# MINUTES OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

# **REGULAR MEETINGS MONDAY, JUNE 11, 1990**

The City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana and the Indianapolis Police Special Service District Council, Indianapolis Fire Special Service District Council and Indianapolis Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Council convened in regular concurrent sessions in the Council Chamber of the City-County Building at 7:06 p.m. on Monday, June 11, 1990, with Vice President West presiding.

Councillor West announced that Joseph C. Wallace, who served on the Council from 1948-1967, passed away two weeks ago, and asked for a moment of silence in memory of Mr. Wallace.

Councillor Irvin led the opening prayer and invited all present to join him in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

# ROLL CALL

The Vice President instructed the Clerk to take the roll call and requested members to register their presence on the voting machine. The roll call was as follows:

28 PRESENT: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, Strader, West, Williams 1 ABSENT: SerVaas

A quorum of twenty eight members being present, the Vice President called the meeting to order.

# INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS AND VISITORS

Councillor Curry read a cablegram from Dr. SerVaas who was in Poland, stating that he regretted that he would miss the Council meeting. He stated that a clerical error in making the Council meeting dates on his calendar resulted in this scheduling conflict, which was the first Council meeting he could ever remember missing.

Councillor Golc introduced Jerry Quigley from the Professional Licensing Agencies, State of Indiana. Councillor Coughenour introduced Police Officer Bruce Talbot from Woodridge Police Department in Illinois. Councillor Irvin acknowledged the presence of the Babe Denny Church Square Neighborhood Association, especially Beverly Miller and Pauline Finkton. Councillor Borst introduced Dr. Cory SerVaas, wife of Dr. Beurt SerVaas, who introduced Dr. Don Cooper, a sports trainer from Oklahoma, and Dr. Jerzy Jaskiewicz, a pediatrician visiting from Krakow, Poland.

# **OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS**

The Vice President called for the reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

You are hereby notified that REGULAR MEETINGS of the City-County Council and Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils, will be held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on Monday, June 11, 1990, at 7:00 p.m., the purpose of such MEETINGS being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before regular meetings of the Councils.

Respectfully, s/Beurt SerVaas Beurt SerVaas, President City-County Council

May 25, 1990

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in The Indianapolis NEWS and The Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on Thursday, May 31, 1990, a copy of NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS of a Public Hearing on Proposal Nos. 283, 284, 286, 289, 291, 295, 296 and 297, 1990, to be held on Monday, June 11, 1990, at 7:00 p.m., in the City-County Building.

Respectfully, s/Beverly S. Rippy Beverly S. Rlppy, City Clerk

May 21, 1990

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Mrs. Beverly S. Rippy, the following ordinances and resolutions:

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 40, 1990, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) appropriating an additional Two Hundred Forty-four Thousand Dollars (\$244,000) in the Park General Fund for purposes of the Department of Parks and Recreation, Administration Division, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Park General Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 41, 1990, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) appropriating an additional Fifty-four Thousand Dollars (\$54,000) in the Park General Fund for purposes of the Department of Parks and Recreation, Parks Management Division, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Park General Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 42, 1990, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) appropriating an additional Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$80,000) in the Park General Fund for purposes of the Department of Parks and Recreation, Golf Division, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Park General Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 43, 1990, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) appropriating an additional Twenty-nine Thousand Sixty-eight Dollars (\$29,068) in the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of the Department of Administration, Purchasing Division, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Consolidated County Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 44, 1990, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) appropriating an additional Six Thousand One Hundred Dollars (\$6,100) in the Parking Meter Fund for purposes of the Department of Transportation, Finance and Administration Division, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Parking Meter Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 45, 1990, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) appropriating an additional Six Hundred Ninety-one Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$691,820) in the Parking Meter Fund for purposes of the Department of Transportation, Operations Division, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Parking Meter Fund.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 63, 1990, amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," to provide for open burning violations to be enforced under Section 103-302 by the ordinance violations bureau.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 64, 1990, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," by amending Section 3-402 (c) of Article V, Chapter 3.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 65, 1990, amending the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana\*, Section 29-166, One-way streets and alleys designated.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 24 1990, memorializing Thomas E. "Buddy" Parker, Jr.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 25, 1990, a Special Resolution concerning the 1990 White River Cleanup.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 26 1990, in memoriam for LaTonya Nichole Stone.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 27, 1990, recognizing National Transit Appreciation Day.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 28, 1990, concerning an infrastructure study.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 29, 1990, approving the lease of certain real estate of the Department of Parks and Recreation.

Respectfully submitted, /s/William H. Hudnut, III William H. Hudnut, III

# **ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA**

The Vice President proposed the adoption of the agenda as distributed. Without objection, the agenda was adopted.

# **APPROVAL OF JOURNALS**

Vice President West called for additions or corrections to the Journal of May 14, 1990. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved as distributed.

# PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS

PROPOSAL No. 356, 1990. This proposal memorializes Klemens J. Zabel, who had been the precinct committeeman of Warren Township Precinct 1 since 1947. Councillor Clark read the resolution and along with Councillors Durnil and Ruhmkorff presented a framed document to Mrs. Zabel, who expressed her appreciation for the proclamation. Councillor Clark moved, seconded by Councillor Durnil, for adoption. Proposal No. 356, 1990, was adopted by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 356, 1990, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 30, 1990, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 30, 1990

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION memorializing Klemens J. Zabel.

WHEREAS, a free nation can remain free only if many responsible citizens take time to be active in the governmental process; and

WHEREAS, one such citizen was Klemens ("Klem") J. Zabel, who passed away January 19, 1990.

WHEREAS, Mr. Zabel had been the precinct committeeman of Warren Township Precinct 1 continuously since 1947; and

WHEREAS, during those forty-three years Klem Zabel watched his township grow from fewer than twenty precincts to today's 102, he registered thousands of voters, made sure that the neighborhood voting place was set up, participated in the past eleven presidential and mayoral elections; and was active in the Warren Township Republican Club for almost a half century; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Zabel additionally was active in St. John's Lutheran Church, the Lutheran Laymen's League, was a Sunday school teacher, had worked for the John Deere Company and in a small vending business and enjoyed growing flowers; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes and commends the late Klemens J. Zabel for giving over forty years of his life as an active person in the political and governmental process in this community.

SECTION 2. Mr. Zabel will long be remembered as an example that good government has its roots in the neighborhood precinct level.

SECTION 3. The Council extends its sympathy to his wife of 52 years, Mildred Zabel; daughters Carol Ayres and Sharon Vornholt; and to his brothers Walter and Marvin Zabel.

SECTION 4. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL No. 357, 1990. This proposal recognizes Curtis L. Coonrod, CPA, Auditor of Marion County from January 1, 1987 through March 30, 1990. Councillor Borst read the resolution and presented a framed document to Mr. Coonrod, who expressed his appreciation for the proclamation and commented on all the good friendships he has made during his term as county auditor. Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Curry, for adoption. Proposal No. 357, 1990, was adopted by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 357, 1990, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 31, 1990, and reads as follows:

# CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 31, 1990

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing Curtis L. Coonrod, CPA.

WHEREAS, Curtis L. Coonrod, CPA, served with distinction as Auditor of Marion County from January 1, 1987 through March 30, 1990; and

WHEREAS, during those nearly four years, Mr. Coonrod was responsible for the financial management of Marion County's budget of over one-hundred million dollars, and he worked closely with the other countyelected officials, the Mayor of Indianapolis, and with the City-County Council; and

WHEREAS, during his term, he also served on the Board of County Commissioners, the Information Services Agency Board, the Board of Review, the Board of Finance and the Board of Administration; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes and thanks Curtis L. Coonrod for serving as Marion County Auditor from 1987 through 1990.

SECTION 2. The Council notes that as Auditor, Mr. Coonrod established conservative business principles in the operation of Marion County's financial management, controlled costs, maintained a balanced budget and employed long-range fiscal planning as a means to avoid tax rate increases.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL No. 358, 1990. This proposal recognizes the Indianapolis Baptist Temple and Dr. Greg Dixon, the Church's pastor. Councillor McGrath said that he would present this proclamation on June 24 at the Baptist Temple. Councillor McGrath moved, seconded by Councillor Gilmer, for adoption. Proposal No. 358, 1990, was adopted by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 358, 1990, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 32, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 32, 1990

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION concerning the Indianapolis Baptist Temple and Dr. Greg Dixon.

WHEREAS, on Sunday, June 24, 1990, the Indianapolis Baptist Temple will celebrate the fortieth anniversary of the founding of the Church, and the thirty-fifth year of Dr. Greg Dixon's pastoral leadership; and

WHEREAS, this great congregation of 8,000 members supports 100 Christian missionaries around the world, has an active nursing home outreach, maintains special ministries to less fortunate persons, and works with 400 young students in a private school, a Bible college and a day care nursery; and

WHEREAS, Dr. Greg Dixon, the Church's pastor during the past thirty-five years, has been enthusiastically committed to the life of this Church, and to involvement in the community and nation striving to maintain a high level of ethics, morality and religious freedom; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council congratulates the Indianapolis Baptist Temple for providing spiritual leadership during the past forty years, and its pastor, Dr. Greg Dixon, for shepherding one of the largest congregations in Indianapolis for thirty-five years.

SECTION 2. The Council wishes--and challenges--the Indianapolis Baptist Temple fellowship to even greater spirit and vigor in the years ahead.

# Journal of the City-County Council

SECTION 3. The Council expresses its appreciation for the many years of dedicated work by Dr. Greg Dixon, and extends its best wishes to him, his wife Wanda, their children Greg Alan Dixon, Robin Helton and Jennifer Dixon, and to the Dixon's three grandchildren.

SECTION 4. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL No. 359, 1990. This proposal recognizes Pastor E. E. Russ on his sixteenth anniversary of ministry at the Greater St. James Missionary Baptist Church. Councillor Strader read the resolution and presented a framed document to Pastor Russ, who expressed his appreciation for the proclamation. Councillor Strader moved, seconded by Councillor Shaw, for adoption. Proposal No. 359, 1990, was adopted by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 359, 1990, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 33, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 33, 1990

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION concerning E. E. Russ.

