MINUTES OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

REGULAR MEETINGS MONDAY, NOVEMBER 11, 1991

The City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana and the Indianapolis Police Special Service District Council, Indianapolis Fire Special Service District Council and Indianapolis Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Council convened in regular concurrent sessions in the Council Chamber of the City-County Building at 7:05 p.m. on Monday, November 11, 1991, with Councillor SerVaas presiding.

Councillor Coughenour led the opening prayer and invited all present to join her in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

ROLL CALL

The President instructed the Clerk to take the roll call and requested members to register their presence on the voting machine. The roll call was as follows:

27 PRESENT: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Solenberg, Strader, West, Williams 2 ABSENT: Hawkins, Shaw

A quorum of twenty-seven members being present, the President called the meeting to order.

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS AND VISITORS

The President introduced William H. Hudnut, III, Mayor, City of Indianapolis, who reported on the United Airlines Maintenance Facility and the City's commitment of \$111.5 million in incentives. A written agreement must be signed by November 22, 1991; and the City has ninety days after that date to pay its financial share to United Airlines. He introduced Mark Rosentraub, Associate Dean of the School of Public and Environmental Affairs at Indiana University, who put the figures together of what the total 15-year impact will be to the City.

The President and Councillor Boyd both voiced their support of this project.

The Mayor's speech is as follows:

Mr. President, Members of the City-County Council, Ladies and Gentlemen, Fellow Citizens of this "no mean City:"

Much conversation, many meetings, and a high level of excitement have been stimulated by the announcement on October 23 that United Airlines had selected Indianapolis for its new maintenance operations center. We won out over 90 other cities, and now find ourselves in the enviable position of welcoming the largest business relocation opportunity we've ever had in the City's history. This certainly is "the economic development project of the decade in our country," and our City and state find themselves poised on the threshold of dramatic economic expansion as a result of this decision.

We thank United for choosing us, and pledge ourselves to be worthy of the confidence they have reposed in us.

What's going to happen is this: United Airlines is going to build a 3 million square-foot, billion dollar building at Indianapolis International Airport. They will employ 6300 people at an average annual wage of \$45,000.

Contemplate the economic benefit of this, and it almost boggles the mind: An annual payroll of possibly \$283,000,000 that would stimulate over \$450,000,000 of annual local economic activity.

If you use a conservative multiplier of 3 other jobs created for each UAL job, maybe another 18 to 20,000 new jobs in Central Indiana. The spin off effect of those jobs is described in the charts you have.

12,600 construction jobs, \$215,000,000 in construction wages to build the facility which will house seventeen 737s and be bigger than 100 football fields.

Almost a quarter billion dollars in local material purchases.

A half billion dollars in annual operating costs that will generate over \$300,000,000 in local expenditures.

Some 12,000 new homes to be built.

About 23 to \$30,000,000 of business for a telephone company over a 10 year period.

The generation of enough power, the use of enough electricity, to light a city of 40 to 50,000 people.

\$8,000,000 in annual utility costs.

Unprecedented growth in and around the airport with the potential for many new airline flights coming in here and departing on a regular daily basis.

More business and more jobs for lawyers, accountants, bankers, grocery store clerks, manufacturers reps, home builders, teachers and so forth, and hundreds of millions of dollars of business for suppliers and vendors.

Etc., etc., etc. One economist, Mark Rosentraub, Associate Dean of the School of Public and Environmental Affairs at Indiana University, has figured that the 15 year economic impact of United Airlines bringing their maintenance operation center to Indiana is \$11,924,023,000—which figures out to be \$100 of economic activity for each dollar of City investment. A return of 100 to 1 certainly seems to warrant the effort that we made to land this project! We feel confident that from a Marion County perspective all nine townships in Indianapolis will experience significant impact from the project.

Our economy does not stand still. Sometimes it moves forward, sometimes almost imperceptibly backwards. During the 1980s, Marion County enjoyed unprecedented service sector growth in such areas as finance, real estate and insurance to name a few; but the fact of the matter is that in the traditional manufacturing industries which represent some of our most significant high-paying jobs, like most other cities, we lost considerable ground. For example, from 1985 to 1990 alone, Indianapolis lost 4,500 industrial jobs.

The loss of these family-wage jobs means fewer opportunities for advancement and the reduced possibility for a better life for our citizens.

The United Airlines maintenance hub permits us as a community (and as a state) to make up some of our lost ground and create a steady stream of high-paying, high-skilled jobs for our citizenry for many years to come. Of course, I would be remiss if I did not acknowledge our City's good fortune in being the home of companies like Lilly and Allison, to name only 2. Lilly's expansion plans on the southside, coupled with the Dow-Elanco project out north and the Osprey contract for Allison Transmission are very bright and promising developments for our City's future.

