Journals of Proceedings

FOR

1882=1883.

address of the showing

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

OF THE



PROCEEDINGS OF COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION—June 5, 1882.

The Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, met in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, June 5th, A. D. 1882, at eight o'clock, in regular session.

PRESENT—Hon. Daniel W. Grubbs, Mayor, and ex officio, President of the Common Council, in the Chair, and 22 members—viz: Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Coy, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, Weaver and Yoke.

ABSENT, 3-viz: Councilmen Bedford, Cowie, and Dean.

OPENING AND REFERRING SEALED PROPOSALS FOR PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

Sealed proposals for paving with cedar blocks the roadway of Meridian street, and bowldering the wings of street and alley crossings thereof, from New York street to St. Clair street, and for paving the roadway of said street with cedar blocks, from St. Clair street to Seventh street, were opened, read, and, on motion by Councilman Pearson, were referred to the Committee on Contracts and City Attorney, to report next Friday night; and, on further motion, it was ordered that when this Council adjourns, it adjourn to meet again Friday evening, June 9th, 1882, at eight o'clock.

Councilman Pritchard called up the report of a certain special committee on garbage (see page 1467, ante), and on motion by Councilman Thalman, the third clause of said report was adopted by the following vote:

sig. 1.

AYES, 14--viz: Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Koller, Morrison, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Weaver, and Yoke.

NAYS, 4-viz: Councilmen Mauer, Pearson, Pritchard, and Ward.

REPORTS, ETC., FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The City Civil Engineer submitted the following report; which was received, and the estimate (presented therewith) approved:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:-I herewith report the accompanying first and final estimate in behalf of J. L. Spaulding, for curbing with stone the sidewalks of Massachusetts avenue (where not already curbed), from Pennsylvania street to New Jersey street-

2,049.50 lineal feet at 42 cents......\$860 79 Respectfully submitted, S. H. SHEARER, City Čivil Engineer.

The following estimate resolution was read:

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the accompanying first and final estimate in behalf of J. L. Spaulding, for curbing with stone the sidewalks of Massachusetts avenue (where not already curbed), from Pennsylvania street to New Jersey street, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of said city; and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

And it was adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 18—viz: Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, Weaver, and Yoke.

NAYS-None.

The City Clerk submitted the following report; which was referred to the Finance Committee:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:-I herewith submit an itemized statement showing the amount orders drawn on the city treasury during the month of May, 1882, viz:

ν ν ω	,		
Board of Health	\$	180	00
Bridges		255	52
City Assessor's Department		1,116	75
City Civil Engineer's Department		124	25
City Dispensary		257	95
City Hall		42	00
City Hospital and Branch.		990	96
Cisterns		118	93
Fire Department		6.269	45
Fountains		,	12
Garbage		450	00
Garfield Park		143	12
Gas		5,233	87
Interest on bonds		7,616	00
Judgments and costs		1.052	
Markets		11	50
Market-Masters' Fees		167	13
Parks		289	12
Police.		4,050	00
Printing		273	90

Salary Station Houses Street Improvements Street Openings and Vacations Street Repairs	484 237 1,331	09 69 00 29	200,000,00		
School fund			\$30,903 30 80,277 02 10 00		
Total			\$111,190 32		
Respectfully submitted, Jos. T. Magner, City Clerk.					

The City Treasurer submitted the following report; which was referred to the Finance Committee:

Report of ISAAC N. PATTISON, City Treasurer, for the month of May, 1882.

RECEIPTS.

, INDOMESTICAL		
Balance on hand as per last report, May 1, 1882	\$385,374	91
From benefits	231	
From coal licenses		00
From dray licenses	1	00
From express licenses	2	40
From fines and fees	283	80
From hucksters' licenses	6	00
From market-masters' fees	315	15
From peddlers' licenses	33	00
From promiseuous	24	00
From sale of old material from Fire Department.	53	85
From show licenses	155	00
From tapping sewers	7	00
From Tax sales	1.887	33
From Taxes delinquent	6,455	70
*		
· ·	\$394,836	14

·	ф099	±,830	14
DISBURSEMENTS.			=
For Board of Health	\$	180	00
For Bridges		255	52
For City Assessor's Department		1,116	75
For City Civil Engineer's Department		117	25
For City Dispensary For City Hall.		247	10
For City Hall			00
For City Hospital and Branch		1,066	
For Cisterns		118	
For Fire Department	(6,067	
For fountains			12
For garbage		450	-
For gas		5,233	
For Garfield Park		143	
For interest on bonds		7,616	
For judgments and costs		1,033	
For markets			50
For Market-masters' fees		167	
For parks		136	
For Police		4,050	
For printing		272	
For salary	H	172	
For school fund	73	5,300	UU

4 Journal of Common Council.	[Regular Session
For Station Houses. For street improvements For street openings and vacations. For street repairs For taxes refunded Balance on hand June 1, 1882.	139 96 484 69 192 00 1,395 50 5 00 288,714 86
TOMLINSON ESTATE,	\$394,836 14
Balance on hand as per last report, May 1st, 1882 From rents	538 82
Balance on hand, June 1, 1882	\$ 36,812 71 \$36,812 71

Respectfully submitted,

I. N. PATTISON, City Treasurer.

To Joseph T. Magner, City Clerk.

The City Clerk presented the following contract and bond of Harbison & Abrams; which was received, the contract concurred in, and bond approved:

This Agreement, made and entered into this 1st day of June, 1882, by and between Harbison & Abrams, of Marion county, Indiana, of the first part, and the City of Indianapolis, said county and state, of the second part;

Witnesseth, That the said party of the first part does consent and agree to do the work of posting city bills in accordance with the laws and ordinances governing such matters, and to the satisfaction of the said party of the second part, through her proper committees and city officers, at the prices fully set forth in their certain bid hereto attached, marked "Exhibit A," and which bid is made a part of this contract.

This contract to continue and be in force from the 1st day of June, 1882, to and including the 31st day of May, 1883, and until a new contract shall be awarded for doing the above described work.

Harbison & Abrams.

Indianapolis, May 20, 1882.

EXHIBIT "A."

To His Honor, the Mayor of the City, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen: — We propose to do the bill posting for the City of Indianapolis for the prices as follows:

HARBISON & ABRAMS, City Bill Posters.

Know all men by these presents: That Alexander Harbison, Milton Abrams, Samuel N. Bannister, and R. S. Foster, of the County of Marion, and State of Indiana, are held and firmly bound to the City of Indianapolis, in the peral sum of five hundred (\$500) dollars, the payment whereof, well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors, and administrators, firmly by these presents.

The conditions of this obligation are such, that if the above bound Harbison & Abrams shall faithfully comply with the foregoing contract, and fulfill all the conditions and stipulations therein contained, according to the true intent and meaning thereof, then this obligation to be void; otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law.

Witness our hands and seals this 1st day of June, 1882.

HARBISON & ABRAMS. SAMUEL N. BANNISTER. R. S. FOSTER.

The City Clerk presented the following contract and bond of W. R. Holloway; which was received, the contract concurred in, and bond approved:

This agreement, made and entered into this 31st day of May, 1882, by and between William R. Holloway, publisher of the Indianapolis Times, of Indianapolis, Marion county, Indiana, of the first part, and the city of Indianapolis, of said

county and State, of the second part,

Witnesseth: That the said party of the first part do covenant and agree to do the public advertising of the city of Indianapolis, Ind., in the Indianapolis Daily Times for twenty (20) cents per square (240 ems nonpareil), each insertion, as set forth in his bid, marked "Exhibit A," which is made a part of this agreement; such work to be performed to the satisfaction of the Committees on Printing of said Common Council and Board of Aldermen, and according to the specifications of said committees, herewith made a part of this contract, and marked "Exhibit B."