WHEREAS, on Sunday, June 10, 1990, the Greater St. James Missionary Baptist Church celebrated the sixteenth anniversary of the ministry of Brother Pastor E. E. Russ; and

WHEREAS, during those sixteen years, Pastor Russ guided the congregation to a fifty percent increase in membership, added classrooms, strengthened the choir, ordained several deacons and preachers, and participated in special programs of feeding the poor and helping the needy in Indianapolis; and

WHEREAS, in December, 1977, a fire erupted in a boarding house across the street from Pastor Russ' home, and with no time to put on winter clothing, he ran to the fire scene and rescued four neighbors, an action which was recognized by the American Red Cross and cited in the "Congressional Record"; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes Pastor E. E. Russ on his sixteenth anniversary of ministry at the Greater St. James Missionary Baptist Church.

SECTION 2. Today, like never before, strong Churches can be a tremendous asset to this city, so the Council challenges Pastor Russ and each member of this great congregation to become even more resolved in helping citizens, neighborhoods and this city grow in human greatness.

SECTION 3. The Council wishes Pastor E. E. Russ, his wife Darnell, and their four children the best of health and happiness in the years to come.

SECTION 4. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL No. 326, 1990. Councillor Rhodes reported that Proposal No. 326, 1990, was heard by the Administration Committee on June 4, 1990. The proposal appoints Sara Mitten Snyder to the City Market Board. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Rhodes moved, seconded by Councillor Coughenour, for adoption. Proposal No. 326, 1990 was adopted by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 326, 1990 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 67, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 67, 1990

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION appointing Sara Mitten Snyder to the City Market Board.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. As a member of the City Market Board, the Council appoints:

Sara Mitten Snyder

SECTION 2. The appointment made by this resolution is for a term ending October 20, 1990. The person appointed by this resolution shall serve at the pleasure of the Council and until her respective successor is appointed and has qualified.

# INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL NO. 327, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL RESOLUTION determining the lease of 8,043 square feet of office space located at 129 East Market Street for the Department of Administration and other city departments to be necessary"; and the Vice President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 328, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$129,540 for the Department of Administration, Office of the Director, to provide for expanded telephone services for the Sewer Service and Customer Service Divisions of the Department of Public Works and to hire one temporary employee"; and the Vice President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 329, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$29,000 for the Department of Administration, Office of the Director, to hire a consultant to study the city's methods of sale and disposal of city equipment"; and the Vice President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 330, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$40,000 for the Department of Administration, Purchasing Division, to buy office furniture and equipment due to office expansion"; and the Vice President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 331, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Cottingham. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$13,039 for the Soil and Water Conservation District Agency to hire an additional staff person"; and the Vice President referred it to the County and Townships Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 332, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL RESOLUTION approving the Community Corrections Advisory Board's professional services contract with Volunteers of America to provide a residential community corrections program for eligible offenders"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 333, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$116,653 in the Home Detention Fund to provide for additional personnel and equipment and to replace vehicles for the Community Corrections Agency"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 334, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$16,389 in the Home Detention Fund for the Corrections Agency for the 1990-91 fiscal year"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 335, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$690,446 for the Community Corrections Agency from the Indiana Department of Corrections Grant for the 1990-91 fiscal year"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 336, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$32,500 for the Sheriff for two additional correction officers and additional supplies"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 337, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$55,000 for the Sheriff for expenses related to the extradition of prisoners"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 338, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$248,387 in the County Corrections Fund for the Auditor, Sheriff, Community Corrections Agency and the Justice Agency for various programs for the fiscal year 1990-91"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 339, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$4,496 for the Superior Court, Juvenile Division, to purchase computers and software to be used in the Life Skills Educations Program, which funds were donated by the Stanley K. Lacy Foundation"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 340, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$4,747 for the Superior Court, Criminal Division-Room Six, to pay \$1 for a copier by paying the balance of the copier lease/purchase plan"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 341, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$90,000 for the Department of Public Safety, Animal Control Division, to purchase furniture

and equipment and install a telephone system for the new Animal Control facility"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 344, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL RESOLUTION authorizing the purchase of real estate at 1002 Kentucky Avenue for use as a fire station"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 345, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$672,154 for the Department of Public Works, 24th Floor Administration, to pay for two floors of construction improvements at 129 East Market and to hire ten additional account representatives and two additional supervisors"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 346, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$300,000 for the Department of Public Works, Office of the Director, to construct a new animal control facility"; and the Vice President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 347, 1990. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL ORDINANCE re-establishing the Indianapolis Cumulative Capital Development Fund"; and the Vice President referred it to the Rules and Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 348, 1990. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL ORDINANCE re-establishing the Marion County Cumulative Development Fund"; and the Vice President referred it to the Rules and Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 349, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$63,293 for the Department of Transportation, Finance and Administration Division, to hire three additional employees in the Management Information Section"; and the Vice President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 350, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring \$664,113 within the budget of the Department of Transportation to allocate appropriations to the appropriate divisions"; and the Vice President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 351, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Williams. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by authorizing a weight limit restriction on Olney Street between Thirteenth Street and Brookside Parkway, South Drive"; and the Vice President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 352, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by authorizing a weight limit restriction on Spring Mill Road between 86th and 96th Streets"; and the Vice President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 353, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by authorizing a 150 foot passenger and materials loading zone on Illinois Street for the Canterbury Hotel, St. Elmo's and the Rider Building"; and the Vice President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 354, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by authorizing a 35 MPH speed zone on 86th Street from Lafayette Road to the West Marion County Line"; and the Vice President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 355, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by authorizing an extension of special parking for the Indianapolis Fire Department on a portion of Ohio Street"; and the Vice President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

# MODIFICATION OF SPECIAL ORDERS

PROPOSAL NO. 360, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE. The Metropolitan Development Commission Docket 90-AO-1, certified on June 8, 1990, amending Marion County Council Ordinance No. 8, 1957, as amended, by deleting all references to "agricultural zoning districts" in Sections 4 and 5 of the Adult Entertainment Business Zoning Ordinance, and adding the D-A, D-5II and D-6II dwelling district classifications to the definition of "residential zoning district" found in Section 4 of the ordinance"; and the Vice President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 361, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE. The Metropolitan Development Commission Docket 90-AO-2, certified on June 8, 1990, amending Marion County Council Ordinance No. 8, 1957, by revising the Sign Regulation Zoning Ordinance by deleting all references to sign regulations and permit outdoor advertising signs in the D-A district, unless the lot is platted or recorded exclusively for single-family residential use and by deleting section 14-02-2 (2) ii (a), (b) and (c), addressing viewability of outdoor advertising signs in the D-A district"; and the Vice President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 362, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE. The Metropolitan Development Commission Docket 90-AO-3, certified on June 8, 1990, amending Marion County Council Ordinance No. 8, 1957, as amended, by revising the Dwelling Districts Zoning Ordinance Section 2.00 (General Regulations) by providing for an exception allowing "carriage houses" with certain restrictions in locally-designated Historic Preservation Districts, by providing for revisions to Section 2.20 (Home Occupations) and by providing for minor technical revisions to various other sections in the ordinance"; and the Vice President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

# **SPECIAL ORDERS - PRIORITY BUSINESS**

PROPOSAL NO. 324, 1990. Councillor Schneider reported that the Economic Development Committee heard Proposal No. 324, 1990, on May 16, 1990. The proposal approves and authorizes certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds (American Health Care, Inc. Project, a not-for-profit corporation from Tennessee). Councillor Schneider stated that the project is the purchase of an existing nursing home facility (Autumn Care) on the north side of Indianapolis. By a 3-2 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Boyd, for adoption. Proposal No. 324, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 YEAS: Boyd, Brooks, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, West, Williams
0 NAYS:
6 NOT VOTING: Borst, Clark, Cottingham, Durnil, Irvin, Strader
1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 324, 1990, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 34, 1990, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 34, 1990

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Issuer") is authorized by IC 36-7-11.9 and IC 36-7-12 (collectively the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a company or directly owned by the company; and

WHEREAS, American Health Care, Inc., a Tennessee 501(c)(3) corporation (the "Applicant"), has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the Issuer that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities described as the acquisition of a one-story nursing home facility containing approximately 39,222 square feet and a separate laundry building containing approximately 1,440 square feet, both of which are located at 7630 E. 86th Street, Indianapolis, Indiana on approximately 5.72 acres of land; the acquisition of machinery, equipment, and furnishings for use in the facilities; and the acquisition, construction, renovation, installation, and equipping of various site improvements at the facilities (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and the retention of opportunities for gainful employment (approximately ninety (90) full-time equivalent jobs after one (1) year and three (3) years) to be achieved by the acquisition of the Project will serve a public purpose and be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect on similar facilities already constructed or operating in the City of Indianapolis; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant's status as a non-profit corporation would, upon the Company's acquisition of the proposed facility, remove a portion of the tax base of the City of Indianapolis but the Applicant has agreed that it will make payments in lieu of taxes to the City of Indianapolis in an amount equal to the taxes that would be payable were the Applicant a taxable entity; now therefore:

> BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

# Journal of the City-County Council

SECTION 1. It finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the diversification of industry and the retention of opportunities for gainful employment (approximately ninety (90) full-time equivalent jobs after one (1) year and three (3) years) in the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, is desirable, serves a public purpose and is of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer; and that it is in the public interest that this Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage the diversification of industry, the creation of business opportunities, and the retention of opportunities for gainful employment in the City of Indianapolis.

