## PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

# COMMON COUNCIL.

### REGULAR SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS,
Monday, September 5, 1870, 7 o'clock, p. m.

The Common Council met in regular session.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, Daniel Macauley, in the chair, and the following members:

Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—16.

Absent—Councilmen Cottrell and Harrison—2.

The sealed proposals were opened and read, and referred to the committee on Contracts.

The proceedings of the regular session, held August 29, 1870, were read and approved.

42

Business was resumed in the order in which it was left off at the last meeting, viz:

#### REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The report of the City Clerk, which was presented August 29, 1870, was called up, and concurred in, with the exception of the estimate in favor of Messrs. Patterson & Dunnidg for grading and bowldering New Jersey street, from St. Clair street to Fort Wayne Avenue.

Mr. Wiles presented the following communication:

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The undersigned, for themselves and in behalf of the owners of lots bordering on New Jersey street, north of Massachusetts Avenue, respectfully submit the following opinion in reference to their liability to pay for the bowldering of that part of New Jersey street occupied by the Citizen's Street Railway Company, and two feet outside of each rail.

On the 18th day of January, 1864, the Common Council granted the Citizen's Street Railway a charter, upon certain conditions, giving them the exclusive right of constructing, extending, and operating passenger railways in and upon the streets of the city of Indianapo-One of the conditions upon which the Company accepted their charter was, that "the said Company shall bowlder the space between the rails of the track, and also shall pave, bowlder, or otherwise improve (as the streets may be) two feet on the outside of each rail, and keep the same, together with all bridges at the crossing of the gutters, in good repair." This agreement on the part of the Street Railway Company was accepted by them for a valuable consideration, which they received—that consideration being the exclusive right to construct and operate passenger railways upon the streets of said city for hire. We claim that the Street Railway is no benefit to persons residing upon the lines of the Company, but on the other hand, citizens are hindered in the free and full enjoyment of the streets by the railway tracks, and are annoyed with the dust and noise caused by the passenger cars. We do not believe that the Common Council has the power to relieve the Street Railway, which

is a private corporation, and subject in the same manner and to the same extent, as a private individual, to the payment of taxes, assessments, and other public burdens, from the conditions upon which its charter was granted, to the detriment of private citizens, unless it be for the public good. The Street Railway Company have a monopoly to that part of the street used for tracks, and fully two feet on the outside of each rail, for the reason that the public cannot conveniently, and seldom do, use the portions of streets occupied by the tracks of the Street Railway, or safely drive nearer to them than two feet, and to compel the holders of lots along the lines of a Street Railway to pay for the improvement of that part used by the Railway Company, when the same is principally used by such Company, and when all the wear and tear upon that part of the street is caused by such use, is to compel private individuals to support a private corporation.

We do not believe that the Common Council has any more power or right to relieve the Street Railway of an ordinary tax standing against it upon the tax duplicate of the city, than they have to relieve such a Company from paying for improving that part of a street occupied by its tracks. Admitting, which we do not, the legality of the Ordinance, approved by the Common Council February 21, 1870, releasing the Street Railway from the payment of street improvements, as provided by the 5th section of the Company's charter, for the period of ten years, then all assessments which, in the absence of said Ordinance, would have fallen upon the Street Railway for street improvements, must be paid by the city.

This is the view taken by the City Attorney, in an opinion recently furnished your honorable body, upon the subject under consideration.

We wish also to refer to the fact, that at the time of signing the petition by the property holders for bowldering New Jersey street, north of Massachusetts Avenue, it was their express understanding that the bowldering of that part of the street used by the Street Railway, and two feet on the outside of each rail, would be paid for either by the Company or city, otherwise the petition would never have been signed.

It must have been the understanding of the Council that the city was compelled to pay that which the Street Railway was originally

required to pay, or they would not, by a resolution, dated July 25, 1870, approved the estimate for bowldering that part of New Jersey street, from the south side of Massachusetts Avenue to the north side of St. Clair street, assessing eighteen feet in the center of the street, used by the Street Railway Company, against the city, and the remainder of the street against the holders of lots thereon. And in pursuance of the adoption of this estimate by the Common Council, the owners of lots along said street have paid the contractors their assessments, and have received receipts in full. We think the approval of said estimate, and the payments made by the holders of lots in pursuance thereof, is binding upon the city.

We understand that the city paid for the bowldering of that part of Illinois street, for the distance of two squares, used by the Street Railway Company, and for raising their tracks to correspond with the grade of the street on Virginia Avenue.

Common justice requires that the Common Council should treat all citizens alike.

Respectfully,

N. B. TAYLOR, J. W. GORDON, GEO. K. PERRIN, W. W. LEATHERS.

Which was referred to the committee on Finance and City Attorney, along with the estimate for the aforesaid improvement.

The following resolution was called up, and adopted by the following vote:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate, allowed Richard Carr for grading and bowldering the gutters on Benton street, from Marylavd to Meek street, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Those who voted in the affirmative were—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—15.

Negative-None.

Also the following:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate, allowed Richard Carr for grading and bowldering Chesapeake alley, from Illinois to Meridian street, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

Those who voted in the affirmative were—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—15.

Negative-None.

Also the following:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate, allowed John Richardson for grading and graveling Wilkins street and side walks, from Tennessee to Chestnut street, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

Those who voted in the affirmative were—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—15.

Negative-None.

Also the following:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate, allowed Joseph Kinger for grading and paving with brick the west sidewalk on Alabama street, from North to St. Clair street, be and the same is

hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

Those who voted in the affirmative were—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—15.

Negative-None.

Also the following:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate, allowed James Mahoney for grading and graveling Severn alley, from New York to Vermont street, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

Those who voted in the affirmative were—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—15.

Negative-None.

Also the following:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate, allowed John A. Whitsitt for grading and paving with brick the west sidewalk on Virginia Avenue, from Louisiana to South street, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

Those who voted in the affirmative were—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—15.

Negative—None.