But back to United: The expanded tax base, the purchasing power provided by the new jobs, the new homes, the need for training to upgrade the skills of our work force, the potential for additional airline connections—all this and more will open up exciting new opportunities for our economy in the future.

As I mentioned, Indianapolis and Indiana emerged as the winners in a competition that began with more than 90 cities. The final four were Indianapolis, Oklahoma City, Denver and Louisville. (And I might add parenthetically that the other 3 cities are waiting in the wings, ready to jump back into the fray, if we stumble or falter.)

The City of Indianapolis and the State of Indiana worked closely together throughout a time period that stretched from early 1990 to October 23, 1991, and we're still working together as we hammer out the details

I cannot emphasize strongly enough the importance of bipartisan partnership in getting the job done.

This project is much too significant to let it founder on the rocks of egotism or partisanship, and I would again appeal to everyone involved in the process to transcend political bickering, finger pointing and criticizing and work as partners for the achievement of our common goal, which is to begin construction of the MOC-II facility next August.

As of October 23, the date of our handshake with United Chairman Steven Wolf and the public announcement of the deal, the basic commitments were \$171.5 million from the state, \$8,000,000 from Hendricks County and \$111.5 million from Indianapolis. We also pledged to use personal property taxes that would be generated by the project (and not be there if the building were not built) to retire a portion of the facility debt, and the airport made some commitments with regard to preparation of the site.

We were given a month to reach a definitive agreement, and 90 days after that to deliver the money into United's account. That means signing the documents on November 22 and coming up with our share of the dollars by February 22.

The state is well on its way to fulfilling its obligations, and it is incumbent upon us in the City to move ahead with due diligence and a sense of urgency.

The obvious question is how we will finance our part of the deal. This actually breaks down into two components: getting the money, the \$11.5 million to United before February 22, and devising a plan to pay for it. There are a number of options available to explore. Many of them have been described already in the media. They boil down to looking internally at revenue streams in the city and county budgets, and/or selling city assets. The key thing to figure out is how to finance our part of the package without raising taxes or cutting services, and I truly believe that that can be done.

To that end, I want to visit with Mayor-elect Steve Goldsmith, members of the Council and citizens in this community, before we finalize our plans. This is the reason I cancelled my trip to Italy: these conversations need to be held pronto. Time is of the essence!

It is important that the public be informed about what is going on and receive a chance for input. Therefore, I invite comment from the public in reaction to this speech; I have asked Councillor Borst to provide time at his committee hearing on November 19, to discuss the details of the contract we have been working on with United and the state; and I anticipate that our plans will be reduced to ordinance form, introduced at the Council meeting two weeks from tonight, heard by the appropriate committee, and hopefully approved by the full Council in early December.

Let me make two points in conclusion: First, the United deal is so big and so significant that to let it slip away from us would be a tragedy. We cannot let that happen. Losing United would put Indianapolis on a very fast downhill slide. Our reputation would be tarnished. Our high credit rating would be affected. Our momentum would be lost. And our City would become, as one person put it, "an economic wasteland."

My second point is that we can make it happen, we can figure out a way to fund it.

Indianapolis--and the State of Indiana--stand on the threshold of a magnificent opportunity. To capitalize on it will take creativity and courage. There will be some sacrifice and hard thinking involved. But the

rewards will be tremendous. The economic benefits will be huge. The payback will be much much greater than the payout. The economic health and vitality of our City will be immeasurably enhanced. The long-term gain will out weight the short-term pain.

So let's keep on going for it, do the City's share of the deal now, and then reap the rewards of United Airlines coming to Indianapolis for years to come.

Thank you.

Councillor Curry introduced Ken Thurnston, 19th Ward Chairman.

Councillor West introduced the following Republican Councillors-elect: Phil Hinkle, Ron Franklin and Linda Beadling. Councillor Boyd introduced the following Democrat Councillors-elect: Tim Mullin, Frank Short, Elwood Black and Maggie Brents.

Councillor Brooks introduced Betty Johnson and Ralph Jones, two people who failed in their bid for election to the Council.

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

The President called for the reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

You are hereby notified that REGULAR MEETINGS of the City-County Council and Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils will be held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on Monday, November 11, 1991, at 7:00 p.m., the purpose of such MEETINGS being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before regular meetings of the Councils.

Respectfully, s/Beurt SerVaas Beurt SerVaas, President City-County Council

October 29, 1991

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in The Indianapolis NEWS and The Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on Thursday, October 31, 1991, a copy of NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS of a Public Hearing on Proposal Nos. 542 and 550, 1991, to be held on Monday, November 11, 1991, at 7:00 p.m., in the City-County Building.