SECTION 2. It further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an amount not to exceed Three Million Dollars (\$3,000,000) under the Act to be privately placed or publicly offered with credit enhancement for the acquisition of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loan of the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the acquisition of the Project will serve the public purposes referred to above in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition of the Project, this Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided (a) that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant and (b) subject to the further caveat that this inducement resolution expires November 30, 1990 unless such bonds have been issued or an Ordinance authorizing the issuance of such bonds has been adopted by the governing body of the Issuer prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon a showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer by official action extends the term of this inducement resolution; and (ii) it will adopt such resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development revenue bonds, provided that at the time of the proposed issuance of such bonds (a) this inducement resolution is still in effect and (b) the aggregate amount of private activity bonds previously issued during that calendar year will not exceed the private activity bond limit for such calendar year it being understood that the Issuer by taking this action is not making any representation nor any assurances that (1) any such allocable limit will be available, because inducement resolutions in an aggregate amount in excess of the private activity bond limit may and in all probability will be adopted, and (2) the proposed Project will have no priority over other projects which have applied for such private activity bonds and have received inducement resolutions and (3) no portion of such private activity bond limit has been guaranteed for the proposed project; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Applicant to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in the Act] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of the Act.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the adoption of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of moneys expended by the Applicant for application fees, planning, engineering, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, and acquisition of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the same purpose. Also certain indirect expenses incurred prior to this inducement resolution will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 325, 1990. Councillor Schneider reported that the Economic Development Committee heard Proposal No. 325, 1990, on May 16, 1990. The proposal authorizes the issuance of Indianapolis Economic Development Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1990 (Cloverleaf Properties Project) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$1,700,000 and approves and authorizes other actions in respect thereto. Councillor Schneider explained that this proposal is a standard refunding of two bonds in order to get a lower interest rate. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Dowden, for adoption. Proposal No. 325, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

24 YEAS: Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Solenberg, Strader, West, Williams

0 NAYS: 4 NOT VOTING: Borst, Irvin, Jones, Shaw 1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 325, 1990, was retitled SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 5, 1990, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 5, 1990

A SPECIAL ORDINANCE authorizing the City of Indianapolis to issue Economic Development Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1990 (Cloverleaf Properties Project) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed One Million Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,700,000) and approving and authorizing other actions in respect thereto.

WHEREAS, Indiana Code, Title 36, Article 7, Chapters 11.9 and 12, and Indiana Code, Title 5, Article 1, Chapter 5 (collectively, the "Act"), have been enacted by the General Assembly of Indiana; and

WHEREAS, the Act declares that the financing and refinancing of economic development facilities constitutes a public purpose; and

WHEREAS, the Act provides that an issuer may, pursuant to the Act, issue revenue bonds and lend the proceeds thereof to a partnership for the purpose of refunding bonds issued for the purpose of financing costs of acquisition or construction of facilities, including real and personal property, for diversification of economic development and promotion of job opportunities in or near such issuer; and

WHEREAS, the Act provides that such bonds may be secured by a trust indenture between the Issuer and a corporate trustee; and

WHEREAS, City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Issuer") intends to issue its Economic Development Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1990 (Cloverleaf Properties Project) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$1,700,000 (the "Series 1990 Bonds") pursuant to the Trust Indenture dated as of June 1, 1990 (the "Indenture") between the Issuer and Peoples Bank & Trust Company, as Trustee (the "Trustee") in order to obtain funds to lend to Cloverleaf Properties, an Indiana general partnership (the "Company"); pursuant to the Loan Agreement dated as of June 1, 1990 (the "Loan Agreement") between the Issuer and the Company; pursuant to the Security Agreement from the Company to the Issuer dated as of June 1, 1990 (the "Security Agreement"); pursuant to the Real Estate Mortgage, Security Agreement, Collateral Assignment of Rents and Fixture Filing from the Company to the Issuer dated as of June 1, 1990 (the "Mortgage"); pursuant to the Collateral Assignment of Rents and Leases dated as of June 1, 1990 among the Issuer and the Company (the "Collateral Assignment"); and pursuant to the Bond Purchase Agreement to be dated the date of closing among the Issuer, the Company and City Securities Corporation, as Underwriter (the "Bond Purchase Agreement"); for the purpose of affecting a current refunding of the outstanding principal amount of the City of Indianapolis Economic Development First Mortgage Revenue Bond, Series 1984 (Cloverleaf Properties Project) and Economic Development First Mortgage Revenue Bond, Series 1985 (Cloverleaf Properties Project) (referred to collectively as the "Original Bonds"), which were issued for the purpose of financing the cost of acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of a two (2) story office building containing approximately 27,647 square feet and a warehouse building containing approximately 5,000 square feet, both of which are located at 8355 Rockville Road in Indianapolis, Indiana; the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping various site improvements at the facilities; and the acquisition and installation of machinery, equipment and furnishings for use in the facilities (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the Loan Agreement provides for the repayment by the Company of the loan of the proceeds of the Series 1990 Bonds and further provides (i) for the Company's repayment obligation to be evidenced by the Company's Note, Series 1990 (the "Series 1990 Note") and (ii) such loan and the Series 1990 Note to be secured by the lien and security interest therein provided for, (iii) for such loan and the Series 1990 Note to be further secured by the execution and delivery of the Collateral Assignment of Rents and Leases between the Company and the Trustee and (iv) for the issuance of the Letter of Credit (as defined in the Loan Agreement) under the Credit Agreement to be dated as of the date of closing of this transaction, such date to be no later than ninety (90) days from the date of this Special Ordinance (the "Credit Agreement") among the Company and INB National Bank (the "Letter of Credit Bank"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Indenture, the Issuer will endorse the Series 1990 Note without recourse and assign certain of its rights under the Loan Agreement as security for the Series 1990 Bonds which are payable solely and only out of the payments to be made by the Company with respect to the Series 1990 Note, and any other Notes issued under the Loan Agreement except to the extent paid out of Bond proceeds and proceeds of condemnation and insurance and the Letter of Credit issued pursuant to the Credit Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission on May 16, 1990 adopted a Resolution which Resolution has been previously transmitted hereto, finding that the current refunding of the Original Bonds which were issued to finance the Project which will continue to be owned by Cloverleaf Properties complies with the purposes and provision of the Act and that such financing will be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the City of Indianapolis and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission has approved the final forms of the 1) Indenture; 2) Loan Agreement; 3) Mortgage; 4) Security Agreement; 5) Bond Purchase Agreement; 6) Preliminary Official Statement; 7) the Credit Agreement (and the form of the Letter of Credit attached thereto as Exhibit A), and 8) the form of the Series 1990 Bonds (hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Financing Documents") by Resolution adopted prior in time to this date, which Resolution has been transmitted hereto; now, therefore:

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. It is hereby found that the current refunding of the financing of the economic development facilities referred to in the Financing Documents consisting of the Project previously approved by the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission now presented to this City-County Council, the issuance and sale of the Series 1990 Bonds, the loan of the net proceeds thereof to the Company for the purposes of affecting the current refunding of the Project, and the repayment of said loan by the Company will be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the City of Indianapolis and its citizens and does comply with the purposes and provisions of the Act.

SECTION 2. The forms of the Financing Documents approved by the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission are hereby approved, and all such documents shall be inserted in the minutes of the City-County Council and kept on file by the Clerk of the Council or City Controller. Two (2) copies of the Financing Documents are on file in the office of the Clerk of the Council for public inspection.

SECTION 3. The City of Indianapolis shall issue its Series 1990 Bonds in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed One Million Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,700,000) for the purpose of procuring funds to loan to the Company in order to affect a current refunding of the Original Bond which Series 1990 Bonds will be payable as to principal, premium, if any, and interest solely from the payments made by the Company on its Series 1990 Note in the principal amount of equal to the aggregate principal amount of the Series 1990 Bonds which will be executed and delivered by the Company to evidence and secure said loan and as otherwise provided in the above described Financing Documents. The Series 1990 Bonds shall never constitute a general obligation of, an indebtedness of, or charge against the general credit of the City of Indianapolis.

SECTION 4. The City Clerk and City Controller are authorized and directed to sell such Series 1990 Bonds to the purchaser or purchasers thereof at a price not less than 98% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest, if any, and at a stated per annum rate of interest as set forth in the Financing Documents. The use of an Official Statement in substantially the same form as the Preliminary Official Statement approved herein is approved for use and distribution by the Underwriter designated in the Bond Purchase Agreement in connection with the marketing of the Series 1990 Bonds.

SECTION 5. The Mayor and City Clerk are authorized and directed to execute those Financing Documents which require the signature of the Mayor and City Clerk approved herein and any other document which may be necessary or desirable to consummate the transaction, and their execution is hereby confirmed on behalf of the City of Indianapolis. The signatures of the Mayor and City Clerk on the Series 1990 Bonds may be facsimile signatures. The City Clerk and City Controller are authorized to arrange for the delivery of such Series 1990 Bonds to the purchaser or purchasers thereof payment for which will be made in the manner set forth in the Financing Documents. The Series 1990 Bonds shall be dated the date of closing this transaction, such date to be no later than ninety (90) days from the date of this Special Ordinance. The Mayor and City Clerk may by their execution of the Financing Documents requiring their signatures and imprinting of their facsimile signatures on the Series 1990 Bonds or their manual signatures thereof, approve changes therein and also in those Financing Documents which do not require the signature of the Mayor and/or City Clerk without further approval of this City-County Council or the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission if such changes do not affect terms set forth in IC 36-7-12-27(a) through (a)(10).

SECTION 6. The provisions of this ordinance and the Financing Documents shall constitute a contract binding between the City of Indianapolis and the holder or holders of the Series 1990 Bonds and after the issuance of said Series 1990 Bonds, this ordinance shall not be repealed or amended in any respect which would adversely affect the right of such holder or holders so long as said Series 1990 Bonds or the interest thereon remains unpaid.