The Civil Engineer made the following report:

Indianapolis, September 5th, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—I hereby report the following work finished according to contract, viz:

Also, B. F. Coleman, for grading and graveling Severn alley, running from Maryland to Georgia st., through square 75—

 East side.
 390 ft.

 West side.
 390 ft.

Also, Hanway & Rubush, for grading and graveling the alley running north and south from Pratt to St. Joseph street, and between Delaware and Alabama street—

Also, John Richardson, for grading and graveling Wilkins street and sidewalk, from Tennessee to Chestnut st.—
400 ft. 1 in. at 64 cts. per foot......\$256 06

N. B. This amount was, through error, left out of my report of his work made August 29, 1870.

Respectfully submitted,

R. M. PATTERSON, Civil Engineer.

Which was concurred in.

The City Clerk made the following report:

Indianapolis, September 5th, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

The City Clork respectfully reports to Council—

First—Contract and bond of Hanway & Rubush, for building a wooden bridge over Pogue's Run, on South street.

Second—Contract and bond of Oliver Keely, for grading and paving the sidewalks on Ohio street with brick, from Meridian to Noble street.

Third—Contract and bond of Daniel Shearer, for building a wooden bridge over Pogue's Run, on Garden street.

Fourth—Contract and bond of Louis Rennard, for grading, paving with brick, and curbing the outside edge of the sidewalk with stone, the south sidewalk on Washington street, from Missouri street to the western arm of the Central canal.

Fifth—First and final estimate allowed Samuel Lafevre for grading and paving with brick the west sidewalk on New Jersey street, from Washington to South street.

Sixth—First and final estimate allowed Hanway & Rubush, for grading and graveling the alley running north and south from Pratt to St. Joseph street.

Seventh—First and final estimate allowed B. F. Coleman, for grading and graveling Severn alley, running from Maryland to Georgia street.

DAN. M. RANSDELL, City Clerk.

Which was concurred in, and the contracts and bonds approved.

Also, the following resolution:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate, allowed Samuel Lefevre for grading and paving with brick the west sidewalk on New Jersey street, from Washington to South street, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—16.

Negative-None.

Also, the following:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed Hannaway & Rubush for grading and graveling the alley running north and south from Pratt to St. Joseph street be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property-owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite to their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles, and Woodburn—16.

Negative-None.

Also, the following:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed B. F. Coleman for grading and graveling Severn alley, running from

Maryland to Georgia street, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property-owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite to their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kenning-Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles, and Woodburn—16.

Negative-None.

The City Clerk made the following report:

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: The City Clerk would respectfully report that there are on file in his office the following affidavits for the collection of street improvements by precept, as follows:

Hiram Seibert vs. the Indiana Central Railway Co., for	\$227	03
Patterson & Dunning v. Rebecca Roll et al., for	92	14
Patterson & Dunning v. Rebecca Roll et al., for	92	14
D. Root & Co. v. G. W. Allred, for	10	69
D. Root & Co. v. J. M. W. Langsdale, for		79
D. Root & Co. v. Thomas Griffin, for		70
James Mahoney v. E. G. Root for		56
G. W. Allred v. D. & J. B. Root, for	14	00
G. W. Allred v. Eleanor D. Sloan, for	14	00
G. W. Allred v. Ebenezer Smith, for	14	70
G. W. Allred v. Jas. H. McKernan for	14	70
G. W. Allred v. Emma A. Fletcher for	14	00
G. W. Allred v. A. H. Smith, for	21	00
G. W. Allred v. A. H. Smith, for	21	00
Richard Carr v. Johanna O'Conner, for	21	00
Richard Carr v. Fred. Miller, for	16	66

And would recommend that you order the precepts to issue, Respectfully,

D. M. RANSDELL, City Clerk.

Which was concurred in, and precepts ordered to issue.

The Street Commissioner made the following report:

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: In compliance with a motion passed by your honorable body at its last meeting, directing the Street Commissioner to report what disposition had been made of the bowlders removed from the streets to give way for the stone crossings that are being put down, I would respectfully report that there have been twenty-four crossings put in, and we get about one-half yard of surplus bowlders on an average from each crossing. That would give twelve yards of bowlders. Out of those we have used five and one-fourth yards at the intersection of Washington and Delaware streets; one-half yard at the intersection of Delaware and Court streets; one yard at the intersection of Market and Delaware streets; one yard in the gutter in front of the Bates House, on Illinoisstreet; and three and one half vards were used to bowlder the bottom of the culverts on Delaware and Market streets; and there are new lying on the streets, at the corner of Meridian and Louisiana streets about two-thirds of a yard; on the corner of Tennessee and Washington streets one-half yard; on Meridian and Ohio streets, one-half yard.

As we were neither buying nor selling the above-mentioned bowlders, we did not take the pains to measure them. Consequently the above figures may not be the exact count to a bowlder, but they approximate the amount as near as could be ascertained without measuring. If the Council desire it, I will, in the future, keep an exact account of them. But, under the circumstances, I did not feel it my duty, nor think it necessary to do so.

Respectfully submitted,

A. BRUNER, Street Commtssioner.

Which was concurred in.

The Street Commissioner made the following report:

Indianapolis, Sept. 5, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—I have the honor to submit the following report of work done in my department since July 1st, 1870:

## FIRST WARD.

Repaired the culvert on Massachusetts avenue and Michi-	
gan street.	\$16 00
Repaired the culvert on Massachusetts avenue and Vermont street	13 00
Repaired the culvert on Massachusetts avenue and New	18 00
York street.	17 00
Repaired the culvert on New Jersey and Vermont streets	12 00
Opened the gutters on North street, between East and No-	O.W. 0.0
ble streets	27 00
Repaired the culvert on Vermont street and Massachusetts avenue.	21 00
-	
SECOND WARD.	\$104 00
Placed four foot bridges on Jackson and Arch streets	\$12 00
Repaired the culvert on North and Meridian streets	19 00
Repaired the culvert on Christian avenue and Bellefontaine	
street	7 00
Repaired St. Clair street, between Massachusetts avenue	00.00
and Davidson street	33 00
	\$71 00
THIRD WARD.	
Clerned the gutters on Illinois street, between Ohio and First streets, and leveled up the same	\$78 00
Cleaned Illinois street, between Washington and Market	φιο σσ
streets	11 00
Repaired the culvert on Tennessee and Market streets	17 00
Gpened the gutters on Mississippi street, between North	
and Market streets	43 00
Opened the gutters on Indiana avenue, between Tennessee and Illinois streets	9 00
Repaired the culvert on Illinois and Washington streets	24 00
Repaired the gutter in front of the Bates House on Illinois	
street	19 00
Repaired the culvert on North and Tennessee streets	3 00
Repaired the culvert on Meridian and North streets	14 00

