

**MINUTES OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
AND
SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS
OF
INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA**

**REGULAR MEETINGS
MONDAY, AUGUST 5, 1991**

The City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana and the Indianapolis Police Special Service District Council, Indianapolis Fire Special Service District Council and Indianapolis Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Council convened in regular concurrent sessions in the Council Chamber of the City-County Building at 7:12 p.m. on Monday, August 5, 1991, with Councillor SerVaas presiding.

Councillor O'Dell led the opening prayer and invited all present to join him in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

ROLL CALL

The President instructed the Clerk to take the roll call and requested members to register their presence on the voting machine. The roll call was as follows:

26 PRESENT: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Solenberg, Strader, West, Williams
3 ABSENT: Giffin, Hawkins, Shaw

A quorum of twenty-six members being present, the President called the meeting to order.

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS AND VISITORS

Councillor Coughenour recognized the coordinators for the City-County In-House Recycling Program, which begins August 5, 1991.

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

Hon. William H. Hudnut, III, Mayor, presented his annual city budget to the Council with the following comments:

Ladies and Gentlemen, tonight I bring for your consideration a proposed 1992 City of Indianapolis Budget that will not raise property taxes and will not raise income taxes from current levels. Tonight, I'm announcing a spending plan that holds property taxes flat for the fourth year in a row, and holds income

taxes flat for the third year in a row. At a time when our country faces a recession, when demands for city services have never been greater, when revenues are down, we've still managed to pull together a budget that won't raise taxes.

Despite the undertow of a recession, delays in revenue distributions from the State of Indiana, and the increasing demands on our limited resources, our team in City Hall has assembled a spending plan that will make do with what we already have. We won't be raising taxes to fund City of Indianapolis operations, if you approve our plan.

Our proposed 1992 City of Indianapolis Budget stands at \$481,312,963—actually less than our current spending plan for this year (\$482,390,950).

In order to achieve this conservative budget, there will be sacrifices in projects delayed, hours trimmed, and positions not filled. We will not, however, compromise the safety of our citizens and the ongoing effort to reduce tensions between the police and some members of our community.

For example, dispatcher training will begin next month on the new public safety communications network (known as MECA) that will be up and running later this year. After years of negotiations and steady progress, and after implementing Enhanced 9.1.1. and a computer-aided dispatching system, five Public Safety Answering Points will soon begin receiving emergency calls. Our police officers and firefighters will carry new radios that will allow contact between different agencies in an emergency. Already, the City's Emergency Management Division has set up shop in the new Willard Park facility, which also holds the new dispatching center for the Indianapolis Police and Fire Departments and Emergency Medical Service runs. The 1992 Budget includes funding for this new dispatching center and the personnel who will dispatch police, firefighters, and ambulances to calls for help.

Now in the testing phase, the new Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) will become fully operational in 1992. The coordinated effort between the Indianapolis Police and Marion County Sheriff's Departments will computerize some 115,000 fingerprint records and allow mugshots to be transmitted by computer to roll call sites and remote police stations.

Not a single officer or flame fighter will be laid off because of this tight budget. Now, more than ever, we need a fully-staffed police force to combat the increasing murder rate and growing problems with drugs. Over 2,500 grams of cocaine have been seized on Indianapolis streets so far this year!

This Budget will also keep our pension program for retired police officers and firefighters going. We will continue our efforts to move the officer closer to the community he or she is serving, by staffing our Quadrant Police Headquarters in Washington Park, on College Avenue, on Madison Avenue, and at the new westside Quadrant IV and traffic branch headquarters in Haughville. Those neighborhood police stations have become catalysts of new development, and we will keep using foot patrols, police cruisers, horses, and bicycles to get our police out and into the neighborhoods of our City. And speaking of the horse patrol, we have included funds to complete the construction of new stables at the corner of Georgia and Shelby streets that will bring the horse patrol from the State Fairgrounds closer to downtown.

At the Indianapolis Fire Department, we've included money to train a class of some 30 firefighters to become paramedics in 1992. They will join a graduating class of 19 paramedic firefighters from this year, and will better prepare the department for the "first response" posture we'll take after the emergency communications network comes on-line. No longer will both Indianapolis Fire crews and a Wishard ambulance be dispatched to every emergency run.

We've worked out an agreement to end the duplication of service, and now all emergency medical and fire runs will be dispatched through one location. By year's end of 1992, we should be able to equip eight Indianapolis fire stations with full-time round-the-clock paramedics. Our firefighters will also be glad to hear about the furniture replacement program to begin in 1992, replacing broken chairs and desks in our firehouses with new equipment. The five-year plan to replace heavy equipment—engines and aerial trucks—will continue next year, as well.

I have mentioned the result of our Budget discussions—no tax rate increases—but I also need to touch on the significant challenges we faced when putting together the 1992 Budget. Next year, the federal government will require Indianapolis and other cities in the country to refund interest earnings on money we have borrowed tax-free for capital projects. This \$2.1 million wildcard is the result of the Tax Reform Act of 1986, and it means that we'll have to pay back some interest earnings to the federal treasury. Reassessment appeals have reduced the amount of revenue we can depend on, and more people are taking advantage of our Local Homestead Property Tax Credits. That means smaller tax bills for homeowners, but it also means less revenue for local government.

August 5, 1991

With great fanfare, the State of Indiana announced its "Build Indiana" priorities last year, but we have yet to see a dime of lottery profits from the "Build Indiana" fund. Despite promises for assistance on bridge projects on 30th Street, North Street, and Grande Avenue, and a pledge to help build the new Tox-Away disposal site at the Belmont plant, we have crossed out any hope of getting revenue for these projects from this source. It seems unfortunate, in light of the many thousands of Indianapolis citizens who invest in the Hoosier Lottery each day.

The "Build Indiana" Fund isn't the only beef we've got with the State of Indiana. They're also delaying required payments to local governments. Cities and towns are left trying to make ends meet while the State basks in the glow of positive publicity from a financial picture at the end of the fiscal year made rosier by holding back on some of these obligated funds. Where is the lottery money promised to replace the cut in excise taxes? Is it balancing the state budget? We've already been told that next year's allotment of property tax replacement credit revenues will be delayed by several months.

As I mentioned a moment ago, with this budget we will have held property tax rates stable for four years and income tax rates flat for three years. Unfortunately, other units of government (townships, school systems, libraries, and others) have not done the same. The State bases distribution of many revenue sources, like auto excise taxes, bank taxes, and income taxes, on the property tax rate. And because we've held our tax rates flat for several years, we're actually seeing less growth in these revenues than are the townships, the school systems, and the libraries. In short, it gets harder and harder to make ends meet.

We are making some changes in the way the Budget is put together. This year, we're budgeting Cumulative Capital Development Funds as part of the budgets of the City Departments. This will streamline the process, speeding up construction timetables of our street, park, sewer, and drainage projects and providing a more realistic picture of what the City is actually doing with its money. The end result should be fewer changes in the Budget that the Council will have to consider throughout the year.

We have included an increase in funding for Arts programs in the 1992 Budget, and I'd like to ask for your support of that increase because it's been added to help the Arts Council of Indianapolis leverage a federal grant from the National Endowment for the Arts. Using cigarette and alcohol taxes, and other miscellaneous revenues, we have bolstered arts funding to almost \$882,000.

Our funding of senior citizen programs, health centers, neighborhood Multi-Service centers, and job training services remains stable. We continue funding of the Marion County Commission on Youth, which is undertaking projects like the very successful "Youth City" government camp. I wish you all could have joined Councillor Coughenour, Max Moser from the Council staff, and other City employees who spent last week educating more than 150 Indianapolis high school students about how local government works in Indianapolis. I'm convinced that you'll hear a lot from our Youth City Mayor, Shad Morgan, as he works to highlight the problems of cruising, curfews, and drug use with the young citizens of our city.

