## MINUTES OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

## REGULAR MEETINGS, MONDAY, APRIL 28, 1986

The City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana and the Indianapolis Police Special Service District Council, Indianapolis Fire Special Service District Council and Indianapolis Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Council convened in regular concurrent sessions in the Council Chamber of the City-County Building at 7:00 p.m., on Monday, April 28, 1986, with Councillor SerVaas presiding.

Councillor Stuart Rhodes lead the opening prayer and invited all present to join him in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

#### ROLL CALL

Councillor SerVaas requested the members to indicate their presence. The Clerk took the roll call of the Council, which was as follows:

PRESENT: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West, Williams

President SerVaas announced that a quorum of twenty-nine members was present.

#### ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

Consent was given to the adoption of the agenda of the City-County Council and the Indianapolis Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils of April 28, 1986, as distributed.

### OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

The President called for the reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

You are hereby notified that REGULAR MEETINGS of the City-County Council and Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils, will be held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on Monday, April 28, 1986, at 7:00 p.m., the purposes of such MEETINGS being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before regular meetings of the Councils.

Respectfully,

s/Beurt SerVaas, President City-County Council

April 15, 1986
TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in The Indianapolis NEWS and The Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on Thursday, April 17, 1986, a copy of NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS of a public Hearing on Proposal Nos. 212, 213, 214, 215, 231 and 232, 1986, to be held on Monday, April 28, 1986, at 7:00 p.m., in the City-County Building.

COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Respectfully,

s/Beverly S. Rippy City Clerk

April 19, 1986 TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Mrs. Beverly S. Rippy, the following ordinances and resolution:

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 31, 1986, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1986 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 87, 1985) appropriating an additional Two

Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) in the County Cumulative Capital Development Fund for purposes of the County Administrator and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County Cumulative Capital Development Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 32, 1986, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1986 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 87, 1985) appropriating an additional Two Hundred Forty-one Thousand Six Hundred Sixty-seven Dollars (\$241,667) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion County Superior Court - Juvenile Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 33, 1986, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1986 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 87, 1985) authorizing changes in the personnel compensation schedule (Section 2.01) of the Marion County Cooperative Extension Service.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 35, 1986, allowing appropriate officials to implement an incentive pay program.

3

4

3

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 36, 1986, amending Sec. 17-185 of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", to correct drafting error in General Ordinance No. 97, 1985, regulating amusement locations.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 37, 1986, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", and by establishing a County Corrections Fund.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 38, 1986, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", changing intersection controls at Chapelwood Boulevard and 10th Street.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 39, 1986, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-272, Parking time restricted on designated days.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 41, 1986, honoring Earl H. Reed and Darrell B. Parker.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 43, 1986, memorializing Thomas W. Moses.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 44, 1986, commemorating April 13 - 19 as "National Medical Laboratory Week".

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 45, 1986, honoring the Reverend Mozelle Sanders.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 46, 1986, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 61, 1984 as amended and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 47, 1986, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 13, 1985 as amended and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 48, 1986, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 132, 1985 as amended and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 49, 1986, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 50, 1986, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 51, 1986, approving the sale of certain real estate of the Department of Parks and Recreation.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 17, 1986, concerning the Metropolitan Emergency Communications Agency.

Respectfully submitted,

s/William H. Hudnut, III

Mr. John Krauss, Deputy Mayor, addressed the Council to explain that the facility formerly occupied by Western Electric on Shadeland Avenue was still empty and that he and the Mayor were actively seeking prospective tenants for the facility.

## ADOPTION OF JOURNALS

President SerVaas called for additions or corrections to the Journal of January 6, 1986. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved as distributed.

PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS, AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS

### INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL NO. 236, 1986. Introduced by Councillor West. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$5,000 for the City-County Council Office to purchase a personal computer and additional software to complete the upgrade of two word processing units"; and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 237, 1986. Introduced by Councillor West. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by establishing licensing requirements for limousines which are not regulated by the Public Service Commission"; and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 238, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Durnil. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$300,000 for the Department of Parks and Recreation, Administration Division, for the expansion of the Major Taylor Velodrome facilities"; and the President referred it to the Parks and Recreation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 239, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$18,000 for the Prosecuting Attorney for extradition expenses"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 240, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$2,300 for the Domestic Relations Counseling Bureau for staff training which will be funded by redocketed dissolution fees"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 241, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE authorizing changes to the personnel compensation schedule of the Presiding Judge of the Municipal Court"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 242, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Miller. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE establishing the rules and procedures for the preparation of the 1987 Annual Budget"; and the President referred it to the Rules and Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 243, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by designating a portion of Asbury Street as one-way"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 244, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by changing speed limit controls for portions of Shadeland Avenue"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 245, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by establishing intersection controls for portions of East Street"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 246, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by changing intersection controls at Washington Boulevard and 54th Street"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 247, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by changing intersection controls at Keystone Avenue, S. River Road and 75th Street"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 248, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by changing various parking control regulations on portions of Meridian Street"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 249, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by establishing a loading zone and changing parking control regulations for portions of Vermont Street"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 259, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Miller. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a COUNCIL RESOLUTION reappointing Steve Talley to the Ethics Board"; and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 260, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Miller. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a COUNCIL RESOLUTION reappointing Dewey Hoss, Jon Bailey and Curtis Meyers to the Equal Opportunity Advisory Board"; and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 261, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Miller. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a COUNCIL RESOLUTION appointing Rodney W. Hall to the Public Housing Advisory Council'; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

## SPECIAL ORDERS - PRIORITY BUSINESS

PROPOSAL NOS. 250 - 258, 1986. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposals entitled "REZONING ORDINANCES certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on April 17, 1986". No action was taken by the Council on Proposal Nos. 250 - 258, 1986, and the proposals were deemed adopted. Proposal Nos. 250 - 258, 1986, were retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NOS. 60 - 68, 1986, and read as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 60, 1986. 86-Z-24 WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 4