Sept. 5, 1870.] COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS.	4	59
Scraped Illinois street and picked the bowlders off of the same	18	00
FOURTH WARD.	\$236	00
Opened the gutters on New York street, between West and Blake streets	\$38	00
Opened the gutters on Fayette street, between North and St. Clair street	32	00
Opened the gutters on North street, between the canal and Blake street	47	00
Opened the gutters on West street, between the canal and Washington street	14	00
Placed four foot bridges on New York and Douglass streets, and two on Mississippi and Ohio streets	24	
Placed a bridge over the gutter on Indiana avenue, etc	\$169	
FIFTH WARD.	# 200	
Cleaned Illinois street, between Washington and Louisiana streets	\$35	00
Replaced the old culvert on Illinois and Pearl streets	19	00
Repaired the old culvert on Illinois street and Kentucky avenue.	78	00
Opened the gutters and repaired Tennessee street, between Washington and Louisiana streets		00
Repaired the culvert on Tennessee street and Kentucky avenue.		00
Cleaned Kentucky avenue, between Illinois and Tennessee streets		00
Cleaned Washington street, between Illinois and West street.		00
	\$565	00
SIXTH WARD.		
Opened the culvert on Pennsylvania street and Pogues Run		00
Cleaned Merrill street, between Louisiana and South streets	14	00

.

Cleaned Maryland street, between Meridian and Delaware streets	78	00
Cleaned Louisiana street, between Illinois and Meridian street	29	00
Cleaned the alley running south from Maryland street, between Pennsylvania and Delaware streets	27	00
Cleaned Illinois street, between Louisiana and Washington streets	13	00
Cleaned McNabb street, between Illinois and Meridian street	26	00
Repaired the culvert on McCarty street and Madison avenue	9	00
Cleaned Washington street, between Delaware and Illinois street	17	00
	\$222	00
SEVENTH WARD.		
Cleaned Washington street, between Delaware and New Jersey streets	\$19	00
Repaired the sidewalk on New Jersey street near McCarty		00
Cleaned the culvert on Delaware and Wyoming streets	18	00
Opened the gutters on Virginia avenue, between Pogues Run and South streets	13	00
Opened the gutters on Cumberland street, between East and Delaware streets	24	00
Repaired the gutter on Delaware and McCarty streets		00
Repaired New Jersey street, between South and Merrill streets.	49	
<u> </u>	(b 1 4 1	
EIGHTH WARD.	\$141	00
Built a culvert over Virginia River on the first alley north		
of Huron street	\$27	00
Placed two foot bridges on Virginia avenue and Cedar street	8	00
Placed two cement culverts on Fletcher avenue and Pine		
street	185	09
	\$220	00

\$237 00

## NINTH WARD.

Repaired the culverts on Market and Alabama streets	\$17	00
Repaired the culvert on New York and New Jersey streets	16	00
Repaired the culvert on Ohio and Noble streets	13	00
Leveled up Market street, between New Jersey and East		
streets	15	00
Repaired the culvert on New Jersey and Washington		
streets	34	00
Built a culvert on Cruse street just north of Washington	C.F	00
Street	65	00
streets	65	00
Repaired the intersection of Market and Delaware streets	17	
Repaired the culvert on Pennsylvania and Washington	.,	00
streets	17	00
	0050	00
BRIDGES.	\$259	00
	\$259	00
BRIDGES.  Removed the bridge from across the race on New York street	\$259 \$18	
Removed the bridge from across the race on New York	\$18	
Removed the bridge from across the race on New York street	\$18 19	00
Removed the bridge from across the race on New York street	\$18 19 17	00
Removed the bridge from across the race on New York street  Repaired the bridge over Pogues Run on Virginia avenue  Repaired the bridge over the canal on New York street	\$18 19 17 23	00 00 00
Removed the bridge from across the race on New York street  Repaired the bridge over Pogues Run on Virginia avenue  Repaired the bridge over the canal on New York street  Regaired the bridge over Pogues Run on East street	\$18 19 17 23 9	00 00 00 00
Removed the bridge from across the race on New York street  Repaired the bridge over Pogues Run on Virginia avenue  Repaired the bridge over the canal on New York street  Regaired the bridge over Pogues Run on East street	\$18 19 17 23	00 00 00 00
Removed the bridge from across the race on New York street  Repaired the bridge over Pogues Run on Virginia avenue  Repaired the bridge over the canal on New York street  Regaired the bridge over Pogues Run on East street  Repaired the bridge over Pogues Run on Alabama street	\$18 19 17 23 9	00 00 00 00
Removed the bridge from across the race on New York street  Repaired the bridge over Pogues Run on Virginia avenue  Repaired the bridge over the canal on New York street  Regaired the bridge over Pogues Run on East street  Repaired the bridge over Pogues Run on Alabama street  MISCELLANEOUS.	\$18 19 17 23 9	00 00 00 00 00
Removed the bridge from across the race on New York street  Repaired the bridge over Pogues Run on Virginia avenue Repaired the bridge over the canal on New York street Regaired the bridge over Pogues Run on East street Repaired the bridge over Pogues Run on Alabama street  MISCELLANEOUS.  Removed the benches out of the West Market House and	\$18 19 17 23 9 \$86	00 00 00 00 00
Removed the bridge from across the race on New York street  Repaired the bridge over Pogues Run on Virginia avenue Repaired the bridge over the canal on New York street Regaired the bridge over Pogues Run on East street Repaired the bridge over Pogues Run on Alabama street  MISCELLANEOUS.  Removed the benches out of the West Market House and put them up in the East Market House	\$18 19 17 23 9 \$86	00 00 00 00 00 00

#### RECAPITULATION BY WARDS,

Showing the amount on hand July 1, 1870, the amount appropriated July 9, 1870, the amount expended since July 1, 1870, and the amount on hand at the date.