SECTION 7. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NOS. 363-370, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposals entitled: "REZONING ORDINANCES certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on June 8, 1990. The Council did not schedule Proposal Nos. 363-370, 1990, for hearing pursuant to IC 36-7-4-608. Proposal Nos. 363-370, 1990, were retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NOS. 93-100, 1990, and are identified as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 93, 1990. 90-Z-74 PIKE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 1 6303 ZIONSVILLE ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS. THE BRADFORD GROUP, INC., by Stephen D. Mears, requests the rezoning of 10.83 acres, being in the D-1 district, to the D-3 classification to provide for the development of residential homes.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 94, 1990. 90-Z-106 CENTER TOWNSHIP

COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 16

714 NORTH SENATE AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS.

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PARK ASSOCIATES, LP, by Harry McNaught Jr., requests the rezoning of 2.6 acres, being in the I-3-U/RC district, to the CBD-S/RC classification to accommodate a mixed use development. The proposed mixed use development will include CBD-2 uses, training, research and discovery facilities, and/or light industrial uses as permitted within an I-2-U district.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 95, 1990. 90-Z-17 FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 13 6536 SOUTH COUNTY LINE ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS. ROBERT B. YOUNG, requests the rezoning of 3.182 acres, being in the A-2 district, to the D-1 classification

ROBERT B. YOUNG, requests the rezoning of 3.182 acres, being in the A-2 district, to the D-1 classification to provide for the development of single-family homes.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 96, 1990. 90-Z-70 FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP

COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 24

5201 SOUTH EMERSON AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS.

JAMES W. DAMMANN, by Raymond Good, requests the rezoning of 4.2 acres, being in the C-4 district, to the C-S classification to provide for the development of a lawn and garden and hardware business with outdoor storage in addition to permitted C-4 users.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 97, 1990. 90-Z-72 PERRY TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 20 456 EAST EPLER AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS. BRYAN ALEXANDER, requests the rezoning of 3.7 acres, being in the C-3 district, to the D-3 classification to provide for the continued use of a single-family dwelling.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 98, 1990. 90-Z-75 DECATUR TOWNSHIP

COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 19

5410 SOUTH HIGH SCHOOL ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS.

DECATUR CIVIL TOWNSHIP OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA requests the rezoning of 2.48 acres, being in the SU-2 and D-A districts, to the SU-9 classification to provide for the development of an office building to house Decatur Township governmental offices, including offices for the Township Assessor and the headquarters for the fire station.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 99, 1990. 90-Z-76 WARREN TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 13 310 MUESSING ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS. R & F DEVELOPMENT, by Harry F. McNaught, Jr., requests the rezoning of 44.9 acres, being in the D-A district, to the D-1 classification to provide for the development of a single-family subdivision.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 100, 1990. 90-Z-77 CENTER TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 9 2590 NORTH CAPTOL AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS. D & S INVESTMENTS, AN INDIANA GENERAL PARTNERSHIP, by Michael J. Kias, requests the rezoning of 0.571 acre, being in the C-3 district, to the C-1 classification to provide for the development of a day care facility and outdoor play area.

PROPOSAL NOS. 371-382, 1990. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposals entitled: "REZONING ORDINANCES certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on June 11, 1990. The Council did not schedule Proposal Nos.

371-382, 1990, for hearing pursuant to IC 36-7-4-608. Proposal Nos. 371-382, 1990, were retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NOS. 101-112, 1990, and are identified as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 101, 1990. 90-Z-12 (AMENDED) PERRY TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 25

5202 SOUTH HARDING STREET, INDIANAPOLIS.

JAMES O. SMITH requests the rezoning of 5.315 acres, being in the I-2-U district, to the C-S classification to provide for mixed-use commercial development including the following uses: contractor's office, home sales and display, locksmith, manufacturing of homes and structural components, retail sales associated with a construction company, and outdoor storage.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 102, 1990. 90-Z-47 (AMENDED) WAYNE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 18 52 SOUTH MICKLEY AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS. KOSENE AND KOSENE, INC., by Harry F. McNaught, Jr., requests the rezoning of 3.26 acres, being in the D-2 district, to the C-1 classification to provide for commercial development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 103, 1990. 90-Z-48 (AMENDED) WAYNE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 18 5805 ROCKVILLE ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS. KOSENE AND KOSENE INC. by Harry E. McNaught, Jr., requests the receipting of 10.65 agrees.

KOSENE AND KOSENE, INC., by Harry F. McNaught, Jr., requests the rezoning of 19.65 acres, being in the D-2 and D-7 districts, to the C-4 classification to provide for the development of retail commercial uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 104, 1990. 90-Z-49 (AMENDED) WAYNE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 18

5851 ROCKVILLE ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS.

KOSENE AND KOSENE, INC., by Harry F. McNaught, Jr., requests the rezoning of 6.16 acres, being in the D-2 and D-7 districts, to the C-6 classification to provide for highway oriented commercial uses developed in an integrated fashion with adjacent property.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 105, 1990. 90-Z-66 PERRY TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 25 941 WEST TROY AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS. H.E. HENDERSON, INC., by Michael J. Kias, requests the rezoning of 3.47 acres, being in the D-A district, to the C-ID classification to provide for the development of a construction company.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 106, 1990. 90-Z-68 (AMENDED) PIKE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 1

8727 WEST 96TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS.

DONALD J. AND LINDA L. FISHER, by John W. Van Buskirk, requests the rezoning of 5.66 acres, being in the D-A district, to the D-S classification to provide for the construction of a single-family residence.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 107, 1990. 90-Z-83 LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 5 12501 FALL CREEK ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS. MARINA LIMITED PARTNERS, by Thomas Michael Quinn, requests the rezoning of

MARINA LIMITED PARTNERS, by Thomas Michael Quinn, requests the rezoning of 2.5 acres, being in the C-S district, to the D-2 classification to provide for the development of residential housing.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 108, 1990. 90-Z-86 WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 7

5350 HILLSIDE DRIVE, INDIANAPOLIS.

METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION requests the rezoning of 5.0501 acres, being in the D-7 district, to the C-1 classification to conform zoning with the Keystone Avenue Corridor Study, adopted August 1986.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 109, 1990. 90-Z-87 WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 7

2611 EAST 46TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS.

METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION requests the rezoning of 0.5 acre, being in the D-5 district, to the C-3 classification to conform zoning with the Keystone Avenue Corridor Study, adopted August 1986.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 110, 1990. 90-Z-89 WASHINTON TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 7

3913-3937 NORTH KEYSTONE AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS.

METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION requests the rezoning of 0.6 acre, being in the D-5 and C-5 districts, to the C-1 classification to conform zoning with the Keystone Avenue Corridor Study, adopted August 1986.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 111, 1990. 90-Z-90 WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 7

4606-4610 NORTH KEYSTONE AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS.

METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION requests the rezoning of 0.6 acre, being in the D-5 and C-5 districts, to the C-1 classification to conform zoning with the Keystone Avenue Corridor Study, adopted August 1986.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 112, 1990. 90-Z-91 WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 7 2125 EAST 54TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS. METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION requests the rezoning of 1.5 acres, being in the D-7 district, to the SU-1 classification to conform zoning with the Keystone Avenue Corridor Study, adopted August 1986.

# **SPECIAL ORDERS - PUBLIC HEARING**

PROPOSAL NO. 283, 1990. Councillor Cottingham reported that the County and Townships Committee heard Proposal No. 283, 1990 on May 15, 1990. The proposal appropriates \$90,000 for the Marion County Healthcare Center to contract new rehabilitative services of speech, respiratory and clinitron therapy to be funded from Medicaid, Medicare and other third party payers. By a 4-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The Vice President called for public testimony at 7:49 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Cottingham moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes, for adoption. Proposal No. 283, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

24 YEAS: Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Gilmer, Golc, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, Strader, West, Williams
0 NAYS:
4 NOT VOTING: Borst, Giffin, Irvin, Rhodes
1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 283, 1990, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 46, 1990, and reads as follows:

# CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 46, 1990

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) appropriating an additional Ninety Thousand Dollars (\$90,000) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion County Healthcare Center and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (L) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1990, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Marion County Healthcare Center to contract new rehabilitative services of speech, respiratory and clinitron therapy to be funded from Medicaid, Medicare and other third party payers.

SECTION 2. The sum of Ninety Thousand Dollars be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

MARION COUNTY HEALTHCARE CENTER	COUNTY GENERAL FUND
3. Other Services and Charges	\$90,000
TOTAL INCREASE	\$90,000
SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the	following reductions:

	COUNTY GENERAL FUND
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
County General Fund	\$90,000
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$90,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 284, 1990. Councillor Cottingham reported that the County and Townships Committee heard Proposal No. 284, 1990 on May 15, 1990. The proposal appropriates \$124,297 for the Clerk of the Circuit Court to pay the following: (1) salaries of two clerks in the new Municipal Court, (2) salaries of three additional clerks and transcript costs in Child Support/Juvenile Court, (3) salaries of two clerks in the new Superior Court, and (4) printing costs for traffic tickets and postage expense in the Clerk's Office. By a 4-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The Vice President called for public testimony at 7:51 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Cottingham moved, seconded by Councillor Golc, for adoption. Proposal No. 284, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, Strader, West, Williams 0 NAYS: 0 NOT VOTING: 1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 284, 1990, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 47, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 47, 1990

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) appropriating an additional One Hundred Twenty-four Thousand Two Hundred Ninety-seven Dollars (\$124,297) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Clerk of the Circuit Court and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (d) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1990, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Clerk of the Circuit Court to pay the following: (1) salaries of two clerks in the new Municipal Court, (2) salaries of three additional clerks and transcripts costs in Child Support/Juvenile Court, (3) salaries of two clerks in the new Superior Court, (4) printing costs for traffic tickets in the Clerk's Office, and (5) postage expense in the Clerk's Office.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Hundred Twenty-four Thousand Two Hundred Ninety-seven Dollars (\$124,297) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT	COUNTY GENERAL FUND
1. Personal Services	\$ 33,250
3. Other Services and Charges	91,047
TOTAL INCREASE	\$124,297

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	COUNTY GENERAL FUND
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
County General Fund	<u>\$124,297</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$124,297

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 289, 1990. This proposal appropriates \$179,237 for the County Auditor, Clerk of the Circuit Court, Prosecuting Attorney, County Sheriff and Marion County Drug Court to establish a budget for the Marion County Drug Court beginning July 1, 1990 through December 31, 1990, pursuant to legislation by the Indiana General Assembly. Councillor Dowden asked for consent to postpone Proposal No. 289, 1990, to the next meeting. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 291, 1990. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 291, 1990 on May 16, 1990. The proposal appropriates \$29,655 for the Prosecutor to cover moving expenses related to the new child Advocacy Center at 251 East Ohio Street. By a 7-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The Vice President called for public testimony at 7:53 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Irvin, for adoption. Proposal No. 291, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, Strader, West, Williams 0 NAYS: 1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 291, 1990, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 48, 1990, and reads as follows:

# CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 48, 1990

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) appropriating an additional Twenty-nine Thousand Six Hundred Fifty-five Dollars (\$29,655) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (w) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1990, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney to cover moving expenses related to the new Child Advocacy Center at 251 East Ohio Street.

