Comes now the petitioners, and produce proof that they have given the legal notice of the pendency before the Common Council of the city of Indianapolis, of their petition for the vacation of Crane and Wesson's addition to said city, by publication in the *Indianapolis Journal*, a newspaper of general circulation, published in Indianapolis, which proof is as follows; and also by posting notices, which proof is as follows:

Notice.—Notice is hereby given of the pendency of a petition before the Common Council of the ctiy of Indianapolis, for the vacation of the plat of ground recently annexed to the city, and known as "Crane and Wesson's addition,"—said plat covering that portion of territory lying north of the National road and east of Arsenal, formerly called "Albert" street, to and including Seymour street, and south of 'Crane,' formerly called 'Charles street, including Wesson street and alleys of said platted ground.

ÆTNA LIFE INSURANCE CO.,

By B. B. Myrick, Agent.

STATE OF INDIANA, Ss. Marion County,

Personally appeared before the undersigned, Willis W. Wright, a notary public in and for the County aforesaid, Samuel M. Douglass, publisher of the Indianapolis Daily Journal, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the city of Indianapolis, in the county aforesaid, who being duly sworn, upon his oath saith, that the notice of which the attached is a true copy, was duly published in said paper for three weeks successively, the first of which publication was on the fifteenth day of April, 1870, and the last on the sixth day of May, 1870.

SAMUEL M. DOUGLASS.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this twelfth day of May, 1970.

[SEAL.]

WILLIS W. WRIGHT, Notary Public.

STATE OF INDIANA, SS. MARION COUNTY.

Personally appeared before the undersigned, a notary public, in and for the county and State aforesaid, Willis W. Wright, who being duly affirmed, upon his affirmation saith, that the notice of the vacation of the plat of Crane and Wesson as an addition to the city of Indianapolis, was duly posted by him in three or more public places in the vicinity of the plat or premises proposed to be vacated, this 12th day of May 1870.

WILLIS W. WRIGHT.

Subscribed and affirmed to before me, this 12th day of May, 1870.

JOHN G. WATERS, Notary Public.

And no person appearing to object or remonstrate against said vacation, it is thefore ordered and decreed by the Common Council, that the plat of ground recently annexed to the city, and known as Crane and Wessoa's addition, covering that portion of the territory lying north of the National Road and east of the United States Arsenal, "formerly called Albert street to and including Seymour street, and south of Crane, formerly called Charles street, including Wesson street, and the alleys of said platted ground be and the same is hereby vacated.

Which were concurred in and the vacation ordered.

Mr. Locke made the following report:

Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee have examined the matter of constructing a foot bridge across Geisendorf's race, at the west end of New York street, and would recommend that said bridge be built.

Respectfully submitted,

ERIE LOCKE,
JOHN L. MARSEE,
AUSTIN H. BROWN,

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following motion:

That the Street Commissioner be instructed to build a wooden foot bridge across Geisendorff's race, at the west end of New York street.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Brown made the following report:

Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The select committee in charge of the expenditures under the appropriation of one thousand dollars to defray expenses of the Clay county excursionists in this city of April last, report that they have pnid bills as follows, for which vouchers are submitted:

George W. Wesley and Son, Bates House	\$752	50
Spencer House	11	00
Winchester and Sapp, National Hotel	25	50
Palmer House	19	50
R. J. Bright, printing	16	50
Sullivan and Hinesley	175	00

Respectfully submitted,

AUSTIN H. BROWN, W. D. WILES, C. E. WHITSIT,

\$1000 00

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following petition:

Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The undersigned would respectfully represent that they are the sole owners of the property bordering on an alley fifteen feet wide, running east and west, between Highland avenue on the west to the lands of Isaac N. Phipps on the east, being the first alley north of Market street and parallel thereto west of Highland avenue, which said alley is of no public utility. Your petitioners, therefere pray your honorable body to pass an order vacating said described alley.

Respectfully submitted,

SAMUEL C. VANCE, JAMES L. MITCHELL, WILLIAM P. FISHBACK.

Which was received.

REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The Civil Engineer made the following report:

Office of City Civil Engineer, Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Honorable Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—I hereby report the following work finished according to contract:

B. F. Coleman for grading and paving the sidewalks on Virginia avenue from Pogue's Run to Louisiana street.

Length on North side _______539 ft. 10 in.

Total length______994 ft. 10 in.

At seventy cents per lineal foot front______\$696 38

John Schier for grading and graveling Blake street and sidewalks, from the north side of Rhode Island street to Indiana avenue.

Length on east side ______445 ft.

Length on west side _____478 ft.

Total length 923 feet.

At sixty-eight cents (68) per lineal foot \$627 64

Samuel Hanway for	grading and	graveling	Christian avenue	from	College ave-
nue to Ash street.					

Length on north side28) ft.	
Length on south side	ft.	
The state of the s		
Total length56) ft.	
At seventy-nine cents per lineal foot	\$442	40

Also a partial estimate to August Richter for building stone abutments for bridge on the western arm of the Canal, at the crossing of the National Road two hundred and sixty cubic yards of masonry, at five dollars and ninety-five cents per cubic yard_______\$1,547 00

Respectfully submitted,

R. M. PATTERSON, Civil Engineer.

Also, the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: -I have prepared the profiles of the following streets, viz:

Meridian from First to Seventh.
Illinois from First to Seventh.
Tennessee from First to Seventh.
Mississippi from First to Seventh.
Howard from First to Seventh.
First from Meridian to Canal.
Second from Meridian to Howard.
Third from Illinois to Howard.
Fourth from Illinois to Howard.
Fifth from Illinois to west end of street.
Sixth from Illinois to Michigan Road.

I propose to drain all of the above mentioned streets into First street, and thence into the Canal through an open ditch on the north side of the street. The ditch will, in the deepest place, be two feet and three inches below the sub grade, or gutter, on the south side of the street.

Under the cross streets, on the north side of First street, I propose to use cement pipe of a size sufficient to pass the water.

If this plan is adopted, it will be necessary to grade First street first, so as to give an outlet for the water from the other streets.