8670 ALLISONVILLE ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS.

Marriott Corporation, by Phillip A. Nicely, requests the rezoning of 6.89 acres, being in the C-4 and D-9 districts, to the C-6 classification, to provide for the construction of a motel.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 61, 1986. 86-Z-33 FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 13

**5210 EAST STOP 11 ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS.** 

Community Church of Greenwood, Inc., by James H. Hanson, requests the rezoning of 19.83 acres, being in the A-2 district, to the SU-1 classification, to provide for church use.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 62, 1986. 86-Z-39 WAYNE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 17

120 SOUTH TIBBS AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS.

Sterling Key Lodge, by Stephen D. Mears, requests the rezoning of 3.22 acres, being in the A-1 district, to the C-6 classification, to conform zoning to its use as a motel and restaurant.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 63, 1986. 86-Z-40 WARREN TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 15

7550 EAST 16TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS.

Metropolitan Development Commission requests the rezoning of 5.5 acres, being in the SU-1 district, to the D-3 classification, to conform zoning to its existing use,

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 64, 1986. 86-Z-45 WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 4

5501 EAST 71ST STREET, INDIANAPOLIS.

Robert S. and Patricia W. Kirkpatrick, by Sam Stoehr, request the rezoning of 2.79 acres, being in the A-2 district, to the C-1 classification, to provide for construction of an office building.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 65, 1986. 86-Z-47A (86-DP-4) AMENDED PIKE TOWNSHIP

COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 1

2850 WEST 86TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS.

Mary Tarzian and NTS Development Company, by Harry F. McNaught, Jr., request the rezoning of approximately 41.4 acres, being in the A-2 district, to the D-P classification, to provide for a planned unit development consisting of multi-family, office and retail development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 66, 1986. 86-Z-47B AMENDED PIKE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 1

2602 WEST 86TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS.

Mary Tarzian and NTS Development Company, by Harry F. McNaught, Jr., request the rezoning of approximately 31.2 acres, being in the A-2 and HD-II districts, to the C-S classification, to provide for retail, restaurant, office and other commercial uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 67, 1986. 86-Z-48 PIKE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 1

3555 WEST 96TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS.

College Life Insurance Company of America, by William F. LeMond, requests the rezoning of 1.21 acres, being in the I-2-S district, to the C-1 classification, to provide for the development of a day nursery and/or an office building.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 68, 1986. 86-Z-51 LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 3

8383 CRAIG STREET, INDIANAPOLIS.

Two Metroplex Company, by Michael C. Cook, requests the rezoning of 5.43 acres, being in the C-S district, to the C-S classification, to add an educational institution as a permitted use.

## SPECIAL ORDERS - PUBLIC HEARING

PROPOSAL NO. 170, 1986. This proposal appropriates \$1,991,074 for the Department of Transportation to fund fourteen construction projects. Councillor Gilmer moved to Table Proposal No. 170, 1986. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 215, 1986. This proposal appropriates \$3,600,000 for the Department of Public Works, Liquid Waste Processing Operations, for various

projects. Councillor Coughenour explained the appropriation as: 1) \$400,000 for design and preparation of plans and specifications for the Northside Diversion project; 2) \$400,000 for design and construction management of odor control projects at Belmont & Southport; 3) \$400,000 for the design of interceptor sewers and lift stations for Acton, Camby and West Newton; 4) \$1 million for mass flow monitoring of sanitary sewers in northern Marion County; 5) \$1 million for the diagnostic and design phase of sewer system renovation; and 6) \$400,000 for vehicles and other needed items for the in-house county-wide continuation of infiltration and inflow analysis. The Public Works Committee on April 24, 1986, recommended Proposal No. 215, 1986, Do Pass by a 3-0 vote. The President called for public testimony at 7:32 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Bradley, for adoption. Proposal No. 215, 1986, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 AYES: Boyd, Bradley, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West, Williams NO NAYS

2 NOT VOTING: Borst, Dowden

Proposal No. 215, 1986, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 34, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 34, 1986

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1986 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 87, 1985) appropriating an additional Three Million Six Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$3,600,000) in the Sanitation General Fund for purposes of the Department of Public Works, Liquid Waste Processing Operations, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Sanitation General Fund.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.01 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1986, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of appropriating funds for the design of projects for the Evanston lift station, sewer system evaluation system, and southwest and southeast interceptor sewers and lift stations; for the purchase of two vans with television equipment and four pickup trucks and other equipment for the infiltration and inflow analysis; for the design and construction of odor control systems for Belmont and Southport Plants; and to perform mass flow monitoring in the northern portion of Marion County.

SECTION 2. The sum of Three Million Six Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$3,600,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

#### DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

LIQUID WASTE PROCESSING OPERATIONS SANITATION GENERAL FUND

3. Other Services & Charges

\$3,400,000 4. Capital Outlay

TOTAL INCREASE

200,000 \$3,600,000

The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reduc-SECTION 4.

SANITATION GENERAL FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Sanitation General Fund TOTAL REDUCTION

\$3,600,000 \$3,600,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 212, 1986. This proposal appropriates \$200,000 for the Department of Administration, Central Equipment Management Division, to purchase vehicles for the Department of Public Works. The Administration Committee on April 21, 1986, recommended Proposal No. 212, 1986, Do Pass by a 7-0 vote. Councillor West explained that Proposal No. 212, 1986, reappropriates funds from Proposal No. 215, 1986, for the purchase of vehicles. The President called for public testimony at 7:34 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor West moved, seconded by Councillor Hawkins, for adoption. Proposal No. 212, 1986, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, Ser Vaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West, Williams NO NAYS

Proposal No. 212, 1986, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 35, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 35, 1986

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1986 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 87, 1985) appropriating an additional Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$200,000) in the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of the Department of Administration, Central Equipment Management Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Consolidated County Fund.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.01 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1986, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of appropriating funds to purchase two vans with television equipment and four pickup trucks for the Department of Public Works, Liquid Waste Processing Operations.