And the said party of the first part do further covenant and agree with the said

second party to do the publishing of the delinquent tax list of the city of Indianapolis, Indiana, in the said Indianapolis Daily Times, for the sum of fifteen (15) cents for each description, as set forth in said first party's bid, marked "Exhibit A," which is made a part of this agreement; said work to be performed to the satisfaction of the Committees on Printing of said Common Council and Board of Aldermen, and according to the specifications of said committees, herewith made a part of this contract, and marked "Exhibit C."

This contract to continue and be in force until the 31st of May, 1883, and until a

new contract may be awarded for doing such work.

WILLIAM R. HOLLOWAY. EXHIBIT "A."

Indianapolis, Ind., May 22, 1882.

To the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—I propose to do the public advertising for the city of Indianapolis, during the year ending May 31, 1883, in the Daily Times, for twenty (20) cents per square of not less than two hundred and forty (240) ems for each insertion of each advertisement; and the delinquent tax list for 1883 at fifteen (15) cents per description.

The actual daily circulation of the Daily Times within the city of Indianapolis during the last three months previous to this date has been two thousand three hundred and eighty-three (2,383) per day.

I will also furnish the five copies of the Daily Times to the city offices, and the bound volume as required by the specifications governing the present letting free, and comply with all the other requirements in said specifications, the conditions of which are hereby made a part of this proposal.

W. R. Holloway, Proprietor of Daily Times.

EXHIBIT "B."

Specifications governing the making of Proposals for doing Public Advertising for the City of Indianapolis, during the year ending May 31, 1883.

No bid for city advertising will be entertained from any newspaper which has not a general circulation in the city of Indianapolis, and is not printed and published within the limits of said city as frequently as once a week.

All bids shall be made on the basis of a square of not less than two hundred and forty (240) ems of type, printers' measure for each insertion of each advertisement.

Proposals for city advertising must be accompanied by the statement of the publisher of the newspaper bidding as to the actual daily or weekly circulation of said newspaper within the limits of the city of Indianapolis, such stated circulation to be an average of the number of copies delivered, or otherwise disposed of, within the limits of said city, during a period of not less than three months immediately preceding the filing of the bid.

It is also understood and agreed that the bidder to whom the contract hereunder may be awarded shall, when so required, verify, by oath, his actual local circulation during any particular period for which a bill is rendered; and such publisher shall pledge himself to accept a pro rata compensation for the city advertising done by him, in case such oath shall develop the fact that his newspaper does, at any

time, exhibit a material diminution in circulation from proposal figures.

It is also understood and agreed that the bidder to whom the contract hereunder may be awarded shall comply with the following stipulations:

To set up the city advertisements in solid type, not smaller on its face than that known as nonpareil; to use only "run-in" headings and display, unless otherwise ordered by the proper city authorities; to arrange all such advertisements under the heading "City Advertisements," for which line only one half square shall be allowed and paid for each column thereof, and at least such space shall be actually used; and to place such advertisements in suitably prominent place or places in his said newspaper, and not to scatter the same to suit his convenience, whim or caprice.

To send proof of each and every city advertisement to the city officer, department head, or chairman of committee f om which the same may have emanated, before publishing such advertisement for the first time; and to publish such advertisement for the number of times and on the dates required by law, or in accordance with directions endorsed thereon. If this stipulation is not strictly complied with, the offending contractor may be required to republish any erroneous advertisement, and shall be held liable for any loss or damage that may arise from his neglect or failure to be governed thereby.

To accompany each and every bill rendered under his contract with a printed copy of each advertisement charged for therein, which shall be attached to said bill in such manner that each and every advertisement may be fully and completely examined and audited.

To furnish the Committee on Printing, the City Clerk, the City Treasurer, the City Civil Engineer, and the City Attorney, at their several offices in the City Hall, with at least one copy of each issue of his paper, that the same may be placed on file therein; and such contractor shall also retain and preserve two copies of each of his issues during the continuance of his contract, and shall bind the same, promptly, after the 31st day of May, 1883, and place such bound files in the office of the City Clerk, and in the Committee Room, City Hall, on or before June 15th, 1883, all to be done without cost to the city of Indianapolis.

To furnish proof of publication of each advertisement, when so required, without cost to the city of Indianapolis.

EXHIBIT C.

Specifications governing the making of Proposals for publishing the Delinquent Tax List of 1883, for the City of Indianapolis.

All bids for publishing the Delinquent Tax List of 1883, for the city of Indianapolis, shall be governed by the following stipulations:

- 1. The style and form of the Delinquent Tax List of 1878 is hereby adopted and approved, and bids shall be made in accordance therewith, subject to the modifications hereinafter set forth.
 - 2. Bills shall be made, and bills rendered, at a certain price for each description.

3. There shall be three distinct issues of such Delinquent Tax List, as follows: For the first week of publication, not less than one thousand (1,000) copies, and for the second and third week, not less than eight hundred (800) copies, each week. Of the first week's publication, 300 copies, and of the second and third weeks, 100 copies each, shall be delivered to the City Treasurer, making 500 copies for Treasurer. Each weekly issue shall have the date of publication thereof printed at the head of each page.

4. Each bidder shall state the name of a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the city of Indianapolis, county of Marion, and State of Indiana, through which the edition of not less than seven hundred (700) copies are to be issued weekly, and the name of such paper shall be printed on each page of

the list immediately before the publication date thereof.

5. If the publisher, to whom the contract for publishing the Delinquent Tax list shall be awarded, shall choose to issue a larger edition thereof than the twenty-six hundred (2,600) copies stipulated in these specifications, he is hereby required to sell the same to any person who may desile to purchase such list for a price not exceeding ten (10) cents a copy.

Kuow all Men by these Presents: That we, William R. Holloway, John C.S. Harrison, and A. D. Lynch, of Marion county, State of Indiana, are held and firmly bound to the Common Council and Board of Aldermen, of the city of Indianapolis, in the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), the payment whereof, well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, firmly by these presents.

The conditions of this obligation are such that if the above William R. Holloway shall faithfully comply with the foregoing contract, and fulfill all the conditions and stipulations therein contained, according to the true intent and meaning thereof, then this obligation to be void; otherwise, to remain in full force and virtue in law.

Witness our hands and seals this first day of June, 1882.

WILLIAM R. HOLLOWAY, [SEAL.] JOHN C. S. HARRISON, [SEAL.] A. D. LYNCH, [SEAL.]

The City Clerk presented the following communication; which was received:

Indianapolis, May 27th, 1882.

I, Austin Corbin, President of the Indiana, Bloomington and Western Railway Company, for and in behalf of said company, do hereby accept the terms, conditions and provisions of an ordinance entitled "An ordinance authorizing the Indiana, Bloomington and Western Railway Company to construct certain tracks within and through a certain portion of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana," ordained and established by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the city of Indianapolis, on the 22d day of May, 1882, the same being general ordinance number 19, of the year 1882.

Austin Corbin.

The City Assessor submitted the following communication; which was approved and the time extended:

Indianapolis, June 5th, 1882.

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—The city charter requires that I should deliver to the City Clerk a list of the taxable property, real and personal, on the 1st Monday in June of each year, unless otherwise directed by your honorable bodies. A change in the law makes the lien on April 1st instead of January 1st, thus making it impossible to

complete the return in accordance with the charter. I would therefore ask an extension of time until the fourth Monday in July, for making the annual returns to the Clerk.