Wards.	Deficit July 1870.	Balance J. 1870.	Amount appropriated July 1, 1870.	Total amount of appropriation.	Am't expended since July 1, 1870.	Balance on hand.	Deficit.
Fourth Ward	\$110 16 109 16 70 02 424 00 59 65 223 60 311 65	21 20 261 00	\$500 00 500 00 500 00 500 00 500 00 500 00 500 00 500 00 1000 00 4000 00	993 95 389 84 390 84 429 98 76 00 521 20 440 35 276 40 1261 00 2688 39	\$104 00 71 00 236 00 169 00 265 00 222 00 141 00 259 00 186 00 237 00	153 84 221 84 164 98 380 20 220 35 27 40 1075 00 2451 37	\$146 00

This report should have been in three weeks ago, but owing to my having a broken arm I have been unable to make it until the presen ttime.

Respectfully submitted,

G. BRENNER, Street Commissioner.

Which was received.

The Cith Treasurer made the following report:

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: I have the honor to report that I have appointed Charles E. Harris as deputy Treasurer for the purpose of collecting delinquent taxes, and I respectfully ask that you confirm him as such.

Respectfully, your obedient servant,

ROBERT S. FOSTER, City Treasurer.

By John W. Coons, Deputy.

Which was concurred in, and appointment confirmed.

The City Clerk presented the following report of the City Commissioners in the matter of widening Meridian street, from First to Seventh streets.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: The Board of City Commissioners, to which was referred the petition of William H. Fry and about twenty others, asking that Meridian street be widened by taking five feet from the front of the lots on either side of said street, from First street to Seventh street—said five feet on each side to be added to the sidewalk—would respectfully report:

That we have viewed the street, and have heard the statements of the property-holders interested, and after due consideration of the case, are of opinion that the street should be widened as desired by the petitioners, and that a necessity exists for the same, and that the damages and benefits resulting from said opening will be equal.

In almost all cases the fences will have to be set back, and in most cases there will be some excavation or filling up needed to make the additional sidewalk, but after due consideration we are unanimously of the opinion that the benefit to the property will not only equal the value of the ground taken, but will also cover any expense incurred for moving the fences, or making the sidewalks as above mentioned. We therefore recommend that the prayer of the petitioners be granted, and that Meridian street, from First street to Seventh street be widened by taking five feet from the fronts of the lots on each side, said strips to be added to the sidewalks as prayed for.

We further recommend, if the Council order the opening of said street as above described, that the property-holders be allowed until the 1st day of April, 1871, to make the changes required in their fences, trees, and sidewalks, each being allowed to make it sooner than that date, if he so desires. This recommendation is made at the request of all the property-holders interested.

The prayer of the petitioners is conditioned on the widening of Meridian street north through Morrison's Addition. Before making our report, an agreement, signed by William Morrison, and all the property-holders in said Addition, was placed before us, by which

agreement all said property-holders are to give the necessary ground on each side of the street to make Meridian street to the north the same width as in St. Clairs's Addition when widened. It is understood this agreement is to be acknowledged by said property-holders and placed on record.

It would be well, also, if Meridian street were widened from First street South to St. Clair street.

We submit the following assessment of damages and benefits:

May's Subdivision of St. Clair's Addition, lots 8 and 9:

Catharine Lewis, lot 6; 61 feet. Damages \$19; benefits \$19. Catharine Lewis, part lot 5, 32 feet. Damages, \$9; benefits \$9.

Wm. W. Johnson, part lot 5, 29 feet. Damages \$8 50; benefit 8 50.

Wm. W. Johnson, part lot 4, 21 feet. Damages \$8; benefits \$8.

G. T. Evans, n. part lot 4, 40 feet. Damages \$12; benefits \$12.

R. S. Simons, s. part lot 3. 41½ feet. Damages \$12; benefits 12.

W. S. Pierce, n. part lot 3, 19½ feet. Damages, \$6; benefits \$6.

W. S. Pierce, lot 2, 61 feet. Damages \$18 30; benefit \$18 30.

W. S. Pierce, lot 1, 61 feet. Damages \$18 30; benefits \$18 30.

#### St. Clair's Addition:

Wm. S. Hubbard, lot 10, 465 feet. Damages \$116; benefit \$116.

R. R. Parker, part lot 11, 115 feet. Damages \$29; benefit \$29.

W. T. Hasket, part lot 11, 77 feet. Damages \$9 25; benefit \$9 25.

E. B. Martindale, part lot 11, 240 feet. Damages \$60; benefit \$60.

J. D. Condit, part lot 12, 315 feet. Damages \$79; benefit \$79.

W. Henderson, part lot 12, 112 feet. Dam. \$22 50; benefit \$22 50. Lucien Barber, s. half lot 13, 200 feet. Damages \$40; benefit \$40.

A. C. Harris, part n. half lot 13, 100 ft. Damages \$18; benefit equal.

D. M. Vinnedge, part n. hf. lot 13, 100 ft. Dam. \$18; benefit equal.

Chas. Mayer, s. hf. lot 18, 225 ft. Dam. \$33 75; benefit equal.

C. A. Ferguson, n. hf. lot 14, 200 feet. Dam. \$30; benefit equal.Jas. S. Athon, lot 7, 192 ft. Damages \$57 50; benefit equal.