SECTION 2. The sum of Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$200,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION CENTRAL EQUIPMENT MANAGEMENT DIV.

## CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND

4. Capital Outlay TOTAL INCREASE \$200,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Consolidated County Fund TOTAL REDUCTION

\$200,000 \$200,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 213, 1986. This proposal appropriates \$30,550 for various county agencies to hire work-study students during the summer which will be 50% reimbursed by the State of Indiana Work Study Program. The County and Townships Committee on April 22, 1986, recommended Proposal No. 213, 1986, Do Pass As Amended by a 5-0 vote. The President called for public testimony at 7:36 p.m.

Councillor Stewart inquired if all township assessors were notified of the Work Study Program.

Mrs. Anita Wdowka, Chief Deputy Auditor, stated that the Auditor's Office notified approximately fifty County agencies of the availability of the Work Study Program.

Councillor Cottingham moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes, for adoption of Proposal No. 213, 1986, As Amended.

Proposal No. 213, 1986, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West, Williams

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Gilmer

Proposal No. 213, 1986, As Amended, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 36, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 36, 1986

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1986 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 87, 1985) appropriating an additional Thirty Thousand Five Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$30,550) in the County General Fund for purposes of various Marion County offices and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (a)(2, 4, and 5), Section 2.01 (b) (1, 4 and 23), Section 2.01 (c)(3 and 5) and Section 2.01 (d)(1, 4 and 6) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1986, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of appropriating funs to hire work study students during the summer which will be 50% reimbursed by the State of Indiana Work Study Program.

SECTION 2. The sum of Thirty Thousand Five Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$30,550) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

COUNTY AUDITOR	COUNTY GENERAL FUND
1. Personal Services	\$ 1,050
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS	
1. Personal Services	1,000
COUNTY CORONER	
1. Personal Services	1,600
SUPERIOR COURT, CRIMINAL DIVISION	
PROBATION DEPARTMENT	
1. Personal Services	1,200
SUPERIOR COURT, JUVENILE DIVISION	4.000
1. Personal Services	4,000
PROSECUTOR'S CHILD SUPPORT IV-D AGENCY	
1. Personal Services	1,500
MARION COUNTY HEALTHCARE CENTER	10.000
1. Personal Services	12,000
VOTERS REGISTRATION	1 000
1. Personal Services	1,800
CENTER TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR  1. Personal Services	1 000
	1,600
LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR  1. Personal Services	2 000
PIKE TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR	3,000
1. Personal Services	1.800
TOTAL INCREASE	\$ 30,550
IOIAL INCREASE	φ υυ,υυυ

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered
County General Fund \$30,550
TOTAL INCREASE \$30,550

SECTION 5. The personnel schedules are hereby amended by deleting the cross-hatched portions and adding the new amounts as underlined herein:

## (2) COUNTY AUDITOR - Dept. 02

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Auditor	1	42,500	42,500
Chief Deputy	1	36,046	36,046
	<b>.</b>	•	•
2nd Chief Deputy	1	31,397	31,397
Admin. Assistant	1	21,052	21,052
Managers	8	20,438	141,960
Assistant Managers	4	13,369	52,165
Bookkeeper	1	13,104	13,104
Secretaries	3	16,375	43,680
Accounts Payable	2	12,627	25,255
Clerks	15	12,247	160,770

IV-D	1	13,104	13,104
Assistant Auditors	2	27,300	52,000
Temporary Help			10/00/11,050
Overtime			3,000
TOTAL	40		/\$646.083 647.083

#### (4) COUNTY COMMISSIONERS - Dept. 08

Personnel Classification	Maximum Number	Maximum Salary	Maximum Per Classification
Office Manager Asst. Office Manager Temporary	1	18,328 10,920	N\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
TOTAL	2		1/\$291248 30,248

## (5) COUNTY CORONER - Dept. 09

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Coroner	1	18,631	18,631
Chief Investigator	1	18,800	18,800
Secretary	1	13,874	13,874
Deputies	4	13,031	52,124
Medical Stenographer	1	11,939	11,939
Clerk	124	12,500	M7,550 19,150
Special Deputies			11,441
Overtime			4,000
Clothing Allowance			480
TOTAL	1/9 12	118	1148483月150,439

# (1) SUPERIOR COURT - CRIMINAL COURT PROBATION DEPARTMENT - Dept. 64 County General Fund

Personnel Classification	Maximum Number	Maximum Salary	Maximum Per Classification
Professional	21	28,870	/#30/#69 429,269
Clerical	11	15,897	127,250
Vacancy Factor			(11,183)
Overtime			2,000
Temporary			//0 2,400
TOTAL	32	11	\$548,53 <u>6</u> 549,736

#### (4) SUPERIOR COURT - JUVENILE DIVISION - Dept. 65 County General Fund

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Judge	1	18,011	18,011
Administrators	3	36,698	89,316
Managers	14	26,925	298,887
Secretaries	4	14,420	47,202
Computer Operators	4	15,750	53,038
Clerk-Typists	15	13,301	134,012
Referees	6	38,002	142,595
Court Reporters	5	22,793	102,404
Bailiffs	7	17,014	95,529
Probation	61	24,679	1,005,333
Professional Staff	3	30,687	69,599
Maintenance	8	13,659	71,165
Temporary Help			/12/A3/ 16,852
Overtime			25,000
Vacancy Factor			(197,644)
TOTAL	131	/1/9/6	7,299 1,971,299