I have also prepared the profiles of the Michigan and National Roads, from the intersection of the same to the east corporation line.

And also Seventh street, from Fall Creek pike to the Michigan road.

Respectfully submitted,

R. M. PATTERSON, Civil Engineer.

Which were received and concurred in.

The City Clerk made the following report:

Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The City Clerk would respectfully report to your honorable body, that I have issued to the City Treasurer warrants on the city treasury for bonds and coupons paid by him as follows, to-wit, and would respectfully ask you to direct the Finance Committee to examine the same, and have them destroyed, and relieve me of the responsibility of keeping them on hand:

Bonds issued April 1, 1868	\$97,000	00
Bonds issued October 31, 1867	7,500	00
Coupons for interest paid on Railroad Bonds	6,450	00
Coupons for interest paid on Loan Bonds	5,335	00
The state of the s		
Total	\$116 285	00 .

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL M. RANSDELL, City Clerk.

We have carefully examined and counted the above described bonds, and found the same to make the sum of \$116,285 00, and have burned the same.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. NEWMAN,
J. H. WOODBURN.
THOMAS COTTRELL,
AUSTIN H BROWN,

DANIEL MACAULEY, Mayor.
ROBERT S. FOSTER, City Treasurer,
DANIEL M. RANSDELL, City Clerk.

Also, the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The City Clerk would respectfully report, that on the 22d days

of April he issued an order on the Treasury to Robert S. Foster for bonds paid as follows, to wit:

Cotal ______\$3,960 00

Respectfully submitted,

D. M. RANSDELL, City Clerk.

We have carefully examined and counted the above described bonds, and found the same to make the sum of \$3,960 00, and have burned the same,

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. NEWMAN, J. H. WOODBURN, THOMAS COTTRELL, AUSTIN H. BROWN,

DANIEL MACAULEY, Mayor. R. S. FOSTER, Treasuror. DANIEL M. RANSDELL, Clerk.

We have examined this report and find it correct.

Respectfully submitted,

AUSTIN H. BROWN, THOMAS COTTRELL, Committee.

Which were concurred in.

Also, the following report:

Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

The City Clerk would respectfully report:

First—Contract and bond of B. F. Coleman for grading and graveling Severn alley, running from Maryland to Georgia street, through square seventy-tive.

Second—First and final estimate allowed John Schier for grading and gravelling Blake street, and sidewalks from Rhode Island street to Indiana avenue.

Third—First and final estimate allowed B. F. Coleman, for grading and paving with brick the sidewalks on Virginia avenue, from Pogue's Run to Louisiana street.

Fourth—First and final estimate allowed Samuel Hanway, for grading and gravelling Christian avenue from College avenue to Ash street.

Respectfully submitted,

D. M. RANSDELL, City Clerk.

Which was concurred in and the bonds approved.

Also, the following resolution:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed B. F. Coleman, for grading and paving with brick the sidewalks on Virginia avenue, from Pogue's Run to Louisiana street, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

The question being on the adoption of the resolution:

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—17.

Negative-none.

So the resolution was adopted.

Also, the following resolution:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed John Schier for grading and graveling Blake street, and sidewalks from Rhode Island street to Indiana avenue, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

The question being on the adoption of the resolution:

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—17.

Negative-none.

So the resolution was adonted.

Also, the following resolution:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed Samuel Hanway, for grading and gravelling Christian avenue, from College avenue to Ash street, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

The question being on the adoption of the resolution:

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newmau, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—17.

Negative-none.

So the resolution was adopted.

The City Treasurer made the following report:

Office of City Treasurer, Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—I have the honor to respectfully report to you, that in the matter of the opening of Gregg street, through lot 66, in out-lot 181, from the eastern terminus of Gregg street to Jackson street, the following named persons have paid the assessment of benefits made against them, to-wit:

E, A. Seaton	510	00
James Wood	10	00
	160	00
Stanley F. Tibbs	40	00
Max. G. I. Stern	30	00
Elizabeth Fiscus	30	00
-	272	_
Total\$	280	00
Leaving a balance of \$130 00 due and unpaid from the following named p	erso	ns,
to-wit:		
Martin Burton, lots 7, 8, 9 and 10 in out-lot 179	\$40	00
Louis Neis, south half of lots 19, 20 and 21 in out-lot 179	30	00
Martha Oliver, lot 22 in out-lot 179	10	00
Sidney H. Johnson, lot 24 in out-lot 179	10	00
F. Rafert, east half of lot 1 in out-lot 179	40	00

As the damages of \$410 has been appropriated, and the money paid out of the City Treasury to Lucetta Honberger, the person who sustained the damages, I respectfully suggest that precepts be issued against the persons above named, in order to advertise and sell their property according to law, if the benefits assessed against them by the City Commissioners are not paid or satisfied.

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,

ROBERT S. FOSTER, City Treasurer.

Which was concurred in.

The City Sexton made the following report:

Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The following interments have been made in the City Cemeteries during the month ending April 30, 1870:

Interments u	inder 5	years		19
" 20 :	and 30	"	·	3
" 40	and 50	"		2
" 70	and 80	44		1
			OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS	
		Total_		27

All of which is respectfully submitted,

JAS. H. HEDGES, City Sexton.

Which was received.

The Street Commissioner made the following report:

Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—I have the honor to submit the following report of work done in my department since April, 1870.

FIRST WARD.

Cleaned Massachusetts avenue between New York and New Jersey street-	\$55	00
Repaired the bouldering on Massachusett, avenue between New York and		
New Jersey streets	245	00
Cleaned the alley between East and New Jersey streets	19	00
Repaired the culvert on New York and Noble streets	4	00
Total	\$333	0.0

SECOND WARD.