If you look at the Budget line by line, you'll find a significant reduction in the Mayor's Office Budget. We have already trimmed staff. Also, we've completed our two-year commitment to the Indianapolis Campaign for Healthy Babies. We have invested \$3 million in City and County funds to start the new initiative to reduce the City's high infant mortality rate. Tonight, I can report progress. More doctors, nurses, and clinical teams are seeing more expectant mothers. The death rate for infants is dropping, as the work of this public/private partnership is felt. The Mother/Baby Healthline is answering hundreds of calls and the MoMobile is out making personal visits in high-risk neighborhoods. State laws have been changed, awareness of the problem is up, a computer system is providing information on patients, and a new clinic has opened in the Meadows neighborhood to address this problem. We have fulfilled our commitment to the Campaign for Healthy Babies, and you will note that we have not budgeted for any additional money. I believe the \$3 million was a good investment, and I'm pleased that significant improvements in the health care system have come about because of our concern.

We've also applied for one of the new "Healthy Start" grants from the federal Department of Health and Human Services that will target ten cities around the country experiencing high infant mortality. By the end of September, we'll know if Indianapolis has been chosen for part of the first \$25 million in funding.

Healthy Babies mean a Healthy City. Thank you for your support of our efforts over the past two years, and for continuing to monitor the problem.

I mentioned the Meadows a moment ago, and there are some exciting developments planned along East 38th Street in the coming year. In the Department of Metropolitan Development budget, we have included assistance for the Meadows Shopping Center redevelopment. Asbestos removal is underway there now, building demolition will begin in August, and a new Cub Foods store will open as an anchor in the new Meadows Shopping Center next spring. Once it's completed, the City will have invested more than \$1 million in redevelopment at the Meadows, alone. Thanks must go to Cub Foods for believing in the 38th Street corridor, and also to the Pentecostal Assemblies of the World Church, which is the property owner.

Cleaning up urban blight in our neighborhoods will be a renewed focus for the City in the coming year. We estimate that some 5,000 houses are abandoned in Indianapolis, and we've included more resources to attack this problem in the 1992 Budget.

Specifically, we'll go to work with a goal of investigating about 600 abandoned buildings. We'll consult with neighborhood leaders to identify major eyesores, conduct title research, and work with the property owners to get these properties cleaned up—and hopefully rehabilitated!

A new state law permits cities and towns to be more aggressive with abandoned buildings, and we plan to introduce a new ordinance that tightens local laws regulating boarded-up houses and businesses. The success of the recent Mayor's Conference on Abandoned Buildings should be proof enough that our neighbors are asking for more help in cleaning up unsightly houses and overgrown properties.

Under Jack Kemp, the federal Housing secretary, the U.S. government has re-vamped its housing programs for cities. Indianapolis stands to benefit, and there is the potential that we'll see even more money dedicated to housing rehabilitation and construction.

A lot of activity near Harding Street is planned for next year. The Departments of Transportation, Public Works, and Metropolitan Development are working in concert with neighborhood groups to prioritize improvements and create new housing opportunities in this southside pocket of the City.

A final contract is nearly ready for signature on the Circle Centre project, and our team of negotiators is working out the fine points with the Indianapolis investors who have become equity partners. We're banking that the recession is coming to a close and that the retail industry will soon see "green" rather than "red." The resolve of those equity partners.....major Indianapolis corporations.....to participate in the retail future of downtown is heartening, as is the private support that each of our departments receives.

The Parks Department will need more volunteers, more community resources and donations, and more public/private support to keep its facilities up to par. The work of the Friends of Holliday Park is a good example of the type of neighborhood and business support that we're seeking as the budget dollar continues to shrink while demands on our park system increase.

City government can be a good resource, too. We hope that businesses around the City are watching a new project that began this morning. A comprehensive office paper recycling project in the City-County Building will collect and recycle the thousands of pounds of memos, phone messages, draft documents, junk mail, and notes that are routinely thrown away each day. After months of careful planning with the Building Authority and custodial staff, our Department of Public Works has created a system that will allow each employee to separate paper from trash and start recycling.

Throughout the building, a team of Recycling Coordinators is at work to promote the new project. Some of our volunteer coordinators are here tonight, and I'd like to recognize them for their service. Since we started recycling beverage cans last year, we've collected more than 1,600 pounds of aluminum, steel, and glass. Today, we began the Office Paper Recycling Project, and everyone in the building is encouraged to save scrap paper for the recycling box. Collection folders and "reminders" for trash cans are being distributed, and we hope to share this program with other businesses that are interested in doing what's right for the environment.

Responding to growing environmental demands, we will begin a pilot project this fall to compost leaves on a large scale. In 1992, we'd like to offer a citywide leaf composting service to take the place of leaf burning, and we've identified a couple of high-volume users of compost material.

The blueprints and construction plans for a new Household Hazardous Waste Facility will be put together in 1992, anticipating a permanent site for the popular Tox-Away disposal program. We currently hold Tox-Away Days twice a year, and they continue to grow in popularity and participation.

Our Department of Public Works budget includes the new City Neighborhood Recycling Coordinator, who's already started contacting neighborhood groups. After consulting with neighborhood organizations and after careful deliberation on the cost, we have decided to expand our curbside recycling services. In partnership with established neighborhood organizations, the City will begin providing containers for curbside recycling to those organizations that are interested in starting curbside service. Curbside recycling has already caught on, as you know, in more than two dozen Indianapolis neighborhoods, and it proves a point: some people are willing to pay for an additional service. Many others are not, of course, and that's where government leaders must choose between what people want and what they're willing to pay for. One low-cost alternative to curbside recycling is the "drop-off" recycling sites we opened this spring at 30 locations around the County. The Clean City Committee promotes the "Indianapolis Recycles" campaign,

August 5, 1991

and our Department of Public Works crews have picked up more than one million pounds of aluminum, steel, glass, and plastic since we expanded the drop-off locations!

Funding new road construction and resurfacing will become more difficult next year because of an anticipated drop in Gas Tax revenues. The 1992 Budget will allow the completion of several high-priority street construction projects, including the long-awaited widening of Raymond Street. The earth movers and road graders will be busy on 86th Street, near the west-side entrance ramp to 465 and also down along Harding Street and Kentucky Avenue. With the first phase of major resurfacing on Keystone Avenue nearly complete, we are beginning Phase Two—taking the project up to 65th Street. On the eastside, Arlington Avenue will both be a target for fresh asphalt.

1992, of course, will find a new Mayor and a new City-County Council leading the City of Indianapolis. There will be new issues to deal with, and new ways of tackling problems.

One of the suggestions made this year by the SPIRIT Committee would better coordinate human services outside the normal scope of City government. A seventh Unigov Department, the Department of Human Services, could be funded out of existing resources by reorganizing existing agencies. It's a good idea that deserves more attention and study.

The Chamber of Commerce Infrastructure Commission has already pointed out some of our serious infrastructure needs with roads, streets, bridges, sewers, parks, and buildings. A creative and innovative approach to financing government must emerge from this process. As a City, I think we'll be relying less and less on the property tax to fund major improvements. New ways will have to be found to raise the revenue for these needs.

And that brings up the subject of taxes, which everyone likes to complain about but no one seems willing to discuss honestly. I have two thoughts on this delicate subject.

First, on raising taxes. Yes, I am proud and pleased that the budget we're presenting to you this evening does not raise property or income taxes to fund City operations in 1992. Again, that's the fourth year of flat property tax rates and the third year of flat income tax rates. But it will not always be that way. It's not always possible to continue budgeting without more revenue.....without a tax increase....and I do not think that the people of Indianapolis, or the news media should always expect it. Nor do I think that politicians should always promise it. It's just not realistic, and it's not responsible.