Respectfully,

M. F. CONNETT, City Assessor.

The Superintendent of the City Hospital and Branch, submitted the following report; which was received:

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The following reports of the City Hospital and Branch, for the month of May, 1882, are respectfully submitted:

Number of paid Officers and Employes in Hospital12 Number of paid Officers and Employes in Branch	First Week.	Second Week.	Third Week.	Fourth Week.	Three Days.	Monthly Totals	
No. of adult patients in Hospital at beginning of week No. of infant patients received during week No. of adult patients received or bern during week No. of ant patients received or bern during week No. of adult patients discharged during week No. of adult patients discharged during week No. of infant patients who died during week No. of infant patients who died during week No. of infant patients who died during week No. of patients in Branch at beginning of week No. of patients in Branch at end of week No. of adult patients in Hospital and Branch at end of week No. of infant patients in Hospital and Branch at end of week No. of pay-patients at beginning of week No. of pay-patients at end of week Aggregate number of days of patients in Hospital & Branch Aggregate number of days employes in Hospital Number of prescriptions filled.	11 2 53	7 2 4 1 55 2	2 13 4 1 2 1 2 65 4 	4 6 5 15 1 9 454	10 10 50 8 	1845	
Total							
Total expenditures for month \$1018 37 Aggregate number of days subsistence furnished \$2341 Average daily cost of each patient \$55+cts. Average daily cost for patients, officers, and employes \$43.5+cts. WILLIAM N. WISHARD, M. D., Superintendent.							

The Superintendent of the City Dispensary submitted the following report; which was received:

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indiannapolis:

Gentlemen:—The following reports of the City Dispensary for the month of May, 1882, are respectfully submitted:

Number of Patients treated at Dispensary	301
Number of Medical cases at Dispensary	291
Number of Surgical cases at Dispensary.	2
Number of Disease of Nervous System	2
Number of Disease of Eye and Ear	1
Number of Diseases of the Throat	5

May 31st, 1882

Number of Out-door Patients treated	1	55
Number at Station House		2
Number at News Boys' Home		
Number at News Boys Home		2
Number of Patients sent to Hospital		
Total number of Patients treated during month		761
Total number of Visits made during month	1	155
Total number of Prescriptions filled during month	8	385
Number of Births during month		1
Number of Deaths during month		4
Training of Power during months		•
EXPENDITURES FOR MONTH.		
J. J. Garver, Superintendent	\$70	00
H. O. Pantzer, Assistant	41	66
F. M. Ferree, Assistant	41	66
C. H. Bacon, Druggist	30	00
G. T. Craven & Co., zincs for battery		50
Wath and I for the control of the co	_	
Matthew Hartman, repairing ceiling		00
L. A. Gable, drugs furnished	82	12
Total expenditures for month	\$272	54

J. J. GARVER, M. D., Superintendent.

The Chief Fire Engineer submitted the following report; which was received:

To His Honor, Mayor Grubbs, Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—I herewith submit for your consideration, my monthly report of the cash receipts and disbursements of the Fire Department for the month ending

RECEIPTS.

Ma	7 1.	Balance on hand, as per last report			\$171	47
u°	10.	L. Neubacher, battery washings	\$35	00	35	
"	10.	L. Neubacher, oil zincs	1	80		
"	11.	J. Wiley, 2½ feet old hose	_	25		
"	13.	A. Bothast, 35 feet old hose	3	50		
"	19.	F. Fuhring, 12 feet old hose	1	20		
"	20.	M. Seerley, 10 feet old hose	1	00		
"	24.	Kingan & Co., supplying battery to fire-box	25	00		
"	24.	Pioneer Brass Works, old lead	3	00		
"	24.	Wm. Langsenkamp, old copper	8	80		
"	26.	L. Reising, 3 feet old hose		30		
"	31.	L. Howard, 12 feet old hose	1	20		
66	31.	Female Reformatory, supplying battery to fire-box	50	00		
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			131	05
					\$302	52
		DISBURSEMENTS.				
Ma	7 17.	I., B. & W. R. R. Co., freight		50		
"		Indianapolis Car Manufacturing Company, kindling	1	00		
"		Lafayette Pike Company, toll		10		
16	31.	I. N. Pattison; City Treasurer, cash	131			
					132	65
	Total	receipts	302	52		
		disbursements				

Respectfully submitted,

J. H. WEBSTER, Chief Fire Engineer.

169 87 \$302 52

Indianapolis, June 3d, 1882.

Wm. Hadley, rental agent, submitted the following report; which was received:

To His Honor, the Mayor, Members of the Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith report collections for the month of May, 1882, with treasurer's receipt therefor:

Mary Dyer, No. 113, north Illinois street. Hannah Overman, No. 115, north Illinois st W. H. Mahone, No. 117, north Illinois st Paul Sherman, No. 21, Indiana avenue L. S. Campbell, Indiana avenue, ground rent	25 25 15	00 00 00 00 50	
Less $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent commission	\$98	57	

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM HADLEY, Agent.

\$93 18

REPORTS, ETC., FROM OFFICIAL BOARDS.

The Board of Public Improvements and Street Commissioner, through Councilman Morrison, submitted the following report; which was approved:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—We herewith report expenditures of the Street Repairs Department for the month of May, 1882, together with total amount expended to June 1st, 1882:

Pay-rolls	
Gravel	00 10
Total expenditures for May, 1882	\$1,331 29
Total expenditures per last report	28,494 24

Wm. H. Morrison, John R. Cowie, Board of Public Improvements.

L. A. FULMER, Street Commissioner.

The Board of Public Improvements, through Councilman Morrison, submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—The Board of Public Improvements, Street Commissioner and City Civil Engineer, to whom was referred the matter of improving the extension of Indiana avenue from Fall Creek bridge to White River bridge, would report that we have examined the same, and recommend the City Civil Engineer be directed to contract for repairing said street, not to exceed \$500.

Respectfully submitted,

Wm. H. Morrison, John R. Cowie, Board of Public Improvements.

L. A. FULMER, Street Commissioner. S. H. SHEARER, City Civil Engineer. Councilman Morrison presented the following petition; which was received, and the prayer of the petition granted:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I desire an extension of 30 days' time for the completion of my contract for grading and paving with brick the west sidewalk of Pennsylvania street, from Seventh street to Eighth street, for the reason that I could not get the material before the expiration of the time given.

H. C. Roney.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5th day of June, 1882.

WM. C. PHIPPS, Notary Public.

Examined and approved.

Wm. H. Morrison, John R. Cowie, Board of Public Improvements.

The Board of Health submitted the following mortality report; which was received:

Report of Deaths in the City of Indianapolis, from the 15th day of May, 1882, to the 31st day of May, 1882—inclusive.

			·	
Under	1	vear	r 18	3
1 to	2		rs	
	5			2
		"		1
5 to	10	•••		L
10 to	15	"	()
15 to	20	"	2	2
20 to	25	- 66		
		"		_
25 to	30	••		2
30 to	40	"		3
40 to	50	66		3
50 to		66	***************************************	-
90 10	OU			
60 to	70	"	***************************************	3
70 to	80	66)
80 to	90	44	,	1
90 to 1		60		1
				_
			ds (,
Unkno	wn.		()
To	tal		48	3

J. A. SUTCLIFF, M. D., Pres't., E. S. ELDER, M. D., Sec'y., M. S. RUNNELS, M. D., Board of Health.

REPORTS, ETC., FROM STANDING COMMITTEES.