Bouldered the mouth of the alley on the east side of Meridian between St.	@40	00
Clair and First streets Opened the gutter on St. Clair between Delaware and Pennsylvania streets	\$43 11	00
Total	\$54	00
THIRD WARD.		
Cleared the alleys in square	\$45	60
Cleaned and repaired the culvert on Illinois and Washington street	_	00
Bouldered the mouths of the alleys on the west side of Meridian, between North and First streets		00
Cleaned Illinois between Washington and Ohio streets		00
Cleaned Washington between Meridian and Mississippi streets	35	00
Cleaned Tennessee between Washington and Market street	30	00
Cleaned Market between Illinois and Circle streets		00
Cleaned Meridian and Circle between Washington and New York streets.		00
Cleaned the culvert on Illinois and Market street		00
Opened the gutter on Market between Mississippi and Tennessee street	17	00
Total	\$335	00
FOURTH WARD.		
CI IVI II I	000	
Cleaned Washington between Mississippi street and White River	\$95	00
Placed culvert on West and New York streets at the entrance to Military	65	00
Tara	00	
Total	\$165	00
FIFTH WARD.		
Cleaned and repaired the culvert on Illinois and Washington street	\$26	
Cleaned Illinois between Washington and Louisiana street		00
Cleaned Kentucky avenue between Illinois and Tennessee street Cleaned Washington between Illinois street and White River		00
Cleaned and repaired the culvert on Tennessee and Maryland street.		00
Repaired the culvert on Tennessee and South streets		00
Repaired the holes on Louisiana street near the Canal	7	00
Total	\$195	00
SIXTH WARD.		
Cleaned Illinois between Louisiana and Washington street	\$35	00
Cleaned Meridian between Washington and Louisiana streets		00

Cleaned Pearl between Pennsylvania and Illinois streets	\$30	00
Bouldered the mouth of the alleys on Meridian between Maryland and		
Louisiana street	79	00
Repaired the culvert on Meridian near Georgia street	9	00
Repaired the culvert on Meridian street and Chesapeake alley	11	00
Cleaned and repaired the culvert on East and Washington street	65	00
Cleaned and repaired the culvert on Washington and Meridian street	9	00
Built a culvert on Meridian street and Chesapeake alley	28	00
Total	\$336	00
SEVENTH WARD.		
Cleaned Weskington between Fact and Deleways streets	⊕o ≡	00
Cleaned Washington between East and Delaware streets	\$35	
Repaired the culvert on South Delaware street		00
Repaired the culvert on South New Jersey street	9	00
m		
Total	\$45	00
EIGHMH WARD		
EIGHTH WARD.		
Closed Weshington between Noble and Fast street	фок	00
Cleaned Washington between Noble and East street	\$35	
Graded the mouth of the first alley north of Fletcher avenue on Pine street		00
Repaired the culvert on Huron street		00
Opened the gutters on Liberty and Georgia street	29	00
Total	\$92	
10081	ф94	00
NINTH WARD.		
MINITE WARD.		
Cleaned Pennsylvania between Washington and Ohio streets	\$35	00
Cleaned the alleys in square	-	00
Cleaned Market between Pennsylvania and Circle		00
Cleaned and repaired the culvert on Washington and Meridian street		00
		00
Cleaned Washington between Noble and Meridian street		
Cleaned Meridian and Circle between Washington and New York streets		00
Cleaned and repaired the culvert on Delaware and New York street	19	00
Total	4982	00
A COM.	ψ203	00
BRIDGES, &c.		
Repaired the bridge on Washington street over the Canal	\$17	00
Repaired the bridge over Pogue's Run on Market street		00
Total	\$33	00
	-	

MISCELLANEOUS.

Repaired the sidewalk in front of R. B. Duncan's lot, No. 10, square 57	\$8 50
Cleaned the East Market square	98 00

otal_____ \$106 50

RECAPITULATION BY WARDS.

Showing the amount on hand April 6, 1870; the amount expended since April 6, 1870; and the amount on hand at the present date.

WARDS.	Deficit Apr 6, 1870.	Am't on hand Apr. 6, 1870.	Am't expended since Apr. 6, 1870.	Balance on hand at present date.	Deficit.		
First Ward		\$685 20	\$323 00	\$362 20			
Second Ward		704 95	54 00	650 95			
Third Ward		542 84	335 00	207 84			
Fourth Ward		351 84	160 00	191 84			
Fifth Ward		339 98	195 co	244 98			
Sixth Ward		422 20	336 00	86 20			
Seventh Ward		447 20	44 00	403 20			
Eighth Ward		409 35	92 00	317 35	,		
Ninth Ward		614 42	283 00	331 42			
Bridges		2005 00	33 00	1972 00			
Miscellaneous	\$345 88				\$452 38		
Total	\$345 88	\$6522 98	\$197 00	\$4767 98	\$452 38		

Respectfully submitted,

AUGUSTUS BRUNER, Street Commissioner.

Which was received.

The City Commissioners made the following report:

Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The City Commissioners, having fully considered the petition of C. F. Darnell and others, asking the opening of Fifth street east, through lot 12, in St. Clair's Addition, report that it is impossible to make a satisfactory assessment of damages in the case.

We have hitherto acted on the rule that property on or near a new street, should be benefitted in an amount equal in value to the ground taken before opening the street, but even if the benefits equalled the damages in this case, it is impossible to tax those benefits to the proper parties. If the street was to be extended more than one square the difficulty would be lessened, but at

present it is insuperable. The proposed street will so divide Mr. Henderson's lot, that a narrow, wedge shaped strip is left on each side. These, separately considered, have too little value to bear a fair share of the benefits, nor can Mr. Barbour's, nor Mr. Condit's property, north and south of the streeet, be fully taxed while they are cut off from it by these strips. If they were owned by Messrs. Barbour and Condit, it would be easy to assess them for new frontage, but this cannot be done under existing conditions, and we do not feel justified in putting the burden on the city, or on distant lots.

Other reasons exist for our action beside the difficulty in making an assessment, and we may give them here for it, disclaiming all intention of giving uncalled for advice.

The city boundaries have recently been extended north and east, and probably more territory will soon be added in these quarters. The streets and alleys of the annexed region will naturally call for careful consideration, for on their uniformity in width and length will greatly depend the health, wealth and accessibility of the sections they traverse. We think the city, through the Council, should control the location of these streets and alleys. This power is exercised elsewhere, and as a consequence the newer portions of other cities contain long, straight, wide streets, forming the most beautiful, valuable and healthy sections of those cities; but here the case is reversed, the original plat only is on a great scale, while most of the additions have narrow, short, mean streets, making them the most unsightly portions of our map.