## (23) PROSECUTOR'S CHILD SUPPORT IV-D AGENCY - Dept. 04

Personnel Classification	Maximum Number	Maximum Salary	Maximum Per Classification
Administrative Supervisor	7	24,546	123,117
Paralegal/Investigator	47	20,489	595,000
Supervisor Professional	4	42,115	107,000
Deputy Prosecutors	9	37,942	186,000
Temporary Help			1 5 1000 6,500
Vacancy Factor			(60,000)
TOTAL	67	111	М95/G[1/J/7 957,617

## (3) MARION COUNTY HEALTHCARE CENTER - Dept. 21

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Administrator	1	41,368	41,368
Director of Nursing	1	33,614	•
	1	33,614	33,614
Exec. Housekeeper/Laundry			
Manager	1	29,479	29,479
Business Manager	1	27,300	27,300
Coord, of Staff Develop.	1	25,873	25,873
Registered Dietician	1	25,873	25,873
Human Service Workers	2	25,662	47,654
Physical Plant Technicians	13	25,491	219,988
Chaplain	1	24,201	24,201
Directors of Food Service	2	20,901	40,761

Clinical Nurses	44	20,901	739,218
Office Technicians	122 24	18,497	/2851944 287,224
Ancillary Technicians	4	16,083	50,426
Ancillary Assistants	6	15,683	87,407
Grooming Assistants	2	15,288	25,288
Supervisors	6	14,313	77,989
Activity Workers	7	13,384	75,035
Housekeeping/Laundry	Asst. 25 35	11,516	### 258,039
Intern	1	11,172	11,172
Cooks	5	10,699	53,125
Nursing Assistants	7/8 88	9,931	162/Q76 766,236
Dietary Assistants	25 27	9,931	248,887 251,927
Dentist	1	5,390	5,390
Podiatrist	1	4,706	4,706
Overtime		·	35,000
Board Per Diem			2,100
Vacancy Factor			(408,879)
TOTAL	261 275	\$ 2	IRPE   SN 4 2.837.514

## (5) VOTERS REGISTRATION - Dept. 27

Personnel Classification	Maximum Number	Maximum Salary	Maximum Per Classification
Board Members	2	26,000	52,000
Chief Deputies	2	23,400	46,800
Operation Supervisors	2	15,600	31,200
Systems Managers	2	13,520	27,040
Computer Operators/Clerks	18	12,001	216,018
Temporary			45,000 46,800
Overtime			35,000
TOTAL	26	\$	45/3/05/8 454,858

## (1) CENTER TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR - Dept. 06

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Assessor	1	37,522	37,522
Real Estate Deputies	11	26,898	185,914
Personal Prop. Deputies	9	25,729	149,211
Deputies II	27	16,372	266,536
Temporaries			39,081 40,661
TOTAL	48	\$6	5/78/P/4/A 679,844

## (4) LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR - Dept. 20

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Assessor	1	32,763	32,763

Chief Deputy Deputies Temporary	1 10	24,567 23,818	24,567 113,262 6/90/9 9,000
TOTAL	12		\$1/76/592/179,592

#### (6) PIKE TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR - Dept. 23

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Assessor	1	30,032	30,032
Chief Deputy	1	22,524	22,524
Permanent Deputies	8	18,605	MO7/826 107,628
Temporary Field Deputies	/B_4	3,600	8/00/0 9,998
Overtime	<del>.    </del>		600
TOTAL	1B/ <u>14</u>		\$/168,9/82/170,782

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 214, 1986. This proposal appropriates \$20,043 for the Community Corrections Advisory Board to purchase electronic surveillance equipment which will be reimbursed by a State grant. Councillor Dowden described the equipment for the Home Detention Program as a receiver, connected to a standard phone line, being placed at a central location in the offender's home which accepts signals from an ankle transmitter worn by the offender. In turn, the information is sent, via telephone lines, to the host computer at the Juvenile Detention Center. The Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on April 16, 1986, recommended Proposal No. 214, 1986, Do Pass by a 7-0 vote. The President called for public testimony at 7:46 p.m.

There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Borst, for adoption. Proposal No. 214, 1986, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

20 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rhodes, SerVaas, Shaw, West

9 NAYS: Curry, Gilmer, Journey, Page, Rader, Schneider, Stewart, Strader, Williams

Proposal No. 214, 1986, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 37, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 37, 1986

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1986 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 87, 1985) appropriating an additional Twenty Thousand Forty-three Dollars (\$20,043) in the State and Federal Grant Fund for purposes of the Marion County Community Corrections Advisory Board and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grant Fund.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (b)(25) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1986, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of appropriating funds to purchase electronic surveillance equipment which will be reimbursed by a State Criminal Justice Institute Grant.

SECTION 2. The sum of Twenty Thousand Forty-three Dollars (\$20,043) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

### COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS ADVISORY BOARD

	STATE AND FEDERAL GRANT FUND
3. Other Services & Charges	\$ 2,475
4. Capital Outlay	17.568

4. Capital Outlay 17,568

TOTAL INCREASE \$20,043

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

STATE AND FEDERAL GRANT FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered
State and Federal Grant Fund
TOTAL REDUCTION \$20,043

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 231, 1986. This proposal appropriates \$57,990 for the Department of Metropolitan Development, Development Services Division, for the

addition of three inspectors. Councillor Borst explained that the inspectors were being added in an effort to improve enforcement of zoning violations in priority areas of Marion County. On April 16, 1986, the Metropolitan Development Committee recommended Proposal No. 231, 1986, Do Pass by a 5-0 vote. The President called for public testimony at 8:09 p.m.

Mr. Jon Meeks, Administrator of the Development Services Division, Department of Metropolitan Development, stated that two priority areas of Marion County were the "Shadeland Avenue and 38th area and the Keystone area". Mr. Meeks added that the appropriation included funds for equipment and vehicles for the new inspectors.

Councillor Schneider inquired about the difference between code enforcement and law enforcement.

Mr. Meeks replied that the Development Services Division's jurisdiction concerned the Zoning Ordinance of Marion County and the Building Code.