# Butsch & Goeper's Subdivision of St. Clair's Addition:

Valentine Butsch, lot 1, 113 ft. Dam. \$33 75; benefit equal. Fred. Goepper, lots 1 and 2, 309 ft. Dam. \$92 50; benefit equal.

G. A. Wells, part lot 5,  $82\frac{1}{2}$  ft. Dam. \$20 50; benefit equal.

G. H. Chapman, part lot 5, 120 ft. Dam. \$30; benefit equal. Sarah E. Tout, part lot 4, 115 ft. Damages \$28 50; benefit equal.

## Lewis' subdivision of part 518½ lot 4, St. Clair's Addition:

T. A. Lewis, lot 1, 61½ feet. Dam. \$15 25; benefit equal.

T. A. Lewis, lot 2, 60 feet. Dam. 15 00; benefit equal.

T. A. Lewis, lot 3, 68 feet. Dam. 15 00; benefit equal.

T. A. Lewis, lot 4, 50 feet. Dam. 12 50; benefit equal.

T. A. Lewis, lot 5, 50 feet. Dam. 12 50; benefit equal.

T. A. Lewis, lot 6, 50 feet. Dam. 12 50; benefit equal.

## Haugh & Churchman's subdivision of lot 3, St. Clair's Addition:

S. E. Howe, lot 1, 40 feet. Dam. \$10; benefit equal.

S. E. Howe, lot 2, 40 feet. Dam. 10; benefit equal.

F. Kendall, lot 3, 40 feet. Dam. \$10; benefit equal.

C. B. Huston, lot 4, 40 feet. Dam. \$10; benefit equal.

C. B. Huston, lot 5, 40 feet. Dam. 10; benefit equal.

C. E. & G. H. Geisendorff, lot 6, 40 feet. Dam. \$10; benefit equal.

C. E. & G. H. Geisendorff, lot 7, 40 feet. Dam. 10; benefit equal.

Wm. H. Fry, lot 8, 40 feet. Dam. \$10 00; benefit equal.

Wm. H. Fry, lot 9, 40 feet. Dam. 7 25; benefit equal.

Wm. H. Fry, lot 10, 40 feet. Dam. 7 25; benefit equal.

## St. Clair's Addition:

A. E. Vinton's heirs, part lot 2, 144 ft. Dam. \$26 00; benefit equal.

D. Pursel, part lot 2, 72 feet. Dam. \$13; benefit equal.

W. D. Frazee, part lot 2, 72 feet. Dam. \$12; benefit equal.

## Pope's subdivision lot 1, St. Clair's Addition:

Ellen M. Trask, lot 1, 44 feet. Dam. \$6 75; benefit equal.

W. T. Gibson, s. half lot 2, 44 feet. Dam. \$6 50; benefit equal.

W. T. Gibson, lot 3, 22 feet. Dam. 3 25; benefit equal.

Isaac Patterson, lot 4, 44 feet. Dam. \$6 50; benefit equal. Isaac Patterson, lot 5, 44 feet. Dam. 6 50; benefit equal.

Martin M Ray part lot 1 230 feet Dam \$34 50 haneft equal

Martin M. Ray, part lot 1, 230 feet. Dam. \$34 50; benefit equal. Total Damages \$1,121 85. Total Benefits \$1,121 85.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

SAMUEL M. SEIBERT, J. F. RAMSAY, IGNATIUS BROWN, JOSEPH H. SUTTON, Board of City Commissioners. Which was accepted and concurred in.

Also, the following report:

To the Mayor and common council of the city of Indianapolis:

\* Gentlemen: The petition of J. S. Wood and others, asking that Blake street be widened to sixty feet in Athon and Elliott's subdivision of out lot 158, having been again referred to us, we have examined the premises, and would submit the following report:

1st. We think the prayer of the petitioners should be granted, and that a strip ten feet wide off the east ends of lots 3 to 17 inclusive, in Athon and Elliott's subdivision of out lot 158 should be condemned for public use, widening the street to sixty feet, as desired.

2d. The benefits to the property-holders will equal the damages for the ground taken.

3d. The street has lately been improved, and if the ground is taken, we think the city should make the new sidewalk. We therefore recommend that on the opening of the street, the Street Commissioner be ordered to make the new sidewalk at the expense of the City.

4th. Henry Harris owns lots 14 and 15, on each of which stands a small frame house. Since making our former report, which recommended the moving of said houses, he has removed them. We now recommend that his agent, (W. T. Hubbard,) be paid \$15 from the general fund for the expenses of said removal. We make this recommendation, and the one as to the sidewalk as part of the adjustment of the whole matter. The damages and benefits otherwise are equal, as set forth in the following assessment:

#### Outlot 158 Athon and Elliott's subdivision:

J. S. Wood, lot 3. Damages \$15; benefit same.

J. S. Wood, lot 4. Damages 15; benefit same.

T. B. Elliott, lot 5. Damages \$15; benefits equal.

James S. Athon, lot 6. Damages \$15; benefit equal.

James S. Athon, lot 7. Damages 15; benefit equal.

James S. Athon, lot 10. Damages 15; benefit equal. James S. Athon, lot 11. Damages 15; benefit equal.

W. H. Hubbard, Tru	st. for T. B	. Elliott	, l. 8. Dam.	315; benefit eq'l.
W. H. Hubbard, "	"	"	1. 9. Dam.	15; benefit eq'l.
W. H. Hubbard, "		"	1.12. Dam.	15; benefit eq'l.
W. H. Hubbard, '	"	"	1.13. Dam.	15; benefit eq'l.
W. H. Hubbard, '		"	l.16. Dam.	15; benefit eq'l.
W. H. Hubbard, '	"	"	1.17. Dam.	15; benefit eq'l.
Henry Harris, lot 1	4. Damage	es \$15;	benefit equal	

Henry Harris, lot 14. Damages \$15; benefit equal. Henry Harris, lot 15. Damages 15; benefit equal.

Respectfully submitted,

SAMUEL M. SEIBERT,
J. F. RAMSAY,
IGNATIUS BROWN,
JOSEPH M. SUTTON,
Board of City Commissioners.