It is often supposed that because streets are donated the city has nothing to do with their location; but the idea is incorrect, for the city, like other donees, may decline any gift not made in a shape available for public use, especially if a prescribed plan exists known to the donor. Unless the right of refusal existed, private parties would have the sole right to primarily determine the position, length, width and number of the public highways, and could, by bad management or design, so cramp trade and traffic that the streets would finally be given to the donors, and new ones bought and improved at great expense.

The 160 acres between Drake's Addition and the Ft. Wayne road, and the Donation line and Tinker street—most of which has been, or will soon be annexed—contains a number of large tracts, some isolated additions, and but few highways. That it will soon be densely settled, and require many streets—either on a general plan, or as many as there are subdivisions—is evident. If property owners have the sole right to locate these, each will subdivide to make every foot available, stinting the streets, and placing them to his own advantage. A score of discordant systems will be the result, and though lots at first may sell for more money, they will ultimately depreciate in value, and

the region finally become a labyrinth of mean, dirty, short streets and alleys, filled with the filthy and vicious population of a large city. This result seems inevitable in every district in every city where short, narrow streets are permitted to exist. It may be prevented by adopting a general system or plan, requiring all additions to conform to it, and refusing to accept any streets or alleys not certified by the City Engineer as laid out and donated in accordance with it. Such a plan, strictly enforced, would obviate the necessity for future vacations, or the expense of opening new streets.

There are no east and west streets of any great length in this quarter section. The petition in this case asks the opening of Fifth street through only one square, and the expense will exceed \$3,000. If it is to be thus opened, a square at a time, to the Fort Wayne road, and the five or six other streets are also to be opened to the same road in like manner, the final cost will be great, and the repeated taxation very annoying. But are such purchases necessary? Should the city or citizens go largely into the real estate business to secure public highways? Streets have been donated everywhere else, and there is no peculiar reason for buying them here; but if they must be bought, adopt a general system, and the cost of opening them may then be confined to the region itself, and outside parties will not be taxed to assist those who will not donote the streets.

Street systems similar to that in the old city exist in Drake's and Butler's Additions, and either of them might be extended over the quarter section. Unfortunately they do not agree in position. Drake's first street running along the Donation line, and Butler's a half square north of it, but as the last system ends on the Fert Wayne road, it may be best to extend the Drake system to that line, vacating the streets and alleys now existing which do not substantially agree with it.

Uniformity in all subdivisions in and near the city might be secured by the City Council and the County Commissioners mutually adopting the same plan, and requiring its features to be followed before either body accepted subdivisions made in their respective jurisdictions; then as the city was hereafter extended the annexed regions would be uniform with the older city, and no expense will occur for changes.

With these views as to the necessity for a general system, and the bad policy of opening the streets a square at a time, and from the difficulty attending the making of an assessment in this case, we report against the prayer of the petition, and herewith return their petition.

Respectfully submitted,

SAMUEL M. SEIBERT, JOSEPH M. SUTTON. IGNATIUS BROWN, WILLIAM BRADEN, J. F. RAMSEY,

Also the following:

Indianapolis, May 14, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The Board of City Commissioners, after consideration of the petition of J. W. Davis and others, asking the opening of Georgia street to the width of sixty feet, including the present east and west alley, between Pennsylvania and Delaware streets, in square 98, submits the following report:

We have personally visited the premises, have examined the records and original plats, and have taken the testimony of persons residing or doing business in the vicinity of the square, and from such examinations, have arrived at the following conclusions:

There being no communication between Pennsylvania and Delaware streets, except by two narrow alleys, in a space of 1,400 feet, from Maryland to South streets, there is a pressing necessity for the new street.

The original plat of the west half of square 98, shows eight alleys running from Pennsylvania to Railroad street. One of these, sixteen feet wide, divided squares 77 and 98; one twenty feet wide was located near the south end of the square, and six others, each twelve feet in width, passed at intervals in the space between the two above mentioned. There was also a strip eighteen feet wide, extending the whole length of the square north and south, along the east ends of the lots, and between them and Railroad street. This strip was always to be left open as a common passway for the lot-owners.

It will thus be seen, that instead of a single street, eight alleys, with an aggregate width of 108 feet, were provided for the traffic across the square. All these alleys, however, except the one between squares 77 and 98, have been subsequently vacated for the benefit of the lot-owners, who have also enclosed the eighteen foot strip along the east end of the lots, thus practically narrowing Railroad street to that extent. Of the seven alleys vacated, J. B. Root has obtained one twenty feet wide; the Gas Company have taken three, amounting to thirty-six feet; and Sinker & Co. have also got three, amounting to thirty-six feet. The ground now is said to be worth from \$80 to \$100 per foot, but the City gave it to these parties without any consideration. The closing of these alleys largely adds to the present necessity for the new street.

The east end of square 98 has never been fully sub-divided. It has an alley twelve feet wide at the north end, and a twenty foot alley near the south end, connecting Delaware and Railroad streets.

The benefits resulting to lot owners in that square and the adjacent terri-

tory, from the opening of said street, will equal the damages to be paid for the ground taken, and the lot-owners in squares 77 and 98, especially those fronting on the new street, and those profiting by the former vacations, will be benefitted to the greatest degree, the estimate varying, according to the witnesses, from thirty to fifty per cent. of the whole amount of damages.

Lot-owners on Pennsylvania, Meridian and Illinois streets, and the Cincinnati and Central Railroad Companies, will be benefitted in the next greatest amount, and those on Louisiana, Georgia, Tennessee and Mississippi streets, to a smaller extent.

According to the evidence, the direct benefits may be extended to a greater or less degree, from Virginia avenue and Alabama street to Kentucky avenue, and from Maryland street to South street, and to Louisiana street west of the Union Depot.