Councilman Mauer, in behalf of the Committee on Markets, submitted the following report; which was approved:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—In accordance with section 9 of the market ordinance, your Committee on Markets appraised the stalls, benches, and stands of the East and West Markets, and report the following as their appraisement:

FOR THE EAST MARKET.

47½ south side garden stands	\$589 635	00
9 east end garden stands		
15 west end garden stands		
30 benches on north side		
30 benches on south side	214	00
60 butcher stalls		
6 fish stands		
Total appraisement East Market\$	3,208	00

The sale to take place on Thursday, June 22d, 1882.

FOR THE WEST MARKET.

28 garden stands	\$445 00
10 butcher stands	150 00

Total appraisement for West Market...... \$595 00

The sale to take place on Friday, June 23d, 1882.

H. J. Mauer, Allen Caylor, Patrick Harrold. Committee on Markets.

Councilman Mauer offered the following motion:

That the City Clerk prepare an advertisement in accordance with section ten of the market ordinance, and advertise for one (1) week, commencing June 15th, 1882, in the Tribune, Telegraph, and Indianapolis Times.

Councilman Pearson moved to refer above motion to the Committee on Markets, to consult with the City Hall Commissioners.

Councilman Pritchard moved to amend the foregoing motion, as offered by Councilman Mauer, by inserting a provision in each market lease, "that the city may terminate the lease at any time by giving at least thirty days notice," and to refund a pro rata amount of any moneys that may be paid into the city treasury for the unexpired time.

Which amendment was adopted, and the motion, as amended, was then adopted.

The Committee on Public Light, through Councilman Bryce, submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—We would recommend that three lamps be erected at the crossing of Virginia avenue and the Union tracks. Also to erect three lamp-posts at the crossing of the tracks and Delaware street, the same to be lighted according to the regular schedule time, and the lamp-posts to be erected by the Gas Co. at their own expense.

Peter F. Bryce,
H. B. Stout,
Committee on Public Light.

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, through Councilman Weaver, submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of Bernard Backman, W. Seider, and Josiah Barker, asking for the vacation of the following Subdivision, viz: James Frank and William H. Seider's Subdivision of lot No. 3 in Hermann Heinrich's (Schroer's,) subdivision of 27.90 acres in the east half of the southwest quarter of section 13, township 15 north of range 3 east, which is all of the said half quarter section that lies on the west side of center of the Madison & Indianapolis Railroad and east of the Madison State Road, and to vacate the lots, streets, avenues and alleys therein, and especially to vacate Madison avenue and Webb street, and the alleys running parallel with Webb street east and west of the same.

Your committee have examined said I cality and believe said vacation should be made. The petitioners having made the proper advertisement according to law,

we recommend the accompanying resolution thereto be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

George Weaver, Simeon Coy, Committee on Streets and Alleys.

The following resolution, presented with the above report, was read:

Resolved, That the petition of Bernard Backman, William H. Seider, and Josiah Barker, praying for the vacation of James Frank and William H. Seiders' subdivision of lot No. 3, in Hermann Heinrich's (Schroer's) subdivision of 27.90 acres, in the east half of the southwest quarter of section 13, township 15 north, range 3 east, which is all of the said half quarter section that lies on the west side of the center of the Madison & Indianapolis Railroad and east of the Madison State Road, and to vacate the lots, streets, avenues, and alleys therein, and especially to vacate Madison avenue and Webb street, and the alleys running parallel with Webb street east and west of the same, be referred to the City Commissioners, with instructions to determine what persons, if any, will be benefited thereby, and assess benefits to persons and property affected thereby.

The said Commissioners are instructed to return, as part of their report, all petitions and notices. The City Clerk is hereby directed to issue, and the City Marshal to serve the proper notices upon the Commissioners; and the petitioners are hereby required to serve the proper notices upon all interested parties, as may be

designated by the City Commissioners.

And it was adopted by the following vote:

AYES 18—viz: Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, Weaver, and Yoke.

NAYS-None.

Councillman Weaver presented the following petition:

STATE OF INDIANA, MARION COUNTY,

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, Marion county, Ind.:

Gentlemen:—Your petitioner, the Indianapolis Oil Tank Company, respectfully represents that it is the owner in fee simple of lots 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 in the Indianapolis, Cincincinnati and Lawrenceburg Railroad Company's subdivision of outlot 90, in the city of Indianapolis, Marion county, Indiana, said lots being bounded on the north by Louisiana street, and on the south by Lord street, and

Pine street bounds lot 20 on the east; that there is an alley fifteen feet wide between lots 16 and 15, which commences on the south side of Louisiana street, and runs thence south to Harrison street. Your petitioner desires to have all its lots together, without being separated by said alley, so as to enable petitioner to better

erect certain improvements thereon, necessary to its business.

Your petitioner, therefore, respectfully asks that so much of said alley as extends and is between said lots 16 and 15, running from said Louisiana street to Lord street, be vacated and changed, and opened and established so as to extend and be along, across and over the west side of said lot 15 the same width, 15 feet; all of it to be on said lot 15 so owned by petitioner; that the buildings it expects and intends to erect will be for the public benefit as well as the use of the petitioner, and it is respectfully prayed that this petition be granted.

Indianapolis Oil Tank Line Company, by E. L. Williams, manager; McOuat & May, per B.; James B.

Councilman Weaver, in behalf of the Committee on Streets and Alleys, submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:-Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of the Indianapolis Tank Line Co., McOuat & May, and James B. Brown, asking for the vacation of the first alley west of Pine street, from Louisiana street to Lord street, and opening a fifteen foot alley through lot No. 15, I. C. & L. R. R. Co.'s sub. out-lot 90, would report that we have examined said locality on a former petition, and find that said petitioners own the property on each side of said alley, and desire to make additional improvements, and ask the alley be vacated where it is now located, and opened a short distance west, that their property may all be together. We do not believe any person will be damaged thereby, and therefore recommend said vacation and proposed opening be made, and the accompanying resolution be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

George Weaver, Sim. Coy, Committee on Streets and Alleys.

The following resolution, presented with the above report, was read:

Resolved, That the petition of the Indianapolis Oil Tank Line Company Mc-Ouat & May, and James B. Brown, praying for the laying out and opening of a fifteen-foot alley through lot No. 15, I., C. & L. R. R. Co.'s subdivision of out-lot 90, and for vacating the alley between said lot 15 and lot 16 of said subdivision, running from Louisiana street to Lord street, be referred to the City Commissioners, with instructions to assess benefits and damages, and to make due report; and that, for the purposes of such proposed opening of said alley through said lot No. 15, the Common Council do propose to appropriate such real estate and property as may be necessary therefor.

The said Commissioners are instructed to return, as part of their report, all peti-

tions and notices

The City Clerk is hereby directed to issue, and the City Marshal to serve, the proper notices upon the Commissioners, and the petitioners are hereby required to serve the proper notices upon all interested parties, as may be designated by the City Commissioners.

And it was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes, 19-viz: Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Pritchard Reichwein, Stout, Thalman. Ward, Weaver, and Yoke.

NAYS-None.

Councilman Weaver, in behalf of the same committee, submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen: - Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of Maria Jameson, P. H. Jameson, Ovid Butler, Scott Butler, and others, asking for the vacation of the first alley north of Lincoln avenue, from College avenue to Ash street, would report that we have examined said locality, and recommend said vacation be made, and the accompanying resolution relative thereto be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

George Weaver, Sim. Coy, Committee on Streets and Alleys.