Respectfully, s/Beverly S. Rippy Beverly S. Rippy, City Clerk

October 29, 1991

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in The Indianapolis NEWS and The Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on Thursday, October 31, 1991, a copy of LEGAL NOTICE on General Ordinance Numbers 113, 114 and 115, 1991 and General Resolution Number 9, 1991.

Respectfully, s/Beverly S. Rippy Beverly S. Rippy, City Clerk

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Mrs. Beverly S. Rippy, the following ordinances and resolutions.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 70, 1991, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) transferring and appropriating an additional Thirty-five Thousand Dollars (\$35,000) in the Park General Fund for purposes of the Department of Parks and Recreation - Golf Division and reducing certain other appropriations for that Division.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 116, 1991, amending the *Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,* Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 117, 1991, amending the *Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,* Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 118, 1991, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 119, 1991, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 120, 1991, amending the *Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,* Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 121, 1991, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 122, 1991, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 123, 1991, amending the *Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,* Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 124, 1991, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 125, 1991, amending the *Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,* Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 126, 1991, amending the *Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,* Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 127, 1991, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 128, 1991, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, and Section 29-268, Stopping, standing or parking prohibited at all times on certain designated streets.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 129, 1991, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," Section 29-224, Trucks on certain streets restricted.

Journal of the City-County Council

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 130, 1991, amending the *Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,* Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 90, 1991, recognizing Friends of Holliday Park.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 91, 1991, recognizing sports writer Robert J. (Bob) Collins.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 92, 1991, recognizing certain former street names.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 93, 1991, concerning the United Airlines Maintenance Facility.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 94, 1991, concerning the Capital Improvements Board and the Indianapolis and Convention & Visitors Association.

Respectfully, s/William H. Hudnut, III William H. Hudnut, III

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The President proposed the adoption of the agenda as distributed. Without objection, the agenda was adopted.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS

President SerVaas called for additions or corrections to the Journal of October 28, 1991. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved as distributed.

PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS

PROPOSAL NO. 579, 1991. This proposal, sponsored by Councillor Irvin, concerns The Grove of Remembrance in Garfield Park. Councillor Irvin read the resolution and presented framed documents to Helen Fehr and Lucille Wahl, and they both expressed appreciation for the resolution. Also present were Marjorie Nackenhorst, Greg Allen, Wendy Walker and Molly Clements. Councillor Irvin moved, seconded by Councillor West, for adoption. Proposal No. 579, 1991 was adopted by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 579, 1991 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 95, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNCIL SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 95, 1991

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION concerning The Grove of Remembrance in Garfield Park.

WHEREAS, the First World War from 1914-1918 was a major conflict in which 387 Indianapolis and Marion County citizens lost their lives; and

WHEREAS, the supreme sacrifice roster included men and women, blacks and whites, nurses and twins Emmett and Roscoe Wempner who were both killed on the same day; and

WHEREAS, on Sunday, October 31, 1920, the Hamilton-Berry Chapter of the Service Star Legion, a civilian support group, with cooperation from the Parks Department dedicated a young tree in The Grove of Remembrance at Garfield Park for each local serviceman who died in the war; and

WHEREAS, the ceremony included 500 children from schools 18, 31, 34 and 35; Hilton U. Brown, who lost a son in the war, gave the dedication address referring to the grove as "life for life"; and Joyce Kilmer's "Trees" was read: and

WHEREAS, annual ceremonies followed at the grove for many years thereafter, with aviation ace Eddie Rickenbacker giving the 1931 main address; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

- SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council deems it proper on this day which marks the anniversary of the end of World War One to rededicate The Grove of Remembrance at Garfield Park.
- SECTION 2. The Council asks that the Indianapolis Department of Parks and Recreation cooperate fully with interested citizens to replace the lost trees in the living memorial grove, and to assist in placing an identification marker at the grove so that future generations might know and appreciate the sacrifice that was made by 387 Indianapolis and Marion County sons and daughters who lost their lives in the First World War.
- SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.
- SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 580, 1991. This proposal, sponsored by Councillor Irvin, concerns missing American servicemen. Councillor Irvin read the resolution and presented framed documents to Al Tooklo, Vets for Vets, and Bill McCowan, Vietnam Veterans for Indiana, and both expressed appreciation for the resolution. There were a number of veterans present. Councillor Irvin moved, seconded by Councillor Curry, for adoption. Proposal No. 580, 1991 was adopted by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 580, 1991 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 96, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 96, 1991

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION concerning missing American servicemen.