We therefore recommend the opening of Georgia street to the width of sixty feet, including the present east and west alleys, in square 98, as desired by the petitioners, by taking the ground hereinafter described for the public use and herewith return a detailed estimate of the damages for the ground so taken, together with such an assessment of the benefits to property owners in that and adjacent squares, as seems to us most equitable. It is difficult to harmonize interests so conflicting, but we have graded the following assessment as evenly as possible on the property, after a complete examination of the facts in the case. The description of the ground to be taken for the street, its value, the names of the owners, the description of the property benefitted, the amounts of the several benefits, and the parties owning the assessed lots, are set out in detail, in the following schedule:

DAMAGES.

We estimate the value of the ground taken at the following amounts, reported as damages:

To Edward T. Sinker and Thos. Davis—For lot 38 in west ½ square
98, at \$100 per foot, 25 feet—\$2,500

To lot 37 in west half of square 98, except six feet off south side, at \$100 per foot— 1,900

950

To Geo. B. Yandes—For 38 feet off of north side of east half square
98, at \$95 per foot—
3,600

To Dan'l Yandes, Sr.—For 10 feet on Delaware street, by 185 feet deep to alley on the west, at \$95 per foot—

BENEFITS.

We assess the following lots with the amounts set opposite thereto as benefits, to be collected from the owners thereof:

West half square 98—Edward	T. Sinker and Thos.	Davis-Six feet
off so	uth side of lot 37 in sq	uare 98—\$50 00
Twelve	feet strip south of lot	37— 72 00
Lot 36,	west ½ square 98—	115 00
Lot-35,	west ½ squere 98—	115 00
Lot 34,	west ½ square 98—	115 00
Lot 33,	west ½ square 98-	100 00
12 feet	strip south of lot 33-	48 00
. Lot 32,	west ½ square 98—	100 00
Lot 31,	west ½ square 98—	75 00
Lot 30,	west ½ square 08-	75 00
Lot 29,	west ½ square 98—	50 00
12 feet	strip south of lot 29-	24 00
Lot 28,	west ½ square 98—	50 00
Lot 27,	west ½ square 98—	37 00

37 00 ----\$1100 00

37 00

The Indianapolis Gas Light and Coke Company—Lot 21, west

Lot 26, west ½ square 98—

Lot 25, west ½ square 98—

½ square 98—	\$40 00
12 feet strip south of lot 21—	20 00
Lot 20, west ½ square 98—	40 00
Lot 19, west ½ square 98—	40 00
Lot 18, west ½ square 98—	39 00
Lot 17, west ½ square 98—	39 00
12 feet strip south of lot 17—	20 00
Lot 16, west ½ square 98—	38 00
Lot 15, west ½ square 98—	38 00
Lot 14, west ½ square 98—	36 00
Lot 13, west ½ square 98—	36 00
12 feet strip south of lot 13—	16 00
Lot 12, west ½ square 98—	34 00
Lot 11, west ½ square 98—	34 00
•	\$470 00

West half square 98-J. B. Root-Lot 10, west ½ square	98-\$40 00
Lot 9, west ½ square 9S—	35 00
20 feet strip south of lot 9—	30 00
Lot 8, west ½ square 98—	25 00

East half square 98—Edward T. Sinker and Tho's Davis—Or	n
67 feet fronting on Delaware street	
in east half of square 98, and jus	*
south of the ground taken, and front	
ing on the new street	\$270 00
Thomas Cottrell and John Knight-30 feet on Delawar	e
street, and back to alley south of lo	ot ·
last above described—	80 00
Joseph W. Davis—25 feet on Delaware street, south of Cottre	11
& Knight, and back to alley—	65 00
I. P. & G. T. Evans-85 feet on Delaware, and back to alle	•
next north of the Union R. R. track	
James M. Ray—102 feet on Delaware street next the creek	
Indianapolis Gas Light and Coke Company—284 feet 9 inche	
on Delaware street, south of J. M	
Ray's lot—	180 00
P. Wolf—Lot 13, east ½ square 98—	14 00
Robert Kennington—Lot 12, east ½ square 98—	13 00
F. Marquis—Lot 11, east ½ square 98—	12 00
Wm. Langsenkamp—Lot 10 east ½ square 98—	11 00
— Longsdorf—Lot 1, east ½ square 98—	20 00
	\$840

Square 99—Nicholas McCarty's heirs—365 feet on Delaware,
by 420 feet east, south side of sqr 99 \$75 00
Indianapolis, Cincinhati and Lafayette Railroad Co—270 feet
on Delaware street, by 420 feet east,
north of McCarty's heirs' lot above
described—

250 00
——\$325 00

00

Square 84—N. McCarty's heirs—150 feet on Delaware street, by 420 feet east, south of creek, in square 84— \$75 00

12 00

11 00

-Blaes-Lot 4, in northeast 1 square 77

G. M. Wenger—Lot 3, in northeast 1 square 77

Southeast quarter square 76—Atkins, Kappes & Co—60 feet by 100 south ends of lots 4 and 5, \$60 00 in square 76— E. S. Alvord-45 feet on Delaware street, in lots 4 and 5, square 76-37 00 Margaret E. Noble-40 feet on Delaware street, in lots 4 and 5, square 76— 31 00 Ben. F. Haugh-50 feet on Delaware street, in lots 4 and 5, square 76-45 00 Shaw, Lippencott & Conner-Lot 6 square 76-45 00 Shaw, Lippencott & Conner—25 feet east side of lot 5, sqr 76 17 00 \$235 00

Northeast quarter square 76—Ben. F. Haugh—80 feet on Delaware street by 110 feet west, in lots