Councillor Nickell asked if the new inspectors would be working on weekends.

Mr. Meeks confirmed that the new inspectors would be working on weekends because a majority of complaints are received on weekends.

Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Miller, for adoption of Proposal No. 231, 1986.

Proposal No. 231, 1986, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West, Williams

1 NAY: Schneider

Proposal No. 231, 1986, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 38, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 38, 1986

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1986 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 87, 1985) appropriating an additional Fifty-seven Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety Dollars (\$57,990) in the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of the Department of Metropolitan Development, Development Services Division, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Consolidated County Fund.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.01 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1986, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of appropriating funds to increase the inspection and enforcement of the zoning ordinance which is funded by an increase in permit revenue.

SECTION 2. The sum of Fifty-seven Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety Dollars (\$57,990) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

DEPARTMENT OF METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT			
DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIVISION CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND			
1. Personal Services	\$36,800		
2. Supplies	2,290		
3. Other Services & Charges	10,250		
4. Capital Outlay	8,650		
TOTAL INCREASE	\$57,990		

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

Unappropriated and Unencumbered

Consolidated County Fund

TOTAL REDUCTION

CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND

\$57,990

\$57,990

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 232, 1986. This proposal appropriates \$95,000 for the Department of Parks and Recreation, Eagle Creek Division, for additional rowing and archery facilities. Councillor Durnil explained that the rowing course and com-

munity archery program would be located at Eagle Creek Park. The new programs, funded by Lilly Endowment, will provide additional summer jobs along with enhancing the Park as a whole. The Parks and Recreation Committee on April 17, 1986, recommended Proposal No. 232, 1986, Do Pass by a 5-0 vote. The President called for public testimony at 8:11 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Durnil moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes, for adoption. Proposal No. 232, 1986, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West, Williams

NO NAYS
1 NOT VOTING: Dowden

Proposal No. 232, 1986, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 39, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 39, 1986

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1986 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 87, 1985) appropriating an additional Ninety-five Thousand Dollars (\$95,000) in the Park General Fund for purposes of the Department of Parks and Recreation, Eagle Creek Division, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Park General Fund.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.01 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1986, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of appropriating funds for additional rowing and archery facilities and opportunities which will be reimbursed by a grant from Lilly Endowment.

SECTION 2. The sum of Ninety-five Thousand Dollars (\$95,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

## DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION EAGLE CREEK DIVISION

1. Personal Services

2. Supplies

PARK GENERAL FUND

\$15,000 66,500 3. Other Services & Charges

4. Capital Outlay TOTAL INCREASE 10,000 3,500 \$95,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

PARK GENERAL FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Park General Fund TOTAL REDUCTION

\$95,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

### SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION

PROPOSAL NO. 152, 1986, reappoints Larry J. Barrett to the Beech Grove Economic Development Commission. PROPOSAL NO. 154, 1986, reappoints C. Richard Petticrew to the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission. Councillor Borst stated that the Metropolitan Development Committee on April 16, 1986, recommended Proposal No. 152, 1986, Do Pass As Amended by a 4-0 vote and Proposal No. 152, 1986, Do Pass As Amended by a 5-0 vote. The Committee's amendments were to correct drafting errors. Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Miller, for adoption of Proposal Nos. 152 and 154, 1986, As Amended.

Proposal No. 152, 1986, As Amended, was adopted by unanimous voice vote, retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION 19, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 19, 1986

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION nominating Larry J. Barrett to the Beech Grove Economic Development Commission,

# BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. As a member of the Beech Grove Economic Development Commission, the Council nominates:

#### Larry J. Barrett

SECTION 2. The nomination made by this resolution is for a term ending January 31, 1990. The person nominated by this resolution shall serve at the pleasure of the Mayor of the City of Beech Grove and until his respective successor is appointed and has qualified.

Proposal No. 154, 1986, As Amended, was adopted by unanimous voice vote, retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 20, 1986, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 20, 1986

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION nominating C. Richard Petticrew to the Economic Development Commission.

# BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. As a member of the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, the Council nominates:

#### C. Richard Petticrew

SECTION 2. The nomination made by this resolution is for a term ending January 31, 1990. The person nominated by this resolution shall serve at the pleasure of the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis and until his respective successor is appointed and has qualified.

PROPOSAL NO. 187, 1986. This proposal authorizes the lease of office space for the Departments of Administration and Metropolitan Development. The Administration Committee on April 21, 1986, recommended Proposal No. 187, 1986, Do Pass by a 5-2 vote.

Councillor West explained that during the April 21, 1986, meeting of the Administration Committee, the Director of the Department of Administration requested that the square footage described in the Proposal be changed from 16,000 to 10,600.

Councillor West moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes, to amend Proposal No. 187, 1986, by changing the square footage from 16,000 to 10,600. Consent was given.

Councillor West moved, seconded by Councillor McGrath, to Postpone Proposal No. 187, 1986, until the May 12, 1986, meeting of the Council. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 189, 1986. This proposal transfers \$1,361 and amends the personnel compensation schedule for the Decatur Township Assessor. Councillor

Cottingham stated that the purchase of additional filing cabinets and one new office chair would be funded by a reduction in the "Temporary" line item in the personnel schedule. The County and Townships Committee on April 22, 1986, recommended Proposal No. 189, 1986, Do Pass by a 5-0 vote. Councillor Cottingham moved, seconded by Councillor Hawkins, for adoption. Proposal No. 189, 1986, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 AYES: Borst, Bradley, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West, Williams

2 NAYS: Boyd, Howard

2 NOT VOTING: Clark, Dowden

Proposal No. 189, 1986, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 40, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 40, 1986

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1986 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 87, 1985) transferring and appropriating One Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-one Dollars (\$1,361) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Decatur Township Assessor and reducing certain other appropriations for that office.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (d)(2) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1986, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of transferring funds to purchase needed office furniture.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-one Dollars (\$1,361) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