Each year, assembling the Budget gets more difficult. As you begin your own analysis of the proposed 1992 Budget, I think you'll discover that there's been an incredible amount of squeezing and cutting to fit within our promise of no tax increase. No doubt some will complain about the plan, but we accomplished our goal.

As I look down the road, there are budget chuckholes on the horizon. 1992 is the last year of the police, fire, and municipal employee labor contracts, and new negotiations will begin next year. We've got to keep our retirement plans funded for those civil servants who retire from City government. The cost of materials.....asphalt....gasoline.....electricity.....water.....health and liability insurance.....will continue to go up regardless of what happens with local taxes. It will only get harder to pave the streets, build new drainage systems, pick up and recycle the trash, maintain our parks, and protect our citizens from harm without more revenue.

A responsible government will increase revenues when needed. A responsible government will explain the reasons, just as I've tried to do over the years. A responsible government won't let it all fall apart. That does not mean the people who might be making these decisions to increase taxes are bad officials or irresponsible spendthrifts. It simply means that we have a city to run and that there is no such thing as a free lunch. You get what you pay for. After all, there's quite a difference between being a wild spender and being a wise spender.

Secondly, as I look back over the years that I've been Mayor, I'm pleased that we have built our budgets responsibly and have kept Indianapolis safe from the financial difficulties that threaten many cities around the country like Philadelphia, or Bridgeport, Connecticut (which has declared bankruptcy). The National League of Cities just reported that nearly 85% of the cities in the country raised taxes last year and that expenditures are expected to exceed revenues in 60 percent of the cities surveyed. Well, that has not—and will not—happen in Indianapolis.

We've preserved a sterling credit rating, which has saved millions of dollars in interest. The FITCH municipal bond rating service says we have "displayed a high level of economic stability and resilience" with modest debt levels, and that our "conservative budgeting has provided good balances."

I am pleased that we've invited the private sector to help us deliver city services, a direction in which we should continue to move. I am pleased that over \$11 billion in new construction permits have been issued by the City of Indianapolis during the Hudnut years, and that more than 100,000 people have found a new job in our city since I've been Mayor. I am pleased that we have issued timely and complete financial reports that conform with government financial accounting requirements, that we started an Internal Audit Division to root out mismanagement and misuse of public money, and that we now have a Total Quality Service program integrating "team management" techniques into daily decision-making. I am pleased that we have made positive changes in City operations suggested by the citizen PEPPER Committee. I am pleased that we have invested more than \$845 million improving infrastructure.....widening roads.....adding sewers.....improving parks.....and building drainage systems.

I am pleased that City and State ranks us last in the number of city employees per thousand population in the 50 cities they survey, and that U.S. News and World Report thinks we've steered a "tight fiscal ship." The same magazine calls us one of the boom cities--not one of the gloom cities--that is coping well with the undertow of the recession.

I am proud of this record, and I hope it will continue in the future for the sake of our City, regardless of who is Mayor and who might be serving on the City-County Council.

Serving as your Mayor, leading the charge to create the modern Indianapolis, has been a privilege and an honor over the last 16 years. We have built budget after budget together, and I am confident that we will emerge from the budget process with a plan for next year that will keep Indianapolis as the envy of America.

I thank you for the partnership we have enjoyed together, and tonight we begin another chapter in the history of Indiana's capital city.

John von Arx, Auditor, had the following remarks concerning the county budget:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Council:

I am here tonight to present to you the 1992 budgetary recommendations for all county agencies. I am pleased to report that this budget will hold the line on income and property tax levels within our control FOR THE THIRD CONSECUTIVE YEAR. Additionally, I can tell you that the County will continue to maintain the current levels of service to its citizens. This budget can be achieved only because of the efficiency, effectiveness, and cooperation of ALL county agencies. HOWEVER, AS A PUBLIC SERVANT, I BELIEVE THAT MY MOST IMPORTANT DUTY IS THE CHALLENGE TO BALANCE THE NEEDS OF THE TAXPAYER WITH THE RESOURCES WE HAVE AVAILABLE.

Local governments all over the country are in crises as the demand for services increases exponentially. In addition, the current economic conditions only add to the dire fiscal problems which are being faced by other jurisdictions. For example:

- * Bridgeport, Connecticut filed bankruptcy because it is facing either substantial tax increases or sharp decreases in the delivery of services;
- * Michigan laid off 2,000 employees last year and is planning to cut an additional 3,000 this year;
- * Philadelphia's budget contains a \$42 million dollar deficit and spending is up 2.3 billion over last year.

As you are all aware, Indiana has not been exempt from fiscal problems. The Indiana General Assembly went into special session earlier this year in order to pass a budget and state lawmakers were faced with difficult choices about many important issues.

I've outlined these issues for you this evening because Marion County has not been exempt from the economic perils which have invaded the other jurisdictions I've mentioned.

Comparatively speaking, we in Marion County are holding our own. However, that status was not achieved without a great deal of cooperation and prioritization of tasks by all county officials. Let me briefly outline for you some of the difficult issues we faced during the preparation of this budget.

The County's revenues suffered severe blows from multiple sources. The State of Indiana, in an effort to balance their own budget, passed back to local government legislation which will delay the required distribution of taxes to the county. The loans of millions of dollars of county money to State operated welfare and township operated poor relief programs continue to cause major cash difficulties. The recession has caused interest rates to plummet. As a result, the Treasurer has significantly less cash to invest at much

August 5, 1991

lower interest rates resulting in a sizeable drop in revenue. In addition, Marion County's portion of the overall distribution of the local income tax was decreased due to a larger number of homestead filings and greater tax levies by other governmental units that receive COIT. With the State legislature providing an additional benefit for homeowners by freezing their homestead deduction at \$2,000, it will cost the county nearly half a million dollars in lost revenue.

As you have heard, our challenge in the 1992 budget is to provide a continuing level of essential services without the benefit of substantial increases in county revenue. In other words, trying to make the best of a difficult situation. I believe we have accomplished our objectives in this 1992 budget by providing the following additional services:

- * As a result of the recommendations of the Marion County Job Classification and Compensation Board, all non-union county employee positions have been reclassified to bring them closer to competitive market salaries;
- * A new Sunday court has been established to more expeditiously process criminal cases;
- * The construction of a new Marion County Drug Court and a new Marion County Domestic Violence Court will be completed to more adequately and safely handle the needs of the judiciary;
- * The continuation of certain public safety initiatives which were started last year; truancy court funding, intensive supervision programs, programs for abused children, renovation and completion and operation of the new DNA Genetic Fingerprinting Lab, to name a few;
- * Keeping up with the continuing data processing demands through the installation of a new CPU for the enhancement of the vital information network for local government;
- * Continued maintenance and replacement of the Sheriff's patrol car fleet.

The County's 1992 General Fund budget is a funded budget of \$128,417,550. This budget is presented with a 4.36% increase over the 1991 revised budget. For the second straight year, the growth rate in expenditures has declined.

Earlier this year, budget guidelines were established to accommodate the overall growth in county-wide expenditures with available resources. The total increase in overall county personal services budget is 5.07% and all other items of the budget were limited to an increase of a modest 2%.

As always, the request for dollars by government agencies significantly exceeds the dollars available to fund all projects, and 1992 is no exception. Although we have made significant strides in funding projects in recent years, there are additional requests in the 1992 budget that will be very difficult to achieve without increasing taxes. There is no doubt that the demand for certain services that may not be met this year are especially keen, and will cause some to complain:

- * The need for more justice related staff, such as ...
 - Public Defenders
 - Juvenile justice
 - Deputy prosecutors
- * The technological enhancement of records retrieval and retention, called Document Imaging, and
- * The request for additional health services dollars

all are being sought.