1 and 2, square 76— \$45 00

A. F. Noble—110 by 110 feet northeast corner lots 1 and 2,

square 76— 40 00

Mark L. Here—Part lot 2 (25 feet west side southeast corner)

square 76— 9 00

Mark L. Hare—37 feet east side lot 3, square 76— 9 00

Ostermyer & Holland—30 feet west side lot 3, square 76— 7 00

\$110 00

Northwest quarter square 76—Eric Richardson—south ½ lot
9, square 76—
\$25 00

Jacob Cox—North half lot 9, square 76—
24 00

David Boyd—21 feet 8 inches south side lot 8, square 76

A. & S. Kaufman—21 feet 8 inches mid. part lot 8, square 76

Murphy & Holliday—21 feet 8 inches north side lot 8, sqr 76 12 00

Henry Schnull—25 feet south side, and 72 feet on Maryland street, in lot 7, square 76—	\$19	00	
Murphy, Johnson & Co-40 by 13 feet of northwest corner		00	
lot 7, square 76—	13		0.0
		\$120	00
72 m A AF ' T (10			
Southwest quarter square 76—Thomas A. Morris—Lot 12	100	00	
1	100		
Thomas A. Morris—Lot 11 square 76—		00	
Thomas A. Morris—Lot 10 square 76—	60		00
		\$210	00
East half squares 86-97—Lewis T. Morris—Lot 12, east ½ sq 98	\$55	00	
William Dell—Lot 11, east ½ square 98—		00	
William Dell—Lot 10, east ½ square 98—	28	00	
Anna T. Morris—Lot 9, east ½ square 98—	26	00	
Jane M. Morris-Lot 8, east ½ square 98-	25	00	
D. A. Corwine—Lot 7, east ½ square 98—	$-2^{\frac{1}{3}}$	00	
D. A. Corwine—Lot 13, east ½ square 98—	70	00	
Lewis T. and N. N. Morris-Lot 14, east half square 98-	25	00	
Hasselman & Vinton-56 feet on Pennsylvania, and west to)		
Meridian street, in said square 98—		00	
Eagle Machine Works—56½ feet by 187, north side lot 16—	12	00	
Josephine B. Greer—34 by 187½ feet—	8	00	
Deloss Root—Lot 17, squares 86 and 97—	15	00	
		\$350	00
West halfsquares 86-97—Jane M. Morris—72 feet on Merid			
ian street, in north side west ½ square 86—			
Jane M. Morris—62 feet on Meridian st., next south of above			
Lewis T. Morris-37 feet on Meridian st., next south of above			
Benjamin Franklin—22 feet 5 inches next south of above lo			
Joseph Num-22 feet 5 inches next south of above lot-		00	
Vinton and Yandes—23 feet 7 inches next south of above lo			
J. S. Spann—22 feet 5 inches next south of above lot—		00	
Yandes and Porter—22 feet 7 inches next south of above lo			
Pat. Kirlin—50 feet next south of above lot—		00	
W. H. Talbott—25 feet next south of above lot—		00	
W. H. Talbott—25 feet next south of above lot—		5 00	
Pat. Kirlin—46 feet next south of above lot—		00	
M. FitzGibbon—26 feet next south of above lot—		00	
Hasselman & Vinton—187 feet on Meridian street, next sout			
of Union track—		00	
Jane M. Morris-58 feet on Meridian st., next south of abov	e 15	00	

v	0	2009 00		00000	• • • •
	Lewis T. Morris-68 feet on Meridian st., next south of above	\$10	00		
	D. A. Corwine-45½ feet on Meridian st., next south of above		00		
			\$	535	00
	Southeast quarter squaae 75——— Brinkmeyer—25 by 120)			
	feet soutneast corner lot 6, sqr 75	\$27	00		
	C. F. Hahn—40 by 120 feet of next north and off east end				
	lot 6, square 76, and 5 feet off south	1			
	side lot 5—	50	00		
	Pierce-60 feet north side lot 5 square 75, and west end	l			
	lot 6 —	88	00		
	E. Beck—40 feet south side lot 4, square 75—	40	00		
	H. Schnull—25 feet north side lot 4, square 75—	25	00		
			\$	3230	00
	A T3 T7' / 40 C / 13 '3 1 / 0 PT"	#90	00		
	A. E. Vinton—40 feet south side lot 3, squvre 75—	\$30			
	W. Kraus—20 feet north side lot 3—	15			
	Henry Schnull—5 feet north side lot 2—		75		
	Henry Schnull—38 feet south side lot 2, square 75—	22			
	Augustus Schnull—27 feet, north side, by 114½ feet deep, lot				
	Augustus Schnull—114 feet east end lot 1, square 75—		00		
	M. A. Shoemaker—26 feet west end lot 1, square 75—		00		
	— Johnston—52 feet next east of west end lot 1—	11			
			\$	3120	00
	Southwest quarter square 75—Horace R. Allen—Lot 6 H. & B				
	sub. lots 7, 8 and 9, square 75—		00		
	Horace R. Allen—Lot 5—		00		
	George A Bicking—Lot 4—		00		
	G. H. Bicking—Part lot 3, 12 feet south side—		00		
	G. H. Kistner—20½ feet north side lot 3—		00		
			00		
	J. Reinhart—25 feet south side lot 2—		00		
	J. M. Gaston—7½ feet north side lot 1, and 40 feet of lot 2—				
	George H. Bicking—23 feet on Georgia street, in lot 8—		00		
	George H. Bicking—22 feet west side lot 7—				
	L. Walk—67½ feet on Georgia street, in lot 7—		00	100	OΩ
				\$190	00
	Northwest quarter square 75—Henry Schnull—feet south	1			
	end lot 10, and 23 by 71 feet south				
	west corner lot 11, in square 75—	\$35	00		
	Samuel T. Gillett—— feet north end lot 10; and west ½ north				
	end lot 11—		00		
	F Rushaupt—East ½ lot 11, square 75—		00		
	F. Rushaupt—22 feet west side lot 12, square 75—	40	00		
	E Beck—45½ feet east side lot twelve—		00		
	2 2002 702 1000 0000 0100 100 011 0170			\$95	00
				QUO.	00