Which was approved and concurred in.

Also, the following report:

To the Mayor and Common Council of the city of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The Board of City Commissioners, to which was referred the petition of F. W. Miller and forty-five others, asking the opening of Michigan street east through the old Bellefontaine Railroad property to the west end of Michigan street in Davidson's addition, would report as follows:

The Board met on notice June —, 1870, at the City Clerk's office, and after examining the ground and taking testimony as to the benefits and damages—adjourning from time to time for that purpose until August 1st, 1870—agreed on the following facts:

When the petition above named was filed, the railway company seemed to be the holder of the ground affected by it, but on the 7th of June, 1870, Francis M. Churchman filed for record a deed from the company to himself, dated July 2, 1867, conveying a strip sixty-two feet wide, and reaching along and over the entire surface covered by the proposed street from the present east end of Michigan street to the donation line, and extending one foot beyond either side of said proposed street.

The Board was both embarrassed and relieved in the consideration of the case by the filing of this deed. We could not say that the

opening of the street would be beneficial to the narrow strips left to Mr. Churchman on either side of the line, nor could we say that the ground held by the railway company north and south of these strips was benefitted to any marked degree, for the company's ground would be cut off from the new street. We therefore allow Mr. Churchman the full cash value (as shown by the evidence) of the ground actually taken from him for the new street, making no deductions for benefits, and charging no benefits against the company on the adjacent ground.

By the sale to Churchman the company has relieved the Board of the task of estimating any damages to the company from the opening of the new street, for they no longer have any property on it. Their deed to Churchman is in fee, retaining only the right of way and the right to lay necessary tracks across said strip. This right is similar to their rights at the street crossings, and it is not proposed to interfere with it. We therefore think the company sustain no damages, having only a right of way, as at any other street crossing.

In the opinion of the Board, and by the evidence before us, the greater part of the benefits resulting from the opening of said street should be placed upon the property east of the donation line, and they have therefore been levied mostly in that quarter.

We therefore report in favor of the prayer of the petitioners, and recommend the opening of Michigan street to the width of sixty feet easterly through the property of the old Bellefontaine Railway Company, (now the Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati & Indianapolis Railway Company,) in out-lot 50, from the present east end of Michigan street to the donation line, and thence easterly on the same line, through the property of Mr. Edward King, to the west end of Michigan street, as laid out between Davidson's second and third additions.

We further find that the benefits resulting from the opening of said street will be equal to the damages, and submit the following schedule of said damages and benefits:

Damages to Francis M. Churchman for a strip of ground sixty by about four hundred and fifty-three feet long in out-lot 50, on a direct line from the present east end of Michigan street to the presene west

end of Michigan street, in Davidson's addition, taken for said new street at \$2,000 per acre—\$1,250.

Damages to Edward King for a strip of ground sixty by two hundred and twenty-two feet, east and west, in northwest corner of n. w. qr., n. w. qr., s. w. qr., sec. 6, t. 15, r. 4, between the donation line and the west end of Michigan street, in Davidson's addition, and on a direct line between and connecting the two ends of said Michigan street, in the city and in said addition; said strip being taken for said new street at \$1,800 per acre—\$555.

· Benefits to Edward King on 444 feet, new frontage on both sides of said new street in the northwest part of the northwest quarter of the northwest quarter, sec. 6, t. 15, r. 4, between the donation line and Davidson's addition, at \$1.25 per foot—\$555.

## Davidson's third addition-

Benefits to Edward King on lot 20, two hundred and seventy dolls.

Benefits to Edward King on lot 19, one hundred and ten dollars.

Benefits to Susan L. Davidson on lot 16, one hundred dollars.

Benefits to Susan L. Davidson on lot 17, forty dollars.

Benefits to Susan L. Davidson on lot 18, thirty dollars.

Benefits to S. A. Fletcher, Sr., on 21.82 acres pt. w.  $\frac{1}{2}$ , n. e. qr., s. w. qr, sec. 6, t. 15, r. 4—one hundred dollars.

## Davidson's second addition-

Benefits to Thomas H. Bowlus on lot 1, twelve dollars.

Benefits to Thomas H. Bowlus on lot 2, ten dollars.

Benefits to Thomas H. Bowlus on lot 3, ten dollars.

Benefits to Thomas H. Bowlus on lot 4, nine dollars.

Benefits to A. F. Corey on lot 5, nine dollars.

Benefits to Horn & Jordan on lot 6, eight dollars.

Benefits to Noah N. Davidson on lot 7, eight dollars.

Benefits to Catherine A. Miller on lot 8, seven dollars.

Benefits to Catherine A. Miller on lot 9, seven dollars.

Benefits to M. C. Curran on lot 77, eight dollars.

Benefits to I. Schobeda on lot 78, eight dollars.

Benefits to Thomas H. Bowlus on lot 79, eight dollars.

Benefits to F. W. Vogel on lot 80, seven dollars.

Benefits to Chas. Paul on lot 81, seven dollars.

Benefits to W. W. Wright on lot 82, six dollars.

Benefits to John C. Burton on lot 83, six dollars.

Benefits to Thomas H. Bowlus on lot 84, five dollars.

Benefits to Dorman N. Davidson on lot 85, five dollars.

Benefits to T. H. Bowlus on lot 76, ten dollars.

Benefits to D. N. Davidson, trustee, on lot 85, nine dollars.

Benefits to T. H. Bowlus on lot 74, nine dollars.

Benefits to T. H. Bowlus on lot 73, eight dollars.

Benefits to T. H. Bowlus on lot 72, eight dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 71, seven dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 70, seven dollars.

Benefits to Fred. Trundleman on lot 69, six dollars.

Benefits to Thos. H. Bowlus on lot 68, six dollars.

Benefits to Bellefontaine R. R. Co. on lot 10, five dollars.

Benefits to Bellefontaine R. R. Co. on lot 11, five dollars.

Benefits to Bellefontaine R. R. Co. on lot 12, two dollars.