The following resolution, presented with the above report, was read:

Resolved, That the petition of Maria Jameson, P. H. Jameson. Ovid D. Butler, Scott Butler and others, praying for the vacation of the first alley north of Lincoln avenue, from College avenue to Ash street, be referred to the City Commissioners, with instructions to determine what persons, if any, will be benefited thereby, and to assess benefits to persons and property affected thereby.

The Commissioners are instructed to return, as part of their report, all petitions

and notices.

The City Clerk is hereby directed to issue, and the City Marshal to serve the proper notices upon the Commissioners, and the petitioners are hereby required to serve the proper notices upon all interested parties, as may be designated by the City Commissioners.

And it was adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 19-viz: Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, Weaver, and Yoke.

NAYS-None.

The Committee on Water, through Councilman Ward, submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Water, to whom was referred the communication of the Water Works Company, submitting for confirmation the name of Christopher Heckman as an employe, to protect the rights of said company, as provided for in G. O. 12, 1882:

We recommend the accompanying ordinance relative thereto be passed, and

said Christopher Heckman's appointment be confirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

B. Ward, F. Hartmann, Committee on Water.

The following entitled ordinance, presented with the above report, was read the first time:

G. O. 47, 1882—An Ordinance authorizing the Indianapolis Water Company to appoint a competent person to protect the rights of said company, as provided for in General Ordinance No. 12, of 1882.

REPORTS FROM SELECT COMMITTEES.

Councilman Pritchard, in behalf of a certain special committee, submitted the following report:

Indianapolis, Ind., June 5, 1882.

To the Mayor and Common Council.

Gentlemen:—Your special committee appointed to investigate the charges made by John Klop, against Street Commissioner Fulmer, report as follows:

That section four (4) of an ordinance ordained May 9th, 1864, provides that any citizen, desiring to file charges against any city officer, shall reduce his charges to writing, and they "shall be verified by oath or affirmation that the same is true, as

the person making such charge or charges verily believes."

In this case the council was imposed upon by the unsworn statement of John Kolb, written in the form of an affidavit, and called such by the member who introduced it. The written charge filed was not even signed by John Klop, as he upon oath stated to your committee. A meeting of the committee was called for Thursday evening last, and all members of the committee and parties interested were notified by the City Clerk to appear. Mr. Dowling, of the committee did not attend, and a majority of your committee are informed by him that he left the city after receiving notice of the meeting, and having with him, as he claims, the sworn

statement of John Klop.

At the meeting, however, a majority of your committee had nothing before them upon which to base an investigation as required by the ordinance above referred to, and could not legally proceed. But Mr. Fulmer, whose reputation as an honest man had been assailed, insisted that he had the right to waive all irregularities in the proceedings, and have an investigation of the rumor set affoat at the last meeting of the Council. Thereupon, all witnesses were sworn to tell the truth, and the investigation proceeded. John Klop stated that he had worked in the sewer company of the city for three years; that for the first two months of his service he turned over to William Bossert all of his wages except one dollar per day. He received \$1.75 per day. That after the first two months he was directed by Bossert to turn the money over to Mr. Fulmer, and he says that for one year and eleven months, up to April, 1881, he gave Mr. Fulmer all his wages over one dollar received by him from the city, whenever he could find him, and when he could not he gave the money to Wm. Bossert; that he paid Bossert much oftener than he did Fulmer. How much he paid either of them he did not know. In many respects he was a remarkable witness. He stated that he had lived in Indianapolis for sixteen years, and was by occupation a brick and stone mason helper. He said that he never told any man, woman or child that he was giving part of his wages to Fulmer and Bossert, until he told Mr. Hartmann, of the Council, on Thursday of last week. He says Hartmann asked him why he was not at work. He told him he had been discharged. Hartmann asked why, and he told him "because he would not grease the bosses;" that afterwards, Mr. Hartmann called him and wanted him to go to an office. He did not know what for; did not know now where office was; did not remember that anybody was present until prompted by Mr. Hartmann in German, and then he remembered that there were five men in the room, but did not know who they were, but remembered that they talked a good deal to him, and he talked to them about this matter; that one of them wrote something, and told him that he had written what he had said to them; that they asked him to sign a paper, and he did so; and that then a man came in the room and said to him, "I will swear you," and that he swore him; that he did not know who the man was; that one of the men (he did not know who) took the paper away with him; said he did not know what they wanted to do with the paper, and being prompted again by Mr. Hartmann, in German, he said, "I gues they wanted to put it in the Council." From Mr. Hartmann your committee learned that the office referred to by Klop was the law office of Cropsey & Cooper. The notary was William Spenser, and three of the five men in the room were Hartmann, James T. Dowling, and James M. Cropsey. The other two he did not know.

We do not regard the statements of John Klop as reliable for the following rea-

sons:

1st. The statement of any sane man, who has lived in this city for sixteen years, and worked for \$1.75 per day for two years, for the city, and all that time gave his foreman seventy-five cents per day of his wages, and never say anything about it

to any man woman or child until more than a year after he had quit doing so, and then tell it to a company of strangers, in a strange office, and suffer them to write something, sign it, and swear to it, and never know what they are doing it for, or what they intend to do with the paper, is not worthy of belief.

- 2d. Several witnesses under oath stated that John Klop and Wm. Bossert had been on unfriendly terms since one year ago last fall; that at that time Klop had threatened to strike Bossert with a shovel or pick. No attempt was made by the prosecution, conducted by Mr. Hartmann, to contradict above statements. Such a relation forbids that kind of confidence now claimed, that Klop was giving Bossert seventy-five cents per day of his wages, and saying nothing about it.
- 3d. After John Klop was discharged from the city's service for neglecting his work, and calling his foreman vile names for calling his attention to it, he told Wm. Sommerfield, in the presence of John Keenan, on Saturday, the 3d day of June, 1882, that he paid Wm. Bossert ten dollars per month all the time he was in the city's employ. This was uncontrodicted by the prosecution. But in a strange office, and under the influence of five strangers, the above statement was a lie. There he remembered that he gave seventy-five cents per day of his wages, sometimes to Wm. Bossert, sometimes to Commissioner Fulmer.
- 4th. Men from the bridge company, sewer company, street company, bowlder company, and teamsters, all under Mr. Fulmer, came before the committee, and upon oath stated that they had never given any part of their wages to Mr. Fulmer or any one else in the city's service, and had never been requested to do so by any one. Many of these men had been working under Mr. Fulmer for nearly six years, and had never heard of such a thing until this case came up.
- 5th. John Klop, from the testimony given, is not a man to be relied upon. At times he was a very sullen and unpleasant man with his fellow workmen, would curse them and call them vile names. Some of the witnesses had frequently heard him say that every officer connected with the city government was a thief; was always borrowing money from fellow workmen to buy drinks with; was a frequenter of houses of ill-fame, and unblushingly published it to his fellow-workmen; and he sat in the presence of your committee and laughed and seemed amused at the above statements of witnesses, and suffered it all to go uncontrodicted.
- 6th. Mr. Fulmer and William Bossert both say the statements of Klop as to paying part of his wages to them is absolutely false. Mr. Bossert, who is foreman of the sewer company, says that much of the time he had trouble with Klop, and several times, other hands informed him, that Klop was threatening to do him personal violence; that the trouble was on account of the way Klop performed his work, except at one time, more than a year ago; the fuss was about General Grant, Klop abusing Grant until all parties grew mad. Finally, he says, he was compelled to discharge him for neglect of duty and personal abuse. Mr. Fulmer states that he once borrowed of Klop, for a friend, \$100, and gave him his note therefor, and that he has paid the note. The only wages of his that he ever had in his hands was four dollars that Klop's wife brought to his house, and asked him to give it to Coney Bossert, to pay him for working in John's place while he was sick. This four dollars he gave to William Bossert, and requested him to give it to his brother Coney, and that the same was done. Furthermore, Klop told Fulmer, on Thursday, June 1st 1882, that he had nothing against him; it was Bossert he was after.
- 7th. Klop says Mr. Fulmer never sked him to give him any of his wages, and he never had a word with him on the subject during all the time he worked for the city, and often affirmed that all his talk, and all his arrangments about his paying part of his wages, was had and made with Wm. Bossert.
- 8th. In the testimony of Klop are many contradictions. He knew just one thing well—that is, he paid over seventy-five cents per day of his wages. On all other points when he ventured to know anything, he would contradict himself.