Southeast quarter square 87—Caroline Mothershead—20 fee	t		
8 inches by 73 feet southeast corner	r		
lot 6, square 87—	\$20	00	
J. D. Morris—22 feet on Louisiana, next west of above lot—	20	00	
A. M. Mothershead—21 feet 8 inches on Louisiana, next west			
of above lot—		00	
		00	
G. Waggaman—19 feet 10 inches on Louisiana street, nex		00	
west of above lot—	16	00	
J. Weinberger—31 feet 3 inches on Louisiana street, next wes		10	
of above lot—	25	00	
Eliza B. Howe—20 feet 3 inches on Louisiana street, nex			
west of above lot—		00	
Tho's B. McCarty—60 feet west end lot 6—	45	00	
Tho's B. McCarty—60 feet west end of s. ½ lot 5, square 87—	7	00	
Tho's A. Morris—33 by $137\frac{1}{2}$ feet east end s. $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 6, sq. 77—	12	00	
J. L. Hauck—North ½ lot 5—	21	00	
J. L. Hauck—12 feet south side lot 4—	10	00	
J. A. Ross—55 feet north side lot 4—	50	00	
		\$260 (00
	•		
Southwest quarter square 87-J. D. Morris-66 by 82 feet on			
Louisiana street, lot 7 southwest qu			
_	\$ 35	00	
M. Kepple—20 feet on Louisiana street next east lot 7—	10		
		00	
Thomas A. Morris—100 feet 5 inches east end, and 48 by 52		00	
feet southeast corner lot 7—	56	00	
Jacob Roos—All said lot 8 except 48 by 52 feet southeast cor-		0.0	
ner, and six feet off north side s. cor.			
J. P. Frenzel—27 feet s side lot 9, and 6 feet north side lot 8			
Julia M. Ross—42 feet north side lot 9—	40		
		\$225 0	10
E. M. Defrees—Lot 3 square 87—	\$70	00	
Brinkmeyer and Kemper—20 feet south side lot 2, syuare 87	24	00	
S. Kaufman—20 feet middle part lot 2, square 87—	26	00	
E. S. Alvord—30 feet north side lot 2, square 87—	30	00	
E. S. Alvord—All of lot 1, square 87—	90		
, 1 1 1 1		\$240 0	0
		Ψ	4
Northwest quarter gauge 97 N N Marris I + 10 97	ne e	00	
	\$66		
Julia M. Mothershead—42 feet south side lot 11, sqr 87—	42		
Austin W. Morris—28 feet north side lot 11, sq 87—	28		
J. L. Mothershead—22 by 66 feet south side lot 12—	12	00	
Julia M. Mothershead-22 by 66 feet, and part west end lot			
12, square 87—	13	0.0	

A. M. Mothershead—22 feet north side west end lot 12 sqr 87 8	315 (00		
Julia M. Mothershead-30 by 66 feet of mid. pr. on Georgia				
street, lot 12—	7	00		
John L. Mothershead—30 by 60 feet next east on Georgia				
sfreet, lot 12—	7	00		
A. M. and J. L. Mothershead—66 feet east end lot 12, sqr 87	15	00		
		\$	215	00
Southoost quarter square 74 Edward Pontach 20 hu 100				
Southeast quarter square 74—Edward Rentsch—20 by 100 feet southeast corner lots 11 and 12,				
•	01 6	nα		
	\$16 13 (
M. Mayer—22½ feet next north of above lots—	12			
C. Howard—22 feet by — and — feet on Georgia street—		90		
Catholic School——feet east end lot 10, and — feet by —, west		50		
side south end lot 11—	23			
J. Skillen—35 feet on Illinois street across lots 10, 11 and 12				
M. F. Johnson—30 by 195 feet in lots 10, 11, and 12, sqr 74	21			
M. Irwin—26 by 195 feet lots 10, 11 and 12, square 74—	13			
J. L. Mothershead—40 by 195 feet lots 10, 11 and 12, sqr 74	16		145	00
4		Ψ	10	170
Southwest quarter square 74—Sisters of Providence—feet				
south end lot 7, square 74—	14	00		
Catholic Church—— feet north end lot 7 square 74—	11	00		
" All of lot 8, square 74—	33	00		
" North half west half south half lot 9,				
square 74—	19	00		
Catholic Parsonage—east ½ south ½ lot 9, square 74—	18	00		
			\$95	00
Northeast quarter square 74—Nathan B. Palmer—20 by 90				
feet lot 12 Palmer's sub. in sqr 74—	\$7	00		
Nathan B. Palmer—Lot 11 Palmer's sub, in square 74—		00		
" " 10 " " " "		00		
u u 9 u u u		00		
u u g u u u		50		
a a 7 a a a		00		
		00		
u u 5 u u u		00		
и и 4 и и и		00		
a a 3 a a a		00		
<u>2</u>		25		
es se I me se se		75		
M. L. Wilson—West ½ lot 2, square 74—		00		
" Lot 3, square 74—	13			
, and of planter of t	-10		\$75	00
			4000	00