Benefits to Bellefontaine R. R. Co. on lot 13, two dollars.

Benefits to Bellefontaine R. R. Co. on lot 14, five dollars.

Benefits to Bellefontaine R. R. Co. on lot 15, five dollars.

Benefits to Bellefontaine R. R. Co. on lot 16, four dollars.

Benefits to Bellefontaine R. R. Co. on lot 17, four dollars.

Benefits to Bellefontaine R. R. Co. on lot 18, four dollars.

Benefits to Bellefontaine R. R. Co. on lot 19, four dollars.

Benefits to John Stanch on lot 67, five dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 66, five dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 65, four dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 64, four dollars.

Benefits to Thos. H. Bowlus on lot 63, one dollar.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 62, one dollar.

Benefits to W. W. Wright on lot 61, one dollar.

Benefits to D. U. Davidson, trustee, on lot 60, three dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 59, three dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 58, three dollars.

Benefits to W. W. Wright on lot 86, five dollars.

Benefits to A. Corey on lot 87, five dollars.

Benefits to Horn & Jordan on lot 88, four dollars.

Benefits to J. N. Sweetzer on lot 89, four dollars.

Benefits to A. Corey on lot 90, three dollars.

Benefits to J. N. Sweetzer on lot 91, three dollars.

Benefits to Thos. H. Bowlus on lot 92, three dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 93, one dollar.

Benefits to Susan L. Davidson on lot 94, one dollar.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 95, one dollar.

## P. A. Davidson's addition—

Benefits to Luther R. Martin on lot 1, forty-five dollars.

Benefits to Fred. Smith on lot 12, thirty dollars.

Benefits to Luther R. Martin on lot 2, thirty-five dollars.

Benefits to W. P. Bingham on lot 11, twenty-five dollars.

Benefits to L. R. Martin on lot 3, twenty-five dollars.

Benefits to Pred. Dietz on lot 10, fifteen dollars.

Benefits to Bellefontaine R. R. Co. on lot 4, fifteen dollars.

Benefits to Bellefontaine R. R. Co. on lot 9, ten dollars.

## Davidson's second addition-

Benefits to Thos. H. Bowlus on lot 20, four dollars.

Benefits to Thos. H. Bowlus on lot 21, four dollars.

Benefits to Susan L. Davidson on lot 22, four dollars.

Benefits to Catherine A. Miller on lot 23, four dollars.

Benefits to Catherine A. Miller on log 24, three dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 25, three dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 26, three dollars.

Benefits to Catherine A. Miller on lot 27, three dollars.

Benefits to Catherine A. Miller on lot 28, two dollars.

Benefits to Catherine A. Miller on lot 57, four dollars.

Benefits to Catherine A. Miller on lot 56, four dollars.

Benefits to Catherine A. Miller on lot 55, four dollars.

Benefits to Temple C. Harrison on lot 54, four dollars.

Benefits to Horn & Jordan on lot 53, three dollars.

Benefits to Catherine A. Miller on lot 52, three dollars.

Benefits to Alex. Wilson on lot 51, three dollars.

Benefits to Thos. H. Bowlus on lot 50, three dollars.

Benefits to Thos. H. Bowlus on lot 49, two dollars.

Benefits to Thos. H. Bowlus on lot 96, four dollars.

Benefits to James N. Sweetzer on lot 97, four dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 98, four dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 99, four dollars.

Benefits to P. A. Davidson on lot 100, three dollars.

Benefits to W. W. Wright oh lot 101, three dollars.

Benefits to W. W. Wright on lot 102, three dollars.

Benefits to Catherine A. Miller ou lot 103, three dollars.

Benefits to Catherine A. Milier on lot 104, two dollars.

Total damages, \$1,805. Total benefits, \$1,805.

Balance of damages to be paid, \$1,250 00.

In closing this report, the Commissioners would say that in their judgment Michigan street should be extended eastward from the present terminus to Arsenal Avenue, and that a general system for streets in the territory south of the Arsenal grounds should be at once adopted and applied in that region.

SAMUEL M. SEIBERT, IGNATIUS BROWN, J. F. RAMSAY.

Which was accepted and eoncurred in.

Also, the following report:

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTMEMEN: The Board of City Commissioners to which was referred the petition of Hiram Seibert and others, asking that Greer street be opened north to Stevens street, from the first alley south, after considering said petition, viewing the premises, and hearing testimony, would respectfully report:

That a necessity exists for opening said street, and that the benefits will equal the damages. We therefore recommend the opening of said street from its present north end, through lots 48, 33, and 32, in Coffman's subdivision of the north part of out lot 101, to Stevens street, to the width of sixty feet, and on a direct line.

Mr. C. Smith's house will stand two or three feet in the street as extended. We would recommend that it be left thus for the present, (to avoid the expense of cutting it off or moving it) he to acquire no title to the ground it may thus occupy. If it be deemed necessary to move it or cut it off in the future, it can then be done. It will be a merely temporary obstruction on a street which will probably exist for all time.

Mr. F. Waensche's lot is taken, together with his house and other improvements. We presume the house and improvements will be sold to the city, and that whatever sums they bring should be applied to the payment of the damages, thus lessening the burden to the adjoining property-holders. We think the lowest price these improvements should bring is \$100, and have therefore taxed the city with that sum, thus diminishing the amount levied on the neighboring property.

We regret that the petition did not ask the extension of the street northward to Merrill street, or even to the avenue. We think all this section of the city but poorly provided with streets running in a northern and southern direction. They are now much needed, and will be still more needed in future as the city extends southward. The streets will never be opened more cheaply than now, and it would be to the interest of all property-holders in that region to move in the matter at an early date.