The balancing of needs and services with acceptable levels of taxes and charges is the primary focus in budgeting. All elected and appointed officials in county government will have to work even closer together to reconsider their service delivery policies and face the difficult decision that less critical services will have to be deferred or discontinued in order to accomplish their priority objectives. Clearly, these are not easy decisions, but they are decisions that good public servants must make, and decisions that the taxpayers demand. What makes this increasingly more difficult to accomplish for city and county officials who HAVE held firm on taxes for the last few years is that other taxing units, State controlled programs, and most township school systems have been far less attentive to this TAX CONSCIOUS policy.

The future challenge will be to continue to strengthen that commitment and to provide essential services to all agencies in county government with the undeniable reality of diminishing revenues. This must be done collectively by ALL elected and appointed officials in Marion County from all units of government who's ultimate responsibility is to the citizens of this great community. In closing I believe that this proposed budget presents the Council with the opportunity to once again hold the line on our tax rate, while at the same time fund much needed services that meet the needs of the community and protect the citizens of Marion County.

I thank you for your attention.

Journal of the City-County Council

The President called for the reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

You are hereby notified that REGULAR MEETINGS of the City-County Council and Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils will be held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on Monday, August 5, 1991, at 7:00 p.m., the purpose of such MEETINGS being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before regular meetings of the Councils.

Respectfully,
s/Beurt SerVaas
Beurt SerVaas, President
City-County Council

July 23, 1991

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in The Indianapolis NEWS and The Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on Thursday, July 25, 1991, a copy of NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS of a Public Hearing on Proposal Nos. 355, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361 and 362, 1991 to be held on Monday, August 5, 1991, at 7:00 p.m. in the City-County Building.

Respectfully,
s/Beverly S. Rippy
Beverly S. Rippy, City Clerk

July 31, 1991

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in The Indianapolis NEWS and The Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on Monday, August 5, 1991, a copy of LEGAL NOTICE of General Ordinance Numbers 82 and 83, 1991.

Respectfully,
s/Beverly S. Rippy
Beverly S. Rippy, City Clerk

July 26, 1991

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Mrs. Beverly S. Rippy, the following ordinances and resolutions:

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 47, 1991, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) appropriating an additional Fifty Thousand One Hundred Three Dollars (\$50,103)

August 5, 1991

In the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of the Department of Public Works Air Pollution Control Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Consolidated County Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 48, 1991, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) appropriating an additional Forty-five Thousand Dollars (\$45,000) in the Park General Fund for purposes of the Department of Parks and Recreation, Parks Management Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Park General Fund

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 49, 1991, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) appropriating an additional Eleven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$11,500) in the Park General Fund for purposes of the Department of Parks and Recreation, Recreation and Sports Facilities and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Park General Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 50, 1991, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) appropriating an additional Two Hundred Ninety-seven Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$297,820) in the County Corrections Fund for purposes of the County Auditor, County Sheriff, Community Corrections, and the Marion County Justice Agency and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County Corrections Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 51, 1991, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) transferring and appropriating up to an additional Two Hundred Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$230,000) in the Sanitation General Fund for purposes of the Department of Public Works, Advanced Wastewater Treatment, and reducing certain other appropriations for that department.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 52, 1991, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) transferring and appropriating an additional Fifty-two Thousand Dollars (\$52,000) in the Park General Fund for purposes of the Department of Parks and Recreation, Parks Management Division and reducing certain other appropriations for that Division.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 82, 1991, regarding environmental public nuisances amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," by amending Chapter 30 1/2, "Environmental Public Nuisances".

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 83, 1991, amending the code concerning the disposal of vehicles declared abandoned by the Indianapolis Police Department.

SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 7, 1991, authorizing the City of Indianapolis to issue its Adjustable Rate Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Series 1991 (Cantor & Coleman II Project), in the aggregate principal amount of Three Million Two Hundred Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$3,215,000), and approving and authorizing other actions in respect thereto.

SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 8, 1991, authorizing the City of Indianapolis to issue its Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Series 1991 (Jackson Press, Inc. Project), in the aggregate principal amount of One Million Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$1,750,000), and approving and authorizing other actions in respect thereto.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 63, 1991, concerning John Morton-Finney.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 64, 1991, welcoming the National Medical Association to Indianapolis.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 65, 1991, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 47, 1990, as amended, and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain economic development bonds.

Respectfully,
s/William H. Hudnut, III
William H. Hudnut, III

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The President proposed the adoption of the agenda as distributed. Without objection, the agenda was adopted.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS

President SerVaas called for additions or corrections to the Journals of July 1 and July 22, 1991. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved as distributed.

PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS

PROPOSAL NO. 399, 1991. This proposal memorializes Representative Joseph W. Summers. Councillor Boyd read the resolution and presented framed documents to Willa Mae Jones, his mother, Joyce Summers, his widow, and their two daughters, Natalie Hensen and Vanessa Barnes. Councillor Boyd moved, seconded by Councillor Howard, for adoption. Proposal No. 399, 1991 was adopted by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 399, 1991 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 67, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 67, 1991

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION memorializing Representative Joseph W. Summers.

WHEREAS, State Representative Joseph W. Summers was called from this earthly life on June 6, 1991; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Summers represented the north midtown neighborhoods of Indianapolis in the Indiana General Assembly in a calm, exemplary manner for fifteen years, and was Chairman of the House Public Policy, Ethics and Veterans Affairs Committees; and

WHEREAS, Representative Summers was a native of this city, owned and operated with his family Summers Funeral Chapels, was active in the Alpha Home, Sickie Cell Center, Better Business Bureau, Indianapolis-Scarborough Peace Games, the Indianapolis NAACP, Fidelity Masonic Lodge 55, funeral directors' trade and professional associations and Bethel AME Church; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council pauses to remember the life and contributions of State Representative Joseph W. Summers.

SECTION 2. The Council further recognizes this great citizen, who with a quiet professional approach, represented his neighbors with modest dignity, and with a high degree of success.

SECTION 3. The Council extends its sympathy to Joseph Summers' mother Willa Mae Jones, to his wife Joyce, and to their daughters Natalie J. Hensen and Vanessa J. Barnes.

SECTION 4. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL NO. 386, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL RESOLUTION leasing of office space, located at 1301 South Keystone Avenue, for the Sheriff's Department"; and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 387, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code to change the name of the Personnel Division to the Human Resources Division"; and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 388, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Ruhmkorff. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL RESOLUTION authorizing the preparation of an appeal to the State Board of Tax Commissioners and the Indiana Local Government Tax Control Board for authority for excess levies for the Department of Public Welfare"; and the President referred it to the Community Affairs Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 389, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Cottingham. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL RESOLUTION proposing changes in the Marion County compensation schedule"; and the President referred it to the County and Townships Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 390, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$15,029 for the Prosecuting Attorney to cover the increase in expenditures due to the addition of a Sunday Court"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 391, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$10,766 for the Prosecuting Attorney to hire an additional staff person to handle Civil Protective Orders and to purchase computer equipment and furniture for the new employee"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 392, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$120,140 for the Department of Public Works, Flood Control Division, to cover the repair costs to the Eagle Creek Levee"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 393, 1991. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL ORDINANCE electing to fund MECA in 1992 with COIT revenues"; and the President referred it to the Rules and Public Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 394, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Brooks. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE clarifying the effect of Sections 2 and 3 of G.O. No. 36, 1991 concerning redistricting"; and the President referred it to the Rules and Public Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 395, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code authorizing intersection controls at Crestview Avenue and 61st Street, Haverford Road and 60th Street, and Haverford Road and 61st Street (District 7)"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 396, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by

authorizing intersection controls in the Liberty Creek North Subdivision (District 1)"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 397, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by authorizing intersection controls at the intersection of Reflections Drive and Waterwood Parkway in the Reflections of Waterwood subdivision (District 1)"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 398, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by authorizing parking restrictions on a segment of Westfield Boulevard (District 6)"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 400, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a POLICE SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT FISCAL ORDINANCE for the annual budget for the Police Special Service District for 1992"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 401, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FIRE SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT FISCAL ORDINANCE for the annual budget for the Fire Special Service District for 1992"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 402, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT FISCAL ORDINANCE for the annual budget for the Solid Waste Collection Special Service District for 1992"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 403, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Ruhmkorff. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE for the annual budget for the Marion County Department of Public Welfare for 1992"; and the President referred it to the Community Affairs Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 404, 1991. Introduced by Councillor West. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE the annual budget for Indianapolis and Marion County for 1992"; and the President referred it to Various Committees.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PUBLIC HEARING