He would start with "I don't know," and end with "I do know," but he closed his testimony without knowing where the office is that he first told his story in, or who the parties were (except Mr. Hartmann) that he told it to, even forgetting the day

he was in there, until prompted.

While the testimony offered makes a bad showing for Mr. Klop, there is one prominent fact in his own testiment to his credit, to wit: he never thought of making any such charge as has been made until he was invited by Mr. Hartmann to go to Cropsey & Cooper's office. In that office the charge was born. Klop, under his eath, affirms that he did not know what he was going to the office for, and did not know what they wanted with the paper, or what use they intended to make of it. His statement is anything but complimentary to the gent emen concerned. It so happen that they are all Democrats, and the men assailed are Republican officials It is alarming when party zeal will make men assassins of private reputations, involving, if possible, in ruin the victims, together with innocent and pure women and children, the families of the injured men.

While it is true that our investigation was not authorized by the ordinance of the city, yet in justice to Mr. Fulmer and Mr. Bossert, we ask permission to submit this report, and bear testimony that the charge made is absolutely false.

Respectfully submitted,

Jas. A. Pritchard, Ed. Brundage, Committee.

On motion by Councilman Pearson, the above report was concurred in by the following vote:

AYES, 16—vi: Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Egger, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS, 5-viz: Councilmen Coy, Dowling, Fultz, Harrold and Hartmann.

MESSAGES AND PAPERS FROM THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN.

The following message was read, and the action of the Board of Aldermen concurred in:

To the Mayor, and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—The Board of Aldermen, in regular session, held May 29th, 1882, failed to pass the following entitled ordinance, for the reason that safety-gates have been erected at the said crossing:

"G. O. 54, 1881—An Ordinance requiring the Cleveland, Columbus Cincinnati & Indianapolis Railway Company, and Indianapolis, Peru & Chicago Railway Company to employ and station a flagman at the point where the tracks of said companies cross east Market street."

I report the above action as a matter of information.

For the Board of Aldermen:

GEO. T. BREUNIG, Clerk.

The following message was read, and, on motion by Councilman Knodel, the Common Council adhered to their former action:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—At a regular session of the Board of Aldermen, held in the Aldermanic Chamber, Monday evening, May 29th, 1882, the following petition and motion, (granted and adopted by your honorable body May 1st, 1882), was amended by granting the privilege to D. Mussmann, instead of Christian Lehr. Your action as above amended was then approved.

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of Indianapolis:

I, the undersigned, beg leave to place a water plug, to be used for sprinkling purposes, between Ray and Morris, on south Meridian street.

CHRISTIAN LEHR.

I, the undersigned, agree to have the aforesaid water plug in front of my residence on south Meridian street, No. 578.

That permission be, and is hereby, granted to Christian Lehr to erect water-plug for sprinkling purposes, in front of No. 578 south Meridian street.

I submit the foregoing for your further consideration and action.

For the Board of Aldermen.

GEO. T. BREUNIG, Clerk.

The following message was read, and, on motion, the action of the Board of Aldermen, in amending the ordinance, was concurred in:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—The Board of Aldermen, at its regular session, held in the Aldermanic Chamber, May 29th, 1882, passed the following entitled ordinance, after amending the same, both the title and body thereof, by inserting the words "Indiana, Bloomington & Western Railway Company, lessees of the," immediately preceding the words "Indianapolis, Decatur & Springfield Railway Company." viz:

44 G. O. 57, 1881—An Ordinance requiring the Indianapolis, Decatur & Springfield Railway Company to employ and station a flagman at the point where the track of said company cross West street."

The ordinance, as amended, was then passed.

I submit the foregoing for your further consideration and action.

For the Board of Aldermen:

GEO. T. BREUNIG, Clerk.

APPROPRIATION ORDINANCES.

This being the regular appropriation night, the following entitled appropriation ordinances were placed on their final passage, without a suspension of the rules:

By the Fire Board, through Councilman Thalman, the following entitled ordinance was introduced, and read the first and second times:

Ap. O. 32, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the Fire Department. [Amount appropriated, \$527.62.]

Councilman Morrison moved that the claim of "E. H. Pritchard, \$40.00," be allowed, subject to any claim that the city may have against him for rent, etc.; which motion was adopted.

The ordinance was then ordered engrossed, read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Coy, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, Weaver, and Yoke.

NAYS-None.

By the Hospital Board, through Councilman Bryce, the following entitled ordinance was introduced, read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, and read the third time:

Ap. 0. 33, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the city of Indianapolis on account of the City Hospital and Branch. [Amount appropriated, \$1,018.47.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

ATES, 22—viz: Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Coy, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, Weaver, and Yoke.

NAYS-None.

By the Police Board, through Councilman Pearson, the following entitied ordinance was introduced, read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, and read the third time:

Ap. 0. 34, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Station-Houses. [Amount appropriated, \$173.83.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Coy, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritch ard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, Weaver, and Yoke.

NAYS-None.

By the Committee on Accounts and Claims, through Councilman Brundage, the following entitled ordinance was introduced, read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 35, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the city of Indianapolis. [Amount appropriated, \$25,822.90.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Ooy, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, Weaver, and Yoke.

NAYS-None.

By the Committee on Printing, through Councilman Brundage, the following entitled ordinance was introduced, read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 36, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the city of Indianapolis, on account of Printing, Stationery and Advertising. [Amount appropriated, \$617.85]]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Coy, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, Weaver, and Yoke.

NAYS-None.

INTRODUCTION OF GENERAL' AND SPECIAL ORDINANCES.

Under this order of business the following entitled ordinances were introduced, and were then read a first time:

By Councilman Brundage:

- S. O. 83, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley south of Fletcher avenue, from Linden street to Laurel street.
- S. O. 84, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley south of English avenue, from Linden street to Laurel street.
- S. O. 85, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley east of Everson street, from the first alley south of Prospect street to Orange street
 - S. O. 1, 28 and 29, 1882, were ordered stricken from the files.

By Councilman Bryce:

G. O. 48, 1882—An ordinance to repeal an ordinance entitled "An ordinance granting Charles Goodman and John Heinbert a license to carry on, maintain and exhibit a Museum in the city of Indianapolis.

By Councilman Dowling;

S. O. 86, 1882—An ordinance to provide for regrading and bowldering the road-way of Tennessee street, and curbing the gutters thereof, where not already curbed, from the north line of Georgia street to the Union Railway tracks.

By Councilman Mauer!

S. O. 87, 1882—An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Vermont street and sidewalks from Agnes street to the Mill Race.

INTRODUCTION OF MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS.

Councilman Brundage offered the following motion; which was adopted:

That the committee on Rules, the Judiciary Committee and the City Attorney, be, and is hereby instructed to revise and have printed two hundred copies of the rules and regulations governing the Common Council.