WHEREAS, an unfortunate aftermath of warfare is missing soldiers; and

WHEREAS, since the end of the Vietnam War in the 1970's there have been many reports of American prisoners of war in Southeast Asia, including recent photographs of Americans being held against their will; and

WHEREAS, five officers and eight enlisted men from Indianapolis are still listed as missing in action or prisoners of war in Southeast Asia; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

- SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council expresses its deepest concern about the thirteen local citizens who are still listed as missing in action or prisoners of war from the Vietnam War, namely: William W. Bancroft, Jr., Ralph L. Harper, Steven W. Heitman, John W. Held, James R. Johnson, Grayland Jones, Bennie R. Lambton, James M. Lyon, Ralph E. Moore, Charles D. Schoonover, John F. Stuart, Junior L. Whittle and Jeffery J. Young.
- SECTION 2. The Council asks the city to fly the POW-MIA Flag at the City-County Building on Memorial Day, the Fourth of July, Labor Day and National POW-MIA Day (the third Friday of September) and twelve days thereafter as a public reminder that these sons, as well as those from all wars, are not forgotten.
- SECTION 3. The Council commends the Vietnam Veterans for Veterans organization and other veterans groups for their dedicated work.
- SECTION 4. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.
- SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 581, 1991. This proposal initiates an appeal from the action of the tax adjustment board. Councillor Ruhmkorff read the resolution and said this proposal needs to be passed in order to restore the Public Welfare budget to the amount originally passed

by the Council. Councillor Ruhmkorff moved, seconded by Councillor Curry, for adoption. Proposal No. 581, 1991 was adopted by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 581, 1991 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 97, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 97, 1991

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION authorizing the appropriate officers of Marion County to appeal to the State Board of Tax Commissioners for an increase in the tax rate and levy as fixed by the County Board of Tax Adjustment and for an approval of a tax rate and levy sufficient to fund certain appropriations as originally submitted to the Marion County Board of Tax Adjustment.

WHEREAS, the Marion County Board of Tax Adjustment modified and reduced the welfare budget of Marion County for the calendar year, 1992, and

WHEREAS, unless the tax rate and levy are increased to provide funding for the welfare budget as submitted to the Marion County Board of Tax Adjustment, the Marion County Welfare Department and the County, will have insufficient funds to carry out their governmental functions during the calendar year 1992; and

WHEREAS, the County and the Consolidated City may appeal to the State Board of Tax Commissioners the decision of the County Board of Tax Adjustment by filing a statement of objections with the State Board of Tax Commissioners within ten days after publication by the County Board of Tax Adjustment of the notice of tax rates; and

WHEREAS, the City-County Council must authorize the filing of the statement of objections by adopting a resolution, now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Mayor of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and the President of the City-County Council are hereby authorized to prepare and file a statement of objections with the State Board of Tax Commissioners, thereby appealing for an increase in the tax rate and levy of the welfare budget adopted by the City-County Council in a manner which is sufficient to fund that budget as originally submitted to the Marion County Board of Tax Adjustment.

SECTION 2. The Mayor of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and the President of the City-County Council are hereby authorized to prepare and file a statement of objections with the State Board of Tax Commissioners, thereby appealing for a reestablishment of the welfare budget adopted by the City-County Council as originally submitted to the Marion County Board of Tax Adjustment.

SECTION 3. The Mayor of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and the President of the City-County Council are hereby authorized to execute such documents and furnish such information as may be necessary or proper to initiate and prosecute the appeal authorized by this Resolution.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

Beverly Rippy, Clerk of the Council, announced that Proposal No. 506, 1991 has been withdrawn.

PROPOSAL NO. 558, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$1,890,000 for the Department of Administration, Finance Division, to create and finance a public liability self-insurance fund"; and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 559, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE authorizing tax anticipation

borrowing for the City of Indianapolis during the period from January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992"; and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 560, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL RESOLUTION authorizing the lease of office space for the Wayne Township Assessor"; and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 561, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Ruhmkorff. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$27,500 for the Cooperative Extension Service to pay for a computer upgrade and to purchase additional computers, printers and a scanner"; and the President referred it to the Community Affairs Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 562, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Ruhmkorff. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$2,000 for the Cooperative Extension Service to pay for additional 4-H educational material"; and the President referred it to the Community Affairs Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 563, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE establishing maintenance standards for vacant buildings located in Marion County"; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 564, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Clark. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE authorizing the issuance and sale to the Indianapolis Local Improvement Bond Bank of the City of Indianapolis Park District Note, Series 1991A, in an amount not to exceed \$3,500,000 for the purpose of procuring funds to refund the City of Indianapolis Park District Note, Series 1990A, and appropriating the proceeds of said note"; and the President referred it to the Parks and Recreation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 565, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Holmes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving the leasing of certain real estate of the Department of Parks and Recreation"; and the President referred it to the Parks and Recreation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 566, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving the sale of Fire Station No. 29 at 2302 Shelby Street"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 567, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$21,000 for the County Sheriff to cover travel expenses for extradition trips through the end of 1991"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 568, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$23,283 for the County Sheriff to continue the Child Sexual Abuse Prevention and Awareness program"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 569, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$47,189 for the County Sheriff to continue the Victim Assistance program"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 570, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$2,000 for the Superior Court, Civil Division, Room Three, to cover telephone costs"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 571, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$49,936 for the Presiding Judge of the Municipal Court to purchase equipment for the Municipal Court Public Defender's office"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 572, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by extending the rabies quarantine provisions to permit quarantine of animals which have exposed a person by contact other than a bite"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 573, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL RESOLUTION establishing a \$100 cash fund for the Animal Control Division"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 574, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$100,000 for the Department of Public Works to cover the increased costs in leaf collection, recycling, and other community projects involving the collection division"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 575, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the code by extending the current sewer service and user rates for 1992"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 576, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the code by authorizing intersection controls in The Trees subdivision (District 1)"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 577, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by authorizing intersection controls in the Nora Woods subdivision and at three adjacent intersections (District 4)"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 578, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Irvin. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by authorizing a one-way traffic flow on a segment of Laurel Street (District 23)"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PRIORITY BUSINESS