PROPOSAL NO. 334, 1991. This proposal appropriates \$24,436 for the Superior Court, Juvenile Division/Detention Center, to purchase additional items for the computer system. Councillor Dowden asked for consent to postpone Proposal No. 334, 1991 until August 26, 1991. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 355, 1991. Councillor Rhodes reported that the Administration Committee heard Proposal No. 355, 1991 on August 5, 1991. The proposal appropriates \$485,736 for the Department of Administration, Occupational and Community Services Division, to (1) provide increased services for dislocated workers and welfare recipients

August 5, 1991

residing in Marion County, and (2) fund the Youth City Program, which is a learning opportunity in the governmental/political process, for 200 University of Indianapolis students. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:12 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Rhodes moved, seconded by Councillor Moriarty, for adoption. Proposal No. 355, 1991 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

20 YEAS: Boyd, Brooks, Coughenour, Curry, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, SerVaas, Solenberg, West, Williams

0 NAYS:

6 NOT VOTING: Borst, Clark, Cottingham, Dowden, Schneider, Strader

3 NOT PRESENT: Giffin, Hawkins, Shaw

Proposal No. 355, 1991 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 53, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 53, 1991

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) appropriating an additional Four Hundred Eighty-five Thousand Seven Hundred Thirty-six Dollars (\$485,736) in the Manpower Federal Programs Fund for purposes of the Department of Administration, Occupational & Community Services Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Manpower Federal Programs Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.01 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1991, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Department of Administration, Occupational and Community Services Division to provide increased services to dislocated workers and welfare recipients through the Indianapolis Private Industries Council and to make a learning opportunity available for 200 juniors and seniors at the University of Indianapolis by funding Youth City.

SECTION 2. The sum of Four Hundred Eighty-five Thousand Seven Hundred Thirty-six Dollars (\$485,736) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

| <u>DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, OCCUPATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DIVISION</u> | <u>MANPOWER FEDERAL PROGRAMS FUND</u> |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| 2. Supplies | \$ 2,850 |
| 3. Other Services and Charges | <u>482,886</u> |
| TOTAL INCREASE | \$485,736 |

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

| | <u>MANPOWER FEDERAL PROGRAMS FUND</u> |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Unappropriated and Unencumbered | |
| Manpower Federal Programs Fund | <u>\$485,736</u> |
| TOTAL REDUCTION | \$485,736 |

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 357, 1991. This proposal transfers and appropriates \$10,500 for the County Surveyor to pay overtime expenses, conference and training costs, and to purchase

section corner markers. Councillor Cottingham asked for consent to postpone Proposal No. 357, 1991 until August 26, 1991. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 358, 1991. This proposal determines not to allow the Marion County Department of Public Welfare to borrow money to fund welfare services pursuant to IC 12-1-11.5. PROPOSAL NO. 359, 1991. This proposal determines to allow the Marion County Department of Public Welfare to borrow money to fund welfare services pursuant to IC 12-1-11.5. PROPOSAL NO. 360, 1991. This proposal authorizes the County Auditor to borrow \$9,760,000 from a financial institution on behalf of the County Department of Public Welfare to pay for the department's welfare obligations pursuant to IC 12-1-11.5 and appropriating the proceeds of the borrowing. PROPOSAL NO. 361, 1991. This proposal authorizes the County Auditor, upon receipt of an order from the State Board of Tax Commissioners, to borrow \$9,760,000 from a financial institution on behalf of the County Department of Public Welfare to pay for the department's welfare obligations pursuant to IC 12-1-11.5 and appropriating the proceeds of the borrowing. Councillor Ruhmkorff asked for consent to postpone Proposal Nos. 358, 359, 360 and 361, 1991 until September 30, 1991. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 362, 1991. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 362, 1991 on July 31, 1991. The proposal appropriates \$25,600 for the Domestic Relations Counseling Bureau to fund personnel expenses for the Visiting Nurse Service through an Indiana Criminal Justice Institute grant. By a 4-2 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

Councillor Borst stated that he voted against this proposal in Committee, but since receiving more information from the Domestic Relations Counseling Bureau, he now supports the ordinance.

The President called for public testimony at 8:19 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes, for adoption. Proposal No. 362, 1991 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

24 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Solenberg, Strader, West, Williams

0 NAYS:

2 NOT VOTING: Clark, Irvin

3 NOT PRESENT: Giffin, Hawkins, Shaw

Proposal No. 362, 1991 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 54, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 54, 1991

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) appropriating an additional Twenty-five Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$25,600) in the State & Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Domestic Relations Counseling Bureau and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State & Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (uu) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1991, be and is hereby amended by the

increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Domestic Relations Counseling Bureau to provide personnel services for the Visiting Nurse Service.

SECTION 2. The sum of Twenty-five Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$25,600) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| <u>DOMESTIC RELATIONS COUNSELING BUREAU</u> | <u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u> |
| 3. Other Services and Charges | <u>\$25,600</u> |
| TOTAL INCREASE | \$25,600 |

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | <u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u> |
| Unappropriated and Unencumbered | |
| State and Federal Grants Fund | <u>\$25,600</u> |
| TOTAL REDUCTION | \$25,600 |

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION

PROPOSAL NO. 185, 1991. Councillor Rhodes reported that the Administration Committee heard Proposal No. 185, 1991 on April 15, May 28, June 24 and July 22, 1991. The proposal amends the Code concerning taxi and limousine service and the duties of licensed taxi drivers. Councillor Rhodes stated that a recent change in state law allows cities to pass regulations governing limousine and sedan service. Taxis already are regulated by the city. By a 4-2 vote on July 22, 1991, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass as amended.

Councillor Rhodes stated that he had two technical amendments to make; therefore, he moved, seconded by Councillor West, to amend Proposal No. 185, 1991, as amended, by inserting the underlined text in Sec. 17-641 (g) to read as follows:

Sec. 17-641. Definitions.

(g) Regional Center shall mean that area defined as the Regional Center in the Regional Center Zoning Ordinance, General Ordinance No. 263, 1970, as amended, except such of that area as is located north of Interstate I-65 and south of 30th Street.

This motion passed by unanimous voice vote. For his second amendment, Councillor Rhodes moved, seconded by Councillor West, to amend Proposal No. 185, 1991, as amended, by inserting the underlined text in Sec. 17-654 (b) to read as follows:

Sec. 17-654. Issuance of license, city license plates, and monthly stickers.