SECTION 2. The sum of Twenty-nine Thousand Six Hundred Fifty-five Dollars (\$29,655) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	COUNTY GENERAL FUND
3. Other Services and Charges	\$29,655
TOTAL INCREASE	\$29,655

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	COUNTY GENERAL FUND
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
County General Fund	<u>\$29,655</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$29,655

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 295, 1990. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 295, 1990 on May 16, 1990. The proposal appropriates \$94,695 for the Superior Court, Juvenile Division, to utilize funding from Lilly Endowment, Inc. to develop a plan of long-term goals and objectives. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The Vice President called for public testimony at 7:56 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes, for adoption. Proposal No. 295, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

26 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, Strader, West, Williams
0 NAYS:
2 NOT VOTING: Clark, Irvin
1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 295, 1990, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 49, 1990, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 49, 1990

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) appropriating an additional Ninety-four Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-five Dollars (\$94,695) in the County Grants Fund for purposes of the Marion Superior Court, Juvenile Division, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (jj) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1990, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Marion Superior Court, Juvenile Division, to utilize funding from Lilly Endowment, Inc. to develop a plan of long term goals and objectives.

SECTION 2. The sum of Ninety-four Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-five Dollars (\$94,695) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

MARION SUPERIOR COURT		
JUVENILE DIVISION		
3. Other Services and Charges		
TOTAL INCREASE		

<u>COUNTY GRANTS FUND</u> <u>\$94,695</u> \$94,695

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	COUNTY GRANTS FUND
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
County Grants Fund	<u>\$94,695</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$94,695

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 296, 1990. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 296, 1990 on May 16, 1990. The proposal appropriates \$138,685 for the Superior Court, Juvenile Division, to utilize Division of Addictive Services funding in order to provide substance abuse treatment services for "high risk youth." By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The Vice President called for public testimony at 7:58 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Howard, for adoption. Proposal No. 296, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, Strader, West, Williams 0 NAYS: 1 NOT VOTING: Irvin 1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 296, 1990, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 50, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 50, 1990

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) appropriating an additional One Hundred Thirty-eight Thousand Six Hundred Eighty-five Dollars (\$138,685) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Marion Superior Court, Juvenile Division, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (jj) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1990, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of Marion Superior Court, Juvenile Division, to utilize Division of Addictive Services funding in order to provide services for "high risk youth" for substance abuse.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Hundred Thirty-eight Thousand Six Hundred Eighty-five Dollars (\$138,685) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

MARION SUPERIOR COURT	
JUVENILE DIVISION	STATE & FEDERAL GRANTS FUND
1. Personal Services	\$104,130
2. Supplies	33,370
3. Other Services and Charges	1,185
TOTAL INCREASE	\$138,685

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	STATE & FEDERAL GRANTS FUND
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
State & Federal Grants Fund	<u>\$138,685</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$138,685

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 297, 1990. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 297, 1990 on May 16, 1990. The proposal appropriates \$2,675,000 for MECA to cover cash flow requirements to vendors of the Computer-Aided Dispatch system until such time as long-term financing is secured (\$2,660,000); and to purchase a computer to support Enhanced 9-1-1 activities (\$15,000). By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The Vice President called for public testimony at 8:06 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes, for adoption. Proposal No. 297, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, Strader, West, Williams 0 NAYS: 0 NOT VOTING: 1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 297, 1990, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 51, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 51, 1990

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) appropriating an additional Two Million Six Hundred Seventy-five Thousand Dollars (\$2,675,000) in the Metropolitan Emergency Communications Fund for purposes of the Metropolitan Emergency Communications Agency and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Metropolitan Emergency Communications Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.01 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1990, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Metropolitan Emergency Communications Agency to cover cash flow requirements to vendors of the Computer-Aided Dispatch system until such time as long-term financing is secured (\$2,660,000); and to purchase a computer to support Enhanced 9-1-1 activities in the amount of (\$15,000).

SECTION 2. The sum of Two Million Six Hundred Seventy-five Thousand Dollars (\$2,675,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

METROPOLITAN EMERGENCY <u>COMMUNICATIONS</u> AGENCY 4. Capital Outlay TOTAL INCREASE METROPOLITAN EMERGENCY <u>COMMUNICATIONS FUND</u> <u>\$2,675,000</u> , \$2,675,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	METROPOLITAN EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS FUND
Unappropriated and Unencumbered Metropolitan Emergency Communications Fund	<u>\$2,675,000</u> \$2,675,000
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$2,675,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

# **SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION**

PROPOSAL NO. 282, 1990. Councillor Rhodes reported that Proposal No. 282, 1990, was heard by the Administration Committee on June 4, 1990. The proposal amends the Code to increase insurance requirements for taxi and limousine licensees and to increase taxi fares. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass as amended.

Councillor Shaw said if the City is going to approve the taxi fare increase, then the City should raise the required insurance coverage to \$300,000, which is the City's tort level.

Councillor Gilmer questioned why the large difference between liability coverage of taxis and limousines. Councillor Rhodes explained that by State statute, limousines are required to have \$1.5 million liability coverage.

Councillor Schneider questioned how many independent taxi companies operate in the City. Lynda Sereno, City License Supervisor, said there are currently 25 taxi companies operating, two of which have only one taxi each, the others have five or more.

Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes, to amend Proposal No. 282, 1990, by increasing the insurance coverage of taxis to \$300,000.

Councillor Coughenour said that, in her opinion, there was no need to increase the liability coverage limit since there have been no problems with the coverage in the past.

Councillor Gilmer's motion to amend failed on the following roll call vote; viz:

6 YEAS: Curry, Dowden, Gilmer, Holmes, Moriarty, West 20 NAYS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Durnil, Giffin, Golc, Hawkins, Howard, Jones, McGrath, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Solenberg, Strader, Williams 2 NOT VOTING: Irvin, Shaw 1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Councillor Durnil moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes, for adoption. Proposal No. 282, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

24 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, Strader, West 4 NAYS: Curry, Golc, Moriarty, Williams 0 NOT VOTING: 1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas Proposal No. 282, 1990, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 66, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 66, 1990

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code to increase insurance requirements for taxi and limousine licensees and to increase taxi fares.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Chapter 17 of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County", specifically Sec. 17-640 through Sec. 17-642, be amended by deleting the stricken-through text and inserting the underlined text to read as follows:

#### Sec. 17-640. Purpose.

To protect the citizens of and visitors to Marion County, Indiana, the business of transporting passengers for hire in any motor vehicle defined by  $\frac{1.6.8-2.32-1}{1.6.8-2.32-1}$  as a "taxicab" is hereby regulated and licensed as provided in this article.

### Sec. 17-641. License required.

It shall be unlawful for any person operating any motor vehicle (defined in  $\underline{\text{IC}} \cdot \underline{8 \cdot 2 \cdot 32 \cdot 1}$   $\underline{\text{IC}} \cdot \underline{8 \cdot 2 \cdot 17 \cdot 16}$  as a "taxicab") to offer to transport, or to transport, any passenger for hire or as a contractual service from any location in Marion County unless licensed in this article. Motor vehicles designed for use and used exclusively for transportation of sick, injured or handicapped persons, commonly referred to as ambulances, shall not be considered taxicabs for purposes of applying to this section. The use of a passenger motor vehicle owned by a funeral director to transport persons as part of his services in providing funerals and burial for the dead shall not be subject to this article.

### Sec. 17-642. Licenses authorized.

The controller is authorized to issue licenses for public transportation of passengers for hire in motor vehicles, defined as "taxicabs" by  $\frac{\text{LC. 8-2.32-1}}{\text{IC. 8-2.12-17-16}}$  in accordance with this article. Such licenses shall be designated as either "taxi licenses" or "limousine licenses." A separate license shall be issued for each vehicle licensed under this article.

SECTION 2. Chapter 17 of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County", specifically Sec. 17-647, be amended by deleting the stricken-through text and inserting the underlined text to read as follows:

### Sec. 17-647. Vehicles eligible for licensing.

(a) Taxis. Taxi licenses shall be issued or renewed pursuant to this division only for vehicles having four (4) doors, a rigid roof, a rear luggage compartment and two (2) seats, each of which is designed to seat three (3) persons comfortably. When first licensed, <u>using January 1 as the beginning of the model year</u>, a vehicle must be of the current or past three (3) model years; licenses may be renewed for vehicles of the current or past five (5) model years. <u>The controller may renew licenses for vehicles older than the fifth preceding model year for a period not to exceed six (6) months if applicant provides proof that newer vehicles have been ordered from but not delivered by the manufacturer.</u>

(b) Limousines. Limousine licenses shall be issued pursuant to this article only for vehicles having four (4) doors, a rigid roof and a rear trunk and which is a luxury or classic automobile capable of transporting at least four (4) passengers in the rear seating area.

SECTION 3. Chapter 17 of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County", specifically Sec. 17-650, be amended by deleting the stricken-through text and inserting the underlined text to read as follows:

## Sec. 17-650. Liability insurance.

(a) No license shall be issued pursuant to this article unless the applicant therefor has filed a public liability insurance policy or a certificate of self-insurance issued by the Indiana Bureau of Motor Vehicles with the controller which covers each taxi or limousine to be used in the licensed business and complies with the requirements of subsections (b) or (c).

(b) Each taxi licensee under this article shall maintain in effect at all times the public liability insurance required by subsection (a), which will indemnify anyone injured by any of the licensee's drivers or by anyone operating any of the licensee's taxis. The liability insurance shall be not less than one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) combined limit coverage for personal injury and property damage beginning on April 1, 1989.

(c) Each limousine licensee under this article shall maintain in effect at all times the public liability insurance required by subsection (a), which will indemnify anyone injured by any of the licensee's drivers or by anyone operating any of the licensee's limousines. The liability insurance shall be not less than five hundred thousand one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00) (\$1,500,000) combined limit coverage for personal injury and property damage.

(d) The policy of insurance required by subsection (a) shall contain endorsements in accordance with 49 CFR section 387.39 (Form MCS-90B). Such policy of insurance shall remain in effect continuously until terminated. Cancellation may be effected only by the insurer or the insured giving thirty-five (35) days' notice in writing to the other, such notice to commence on the date the notice is mailed, with proof of mailing being sufficient proof of notice. Such public liability insurance policy shall contain an endorsement which shall provide that cancellation may be effected by the insurer only upon providing thirty (30) days' prior written notice to the controller of the intention to cancel, with the thirty (30) days' notice to commence from the date the notice is received by the controller.