PROPOSAL NOS. 582-585, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposals entitled: "REZONING ORDINANCES certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on November 8, 1991". The Council did not schedule Proposal Nos. 582-585, 1991 for hearing pursuant to IC 36-7-4-608. Proposal Nos. 582-585, 1991 were retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NOS. 146-149, 1991 and are identified as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 146, 1991. 91-Z-135 WARREN TOWNSHIP

COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 13.

7720 BROOKVILLE ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS.

WILLIAM C. SHANK, by Louis H. Borgmann, requests the rezoning of 0.1654 acre, being in the D-2 District, to the CID classification to provide for commercial and industrial development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 147, 1991. 91-Z-139 PIKE TOWNSHIP

COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 1.

5901 GEORGETOWN ROAD (APPROXIMATE ADDRESS), INDIANAPOLIS.

TRIMARK DEVELOPMENT, INC., by John W. Tousley, requests the rezoning of 55.175 acres, being in the D6II District, to the D-5 classification to provide for single-family residential development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 148, 1991. 91-Z-141 WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP

COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 9.

3901 NORTH MERIDIAN STREET, INDIANAPOLIS.

UNITED WAY OF CENTRAL INDIANA, INC., by Mary E. Solada, requests the rezoning of 1.49 acres, being in the D-5 District, to the C-1 classification to provide for commercial development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 149, 1991. 91-Z-145 CENTER TOWNSHIP

COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 22.

919-933 NORTH BROADWAY STREET, INDIANAPOLIS

STEPHEN MAY requests the rezoning of 0.51 acre, being in the D-10 District, to the D-8 classification to provide for the development of single-family housing.

PROPOSAL NO. 586, 1991. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "REZONING ORDINANCE certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on November 8, 1991". The Council did not schedule Proposal No. 586, 1991 for hearing pursuant to IC 36-7-46-608. Proposal No. 586, 1991 was retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 150, 1991 and is identified as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 150, 1991. 90-Z-204 CENTER TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 10.

1121-1207 EAST 24TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS.

INDIANA VENEERS CORPORATION requests the rezoning of 2.5 acres, being in the I-2-U District, to the I-4-U classification to provide for the existing forest products processing business.

SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION

Councillors Curry and Schneider asked for consent to hear Proposal No. 488, 1991 at this time. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 488, 1991. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 488, 1991 on November 6, 1991. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Williams, establishes within the department of public safety a division of emergency medical response and provides for a county-wide system of

emergency medical services. By a 3-1-1 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it be stricken.

Councillor Williams stated that she wanted to provide the same quality and level of service to her constituents as are provided to the citizens in the townships and in the excluded cities. She was not able to attend the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee meeting on November 6, 1991, but she listened to the tape of the meeting and will not oppose the motion to strike this proposal.

Councillor Golc commented that he believes at some time in the future there will be other attempts to revise the emergency medical services.

Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Irvin, to strike. This motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PUBLIC HEARING

PROPOSAL NO. 489, 1991. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 489, 1991 on October 9 and November 6, 1991. The proposal appropriates \$18,400 for the Superior Court, Criminal Division, Probation Department, to purchase supplies and computer hardware for the office, and to purchase bulletproof vests and police radios for the field units. By a 4-1 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:22 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Schneider, for adoption. Proposal No. 489, 1991 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Boyd, Brooks, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Schneider, Strader, West, Williams

1 NAY: Borst

3 NOT VOTING: Clark, Ruhmkorff, Solenberg

2 NOT PRESENT: Hawkins, Shaw

Proposal No. 489, 1991 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 71, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 71, 1991

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) appropriating an additional Eighteen Thousand Four Hundred Dollars (\$18,400) in the Supplemental Adult Probation Fees Fund for purposes of the Superior Court, Criminal Division, Probation Department, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Supplemental Adult Probation Fees Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (II) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1991, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Superior Court, Criminal Division, Probation Department, to purchase supplies and computer hardware for the office, and to purchase bulletproof vests and police radios for the field units.