(b) Upon passing all inspections required or permitted by this article and providing proof of liability insurance coverage, the controller shall issue to the licensee a license plate. The city license plate for limousines shall be designed so that the licensee's identification or logo may be placed on the plate in an area which shall be at least fifty percent (50%) of the total license plate size. The city license plate shall show the city license number and contain a space for a sticker. The sticker shall be a self-adhesive sticker issued monthly by the controller provided that the vehicle for which it is to be issued has a valid license, passes all inspections required or permitted by this Article and has in force for the month in which it is issued the insurance required by this Article. The monthly sticker shall expire on the fifth day of the following calendar month, unless sooner suspended or revoked by order of the controller. The controller shall vary the color or style of the monthly stickers so that they will not be exactly alike for any succeeding month. The sticker shall be placed on the city license plate in a location specified by the controller. City license plates and stickers required by this section shall not be transferable from one vehicle to another without the controller's written authorization. It shall be unlawful for any taxicab or limousine to operate without a valid city license plate and sticker displayed in the front license plate holder of the licensed taxicab or limousine for which it was issued.

This motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

Councillor Rhodes moved, seconded by Councillor Gilmer, for adoption of Proposal No. 185, 1991, as amended.

Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Howard, to amend Proposal No. 185, 1991, as amended, by striking the word "maximum" in Sec. 17-684 (f)(1) as follows:

Sec. 17-684. Fares.

(f) Rates. The charge for taxicab services shall be as follows:

(1) For metered service

(i) Ninety-five cents (\$0.95) ~~maximum~~ for the first one-fifth (1/5th) mile.

(ii) Thirty cents (\$0.30) ~~maximum~~ for each additional one-fifth (1/5th) mile. Thirty cents (\$0.30) may be charged for each one (1) minute of waiting time over the first three (3) minutes as herein provided.

Councillor Coughenour stated that she believes that the bigger companies will undercut the small cab companies, resulting in some of the small cab companies going out of business, which is not in the public's best interest.

Councillor Rhodes spoke against this amendment because he believes it enables the public to shop around and get the best rate for a taxi ride.

Councillor Schneider voiced his opposition to the motion because he believes small cab companies can compete with larger cab companies without this amendment.

Councillor Coughenour's amendment was defeated by the following roll call vote; viz:

8 YEAS: *Boyd, Coughenour, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, McGrath, Mukes-Gaither, Strader*
18 NAYS: *Borst, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Curry, Dowden, Gilmer, Golc, Jones, Moriarty, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Solenberg, West, Williams*
3 NOT PRESENT: *Giffin, Hawkins, Shaw*

Councillor Brooks stated that it is his opinion that this proposal is protectionist legislation which keeps taxis from having to compete with limousines. He moved to amend Proposal No. 185, 1991, as amended, by deleting the stricken-through text in Sec. 17-671 (d)(5)(i), (ii) and (iii) as follows:

Sec. 17-671. Fares.

(5) The rates per passenger for Shared Ride Service shall be those which are filed with the controller. Such rates, for the first passenger in a trip, exclusive of any transportation center's user fee, shall conform to the following:

(i) To or from the transportation center known as the Indianapolis International Airport and the following:

Zone 1 ~~minimum rate \$ 7.00~~ maximum rate \$21.00
Zone 2 ~~minimum rate \$10.00~~ maximum rate \$24.00
Zone 3 ~~minimum rate \$10.00~~ maximum rate \$24.00
Zone 4 ~~minimum rate \$13.00~~ maximum rate \$27.00
Zone 5 ~~minimum rate \$ 5.00~~ maximum rate \$18.00

- (ii) Transportation solely within Zone 5, ~~minimum rate \$5.00~~, maximum rate \$10.00
- (iii) To or from a transportation center within Zone 5 and any other zone, ~~minimum rate \$8.00~~, maximum rate \$20.00.

Councillor Williams stated that from the mail she has received neither the taxi people nor the limousine people like this proposal; therefore, she moved to strike Proposal No. 185, 1991, as amended. Councillor Howard seconded this motion. This motion failed due to lack of a majority by the following roll call vote; viz:

13 YEAS: Boyd, Brooks, Cottingham, Dowden, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Jones, Moriarty, Schneider, Solenberg, Williams

13 NAYS: Borst, Clark, Coughenour, Curry, Irvin, McGrath, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, SerVaas, Strader, West

3 NOT PRESENT: Giffin, Hawkins, Shaw

Councillors Schneider, Clark and Curry spoke in support of Councillor Brooks' amendment because, in their opinions, it helps the free enterprise system work in this industry. Councillor Curry seconded Councillor Brooks' motion. This amendment passed by the following roll call vote; viz:

15 YEAS: Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Curry, Dowden, Gilmer, Golc, Irvin, Jones, Moriarty, O'Dell, Rhodes, Schneider, Solenberg, Williams

10 NAYS: Borst, Boyd, Holmes, Howard, McGrath, Mukes-Gaither, Ruhmkorff, SerVaas, Strader, West

1 NOT VOTING: Coughenour

3 NOT PRESENT: Giffin, Hawkins, Shaw

Councillor Brooks moved to amend Proposal No. 185, 1991, as amended, by deleting paragraphs (2), (3) and (4) and renumbering paragraphs (5) and (6) in Sec. 17-671 (d) as follows:

Sec. 17-671. Fares.

(d) Restrictions on rates and schedules. The schedule of rates for limousine services shall be restricted as follows:

- (1) Rates shall be calculated only upon an hourly basis or a fraction thereof unless the limousine is operating as a Shared Ride Service.
- ~~(2) The minimum rental rate for the first hour shall be forty dollars (\$40.00) with a minimum rental period of one (1) hour if the transportation is not prearranged at least two (2) hours prior to the commencement of the transportation.~~
- ~~(3) The minimum rental rate for the first hour shall be thirty dollars (\$30.00) with a minimum rental period of one (1) hour if the transportation is prearranged at least two (2) hours prior to the commencement of the transportation.~~
- ~~(4) The minimum rental rate for the first hour may not be discounted in any manner, whether directly or indirectly, by coupon, discount certificate or any other device.~~
- ~~(2)(5)~~ The rates per passenger for Shared Ride Service shall be those which are filed with the controller. Such rates, for the first passenger in a trip, exclusive of any transportation center's user fee, shall conform to the following:
 - (i) To or from the transportation center known as the Indianapolis International Airport and the following:
 - Zone 1 - maximum rate \$21.00
 - Zone 2 - maximum rate \$24.00
 - Zone 3 - maximum rate \$24.00

Zone 4 - maximum rate \$27.00

Zone 5 - maximum rate \$18.00

- (ii) Transportation solely within Zone 5, maximum rate \$10.00
- (iii) To or from a transportation center within Zone 5 and any other zone, maximum rate \$20.00.

~~(3)~~(4) Contract service: The rates for service under contract or agreement, provided for in Sec. 17-666, are not governed by this sub-section if such contract or agreement provides that the party who contracts with the licensee is solely responsible for paying for the services rendered under the contract or agreement and the cost of the services is clearly included in the contract or agreement.

This motion was seconded by Councillor Curry. The amendment passed by unanimous voice vote.

Councillor Brooks moved to amend Sec. 17-652 (a) by inserting the following underlined text:

Sec. 17-652. Liability Insurance.

(a) No license shall be issued pursuant to this article unless the applicant therefor has filed with the controller a public liability insurance policy or a certificate of self insurance issued by the Indiana Bureau of Motor Vehicles which covers each taxicab or limousine to be used in the licensed business and complies with the requirements of subsection (b) for taxicabs or (c) for limousines and subsection (d). Provided, however, no certificate for self-insurance shall satisfy the provisions of this subsection unless the exclusive method of self-insurance utilized is one of those specifically identified in regulations promulgated by the Bureau of Motor Vehicles.

Councillor Brooks explained that this amendment would require an applicant to exclusively use one method of self-insurance identified in the Bureau of Motor Vehicles regulations; the applicant could not mix and match the methods. This motion was seconded by Councillor West.