SECTION 4. Chapter 17 of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County", specifically Sec. 17-652, be amended by deleting the stricken-through text and inserting the underlined text to read as follows:

Sec. 17-652. Issuance; contents of certificate; expiration of certificates

(a) Upon passing all inspections required by this article and presenting proof of liability insurance coverage or a certificate of self-insurance issued by the Indiana Bureau of Motor Vehicles, the controller shall issue to the licensee a certificate showing:

- (1) The name of the license;
- (2) The city license number of the taxi or limousine;
- (3) Compliance with all requirements for a license; and
- (4) The signature of the controller.

(b) Each certificate issued pursuant to this section shall expire on the fifth day of the following calendar month, unless sooner canceled by order of the controller. The controller may vary the color or style of the certificates so that they will not be exactly alike for any succeeding month. The certificate shall be gummed and shall be placed in a location specified by the controller. Certificates required by this section shall not be transferable from one vehicle to another, and it shall be unlawful for any taxi or limousine to operate without a valid certificate.

SECTION 5. Chapter 17 of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County", specifically Sec. 17-672 and Sec. 17-673, be amended by deleting the stricken-through text and inserting the underlined text to read as follows:

Sec. 17-672. Standard of service.

(a) Each licensee under this article shall operate sufficient taxis to provide adequate service to the public at all times.

(b) Each licensee under this article shall maintain an office with sufficient employees to answer in person or monitor all calls twenty-four (24) hours a day, each and every day of the year.

(c) Every driver having charge of a licensed taxicab in a public place shall be hygienically clean, well groomed, neat and clean in appearance and suitably dressed. Male drivers shall be clean shaven and hair shall be neatly trimmed. If a beard or mustache is worn, it shall be well groomed and neatly trimmed at all times in order not to present a ragged appearance. The term "suitably dressed" shall be interpreted to mean the driver, if male, shall wear trousers, shoes, socks and appropriate outer garments; the female driver may wear, as an outer garment(s), a shirt with collar, blouse, or sweater together with slacks or skirt. The following articles of clothing are considered inappropriate and are not permitted, when the driver, male or female, is in charge of a licensed taxicab: T-shirts, underwear, tank tops, body shirts, swim wear, jogging suits, or similar types of attire when worn as outer garments, and shorts or trunks (bath, jogging, or cutoffs).

(d) Each licensee under this article shall maintain a listing in the Yellow Pages of the telephone book.

Sec. 17-673. Fares.

(a) Generally. No person owning, operating or controlling a taxi shall charge other than the schedule of rates at the time authorized by article. Each licensee shall maintain a schedule of rates, chargeable for the use of such taxi at all times, in plain view of any passenger seated in the rear seat.

(b) More than one passenger. If more than one person occupies or engages a taxi for a common route or destination, or by time, the operator may make a charge of fifty cents (\$0.50) for each extra person who has attained the age of fifteen (15) years. No person operating a taxi shall carry any other passenger after the taxi has been occupied or engaged by a passenger, without obtaining the consent of the prior passenger, except as provided in subsection (i) hereof.

(c) Waiting time. When a taxi arrives at the place to which it has been called for a passenger, the driver shall give notice of his arrival to such person, and for the first three (3) minutes following notice there shall be no time charge for waiting, but for any waiting time thereafter, either at the place of call or en route to the passenger's destination, the waiting charge authorized by the current schedule shall be paid. However, no waiting time shall be charged in any case where it is caused by the premature arrival of the driver at the place of call, or where delays occur enroute due to the condition of the taxi or the driver. There will be no charge for handicapped people after three (3) minutes, at railroad tracks or if delayed for more than three (3) minutes for any other kind of traffic blockage, and people over the age of sixty-five (65) who can show identification shall be given the same kind of courtesy.

(d) Payment of fare. No person who requests and receives transportation in any taxi shall fail or refuse to pay the proper fare or charge authorized by this article.

(e) Receipt. If demanded by the passenger, the driver of a taxi shall deliver to the passenger at the time of payment a signed receipt on a form containing the driver's name and taxi driver's license number, the cab number and the taximeter number, together with the distance or time, or both, for which the charge is made, the total amount paid and by whom, and the date of payment.

(f) Filing schedules. Every licensee under this article shall file with the controller copies of every current fare schedule, which shall be open to the public, showing all rates and charges which the licensee has established and requires in payment for any of its services. The schedules shall conform to this section and it shall be unlawful for any licensee or his agent to charge or receive any fare greater than is specified in the current schedule.

- (g) Schedule of rates. The charge for taxi services shall be as follows:
  - (1) One dollar and twenty-five Ninety-five cents (\$1.25) (\$0.95) for the first one fifth (1/5) onetenth (1/10) mile. When the controller has determined that the average retail cost of gasoline has reached one dollar and fifty cents (\$1.50) per gallon, the charge for taxi services shall automatically change to one dollar and twenty cents (\$1.20) for the first one-sixth (1/6) mile.
  - (2) Twenty Thirty cents (\$0.20) (\$0.30) for each additional one-fifth (1/5) mile. When the controller has determined that the average retail cost of gasoline has reached one dollar and fifty cents (\$1.50) per gallon, the charge for additional mileage shall be twenty cents (\$0.20) for each additional one sixth (1/6) mile. Twenty Thirty cents (\$0.20) (\$0.30) may be charged for each one (1) minute of waiting time over the first three (3) minutes as hereinbelow specified.
  - (3) Fifteen Eighteen dollars (\$15.00) (\$18.00) per hour for the use at any hourly rate; provided that there shall be an additional charge of one dollar and fifty cents (\$1.00) (\$1.50) per mile for each mile in excess of twelve (12) miles driven during any one (1) hour. When gasoline retail costs reach one dollar and fifty cents (\$1.50) per gallon, the charge for additional miles in excess of twelve (12) miles driven during any one (1) hour shall be one dollar and twenty cents (\$1.20) per mile.
  - (4) Provided that the minimum for any fare originating from Indianapolis International Airport shall be three six dollars and fifty cents (\$3.50) (\$6.50).
  - (5) When the city controller has determined that the average retail cost of gasoline has reached one dollar and eighty cents (\$1.80) per gallon, a thirty-cent (\$0.30) fuel surcharge may be charged per trip and added to meter flag charges.

(h) Baggage. Each passenger shall be permitted to carry in the vehicle with him his personal baggage weighing not over seventy (70) pounds without extra charge.

- (i) Share rides.
  - (1) For purposes of this subsection, a "share ride" means the use of a taxi to transport persons or property with different points of embarkation or disembarkation at the same or to overlapping times. Each person or group of persons, or articles or group of articles transported from one (1) point of embarkation to one (1) point of disembarkation shall be called herein a "trip segment"; and the aggregate of trip segments over the time period from the beginning of the first trip segment to the end of the last trip segment shall be an "entire trip."
  - (2) A taxi may engage a share ride during a particular entire trip under the following provisions:
    - a. Only if the taxi is equipped with a taximeter which is capable of accounting for each trip segment on a varying rate schedule, as provided hereinbelow.
    - b. That the first embarking passenger(s) give permission for the taxi driver to engage in share riding.
    - c. That the entire trip shall not deviate more than two (2) city blocks from the route engaged by the first embarking passenger(s).
    - d. That the rate applied to each and every trip segment at any one time shall be the rate provided in subsection (g) of this section reduced by fifteen (15) per cent for each trip segment in excess of one (1) for which the taxi is being used at any one (1) time.
    - e. The additional passenger charge contained in subsection (b) of this section shall not apply to share rides except that said charges may be required of an extra passenger (above one (1)) for each trip segment.

SECTION 6. Chapter 17 of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County", specifically Sec. 17-679, be amended by deleting the stricken-through text and inserting the underlined text to read as follows:

Section 17-679. Inspections.

(a) The controller or his designee shall inspect each taxi licensed under the provisions of this article no later than January 1, May 1, and September 1 of each year at a location within Marion County for compliance with IC 9-8-6-1 et seq. In addition, the controller or his designee may, from time to time, conduct inspections for the conditions listed under subsection (a) upon ten (10) days written notice by the controller.

(b) Each taxi licensed under the provisions of this article shall also be inspected at least two (2) times annually to see that the meter is operating properly. This would Inspection shall be at the controller's request and at designated locations approved by the division of weights and measures of the department of public safety.

(c) Failure of any taxi to pass any of the above inspections shall be grounds for the revocation of the license of said taxi by the controller, unless the violation is remedied within fifteen (15) days.

SECTION 7. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after July 1, 1990.

PROPOSAL NO. 285, 1990. Councillor Borst reported that Proposal No. 285, 1990, was heard by the Metropolitan Development Committee on May 22, 1990. The proposal transfers and appropriates \$68,955 for the Department of Metropolitan Development, Development Services Division, to add two new positions in order to create a more efficient work environment while processing development petitions. The money was originally intended to purchase laser optic discs for records storage, but the system will not be available in 1990, so DMD would like to use this money to hire two new planners specifically for ordinance revisions. Councillor Borst explained that the Department is trying to update all ordinances, many of which are over 20 years old. By a 8-0-1 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Gilmer, for adoption. Proposal No. 285, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Clark, Cottingham, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, Strader, West, Williams 1 NAY: Curry 4 NOT VOTING: Brooks, Coughenour, Hawkins, Howard 1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas Proposal No. 285, 1990, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 52, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 52, 1990

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) transferring and appropriating an additional Sixty-eight Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty-five Dollars (\$68,955) in the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of the Department of Metropolitan Development, Development Services Division and reducing certain other appropriations for that division.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.01 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1990, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Department of Metropolitan Development, Development Services Division, to add two new positions in order to create a more efficient work environment while processing development petitions.

SECTION 2. The sum of Sixty-eight Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty-five Dollars (\$68,955) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

DEPARTMENT OF METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT	
DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIVISION	CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND
1. Personal Services	\$ <u>68,955</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	\$68,955

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

DEPARTMENT OF METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT	
DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIVISION CONSOLIDATED	COUNTY FUND
4. Capital Outlay \$68,95	5
TOTAL REDUCTION \$68,95	5

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 287, 1990. Councillor Borst reported that Proposal No. 287, 1990, was heard by the Metropolitan Development Committee on May 22, 1990. The proposal approves the amounts, locations and programmatic operation of certain projects to be funded from Community Development Grant Funds. By a 5-0-1 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Mukes-Gaither, for adoption. Proposal No. 287, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Ruhmkorff, Shaw, Strader, West, Williams 0 NAYS: 6 NOT VOTING: Brooks, Dowden, Hawkins, Rhodes, Schneider, Solenberg 1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 287, 1990, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 35, 1990, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 35, 1990

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving the amounts, locations and programmatic operation of certain projects to be funded from Community Development Grant Funds.