SECTION 2. The sum of Eighteen Thousand Four Hundred Dollars (\$18,400) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

SUPERIOR COURT, CRIMINAL DIVISION	SUPPLEMENTAL ADULT
PROBATION DEPARTMENT	PROBATION FEES FUND
2. Supplies	\$ 2,000
3. Other Services and Charges	4,200
4. Capital Outlay	12,200
TOTAL INCREASE	\$18,400

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	SUPPLEMENTAL ADULT
	PROBATION FEES FUND
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
Supplemental Adult Probation Fees Fund	<u>\$18,400</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$18,400

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 508, 1991. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 508, 1991 on November 6, 1991. The proposal appropriates \$45,000 for the Department of Public Safety, Police Division, to purchase weight exercise equipment for each Quadrant Headquarters. By a 4-1 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it be stricken.

The President called for public testimony at 8:23 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Curry, to strike. Proposal No. 508, 1991 was stricken by consent.

PROPOSAL NOS. 509 and 511, 1991. Councillor Dowden asked for consent to discuss the two proposals together. Consent was given. PROPOSAL NO. 509, 1991. The proposal appropriates \$150,000 from the Prosecutor's Diversion Fund to cover Personal Services and Other Services and Charges in excess of General Fund appropriations. PROPOSAL NO. 511, 1991. The proposal transfers and appropriates \$34,200 for the Prosecuting Attorney to cover a shortfall in Personal Services. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal Nos. 509 and 511, 1991 on November 6, 1991. The two proposals cover a deficit in Personal Services in the Prosecutor's budget and at the appropriate time every year moneys are transferred from the Prosecutor's Diversion Fund to cover the shortage. The Committee amended Proposal No. 509, 1991 by increasing Personal Services to cover the appropriation in Proposal No. 511, 1991. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported Proposal No. 509, 1991 to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass as amended. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported Proposal No. 511, 1991 to the Council with the recommendation that it be stricken.

Councillor Boyd asked if striking Proposal No. 511, 1991 was against the wishes of the Prosecutor. Councillor Dowden replied that it was his understanding that the Prosecutor was in agreement with the action on both proposals.

The President called for public testimony at 8:29 p.m. on Proposal No. 509, 1991, as amended. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by

Councillor Borst, for adoption. Proposal No. 509, 1991, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

24 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff. Schneider, SerVaas, Strader, West, Williams

O NAYS:

3 NOT VOTING: Clark, Moriarty, Solenberg

2 NOT PRESENT: Hawkins, Shaw

Proposal No. 509, 1991, as amended, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 72, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 72, 1991

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) appropriating an additional One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000) in the Prosecutor's Diversion Fund for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Prosecutor's Diversion Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Sections 2.01 (w) and (b) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1991, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney to utilize Diversion revenues to supplement General Funds and cover shortfalls in Personal Services and Other Services & Charges.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

PROSECUTOR'S DIVERSION FUND

1. Personal Services

\$120,000 13,200

3. Other Services and Charges

COUNTY AUDITOR

16,800

1. Personal Services (Fringes)

TOTAL INCREASE

\$150,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

PROSECUTOR'S DIVERSION FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Prosecutor's Diversion Fund TOTAL REDUCTION

\$150,000 \$150,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Curry, to strike Proposal No. 511, 1991. Proposal No. 511, 1991 was stricken on the following roll call vote; viz:

19 YEAS: Borst, Brooks, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Giffin, Gilmer, Holmes, Irvin, McGrath, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Strader, West

6 NAYS: Boyd, Golc, Howard, Jones, Moriarty, Williams

2 NOT VOTING: Clark, Solenberg

2 NOT PRESENT: Hawkins, Shaw

PROPOSAL NO. 510, 1991. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 510, 1991 on November 6, 1991. The proposal appropriates \$45,000 for the Prosecuting Attorney to utilize federal grant money for seat belt enforcement. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:38 p.m.

Jack Clark, Indianapolis citizen, stated that it is his opinion that citizens need to be educated on how to wear seat belts.

Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes, for adoption. Proposal No. 510, 1991 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

18 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Clark, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Giffin, Golc, Holmes, Irvin, Jones, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, SerVaas, Strader, West

3 NAYS: Brooks, Gilmer, McGrath

6 NOT VOTING: Cottingham, Howard, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, Solenberg, Williams

2 NOT PRESENT: Hawkins, Shaw

Councillor Gilmer asked for consent to explain his vote. Consent was given. He voted against this proposal because, in his opinion, Indiana has one of the most senseless motorcycle helmet laws in the nation.