Councillor Rhodes asked Charles Hubert, attorney for the Licensing Division, if, in his opinion, this language is necessary. Mr. Hubert responded that he thought it was a good amendment.

Councillor West suggested that this motion be withdrawn and introduced as a separate matter. Councillor Brooks said that he was willing to withdraw the amendment if it will go through Committee as a separate matter. Councillor Rhodes said that he would be happy to have a hearing on that item. Councillor Brooks withdrew the amendment and Mr. West withdrew his second.

The President recognized Councillors Gilmer and Dowden. Councillor Gilmer moved the question. Councillor Dowden stated that this proposal was initiated by the Controller and, since this present ordinance is considerably different than the version that came out of Committee, asked the President if it was wise or unwise to permit input from the Controller or any other interested party at this time.

The President said that since he had recognized two councillors simultaneously, but that he did recognize Councillor Gilmer first, the Council would decide by voting on Councillor Gilmer's motion whether or not there should be more testimony. The President asked for a second. Councillor Solenberg seconded the motion. This motion passed by the following roll call vote; viz:

August 5, 1991

16 YEAS: Brooks, Cottingham, Curry, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Solenberg, West

8 NAYS: Borst, Boyd, Clark, Dowden, Howard, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Strader

2 NOT VOTING: Coughenour, Williams

3 NOT PRESENT: Giffin, Hawkins, Shaw

Councillor Clark stated that he believed the public should also have an opportunity to speak.

The President ruled that the Controller could speak on Proposal No. 185, 1991, as amended.

James Steele, City Controller, stated that the original ordinance has gone through considerable change since it was introduced four months ago. The purpose of this proposal is to have some regulations concerning the limousine industry similar to the regulations for the taxi industry. Nevertheless, he said that his office is here to serve the wishes of the Council.

Councillor Borst stated that he would not vote for the proposal because neither the Controller's Office, the taxi industry nor the limousine industry have had a chance to examine this amended version.

Councillor Schneider asked what will happen if this proposal is defeated, and the President replied that the present ordinance would prevail.

Councillor Boyd asked for consent to explain his vote. Consent was given. He said that he would like to table Proposal No. 185, 1991, as amended, because there have been substantive changes made to this proposal, and they have been made without the benefit of knowing how the interested parties are going to react to it.

Proposal No. 185, 1991, as amended, was defeated on the following roll call vote; viz:

6 YEAS: Gilmer, Irvin, O'Dell, Rhodes, SerVaas, West

20 NAYS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Solenberg, Strader, Williams

3 NOT PRESENT: Giffin, Hawkins, Shaw

PROPOSAL NO. 335, 1991. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 335, 1991 on July 31, 1991. The proposal transfers and appropriates \$178,178 for the Superior Court, Juvenile Division/Detention Center, to fund the operating costs at the Youth Center. By a 3-2 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

Councillor Dowden explained to the Council that the \$178,178 amount was appropriated into the Superior Court, Juvenile Division/Detention Center's 1991 budget for a juvenile runaway program. The contract with the Children's Bureau to operate the runaway programs at the Family Support Center and the Youth Center have expired. James Payne, Presiding Judge of the Juvenile Court and Detention Center, has decided not to renew that contract, but to utilize the money and operate the runaway program at the Youth Center himself. All runaways, who would have been delivered to the Family Support Center, are going to be transferred to the Children's Guardian Home by law enforcement officers. Councillor Dowden said that Paul Brown, Director of the Children's Guardian Home, has

indicated that he would need an increase of \$60,000 to cover his costs for these runaway children.

Councillor West said that it is his opinion that it would only be fair to transfer \$60,000 into the Children's Guardian Home budget to cover the costs for the runaway program; therefore, he moved, to amend Proposal No. 335, 1991 by (1) transferring the following appropriations into the Children's Guardian Home budget: Personal Services, \$39,000; Supplies, \$29,800; and \$200, Other Services and Charges; and (2) decreasing the appropriation into the Superior Court, Juvenile Division/Detention Center budget by \$60,000.

Councillor Ruhmkorff said that since the Community Affairs Committee hears the Guardian Home's budget, she believes that Committee will also have to have a hearing on the matter.

Councillor Borst moved to postpone Proposal No. 335, 1991 until August 26, 1991 so that Judge Payne could have an opportunity to examine the proposed amendment. This motion was seconded by Councillor Irvin.

Councillor West stated that if Proposal No. 335, 1991 is postponed, his motion will also be postponed.

Councillor Borst's motion to postpone Proposal No. 335, 1991 until August 26, 1991 passed by unanimous voice vote.

PROPOSAL NO. 354, 1991. Councillor Rhodes reported that the Administration Committee heard Proposal No. 354, 1991 on August 5, 1991. The proposal authorizes the lease of up to 4,300 square feet of office space in the Marott Building for the Department of Administration, Occupational and Community Services Division. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Rhodes moved, seconded by Councillor Moriarty, for adoption. Proposal No. 354, 1991 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Strader, West, Williams

0 NAYS:

3 NOT VOTING: Dowden, Jones, Solenberg

3 NOT PRESENT: Giffin, Hawkins, Shaw

Proposal No. 354, 1991 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 68, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 68, 1991

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION authorizing the lease of up to 4,300 square feet of office space on the second floor of the Marott Building, 342 Massachusetts Avenue, Indianapolis, Indiana, for the Department of Administration, Division of Occupational and Community Services.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council, pursuant to IC 36-10-1-7, has investigated the conditions requiring the subject lease and hereby determines the lease of up to 4,300 square feet of office space on the second floor of

August 5, 1991

the Marott Building, 342 Massachusetts Avenue, Indianapolis, Indiana, for the use of the Department of Administration, Division of Occupational and Community Services, is necessary.

SECTION 2. The owners of the Marott Building are George Rubin and Elliott Levin, 342 Massachusetts Avenue, Indianapolis, Indiana.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 363, 1991. Councillor Coughenour reported that the Public Works Committee heard Proposal No. 363, 1991 on August 1, 1991. The proposal approves a Board of Public Works resolution regarding the write-off of certain sewer service accounts of \$25 or less. By a 4-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Curry, for adoption. Proposal No. 363, 1991 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Strader, West, Williams

0 NAYS:

4 NOT VOTING: Clark, Dowden, Jones, Solenberg

3 NOT PRESENT: Giffin, Hawkins, Shaw

Proposal No. 363, 1991 was retitled GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 2, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 2, 1991

A GENERAL RESOLUTION approving Board of Public Works Resolution No. 2957-1991, a Resolution Declaring Certain Sewer Service Final Accounts as Uncollectible and Authorizing the Cessation of Further Collection Efforts.