DECATUR TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR

COUNTY GENERAL FUND \$1,361

4. Capital Outlay TOTAL INCREASE

\$1,361

TOTAL INCREASE

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

DECATUR TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR

COUNTY GENRAL FUND

1. Personal Services

\$1,361

TOTAL REDUCTION

\$1,361

SECTION 5. The personnel schedule is hereby amended by deleting the crosshatched portions and adding the new amounts as underlined herein:

#### (2) DECATUR TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR - Dept. 13

Personnel Classification	Maximum Number	Maximum Salary	Maximum Per Classification
Assessor Chief Deputy Clerks Temporary	1 1 3	27,303 20,477 16,805	27,303 20,477 48,235 14864 A
TOTAL	5		\$96,015

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 216, 1986. This proposal authorizes certain amendments with regard to the resource recovery service agreement executed by and between Ogden Martin Systems of Indianapolis, Inc. and the Board of Public Works. The Public Works Committee on April 24, 1986, recommended Proposal No. 216, 1986, Do Pass As Amended by a 5-0 vote. Councillor Coughenour stated that the Committee's amendments were to accept revised versions of exhibits for Proposal No. 216, 1986, and explained the revisions to the Service Agreement as follows:

No. 1 - Amends the technical aspects of the plant's design in Schedule 10 and requires "opinion of counsel" upon termination of the Service Agreement due to "City fault". The City has pledged in the Service Agreement that net revenues (less expenses) of the City's waste disposal special taxing district will not be subject to the liens and claims of third party creditors, but rather will be used to pay the service fee charged for disposal of waste at the plant. The underwriters requested that the language of the contract be changed to "affirmatively" pledge the net revenues to the service fee, to the specific exclusion of third party creditors. Also included was to restate Schedule 12 regarding Ogden Martin's drawdown schedule for construction funds and authorizes an acceleration of Ogden's drawdown schedule in the first month after the Construction Fund Disbursement Date so that potential problems with the tax law recently passed by the House and pending in the Senate (H.R. 3838) could be avoided.

No. 2 - Clarifies liabilities in case the sulphur content of the waste is so high that Ogden Martin violates its air permit; provides essentially for a 50/50 split of liability. The ordinance ratifies acts of the Board of Public Works which (a) give notice to the Trustee (Indiana National Bank) that all conditions precedent in the contract to the "Construction Fund Disbursement Date" (which occurs simultaneously with the breaking of escrow) have been met or waived and (b) waive the conditions that the City provide sewer connections and easements for the steam line prior to the construction date and the requirement that there be no "material adverse changes" in Ogden Corporation's minimum financial criteria. Includes a ratification of the "Emission Offset Agreement" between the City and Ogden in which the City transfers to Ogden its right to emit certain air pollutants which the City's sludge incinerators will no longer emit after modification to the latter are made (this agreement was necessary in order for Ogden to get an air permit).

Mrs. Barbara Gole, Director of the Department of Public Works, commented that the amendments to the Service Agreement were technical in nature to protect the City against unforseen circumstances.

Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Howard, for adoption of Proposal No. 216, 1986, As Amended.

Proposal No. 216, 1986, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

26 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, Ser Vaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West, Williams

1 NAY: Curry

2 NOT VOTING: Dowden, McGrath

Proposal No. 216, 1986, As Amended, was retitled SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 18, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 18, 1986

A SPECIAL ORDINANCE ratifying, confirming and approving certain supplemental agreements and amendments to the Service Agreement executed by and between

Ogden Martin Systems of Indianapolis, Inc. (formerly Massburn, Inc.), and the Board of Public Works on behalf of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, dated as of September 23, 1985, for the services of a Resource Recovery Facility, and ratifying certain acts of the Board of Public Works in connection therewith.

WHEREAS, the City-County Council adopted its Ordinance Nos. 83, 1985 and 89, 1985 which ratified, confirmed and approved the Service Agreement executed by and between Ogden Martin Systems of Indianapolis, Inc. (formerly Massburn, Inc.), and the Board of Public Works on behalf of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, with the approval of its Mayor, dated as of September 23, 1985, providing for the design, construction, start-up, performance testing, ownership, operation and maintenance of a Mass Burn Resource Recovery Facility, for a period of at least twenty (20) years, for disposing of solid waste, producing saleable energy and recovering other resources therefrom; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Public Works on behalf of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana has approved by resolution and/or entered into certain supplemental agreements and amendments to said Service Agreement, copies of which resolutions, agreements and amendments are attached to this ordinance, incorporated herein by reference and are available for inspection as provided by law; now, therefore:

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

- SECTION 1. The City-County Council hereby ratifies, confirms and approves the attached Board of Public Works resolutions and the supplemental agreements and amendments to the Service Agreement executed by and between Ogden Martin Systems of Indianapolis, Inc. (formerly Massburn, Inc.), and the Board of Public Works on behalf of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, dated as of September 23, 1985.
- SECTION 2. The City-County Council hereby ratifies, confirms and approves the findings related to the Service Agreement in Ordinance Nos. 83, 1985 and 89, 1985 and hereby finds that the attached supplemental agreements and amendments are consistent with such findings.
- SECTION 3. The City-County Council hereby authorizes the Board of Public Works, the Director of the Department of Public Works, the City Controller and the Mayor on behalf of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana to take all actions necessary to effectuate the supplemental agreements and amendments, including the execution and delivery thereof.
- SECTION 4. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 217, 1986. This proposal amends the Code by establishing a procedure for termination of water service for failure to pay sewer user bills. The Public Works Committee on April 24, 1986, recommended Proposal No. 217,

1986, Do Pass As Amended by a 4-0 vote. The Committee's amendments were to reduce the fee for termination from \$25.00 to \$20.00 and to add "water utilities" to item (1)(e). Councillor Coughenour stated the following to explain Proposal No. 217, 1986, As Amended: 1) water service would be terminated for sewer user bills delinquent sixty days or more in addition to a "cut-off" fee residential customers (the Health and Hospital Corporation would give special consideration in some cases, such as to the elderly and families with children); 2) Commercial and industrial "cut-off" fees would be determined in an agreement between the Department and the Indianapolis Water Company; 3) a fifteen-day notice and provision for a hearing before an Account Review Officer to dispute the accuracy of the bill are provided; and 4) the user may enter into an agreement to amortize the unpaid bill over a three-month period. Councillor Coughenour added that the Department of Public Works intends to improve its sewer user collections from renters because approximately 50% of delinquent bills are from renters.