Councilman Cole presented the following petition; which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements and City Civil Engineer, with the ordinance, S. O. 76, 1882:

Indianapolis, Ind., June 1st, 1882.

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The undersigned, owners of real estate fronting on New York street, between New Jersey and East streets, respectfully petition for the amendment of the ordinance providing for the improvemet of said street, by making the sidewalk twenty feet, instead of twenty-five feet.
Wm. F. Kuhn, Gd'n, 150 feet; Isaac Kahn, 40 feet.

Councilman Egger offered the following motion; which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements, with power to act;

That the Street Commissioner be instructed to clean the first alley east of East street, between McCarty and Buchanan streets, the said alley having been graded and graveled according to the stakes set by the City Civil Engineer.

Councilman Fultz offered the following motions; which were referred to the Board of Public Improvements;

That the Street Commissioner fill the chuck hole on West street, between Merrill and Morris streets.

That the Street Commissioner fill up the gutter with cinders, in front of Nos. 400 and 402 south West street.

Councilman Harrold presented the following petition; which was received and the prayer of the petition granted:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—The undersigned, owner of the premises known as number 37, 39 and 41, south West street, in the City of Indianapolis, respectfully petitions for permission to dig a well on sidewalk in front of her said premises; such well to be dug under and according to ordinances governing such matters.

Respectfully,

SARAH McCoy, By Alex. Metzger, agent.

Councilman Harrold presented the following petition; which was referred to the Judiciary Committee:

To the Honorable Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Marion County, Ind.:

Christopher Hilgenberg, your petitioner, petitions and asks that he may be refunded \$10.48, and six per cent. thereon, as provided for in general tax for erroneous tax sales, the same being the amount paid by the petitioner for lot 26, in McCormack's heir subdivision of outlet 17, west of White river, at a tax sale had and held at the door of the court-house, in Marion county, Indiana; and the petitioner did then and there purchase, on the 11th day of February, 1880, the above-described real estate. paying therefor the sum aforesaid, the same being assessed in the name of Anna M'Cormack, and delinquent for the non-payment of taxes, costs and charges for the years 1876-7-8-9, and the time having expired fixed by law for the redemption of land sold for taxes, and neither the said Anna M'Cormack, nor any person in her behalf, nor any person claiming an interest in said premises, or any part thereof, having paid or tendered to said petitioner, or to the city clerk or treasurer, the amount due said petioner on account of his aforesaid purchase, or any part of the same, and for taxes by him since paid; and the said petitioner having demanded a deed for the lot above described, received the same of the treasurer of the city of Indianapolis, and that the petitioner has since paid taxes on the within described property for the year 1880, being the amount of \$1.07, and paid April 7, 1881, and also the taxes for the year 1881, being the amount of \$1.07, and paid February 21, 1882, and the petitioner having received a deed for said property, he went to the city assessors office for the purpose of locating the same, and found that the lot afore described had been taken in the right of way of the Belt railroad company, and that the aforesaid lot had been condemned to the use of the Belt road before the sal· of said lot. Therefore the petitioner asks and prays that the purchase money and all taxes since paid by him may be refunded with interest thereon, as provided for by law.

Councilman Knodel offered the following motion; which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, directed to clean the gutters on South Meridian Street, between McCarty and Morris streets.

Councilman Knodel offered the following motion:

WHEREAS, A test case is going to be made on the ordinance taxing saloons, etc.; therefore,

Moved, That the City Attorney proceed to make such test case as speedily as possible, and that such ordinance remain without enforcement until the courts have decided the same; provided that no obstacles be thrown in the way of a speedy decision. If any money shall be paid into the city treasury under such ordinance, said Treasurer shall keep a separate account of the same, and in case the ordinance shall be declared defective, said Treasurer shall refund said money to its legal and proper owner.

Councilman Pearson raised the point of order, that the above motion was out of order for the reason that any motion or resolution which, in effect, contemplates a violation of law, or is in conflict with any ordinance, should be ruled out of order.

The Chair sustained the point of order.

Councilman Dowling appealed from the decision of the Chair, and the question was then, Shall the decision of the Chair be sustained? which was sustained by the following vote:

AYES, 14.-viz. Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Egger, Hartmann, Koller, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Stout, Ward, Weaver and Yoke.

NAYS, 6-viz. Councilmen Coy, Dowling, Harrold, Knodel, Mauer and Reichwein.

Councilman Bryce offered the following motion; which was adopted:

That the City Marshal give notice to the proper parties to have the switches on Pennsylvania and Louisiana streets put in proper order.

Councilman Koller offered the following motion; which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, directed to clean the gutters and fill the chuck holes in Davidson street, between Ohio and North streets.

Councilman Koller presented the following petition; which was referred to the City Civil Engineer to prepare proper ordinance:

Indianapolis, May 25th, 1882.

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The undersigned, owners of real estate fronting on Spring street, between New York and Vermont streets, respectfully petition for the passage of

an ordinance providing for grading and graveling the roadway of Spring street and the sidewalks thereof, from New York street to Vermont street.

Susan A. Miller, 20 feet; Susan A. Miller and Priscilla Kersey, 40 feet; George Wysong, 80 feet; H. R. Martz, 80 feet; Mrs. Mary Dippel, 21 feet; Catharine Moore, 20 feet; Hermann Vahle, 80 feet; Henry Pauli, 20 feet; Cristena Hoffmeir, 80 feet; Henry E. Reinkin, 40 feet; Mrs. Mary Shillinger, 110 feet; R Ternsalem, 20 feet.

Councilman Morrison presented the following proposition and motion:

Indianapolis, Ind., June 5th, 1882.

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I desire to purchase 17 feet off the west end of the lot owned by the city in square 36, being part of the City Dispensary lot. For the 17 feet I will pay \$1,700 cash, which is the appraised value as made by Wm. Hadley and others.

JAMES M. TOMLINSON.

Moved, That the foregoing proposition of J. M. Tomlinson be accepted, and that the Mayor and City Treasurer be authorized and instructed to execute a deed, subject to the existing lease.

Councilman Pearson moved that the proposition be accepted and the motion adopted.

On motion by Councilman Yoke, action was postponed on the foregoing until the next meeting of Council.

By consent, Councilman Pritchard presented the following resolution in reference to the above, and action thereon was postponed until the next meeting of Council:

Indianapolis, Ind., June 5th, 1882.

Resolved, That 42 feet off of the east side of the real estate belonging to the city in square 36, be held by the city for the use of the City Dispensary, and that the appraised value thereof, viz., \$4,200, be placed to the credit of the City Hall fund, to be applied to the erection of City Hall and Market House, at such time as the Common Council and Board of Aldermen may decide to build.

Councilman Pearson presented the following communication and motion; which was received, the motion adopted, and Councilmen Pearson, Cole, Ward, Morrison and Coy appointed by the Chair to act as the members of such committee:

Indianapolis, June 5th, 1882.

The Honorable, the Common Council and Mayor of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The subscriber begs to state that he has been for several years past engaged in laying down the genuine Trinidad Asphaltum pavement in Washington. And, satisfied in himself of its merits, and of its perfect adaptation to the streets of Indianapolis, he is persuaded that a personal inspection by a committee appointed from among your members would be all that is necessary to remove the prejudice which some of your citizens entertain against it, because of the many failures of coal-tar and other worthless imitation pavements.

Therefore, if it be deemed not presumptuous, I would respectfully suggest that a committee be appointed to visit Washington City, where over 400,000 square yds. of the genuine Asphalt pavement is laid, and has received the universal endorsement of visiting engineers and city officials, as well as the approval of the citizens of Washington.