WHEREAS, the City-County Council has the authority, pursuant to IC 36-9-25-11.7, effective March 1, 1988, and Section 27-115 of the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, as amended effective May 17, 1988, of final approval of all Board of Public Works resolutions deeming as uncollectible FINAL sewer service accounts for which the outstanding balance is twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) or less, which are at least 120 days delinquent, and which the Department of Public Works has determined to be uncollectible;

WHEREAS, at its regularly scheduled meeting on July 1, 1991, the Board of Public Works approved and adopted Resolution No. 2957-1991, a resolution declaring the accounts listed in the attached Schedule of Uncollectible Final Accounts as uncollectible and authorizing the cessation of further collection efforts;

WHEREAS, the Schedule of Uncollectible Final Accounts attached to that resolution contains approximately 691 separate accounts totalling approximately \$5,611.84. Such final accounts have balances of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) or less, are at least 120 days delinquent, have been closed since before July 1, 1988, and have been determined by the Department of Public Works to be uncollectible;

WHEREAS, Board of Public Works Resolution No. 2957-1991 and the Board of Public Works action thereon fulfill all the requirements of Section 27-115 of the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, except for approval by the City-County Council; and

WHEREAS, a copy of Board of Public Works Resolution No. 2957-1991 has been filed with the Clerk of the Council; now therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council hereby approves Board of Public Works Resolution No. 2957-1991, declares that the accounts listed in the certified Schedule of Uncollectible Final Accounts which is a part of that resolution are deemed uncollectible and further authorizes and directs the Department of Public Works to cease collection procedures and to expense the amounts outstanding on such accounts as bad debts.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adopting in compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 364, 1991. Councillor Coughenour reported that the Public Works Committee heard Proposal No. 364, 1991 on August 1, 1991. The proposal amends the Code by adding a provision for the service of picking up and disposing of dead animals from places other than city streets and to provide this service for a fee. By a 4-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Brooks, for adoption. Proposal No. 364, 1991 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 YEAS: *Boyd, Brooks, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Strader, West, Williams*

0 NAYS:

5 NOT VOTING: *Borst, Clark, Dowden, Gilmer, Solenberg*

3 NOT PRESENT: *Giffin, Hawkins, Shaw*

Proposal No. 364, 1991 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 84, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 84, 1991

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending Code Section 3-402 to clarify that the Department of Public Works had authority to pick up and dispose of animal carcasses from places other than city streets and adding a new Code Section 6-14 to authorize a fee for picking up animal carcasses from places other than city streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

PART ONE. The Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, specifically Section 3-402, be, and is hereby, amended by deleting the cross-hatched text to read as follows:

Sec. 3-402. Divisions.

The Department of Public Works shall be composed of the following divisions:

- (A) Administrative division. The administrative division shall have the following powers:
- (1) To provide management and support to the department in the areas of finance and public relations as well as any other areas designated by the director;
 - (2) To manage surplus property acquired by the city due to nonpayment of taxes or any other reason and to dispose of such property pursuant to IC 36-1-11;
 - (3) To approve plans and issue permits for sewer construction and connection as required in Chapter 27 of the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, and otherwise provide engineering services to the other divisions as necessary.
- (B) Air pollution control division. The air pollution control division shall initiate and carry out strategies to achieve and maintain acceptable air purity in the county as described in Chapter 4 of the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana.
- (C) Advanced wastewater treatment division. The advanced wastewater treatment division shall have the following powers:
- (1) To treat wastewater in the consolidated city;
 - (2) To construct and maintain wastewater treatment facilities;
 - (3) To maintain the accounts of sewer user customers;

- (4) To provide engineering services to other divisions as necessary.
- (D) Solid waste division.* The solid waste division shall have the following powers:
- (1) To collect and dispose of household refuse of residents in the Indianapolis Solid Waste District;
 - (2) To pick up and dispose of animal carcasses ~~from consolidated city streets~~;
 - (3) To ticket, tow and dispose of abandoned vehicles in the consolidated city.
- (E) Flood control division. The flood control division shall have the following powers:
- (1) To be responsible for flood control projects within the flood control district;
 - (2) To approve, design, construct and maintain drains, ditches, rivers, creeks and other watercourses throughout the district as provided by law except as provided in Article VI of Chapter 3 of the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana;
 - (3) To approve, design, construct and maintain levees throughout the district as provided by law;
 - (4) To maintain Eagle Creek Dam and regulate water level of the Eagle Creek Reservoir;*
 - (5) To approve plans and issue permits required by Chapter 10 1/2 of the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana;
 - (6) To be responsible for weed abatement on public and private property within the consolidated city; and
 - (7) To provide engineering services to other divisions as necessary.
- (F) Water and land pollution control division. The water and land pollution control division shall have the following powers:
- (1) To provide management and support to the department in the areas of environmental policy and planning for water and land pollution control;
 - (2) To perform pollution control programs and services in order to improve the environmental quality in the consolidated city with regard to groundwater, surface water and hazardous waste;
 - (3) To approve plans and issue permits for, and otherwise monitor and regulate, industrial, commercial, and any other non-domestic discharges into the sewer system, as described in Chapter 27 of the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana;
 - (4) To monitor and regulate septage hauling;
 - (5) To respond to hazardous waste spills and other emergencies which threaten contamination of sewers, groundwater, or surface water; and
 - (6) To provide engineering and technical services to other divisions as necessary.
- (G) Sewer maintenance division.* The sewer maintenance division shall have the following powers:
- (1) To operate and maintain the wastewater collection system in the consolidated city.
 - (2) To design, construct and repair storm and sanitary sewer structures in the consolidated city.
 - (3) To measure wastewater flows in sewers and locate sources of clear water entering sanitary sewers.

PART TWO. The Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, be, and is hereby, amended by adopting the following new Section 6-14:

Sec. 6-14 Disposal by the Department of Public Works.

The Department of Public Works may provide to persons or entities which request it the service of picking up dead animal bodies and body parts and lawfully disposing of them. When the Department of Public Works picks up dead animal bodies or parts from places other than the consolidated city streets, it shall charge a fee of \$6.00 per body or body part for this service.

PART THREE. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NOS. 365 and 366, 1991. Councillor Gilmer asked for consent to vote on both the transportation proposals together. Consent was given. PROPOSAL NO. 365, 1991. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors SerVaas and Howard, amends the Code by authorizing a traffic signal at the intersection of Grandview Drive and Kessler Boulevard, West Drive (District 2). PROPOSAL NO. 366, 1991. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor SerVaas, amends the Code by authorizing a traffic signal at the intersection of Spring Mill Road and 79th Street (District 2). Councillor Gilmer reported that the Transportation Committee heard Proposal Nos. 365 and 366, 1991 on July 31, 1991. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported Proposal No. 365, 1991 to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported Proposal No. 366, 1991 to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Cottingham, for adoption. Proposal Nos. 365 and 366, 1991 were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: *Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Strader, West, Williams*

0 NAYS:

3 NOT VOTING: *Dowden, McGrath, Solenberg*

3 NOT PRESENT: *Giffin, Hawkins, Shaw*

Proposal No. 365, 1991 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 85, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 85, 1991

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

| <u>BASE MAP</u> | <u>INTERSECTION</u> | <u>PREFERENTIAL</u> | <u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u> |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 10, Pg. 3 | Grandview Dr. & Kessler Blvd., W. Dr. | Kessler Blvd., W. Dr. | Stop |

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

| <u>BASE MAP</u> | <u>INTERSECTION</u> | <u>PREFERENTIAL</u> | <u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u> |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 10, Pg. 3 | Grandview Dr. & Kessler Blvd., W. Dr. | None | Signal |

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 366, 1991 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 86, 1991 and reads as follows:

August 5, 1991

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 86, 1991

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

| <u>BASE MAP</u> | <u>INTERSECTION</u> | <u>PREFERENTIAL</u> | <u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u> |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 4, Pg. 6 | Spring Mill Rd. & 79th St. | Spring Mill Rd. | Stop |

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

| <u>BASE MAP</u> | <u>INTERSECTION</u> | <u>PREFERENTIAL</u> | <u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u> |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 4, Pg. 6 | Spring Mill Rd. & 79th St. | None | Signal |

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

NEW BUSINESS

Councillor Holmes moved, seconded by Councillor West, to have Proposal No. 330, 1991 be reconsidered and returned to the Parks and Recreation Committee. This motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, and upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned at 10:42 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the regular concurrent meetings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis-Marion County, Indiana, and Indianapolis Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils on the 5th day of August, 1991.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

Beurt Serwaas

President

David J. [Signature]
Clerk of the Council

ATTEST:

(SEAL)