Councillor Journey expressed concern for economically disadvantaged renters who may be unable to pay sewer user bills. She added that the township trustees had reached an agreement not to offer monetary aid to pay sewer user bills.

Councillor Williams voiced opposition to passage of Proposal No. 217, 1986, As Amended, stating although some leases describe financial obligations of the renter, many inner city renters may have not signed a lease where those obligations would be described in addition to many inner city renters not having mailboxes for the termination notice to be served.

Councillors Schneider, Clark, Boyd, and Durnil voiced support for passage of Proposal No. 217, 1986, As Amended.

Councillor Coughenour explained that the Committee's amendment to change the fee for termination from \$25.00 to \$20.00 was incorrect. Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Nickell, as follows:

#### Mr. President:

I move to further amend Proposal No. 217, 1986, As Amended, by substituting in subsection (b) of Sec. 27-113, the figure "\$25.00" for the figure "\$20.00".

After hearing the AYES and NAYS, President SerVaas ruled that the motion carried.

Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Nickell, for adoption of Proposal No. 217, 1986, As Amended.

Proposal No. 217, 1986, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Gilmer, Holmes, Howard, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

3 NAYS: Hawkins, Journey, Williams

1 NOT VOTING: Giffin

Proposal No. 217, 1986, As Amended, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 40, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 40, 1986

A GENERAL ORDINANCE concerning sewer user bills.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Article IV of Chapter 27 of the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, is hereby amended by inserting a new Division 2, to read as follows:

#### ARTICLE IV. RATES, CHARGES AND BILLING

Division 2. Water Service Termination Procedures.

#### Sec. 27 - 113. Termination of services: Procedures

- (a) Pursuant to I.C. 36-9-25 the Department of Public Works may order the termination of water service to a sewer service address on account of nonpayment of a delinquent account which is not less than sixty (60) days delinquent. When so ordered the water utility shall terminate such service in accordance with the terms of their agreement with the Department.
- (b) The fee for terminating services shall be a minimum of \$25.00, which shall include charges assessed against the Department by the water utility for effecting the termination. Fees for terminating commercial and industrial services shall be determined in an agreement between the Department and the Water Company. This fee shall be assessed against the customer and added to the delinquent bill.

- (c) The Department may not terminate under this section if the Marion County Health Department has found and certified to the Department that the termination of water service will endanger the health of the user and others in the municipality.
- (d)(1) Prior to the termination of water service because of sewer user fee delinquency the Department must first give notice of such delinquency and impending termination at least fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the proposed termination, by first class mail addressed to the user to whom the service is billed, which notice shall contain the following:
  - (i) The delinquent amount due, together with any penalty and fees;

(ii) The date of the notice of termination;

(iii) The date of termination, which shall be at least fifteen (15) days from the

date of the Notice of Termination;

(iv) Notice that water service may be disconnected if, prior to the date of termination, the user does not pay the delinquency together with any penalty and fees, or disputes the amount, or makes other provisions for payment pursuant to this Section;

(v) a procedure, as provided in section (d)(2) for resolving a disputed bill.

(d)(2) The Director of the Department shall appoint an Account Review Officer (ARO) to review and resolve disputes. Before the date of termination of water service a user may request a hearing before the ARO of the Department to dispute the correctness of all or part of the amount(s) shown in accordance with the provisions of this section. A user shall not be entitled to dispute the correctness of all or part of the amount(s) if all or part of the amount(s) was (were) the subject of a previous dispute under this section.

- (e) The procedure for a hearing on a user dispute shall be as follows:
- (1) Before the date of termination as specified on a notice of termination, the user shall notify the ARO in writing, that he (she) requests a hearing to dispute all or part of the amounts shown on the notice of termination, stating as completely as possible the basis for the dispute.

(2) An informal hearing before the ARO shall be held within fifteen (15) days of the ARO's receipt of the user's written request for a hearing on a disputed

bill.

(3) At the hearing, the user shall be entitled to present all evidence that is, in the

ARO's view, relevant and material to the dispute.

- (4) Based on the evidence presented at the hearing, the ARO, within ten (10) days of the completion of the hearing, shall issue a written decision formally resolving the dispute. The ARO's decision shall be final and binding.
- (f) The ARO shall be authorized to resolve any disputed sewerage bill and shall be authorized to order the termination of water service under appropriate circumstances. Upon approval by the ARO, the user may enter into an agreement to amortize the unpaid balance of his/her account over a reasonable period of time, not to exceed 3 months. No termination shall be effected for any user complying with any such amortization agreement, provided the user also keeps current his/her account for sewer service as charges accrue in each subsequent billing period. If a user fails to comply with an amortization agreement, the ARO may terminate water service provided notice is given to user at least 48 hours prior to such termination and the notice includes conditions user is required to meet to avoid termination.