Proposal No. 510, 1991 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 73, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 73, 1991

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) appropriating an additional Forty-five Thousand Dollars (\$45,000) in the State & Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State & Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Sections 2.01 (w) and (b) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1991, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney to utilize federal grant money for seat belt enforcement.

SECTION 2. The sum of Forty-five Thousand Dollars (\$45,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY 1. Personal Services \$35,000

3. Other Services and Charges 2,000

 COUNTY AUDITOR
 8,000

 TOTAL INCREASE
 \$45,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

STATE & FEDERAL GRANTS FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered State & Federal Grants Fund TOTAL REDUCTION

\$45,000 \$45,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NOS. 513 and 514, 1991. PROPOSAL NO. 513, 1991. The proposal appropriates \$50,900 for the Marion County Superior Courts to establish and operate the Public Defender Services Agency. PROPOSAL NO. 514, 1991. The proposal appropriates \$116,890 for the Marion County Superior Courts to establish and operate the Public Defender Services Agency. Councillor Dowden asked for consent to postpone Proposal Nos. 513 and 514, 1991 until November 25, 1991. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 515, 1991. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 515, 1991 on November 6, 1991. The proposal transfers and appropriates \$21,619 for the County Auditor, out of the budget of the Superior Court, Juvenile Division/Detention Center, to pay personnel benefits for the Youth Center. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:40 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Irvin, for adoption. Proposal No. 515, 1991 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

24 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Clark, Cottingham, Curry, Dowden, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Strader, West
0 NAYS:

3 NOT VOTING: Coughenour, Solenberg, Williams

2 NOT PRESENT: Hawkins, Shaw

Proposal No. 515, 1991 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 74, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 74, 1991

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) transferring and appropriating Twenty-one Thousand Six Hundred Nineteen Dollars (\$21,619) in the County General Fund for purposes of the County Auditor and reducing certain other appropriations from the Superior Court - Juvenile Division/Detention Center.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Sections 2.01 (b) and (kk) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1991, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of transferring of funds from the Superior Court - Juvenile Division/Detention Center to the County Auditor's Office to pay benefits for the Youth Center.

SECTION 2. The sum of Twenty-one Thousand Six Hundred Nineteen Dollars (\$21,619) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

COUNTY AUDITOR

Personal Services (Fringes)
 TOTAL INCREASE

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

\$21,619

\$21,61

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

SUPERIOR COURT - JUVENILE DIVISION/DETENTION CENTER

1. Personal Services
TOTAL REDUCTION

COUNTY GENERAL FUND
\$21,619

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Councillor Dowden asked for consent to hear Proposal No. 542, 1991 next. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 542, 1991. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 542, 1991 on November 6, 1991. The proposal appropriates \$85,829 for the Community Corrections Agency to utilize a state grant to provide the necessary re-entry services for juveniles upon release from state detention facilities. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:45 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes, for adoption. Proposal No. 542, 1991 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Giffin, Gilmer, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, West, Williams 0 NAYS:

4 NOT VOTING: Clark, Golc, Solenberg, Strader

2 NOT PRESENT: Hawkins, Shaw

Proposal No. 542, 1991 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 75, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 75, 1991

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) appropriating an additional Eighty-five Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty-nine Dollars (\$85,829) in the State & Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Community Corrections Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State & Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (aa) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1991, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Community Corrections Division to allow for the expenditure of Indiana Department of Correction grant funds for re-entry services to juveniles released from state detention facilities.

SECTION 2. The sum of Eighty-five Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty-nine Dollars (\$85,829) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

3 Other Services and Charges

STATE & FEDERAL GRANT FUNDS

\$85,829 \$85,829

3. Other Services and Charges TOTAL INCREASE

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

Journal of the City-County Council

STATE & FEDERAL GRANT FUNDS

Unappropriated and Unencumbered State & Federal Grant Funds TOTAL REDUCTION

\$85,829 \$85,829

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 516, 1991. Councillor Coughenour reported that the Public Works Committee heard Proposal No. 516, 1991 on November 7, 1991. The proposal appropriates \$400,000 for the Department of Public Works, Office of the Director, to pay the costs of replacing an existing combined sewer in the Quad Four Redevelopment area, a new storm sewer along East Street, and the extension of sewers in the Canal Redevelopment area. By a 4-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:53 p.m.

Paul Neumister, Indianapolis resident, asked how much the companies and the apartment owners will pay for the extension of the sewers along the Canal Redevelopment area. William Shassere, Director, Department of Public Works, replied that since the present sewer is just being relocated, there will be no cost to the companies and the apartment owners in that area.