WHEREAS, on September 25, 1989, the City-County Council, the City of Indianapolis and of Marion County, Indiana ("Council") adopted City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989, 1990 Annual Budget and Tax levies for the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and for Marion County, Indiana ("Budget Ordinance"); and

WHEREAS, Section 4.01(a) of the Budget Ordinance, as approved by the Council reads as follows:

"Section 4.01 State and Federal Grant Applications Authorized.

The Mayor of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis is hereby authorized to make such applications as may be required by federal or state laws regulations in order to apply for and receive, such state and federal grants for payments as are anticipated, allocated and approved for expenditure by inclusion in this ordinance. Provided, however, that until this Council has approved the amounts, locations and programmatic operation of each project to be funded from Community Development Grant Funds, the amounts appropriated herein for such purposes shall not be encumbered or spent"; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Metropolitan Development of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana ("Department of Metropolitan Development") has submitted a program for housing revitalization and economic development, utilizing Community Development Grant Funds to the Council for its approval pursuant to Section 4.01 of the Budget Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Council now finds that the amounts, locations and programmatic operations of each of the projects submitted by the Department of Metropolitan Development, should be approved; now, therefore:

# BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Neighborhood Development Fund, utilizing Community Development Grant Funds, submitted to the Council by the Department of Metropolitan Development, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A, it is hereby approved, and the amounts, locations and programmatic operation of each project set forth therein, is hereby approved.

SECTION 2. This approval shall constitute the approval required under Section 4.01 of the Budget Ordinance.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

# EXHIBIT A

### RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS 1990

#### HOUSING STRATEGY REHABILITATION

Business Opportunity Systems	\$ 48,000
Community Action Against Poverty	55,500
Community Action Against Poverty	79,000
Concord Center	31,600
Eastside Community Investments	50,000
Fountain Square Church and Community Project	36,000
Fountain Square Fletcher Place Investment Corporation	32,000
Grandville Cooperative	54,000
Interfaith Homes, Inc.	56,000
Mapleton Fall Creek Housing Development Corporation	52,800
Near North Development Corporation	17,500
Partners for Westside Housing Renewal	46,500
Riley Area Revitalization Program	47,800
United Northwest Area Development Corporation	30,000
ACQUISITION/REHABILITATION	

Business Opportunity Systems	27,000
Citizen's Neighborhood Coalition	31,000
Eastside Community Investments	50,000
Fountain Square Church and Community Project	33,100
Mapleton Fall Creek Housing Development Corporation	27.000
Westside Community Development Corporation	31,000

# PRODUCTION INITIATIVES

Business Opportunity Systems Eastside Community Investments Indianapolis Plan For Equal Employment Near North Development Corporation Williams, Howard, and Wright Y.M.C.A.	46,000 50,000 20,000 50,000 26,026 50,000
EMERGENCY HOME REPAIR	
Interfaith Homes, Inc.	99,470
Total	\$1,177,296

PROPOSAL NO. 288, 1990. Councillor Durnil reported that Proposal No. 288, 1990, was heard by the Parks and Recreation Committee on May 31, 1990. The proposal approves certain public purpose grants for support of the arts. By a 5-1 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Durnil moved, seconded by Councillor Jones, for adoption. Proposal No. 288, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Shaw, Strader, West, Williams

4 NAYS: Clark, Dowden, Schneider, Solenberg 3 NOT VOTING: Brooks, Hawkins, Ruhmkorff 1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 288, 1990, was retitled GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 3, 1990, and reads as follows:

# CITY-COUNTY GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 3, 1990

## A GENERAL RESOLUTION approving certain public purpose grants for support of the arts.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The following grants totaling \$103,692 approved by Special Resolution No. 11, 1990 of the Board of Parks and Recreation (as attached) for support of the arts are approved for the following organizations in the amounts set opposite their respective names:

Arts Indiana, Inc.	\$ 7,500
Freetown Village, Inc.	5,775
Hoosier Salon	3,000
Indiana Opera Theatre, Inc.	5,000
Indianapolis Children's Choir	7,500
Madame Walker Urban Life Center	7,500
Phoenix Theatre, Inc.	6,000
Starlight Musicals, Inc.	7,000
Very Special Arts Indiana	8,000
Young Audiences of Indiana, Inc.	8,000
Dans Ethnik, Inc.	2,340
Diva Productions, Inc.	2,050
Ensemble Music Society	2,500
Indianapolis Arts Chorale	2,500
Indianapolis Chamber Orchestra	3,750
Indianapolis Dance Company	4,000
Stories, Inc.	4,000
Theatre On The Square	1,050

Writer's Center of Indianapolis, Inc.	3,987
Arts Council of Indianapolis Administrative Support	12,240
TOTAL	\$103,692

SECTION 2. This resolution is adopted in satisfaction of the requirements of Sec 4.01(b) of the Annual Budget for 1990, Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 290, 1990. Councillor Dowden reported that Proposal No. 290, 1990, was heard by the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on May 16, 1990. The proposal transfers and appropriates \$3,750 for the Prosecutor to compensate existing staff members who have assumed additional management responsibilities which were previously handled by a contract deputy. By a 7-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Schneider, for adoption. Proposal No. 290, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

24 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, West, Williams
0 NAYS:
4 NOT VOTING: Brooks, Golc, Hawkins, Strader
1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 290, 1990, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 53, 1990, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 53, 1990

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) transferring and appropriating an additional Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$3,750) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and reducing certain other appropriations for that department.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (w) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1990, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney to transfer funds within the Adult Protection Services Grant from Contractual Services into Personal Services for purposes of compensating existing staff members who have assumed additional management responsibilities which were previously handled by a contract deputy.

SECTION 2. The sum of Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$3,750) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	STATE & FEDERAL GRANTS FUND
1. Personal Services	\$ <u>3,750</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	\$3,750

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	STATE & FEDERAL GRANTS FUND
3. Other Services & Charges	\$ <u>3,750</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$3,750

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 292, 1990. Councillor Dowden reported that Proposal No. 292, 1990, was heard by the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on May 16, 1990. The proposal appropriates \$4,000 for the Community Corrections Agency for additional supplies for the Public Restitution Program and reduces the appropriations for mileage. By a 7-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Howard, for adoption. Proposal No. 292, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Gilmer, Golc, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Strader, West, Williams
0 NAYS:
5 NOT VOTING: Brooks, Clark, Giffin, Irvin, Solenberg
1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 292, 1990, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 54, 1990, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 54, 1990

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) transferring and appropriating an additional Four Thousand Dollars (\$4,000) in the County Corrections Fund for purposes of the Marion County Community Corrections Agency and reducing certain other appropriations for that agency.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (aaa) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1990, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Marion County Community Corrections Agency for additional supplies for the Public Restitution Program through reduction of the appropriations for mileage.

SECTION 2. The sum of Four Thousand Dollars (\$4,000) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

MARION COUNTY COMMUNITY	COUNTY CORRECTIONS FUND
CORRECTIONS AGENCY	
2. Supplies	<u>\$4,000</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	\$4,000

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

MARION COUNTY COMMUNITY	COUNTY CORRECTIONS FUND
CORRECTIONS AGENCY	
3. Other Services & Charges	\$ <u>4,000</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$4,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 293, 1990. Councillor Dowden reported that Proposal No. 293, 1990, was heard by the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on May 16, 1990. The proposal transfers and appropriates \$8,922 for the Community Corrections Agency from Personal Services to purchase of supplies and camping equipment under the Wilderness Survival grant. By a 5-1 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor

Howard, for adoption. Proposal No. 293, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

16 YEAS: Boyd, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Golc, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Shaw, Solenberg, Strader, West
9 NAYS: Borst, Clark, Cottingham, Durnil, Gilmer, McGrath, Moriarty, Ruhmkorff, Schneider
3 NOT VOTING: Brooks, Giffin, Williams
1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 293, 1990, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 55, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 55, 1990

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) transferring and appropriating an additional Eight Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty-two Dollars (\$8,922) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Marion County Community Corrections Agency and reducing certain other appropriations for that agency.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (aaa) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1990, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Marion County Community Corrections Agency to utilize unspent monies under Personal Services for purchases of supplies and camping equipment under the Wilderness Survival grant.

SECTION 2. The sum of Eight Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty-two Dollars (\$8,922) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

MARION COUNTY COMMUNITY	
CORRECTIONS AGENCY	STATE & FEDERAL GRANTS FUND
2. Supplies	\$5,822
4. Capital Outlay	3,100
TOTAL INCREASE	\$8,922

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

MARION COUNTY COMMUNITY	
CORRECTIONS AGENCY	STATE & FEDERAL GRANTS FUND
1. Personal Services	\$8,922
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$8,922

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 294, 1990. Councillor Dowden reported that Proposal No. 294, 1990, was heard by the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on May 16, 1990. The proposal transfers and appropriates \$5,000 for the Justice Agency to pay for additional supplies. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes, for adoption. Proposal No. 294, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Mukes-Gaither, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, Strader, West 2 NAYS: Durnil, Moriarty 5 NOT VOTING: Brooks, Golc, Howard, Rhodes, Williams 1 NOT PRESENT: SerVaas

Proposal No. 294, 1990, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 56, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 56, 1990

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1990 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 88, 1989) transferring and appropriating an additional Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) in the Law Enforcement Settlement Fund for purposes of the Marion County Justice Agency and reducing certain other appropriations for that Agency.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (cc) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1990, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Marion County Justice Agency to pay for additional supplies.

SECTION 2. The sum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

MARION COUNTY JUSTICE AGENCY	LAW ENFORCEMENT SETTLEMENT FUND
2. Supplies	\$5,000
TOTAL INCREASE	\$5,000

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

MARION COUNTY JUSTICE AGENCY	LAW ENFORCEMENT SETTLEMENT FUND
3. Other Services & Charges	\$5,000
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$5,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

[Clerk's Note: Councillor Brooks left the meeting.]