Northwest quarter square 74—L. B. Wilson—Part lot 6, 15	9
feet north end-	\$12 00
M. A. Wilson—Part lot 6, 36 feet south end—	3 00
M. De St. Palais—Lot 5 square 74—	7 50
M. De St. Palais—Lot 4 square 74—	7 50
	\$30 00
	•
Southeast quarter square 88—Gustayus H. Voss—52 fee	et
north side southeast quarter sqr 88-	\$50 00
Mathias Haeth-All of the balance of said southeast quarte	P
of square 88	130 00
	\$180 00
Northeast quarter square 88-H. Marmott-Feet east en	d
lot 1, square 88	\$36 00
Sol. Hindman-37½ feet west end lot 1, on Georgia street-	9 00
- Whickey - feet west end lot 1, just east of Georgia-	
D. II. Corwin-Lot 2 square 88-	32 00
Lewis T. Morris-Lot 3 square 88-	32 00
Holt and Pope-Lot 4 square 88	31 00
1	\$150 00
	•
Northwest quarter square 88—H. Bird feet east side le	o.t.
Northwest quarter square 88—H. Bird feet east side lo	
3, square 88 on Georgia street	\$18 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street—G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st—	\$18 00 9 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88—	\$18 00 9 00 28 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street—G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st—	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88—	\$18 00 9 00 28 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88—	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00 \$100 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88— Southwest quarter square 88—St. John's Hospital—40 feet of	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88— Southwest quarter square 88—St. John's Hospital—40 feet of Tennessee street, lot 5 E. and Mo	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88— Southwest quarter square 88—St. John's Hospital—40 feet of Tennessee street, lot 5 E. and Mosub. of southwest quarter square 88	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88— Southwest quarter square 88—St. John's Hospital—40 feet of Tennessee street, lot 5 E. and Mc sub, of southwest quarter square 88 Alvord and English—Lot 4 E. and McC sub, southwest	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88— Southwest quarter square 88—St. John's Hospital—40 feet of Tennessee street, lot 5 E. and Mc sub. of southwest quarter square 88 Alvord and English—Lot 4 E. and McC sub. southwest quarter square 88—	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88— Southwest quarter square 88—St. John's Hospital—40 feet of Tennessee street, lot 5 E. and Mc sub. of southwest quarter square 88 Alvord and English—Lot 4 E. and McC sub. southwest quarter square 88— City Street Railway Co—Lot 3, Ridenour's subdivision—	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00 \$100 00 \$15 00 \$15 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88— Southwest quarter square 88—St. John's Hospital—40 feet of Tennessee street, lot 5 E. and Mc sub. of southwest quarter square 88 Alvord and English—Lot 4 E. and McC sub. southwest quarter square 88— City Street Railway Co—Lot 3, Ridenour's subdivision— "6,"	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88— Southwest quarter square 88—St. John's Hospital—40 feet of Tennessee street, lot 5 E. and Mc sub. of southwest quarter square 88 Alvord and English—Lot 4 E. and McC sub. southwest quarter square 88— City Street Railway Co—Lot 3, Ridenour's subdivision— "" 5, ""	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88— Southwest quarter square 88—St. John's Hospital—40 feet of Tennessee street, lot 5 E. and Mc sub. of southwest quarter square 88 Alvord and English—Lot 4 E. and McC sub. southwest quarter square 88— City Street Railway Co—Lot 3, Ridenour's subdivision— 6, " 5, " 4, "	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88— Southwest quarter square 88—St. John's Hospital—40 feet of Tennessee street, lot 5 E. and Mc sub. of southwest quarter square 88 Alvord and English—Lot 4 E. and McC sub. southwest quarter square 88— City Street Railway Co—Lot 3, Ridenour's subdivision— """ 5, "" 4, "" 3, ""	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88— Southwest quarter square 88—St. John's Hospital—40 feet of Tennessee street, lot 5 E. and Mc sub. of southwest quarter square 88 Alvord and English—Lot 4 E. and McC sub. southwest quarter square 88— City Street Railway Co—Lot 3, Ridenour's subdivision— G, G, G, G, G, G, G, G, G, G	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00
3, square 88 on Georgia street— G. Morris— - feet west side lot 3 square 88 on Georgia st— Augustus Turner—All of lot 2 square 88— Augustus Turner—All of lot 1 square 88— Southwest quarter square 88—St. John's Hospital—40 feet of Tennessee street, lot 5 E. and Mc sub. of southwest quarter square 88 Alvord and English—Lot 4 E. and McC sub. southwest quarter square 88— City Street Railway Co—Lot 3, Ridenour's subdivision— """ 5, "" 4, "" 3, ""	\$18 00 9 00 28 00 45 00

[Regular Session,

East half square 73—— Byrket—61 feet s ends lots 1 and 3	\$9	00		
M. Byrket—60 feet next north of above, in lots 2 and 3—	8	00		
M. R. Noble-58 feet north end of lot 2, and 15 feet south				
end lot 1, and part of lot 3	7	00		
N. Bolton's heirs-189 feet 10 inches north end lot, 1 and				
north end lot 3—	10	00		
M. Earl-45½ feet on Georgia street by 163 feet 7 in. in lot 4	4	00		
J. N. Huhey-feet on Georgia street, by 167 feet 7 inches				
in lot 5	2	00		
H. Bradley's heirs-Part lot 5, through to Kentucky avenue	5	00		
II. Bradley's heirs-149 feet on Georgia street, to west corner				
of square 73	5	00		
		\$	50	00
North 3 square 89-Wm. Sheets-north 3 of square 89, 270				
feet on Tenn. st. by 420 feet on Ga.	\$70	00		
J. M, Wembey-Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, Ridenour's sub. sqr 89	16	00		
Coburn & Jones-Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, Ridenour's sub. sqr 89	9	00		
M. H. Wright—Lots 11 and 12	3	00		
Henry C. Sailors—Lots 13 and 14 " "	2	00		
		-\$1	00	00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

By the foregoing assessment, the total damages amount to the sum of \$5,960, and the total benefits to an equal sum.

Had the petition been for the extension of the street to Virginia avenue, the assessment might have been made relatively less, on account of the greater length of territory to be taxed, and more satisfactory to all.

All of which is respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH M. SUTTON, IGNATIUS BROWN. SAMUEL M. SEIBERT, WILLIAM BRADEN, JOHN F. RAMSEY,

Which were received.

Dr. Woodburn offered the following motion:

That the City Clerk be directed to settle with the ex-city officers, whose terms of office expired upon the election of their successors.

Which was adopted.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING.

Special appropriation ordinances Nos. 24 and 25, 1870, appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims, and the Hospital ordinance for April, 1870, were read the second time and ordered to be engrossed.

By unanimous consent, the rules were suspended, and the above ordinances were read the third time and placed upon their passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—17.

Negative-none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special Ordinance No. 87, 1870, was read the second time and ordered to be engrossed:

By consent Mr. Marsee offered the following motion:

That the City Attorney be instructed to report to this Council, at their next regular meeting, whether they have power to repeal the ordinance giving the owners of Glenn's Block the privilege of building the stairway on the west side of their building.

Which was adopted.

By consent Mr. Kahn offered the following motion:

That the Street Commissioner be and is hereby instructed to fill up what is known as the "City Park," situated on out-lot No. 54, the same being in such a condition as to endanger the health of the surrounding inhabitants. And the Street Commissioner is further instructed to ask permission of the Sisters of Charity and Mrs. Holt, to use so much of the dirt as is necessary for that purpose from Vermont street, lately opened.

Which were adopted.

On motion the Council adjourned.

DANIEL MACAULEY, Mayor.

ATTEST :

DANIEL M. RANSDELL, City Clerk.