- (g) Utilization of this hearing procedure shall not relieve a user of the obligation to timely and completely pay all other undisputed water and sewerage bills or charges, Failure to timely and completely pay all such undisputed amounts shall subject the user to termination of service in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.
- (h) Until the date of the ARO's decision, the Department shall not terminate water service of the user. If the ARO determines that the customer must pay some or all of the disputed amount(s), the Department, or the ARO in his written decision, shall notify the user of the following:

  - (1) the amount to be paid; (2) the date of termination of services; and
  - (3) notice that unless the Department receives complete payment of the amount shown prior to the date of termination, water service shall be terminated.
  - (i) A "user" for the purpose of this Section is defined as:
  - (1) a person who requests, either orally or in writing, water and/or sewerage service from the city; or water utilities
  - (2) a person in whose name water and/or sewerage service is billed for the rendering of said service.

#### Sec. 27 - 114. Termination of services not exclusive remedy.

The remedy provided herein for the collection of delinquent sewer user charges or benefits shall not be construed to abridge or in any manner interfere with the right and power of the Department to enforce a collection thereof by and other action or as otherwise provided by statute, but the remedy provided in such section shall be taken and held as an additional means to enforce payment of sewer service charges or benefits.

- SECTION 2. (a) The expressed or implied repeal or amendment by this ordinance of any other ordinance or part of any other ordinance does not affect any rights of liabilities accrued, penalties incurred, or proceedings begun prior to the effective date of this ordinance. Those rights, liabilities, and proceedings are continued, and penalties shall be imposed and enforced under the repealed or amended ordinance as if this ordinance had not been adopted.
- (b) An offense committed before the effective date of this ordinance, under any ordinance expressly or impliedly repealed or amended by this ordinance shall be prosecuted and remains punishable under the repealed or amended ordinance as if this ordinance had not been adopted.
- SECTION 3. Should any provision (section, paragraph, sentence, clause, or any other portion) of this ordinance be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid for any reason, the remaining provisions shall not be affected, if and only if such remaining provisions can, without the invalid provision or provisions, be given the effect intended by the Council in adopting this ordinance. To this end the provisions of this ordinance are severable.

SECTION 4. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 218, 1986. This proposal amends the Code by changing parking controls for a portion of McLean Street. Councillor Gilmer stated that the Transportation Committee on April 23, 1986, recommended to strike Proposal No. 218, 1986, by a 4-0 vote. Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor McGrath, to strike Proposal No. 218, 1986. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 219, 1986, amends the Code by changing speed limit controls on a portion of Thompson Road. PROPOSAL NO. 220, 1986, amends the Code by authorizing the installation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Corporate Drive, Woodlawn Drive and 71st Street. PROPOSAL NO. 221, 1986, amends the Code by changing parking controls on a portion of Lesley Avenue. PROPOSAL NO. 222, 1986, amends the Code by changing intersection controls in the Summerfield Subdivision. PROPOSAL NO. 223, 1986, amends the Code by changing parking controls on a portion of Division Street. PROPOSAL NO. 224, 1986, amends the Code by changing intersection controls at N. Euclid Avenue and E. 19th Street. Councillor Gilmer explained that the Transportation Committee on April 23, 1986, recommended Proposal No. 219, 1986, Do Pass by a 4-0 vote; Proposal No. 220, 1986, Do Pass As Amended by a 4-0 vote; Proposal No. 221, 1986, Do Pass by a 4-0-1 vote; and Proposal No. 222-224, 1986, Do Pass by a 5-0 vote. Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Journey, for adoption of Proposal Nos. 219, 220, 222, 223, 224, 1986, and Proposal No. 221, 1986, As Amended. Proposal Nos. 219, 220, 222, 223, 224, 1986, and Proposal No. 221, 1986, As Amended, were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West, Williams

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Hawkins

Proposal No. 219, 1986, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 41, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 41, 1986

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", changing speed limit controls on a portion of Thompson Road.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-136, Alteration of prima facie speed limits, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

West Thompson Road, from South Bluff Road to South Meridian Street, 30 MPH.

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-136, Alteration of prima facie speed limits, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Thompson Road, from Bluff Road to Meridian Street, 35 MPH.

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 220, 1986, As Amended, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 42, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 42, 1986

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", authorizing the installation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Corporate Drive, Woodland Drive and 71st Street.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

#### BASE MAP INTERSECTION PREFERENTIAL TYPE OF CONTROL

9, Pg. 1 Corporate Dr./Woodland W. 71st St. SIGNAL Dr./71st St.

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 221, 1986, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 43, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 43, 1986

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", changing parking controls on a portion of Lesley Avenue.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Lesley Avenue, on the west side, from Thirteenth Street to Fourteenth Street.

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 222, 1986, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 44, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 44, 1986

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", changing intersection controls in the Summerfield Subdivision.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
15, Pg. 1	Deerbrook Ct. & Summerfield Dr.	Summerfield Dr.	Yield
15, Pg. 2	Mapleton Ct. & Summerfield Dr.	Summerfield Dr.	Yield

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 223, 1986, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 45, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 45, 1986

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", changing parking controls on a portion of Division Street.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Division Street, on the west side, from Henry Street to Gillette Street

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 224, 1986, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 46, 1986, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 46, 1986

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", changing intersection controls at N. Euclid Avenue and E. 19th Street.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
26, Pg. 10	N. Euclid Ave. & E. 19th St.	N. Euclid Ave.	STOP

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
26, Pg. 10	N. Euclid Ave. & E. 19th St.	None	4-way

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS, AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS

President SerVaas explained that Councillor Strader had received correspondence from Richard P. Fisher requesting that the Council approve an ordinance banning smoking in restaurants. The letter was accompanied by a petition containing approximately 166 signatures.

### ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENTS

Councillor West announced that Mr. Roy Icenogle, Senior Fiscal Analyst for the City-County Council, had submitted his resignation effective May 9, 1986, in order to become the Treasurer of the Health and Hospital Corporation.

There being no further business, upon motion duly made and seconded the meeting adjourned at 9:01 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the regular concurrent meetings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis-Marion County, Indiana, and Indianapolis Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils on the 28th day of April, 1986.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

President

ATTEST:

Clerk of the council

(SEAL)