We recommend the granting of the prayer of the petitioners, and that the street be opened as desired, and submit the following assessment of damages and benefits:

#### DAMAGES.

To Margaret S. Coffman (and Jacob Coffman) for 15 feet off the west side of lot 33, in Coffman's subdivis-

ion of the north part of outlot I01, taken for said proposed street	\$52 50 37 50 700 00
0 0 0 0	Ф <del>7</del> 00 00
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	\$790 00
To the city of Indianapolis, by the value of the house and other improvements on said lot 33, above de-	
scribed, which may be sold at \$100, and applied on a	
	\$100 00
To Margaret S. Coffman, 120 frontage on the new street, on lot 33, Coffman's Addition, now a com-	04.00
mon lot	31 00
on lot 48, Coffman's Addition, now a common lot	31 00
To Margaret S. Coffman, by ten feet of ground, to be vacated, between lots 33 and 34, Coffman's subdi-	
vision, said 10 feet being the present alley between said lots	40 00
Coffman's Subdivision of Outlot 101:	
To Thaddeus M. Stevens, on lot 12,	7 50
To Thaddeus M. Stevens, on lot 11,	7 50
To Samuel Cain, on lot 13, To Margaret S. Coffman, on lot 14,	10 00 10 00
Green & Walters' subdivisisn of Outlot 101:	
To Samuel M. Seibert, lot 11,	59 00
To Hiram Seibert, lot 10, To Hiram Seibert, on lot 9,	53 50 48 50
TO TELLULII POLIDOLO, OLI 100 0,	10 00

To Robert McClintock, on lot 24,	-	43	50
To John Wands, on lot 25,	-	38	50
To John Wands, lot 26,	-	33	50
To Samuel M. Seibert, lot 12,	-	59	00
To William S. Hubbard, on lot 13, -	-	53	50
To John Maurer, north half of lot 14	-	24	75
To Catharine Maurer, south half of lot 14	-	23	75
To Mary A. Thompson, on lot 23,	-	- 43	50
To James W. Sutherland, north half of lot 22.	-	19	75
To Christian Wands, south half of lot 22	-	18	75
To Henry A. Hugo, on lot 21,	-	33	50
	_		
Total benefits	-	\$790	00

We recommend (if the street be declared open by the Council, as above described,) that the ten foot alley between lots 33 and 34, in Coffman's subdivision of the north part of outlot 101, be vacated, as it will no longer be of any use, and that the ten feet so vacated be charged (as we have included it) to Margaret S. Coffman (who owns the lots on either side of said alley,) at \$40. With the presumption that this alley will be vacated, we have included its value (\$40,) in our assessment of benefits to Mrs. Coffin.

Mr. Seibert, being interested, did not act in the case,

Respectfully submitted,

IGNATIUS BROWN,
J. E. RAMSAY,
JOSEPH M. SUTTON,
Board of City Commissioners.

Which was referred back to the City Commissioners.

Ordinances on the second reading:

The following entitled ordinances were read the second time, and ordered to be engrossed:

Special appropriation ordinance, No. 44, 1870. Special appropriation ordinance, No. 45, 1870.

Special ordinance, No. 167, 1870.

66	"	. 66	168, 1870.
11	11	"	100 1050

Special ordinances Nos. 164, 165, and 166, were read the second time and referred to the Committee on Gas Light.

Special ordinance No. 160, 1870, was read the second time, and on motion amended and referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

On motion, Special Ordinance 157, 1870,

Was stricken from the files.

On motion General Ordinance No. 15, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance to repeal "An ordinance relative to the collection of fines, penalties, judgments, costs, and forfeitures," ordained October 22, 1859,

Was stricken from the files.

On motion, General Ordinance 34, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance repealing all existing ordinances establishing or regulating markets, and, also, to abolish the office of City Market Master,

Was stricken from the files.

On motion, General Ordinance 49, 1870, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE defining "main and lateral sewers," and how the

costs and expenses of the construction thereof shall be assessed and paid,

Was read the second time, and laid over for one week.

#### ORDINANCES ON THIRD READING.

Special Appropriation Ordinance No. 43, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against th1 city of Indianapolis,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles, and Woodburn—14.

Negative—Councilmen Kennington and Reagau—2.

Special Appropriation Ordinance No. 45, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the city of Indianapolis,

Was read the third time, awd passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles, and Woodburn—16.

Negative-None.

Special Ordinance No. 63, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick, the sidewalks, and bowldering the crossings of the alleys and gutters, to the width of nine feet, on East street, between Washington street and Virginia avenue.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Thalman, Weaver, Whitsit and Woodburn—13.

Negative—Councilmen Shepherd, Thoms and Wiles—3.

Special Ordinance No. 122, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling New York street and sidewalks from the west side of Blake street to Agnes street.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—16.

Negative—None.

Special Ordinance No. 119, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for the erection of Lamp-posts, Lamps, and Fixtures, complete to burn gas, except the service pipe on Mississipi street from Georgia street to Pogue's run,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—16.

Negative-None.

Special Ordinance No. 146, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Winston street, and sidewalks, from its terminus to St. Clair street,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative-Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kenning-

ton, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms; Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—16.

Negative—None.

Special Ordinance No. 155, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinamce to provide for grading and paving with brick the north sidewalk of Ohio street, from Tennessee to Missouri street,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit and Woodburn—16.

Negative—None.

Special Ordinance No 158, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Center street, and sidewalks, between Ellen and Douglas streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—16.

Negative-None.

Special Ordinance No. 148, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for grading and bowldering the first alley west of Missouri street, on the south side of Washington street. from Washington to Cumberland street,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Heckman, Kahn, Kennington,

Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles, and Woodburn—15.

Negative—Councilman Brown—1.

Special Ordinance No. 139, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick the south sidewalk of Washington street, from Noble street to the Michigan road,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Heckman, Kahn, Locke, Marsee, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles, and Woodburn—13.

Negative—Councilmen Brown, Kennington, and Newman—3.

Special Ordinance No. 140, 1870, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley south of North street, running east and west from Noble to Liberty streets, through outlot 49,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Heckman, Kahn, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Reagan, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Wiles and Woodburn—13.

Negative—Councilmen Brown, Kennington and Whitsit—3.

On motion the Council adjourned.

DANIEL MACAULY, Mayor.

Attest: D. M. RANSDELL, City Clerk.