PROPOSAL NO. 301, 1990. Councillor Coughenour reported that Proposal No. 301, 1990, was heard by the Public Works Committee on May 24, 1990. The proposal establishes the White River Improvement Task Force. The Committee made various technical amendments requiring that all members of the task force would be of Marion County, that each of the 10 Councilmanic districts would be represented, and that the resolution would be extended to June 30, 1991. By 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass as amended.

Councillor Irvin gave a presentation on the White River proposal which is to create a task force to identify flood plain land adjacent to White River that could be used as a linear park or green space, versus an illegal dumping ground as it is used today.

Councillor Ruhmkorff said that she was against this proposal because, in her opinion, it should be the Department of Public Work's responsibility to clean up White River.

Councillor Durnil said he was opposed to the proposal and did not agree that the council president should appoint members of the task force.

Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Cottingham, for adoption. Proposal No. 301, 1990, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Rhodes, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, West, Williams
2 NAYS: Durnil, Ruhmkorff
2 NOT VOTING: Dowden, Strader
2 NOT PRESENT: Brooks, SerVaas

Proposal No. 301, 1990, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 36, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 36, 1990

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION establishing the White River Improvement Task Force.

WHEREAS, White River flows for 31.3 miles through Marion County, runs through ten different councilmanic districts, as well as the four at-large districts, and has the potential of being an even greater resource for Indianapolis than at the present time; and

WHEREAS, jurisdiction of the river falls upon numerous federal, state and local agencies, departments and bodies of law; and

WHEREAS, with some creative thinking, and a plan of action, White River could become an object of great pride for the residents of Marion County--instead of an illegal dumping ground and eyesore; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council hereby establishes a White River Improvement Task Force to study how the 31.3 miles of White River in Marion County can be transformed into a stronger asset for this city.

SECTION 2. The task force is charged with the mission of researching governmental jurisdictions affecting the river; considering carefully the river's present importance in such areas as recreation, homes, general aesthetics, wildlife, trees, flora, businesses, agriculture, bridges, the edges of the river, and quarries; and concluding with a practical, imaginative, consensus plan for the future improvement of White River and its banks for the benefit of the people of this city.

SECTION 3. The Mayor of Indianapolis shall appoint up to seven (7) residents of Marion County, including a chairman, and the council president shall appoint up to seven (7) additional persons who are residents of Marion County, all of who shall represent a wide cross-section of individuals and groups who are willing and interested in serving on this task force. The mayor and president are authorized to appoint replacement members if necessary during the life of the task force. Each of the ten (10) councilmanic districts shall be represented.

SECTION 4. The Indianapolis Department of Public Works, Department of Metropolitan Development and Department of Parks and Recreation shall provide staffing assistance for this task force.

SECTION 5. The task force shall make an interim progress report to the mayor and the council by September 28, 1990, and a final report when its work is completed.

SECTION 6. This resolution shall expire June 30, 1991, unless the task force is granted an extension recommended by the council president and passed by the full council.

SECTION 7. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 8. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NOS. 302, 303, 304, 305, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312 and 313, 1990. Vice President West asked for consent to vote on the eleven transportation proposals together.

Consent was given. PROPOSAL NO. 302, 1990. This proposal amends the Code by authorizing a 3-way stop at the intersection of Eleanor Avenue and 11th Street. PROPOSAL NO. 303, 1990. This proposal amends the Code by authorizing a 4-way stop at the intersection of Bertha Street and Harris Avenue. PROPOSAL NO. 304, 1990. This proposal amends the Code by authorizing a traffic signal at the intersection of Moller Road and 56th Street. PROPOSAL NO. 305, 1990. This proposal amends the Code by authorizing the traffic signals to be removed at the intersections of Ethel Avenue and 29th Street and Ethel Avenue and 30th Street. PROPOSAL NO. 307, 1990. This proposal amends the Code by authorizing intersection controls at various locations. PROPOSAL NO. 308, 1990. This proposal amends the Code by authorizing speed zones to be posted on Cox Road from Ralston Road to the South Marion County Line, Eagle Creek Parkway from 38th to 46th Streets, and Marsh Road from 71st to 79th Streets. PROPOSAL NO. 309, 1990. This proposal amends the Code by authorizing a change in the speed limit on Michigan Road between Township Line Road and 79th Street. PROPOSAL NO. 310, 1990. This proposal amends the Code by authorizing parking restrictions on a segment of Pennsylvania Street south of South Street. PROPOSAL NO. 311, 1990. This proposal amends the Code by authorizing parking restrictions on the segment of Columbia Avenue between 19th and 20th Streets. PROPOSAL NO. 312, 1990. This proposal amends the Code by authorizing a weight limit restriction on Applegate Street from Nelson to Southern Avenues and on Stanley Avenue from Nelson to Southern Avenues. PROPOSAL NO. 313, 1990. This proposal amends the Code by authorizing weight limit restrictions in the Windsor Village Subdivision. The Transportation Committee heard Proposal Nos. 302, 303, 304, 305, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312 and 313, 1990 on May 30, 1990. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported Proposal Nos. 302, 303 and 304, 1990, to the Committee with the recommendation that they do pass. By a 4-0 vote, the Committee reported Proposal Nos. 305, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312 and 313, 1990, to the Committee with the recommendation that they do pass. Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Cottingham, for adoption. Proposal Nos. 302, 303, 304, 305, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312 and 313, 1990, were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Jones, Moriarty, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Shaw, Solenberg, West, Williams
0 NAYS:
6 NOT VOTING: Durnil, Hawkins, Irvin, McGrath, Mukes-Gaither, Strader
2 NOT PRESENT: Brooks, SerVaas

Proposal No. 302, 1990, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 67, 1990, and reads as follows:

# CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 67, 1990

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended, by the deletion of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
22, Pg. 3	Eleanor Av and 11th St	Eleanor Av	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended, by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
22, Pg. 3	Eleanor Av and 11th St	None	All Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 303, 1990, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 68, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 68, 1990

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended, by the deletion of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
24, Pg. 2	Bertha St and Harris Av	Harris Av	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended, by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
24, Pg. 2	Bertha St and Harris Av	None	All Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 304, 1990, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 69, 1990, and reads as follows:

# CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 69, 1990

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
9, Pg. 2	Moller Rd. &	56th St.	Stop
	56th St.		

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
9, Pg. 2	Moller Rd. &	None	Signal
	56th St.		-

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 305, 1990, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 70, 1990, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 70, 1990

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u> 17, Pg. 5	INTERSECTION Ethel Av. & 29th St.	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u> None	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u> Signal
17, Pg. 5	Ethel Av. (SB) & 30th St.	None	Signal

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP 17, Pg. 5	INTERSECTION Ethel Av. & 29th St.	PREFERENTIAL 29th St.	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u> Stop
17, Pg. 5	Ethel Av. (SB) & 30th St.	30th St.	Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 307, 1990, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 71, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 71, 1990

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP 4, Pg. 2	INTERSECTION College Av. & Rosebay Dr.	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u> College Av.	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u> Stop
4, Pg. 5	Rosebay Dr. & Rosebay Ct.	Rosebay Dr.	Stop
15, Pg. 1	Bay Head Dr. & Hickory La.	Bay Head Dr.	Stop
15, Pg. 3	Hickory La. & Hickory La. E.	Hickory La. E.	Stop

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 308, 1990, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 72, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 72, 1990

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-136, Alteration of prima facie speed limits.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-136, Alteration of prima facie speed limits, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Cox Road, from Ralston Road to South Marion County Line, 35 MPH

Eagle Creek Parkway, from 38th Street to 46th Street, 35 MPH

Marsh Road, from Seventy-first Street to Seventy-ninth Street, 40 MPH

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 309, 1990, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 73, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 73, 1990

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-136, Alteration of prima facie speed limits.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-136, Alteration of prima facie speed limits, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

Michigan Road, from Thirty-eighth Street to Ninety-sixth Street, 45 MPH

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-136, Alteration of prima facie speed limits, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Michigan Road, from 38th Street to Township Line Road, 45 MPH

Michigan Road, from Township Line Road to 79th Street, 40 MPH

> Michigan Road, from 79th Street to 96th Street, 45 MPH

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 310, 1990, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 74, 1990, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 74, 1990

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Pennsylvania Street, on the west side, from a point 102 feet south of South Street to a point 241 feet south of South Street

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 311, 1990, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 75, 1990, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 75, 1990

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

Columbia Avenue, on the east side, from Nineteenth Street to Twentieth Street

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-270, Parking prohibited during specified hours on certain days, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

### ON ANY DAY EXCEPT SATURDAYS AND SUNDAYS,

From 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Columbia Avenue, on the east side, from Nineteenth Street to Twentieth Street

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 312, 1990, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 76, 1990, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 76, 1990

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-224, Trucks on certain streets restricted.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-224, Trucks on certain streets restricted, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

# 10,000 Pounds Gross Weight

### Applegate Street, from Nelson Avenue to Southern Avenue

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-224, Trucks on certain streets restricted, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

11,000 Pounds Gross Weight

Applegate Street, from Nelson Avenue to Southern Avenue

Stanley Avenue, from Nelson Avenue to Southern Avenue

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 313, 1990, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 77, 1990, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 77, 1990

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-224, Trucks on certain streets restricted.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-224. Trucks on certain streets restricted, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

11,000 Pounds Gross Weight

Admiral Drive, from Twenty-first Street to Kenyon Street

Catherwood Avenue, from Twenty-first Street to Windsor Drive

Kenyon Street, from Twenty-first Street to Twenty-fifth Street

Kitley Street, from a point 230 feet north of Twenty-first Street to Twenty-fifth Street

Windsor Drive, from Arlington Avenue to Kenyon Street

Twenty-fourth Street, from Arlington Avenue to Kenyon Street

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 306, 1990. This proposal amends the Code by authorizing a traffic signal at the intersection of Lafayette Road and 52nd Street. Councillor Gilmer asked for consent to return Proposal No. 306, 1990, to Committee. Consent was given.

# ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, and upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned at 9:54 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the regular concurrent meetings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis-

Marion County, Indiana, and Indianapolis Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils on the 11th day of June, 1990.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

ATTEST:

ribed our sign. Beurt Servaan President - Acik Clerk of the O aunicil

(SEAL)