Most respectfully,

W. R. DAVIES.

That a committee, consisting of his Honor, the Mayor, City Civil Engineer and five members of this body, to be appointed by his Honor, and two members of the Board of Aldermen and President of said Board, to visit Washington City and look up the different pavements.

Councilman Pritchard presented the following petition:

Indianapolis, June 5th, 1882.

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—Some time ago we asked the vacation of first alley south of Seventh street, from Howard street east to the Lafayette Railroad. For reasons we have concluded, in this matter, to ask the consent of the city government to build a strong and secure roof across said alley, to connect our main building with the tile kiln, or oven and buildings we are about to build on grounds south of said alley, owned by the company. The roof will be of sufficient height not to interfere with the free use of said alley by the public.

Our reason for asking this is that we could not carry on our business in rainy or bad weather, as one drop of water on dry tile will ruin it, and we need a roof to protect the tile in all kinds of weather, when carrying the same to and from the

ovan.

U. S. ENCAUSTIC TILE Co. By J. G. Douglass, President.

Councilman Pritchard offered the following motion; which was adopted:

That the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis hereby give their consent to the United States Encaustic Tile Company to build a roof across the first alley south of Seventh street, running from Howard street to Lafayette Railroad track, so as to connect by continuous roof their main building with buildings to be erected on company's ground south of said alley; said roof not to interfere with the use of said alley by the public.

Councilman Reichwein offered the following motion; which was adopted:

That Mr. Christ. Gompf be allowed to repair the gutters on Liberty street, in front of his own property.

Councilman Reichwein offered the following motion:

That the City Marshal be, and is hereby directed to notify the C., C., C. & I. R. R., and W., P. & St. L. R. R. to erect safety gates on Noble street, within ten days from day of notice.

On motion by Councilman Morrison, the above motion was amended by adding the crossing of Indiana avenue by the C., I., St. L. & C. R. R. Co.

The motion, as amended, was then adopted.

Councilman Stout offered the following motion; which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, directed to fill the chuck holes on Delaware street, between St. Mary and South streets.

Councilman Thalman offered the following motion; which was adopted:

That the Committee on Public Property be requested to report to this Council, at the next regular meeting, a statement of the rent received, and from whom, on each separate piece of the Tomlinson property.

Councilman Thalman offered the following resolution:

Resolved, That E. F. Claypool be appointed Commissioner of University Park; Wm. R. Holloway, of Circle Park; Thomas A. Morse, of Military Park; Daniel Chenowith, of Garfield Pa k. Said Commissioners to serve for one year from June 1st, 1882, and without pay.

And it was adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 21—viz. Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Coy, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, Weaver and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

It being now nearly eleven o'clock, Councilman Brundage moved an extension of time; which failed of adoption by the following vote:

AYES, 10—viz.: Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Cole, Coy, Dowling, Hartmann, Thalman, Ward, Weaver and Yoke.

NAYS, 12—viz.: Councilmen Caylor, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein and Stout.

Councilman Thalman presented the following petition; which was referred to the City Civil Engineer to prepare proper ordinance:

Indianapolis, June 5th, 1882.

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The undersigned, owners of real estate fronting on alley running east and west, between Michigan and North streets, in square five, respectfully petition for the passage of an ordinance providing for the grading and graveling of said alley through square five, from Penusylvania to Meridian street.

G. M. BALLARD.

Councilman Ward offered the following motion; which was adopted:

That the City Attorney be requested to prepare an ordinance repealing the garbage ordinance.

Councilman Ward presented the following petition; which was referred to the Committee on Accounts and Claims and Judiciary:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Your petitioner, David V. Burns, respectfully represents to Your Honor and your honorable bodies, that he has continuously assisted his partner, O. S. Denny, in all the duties of his office in important matters requiring the assistance of associate counsel from his induction into office, January 1st, 1882, to the present time; that during said time he has assisted in the trial of several cases of great important matters. tance, and requiring great labor on his part; that the services so rendered by him from January 1st, 1882, to June 1st, 1882, were reasonably worth the sum of \$687.50, which amount he prays may be appropriated and ordered paid to bim therefor.

Respectfully,

DAVID V. BURNS.

Indianapolis, Ind., June 5th, 1882.

Since the commencement of my term of office, my partner, David V. Burns, has assisted me in the trial of several of the most important cases which have been disposed of, as well as rendered me valuable assistance in other cases and matters for worth to the city the amount named in his bill, herewith presented. If the salary of the City Attorney had been what your honorable bodies have now fixed it at, no bill would have been presented by Judge Burns for these services. The services were rendered in aticipation that the difference between the salary as it then was, and what it would be fixed at for the coming year, would be allowed, and the bill presented is for that amount. I respectfully ask your honorable bodies to allow him the sum asked.

Respectfully,

C. S. DENNY, City Attorney.

Councilman Weaver submitted the following report of the City Hall Commissioners; which was concurred in:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:-Your Commissioners on City Hall and Market House, who were authorized to select plans for the erection of said buildings, beg leave to make the following report:

At our first regular meeting, held December 28, 1881, we decided to advertise for plans, and accordingly sent out instructions to competing architects, limiting them in the cost to \$150,000.00. We received plans on February 22, 1882, which have been opened for general inspection in the Mayor's office since the above date.

Your Commissioners invited the competing architects, at different times, to explain the particular merits of each plan separately; we also received carefully prepared estimates showing the cost of each one, all of them to come within the stipulated sum given in the instructions; we invited expression from the business men and citizens, and after careful examination of the plans submitted to us in regard to permanence, convenience, durability and suitability for the purpose for which it is intended, as set forth in the advertisement, we find that the one we deem most meritorious, is provided with a large Hall, which is capable or seating 7,000 people, having three long galleries, which are separated from each other, being so arranged as to have two stairways to each one, and each kept separate. There are eight stairways in all, which are so distributed as to prevent any confusion in case of a large crowd, as each leads directly to the outside.

The quarters for the different departments of the city government are so arranged that those whose business require direct communication with each other, are contiguous, and each room is well lighted and ventilated. The whole of the space beneath the large Hall, which is over 20,000 square feet, is to be devoted to market purposes, and will provide space for over 300 rental stalls. This is so constructed

that it can be used for many other purposes, such as Expositions, or a Power Hall for the display of machinery, or for large military drills.

This plan was submitted by J. H. & H. Stern, February 22d, and was adopted by us June 5th, as the most suitable. Therefore we award to them first premium, and we would recommend that the building, when erected, be constructed according to this general plan.

The second in the list contains many of the main features described in the first, the roof being well constructed, its general features being that of solidity, while its design in outward appearance is plain; the Hall being some smaller, the means of egress being fewer, and some of the offices are not quite so conveniently arranged; the galleries communicate with each other, and have fewer means of exit.

This one is submitted by G. W. Bunting, and being next in merit, we award to

it the second premium.

The other plans submitted your Commissioners have decided are not as full as required by the advertisement. Therefore we deem it advisable not to award the third premium at present. We further recommend that the amount of said first and second premiums so awarded be placed in the next regular appropriation ordinance.

Respectfully submitted,

D. W. Grubbs,
James T. Layman,
W. H. Tucker,
E. H. Koller,
George Weaver,
City Hall Commissioners.

It being now eleven o'clock, the Council adjourned by virtue of the following section of the rules:

SECTION 5. This Council shall not, without a suspension of the rules by a two-thirds vote, sit later on any evening than eleven o'clock.

DANIEL W. GRUBBS, Mayor.

President of the Common Council

Attest: Jos. T. Magner, City Clerk.