Jack Clark, Indianapolis resident, voiced his concern with the sewers in his area because he believes they do not drain properly.

Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes, for adoption. Proposal No. 516, 1991 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Brooks, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, West 0 NAYS:

5 NOT VOTING: Clark, Dowden, Solenberg, Strader, Williams

2 NOT PRESENT: Hawkins, Shaw

Proposal No. 516, 1991 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 76, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 76, 1991

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) appropriating an additional Four Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$400,000) in the Consolidated Cumulative Development Fund for purposes of the Department of Public Works, Office of the Director and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Consolidated County Cumulative Capital Development Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.01 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1991, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Department of Public Works, Office of the Director to pay for the replacement of an existing sewer in the Quad Four Redevelopment Area with a new storm sewer in East Street and for the extension of sewers in the Canal Redevelopment Area.

November 11, 1991

SECTION 2. The sum of Four Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$400,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

3. Other Services and Charges TOTAL INCREASE

CONSOLIDATED COUNTY CUMULATIVE

CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT FUND

\$400,000 \$400,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

CONSOLIDATED COUNTY CUMULATIVE CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Consolidated County Cumulative Capital Development Fund TOTAL REDUCTION

\$400,000 \$400,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 550, 1991. Councillor Coughenour reported that the Public Works Committee heard Proposal No. 550, 1991 on November 7, 1991. The proposal appropriates \$1,000,000 for the Department of Public Works, Advanced Wastewater Treatment Division, to cover the reconstruction and replacement costs of the Belmont Advanced Wastewater Treatment Plant which was damaged by fire. By a 4-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:56 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Howard, for adoption. Proposal No. 550, 1991 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 YEAS: Boyd, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Howard, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, West

O NAYS:

6 NOT VOTING: Borst, Brooks, Dowden, Solenberg, Strader, Williams

2 NOT PRESENT: Hawkins, Shaw

Proposal No. 550, 1991 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 77, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 77, 1991

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) appropriating an additional One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) in the Sanitation General Fund for purposes of the Department of Public Works Advanced Wastewater Treatment and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Sanitation General Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.01 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1991, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Department of Public Works Advanced Wastewater Treatment to acquire equipment and supplies for the reconstruction and replacement of equipment and building facilities that were damaged by fire.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

Journal of the City-County Council

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

ADVANCED WASTEWATER TREATMENT

2. Supplies

3. Other Services and Charges TOTAL INCREASE

SANITATION GENERAL FUND

\$ 300,000

700,000 \$1,000,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

SANITATION GENERAL FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Sanitation General Fund TOTAL REDUCTION

\$1,000,000 \$1,000,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION

PROPOSAL NO. 386, 1991. Councillor Rhodes reported that the Administration Committee heard Proposal No. 386, 1991 on August 13 and October 29, 1991. The proposal authorizes the leasing of office space, located at 1806 Stout Field West Drive, for the Sheriff's Department. By a 4-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass as amended. Councillor Rhodes moved, seconded by Councillor Coughenour, for adoption. Proposal No. 386, 1991, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 YEAS: Boyd, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Giffin, Gilmer, Golc, Holmes, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Strader, West

1 NAY: Williams

4 NOT VOTING: Borst, Brooks, Howard, Solenberg

2 NOT PRESENT: Hawkins, Shaw

Councillor Williams asked for consent to explain her vote. Consent was given. She explained that the Sheriff's Department's current location is in her district and she wanted them to know that the residents will miss their presence in the area.

Proposal No. 386, 1991, as amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 98, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 98, 1991

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION authorizing the lease of office space located at 1806 Stout Field West Drive, Indianapolis, Indiana, for the Marion County Sheriff's Department.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Marion County Sheriff's Department desires to lease office space at 1806 Stout Field West Drive, Indianapolis, Indiana 46241.

SECTION 2. The property is owned by John V. Tippmann, Sr. His corporate office being located at 3711 Rupp Drive, Ft. Wayne, Indiana 46815, and a local office at 4001 West Minnesota Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46241.

SECTION 3. The City-County Council, pursuant to IC 36-1-10-7, has investigated the conditions requiring the need for office space and hereby determines that the lease of office space for the use of the Marion County Sheriff's Department is necessary.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 512, 1991. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 512, 1991 on November 6, 1991. The proposal transfers and appropriates \$1,950 for the Superior Court, Civil Division, Room One, to pay furniture and supply charges. By a 4-1 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Irvin, for adoption. Proposal No. 512, 1991 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 YEAS: Boyd, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Gilmer, Golc, Irvin, Jones, McGrath, Moriarty, Mukes-Gaither, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Strader, West, Williams

O NAYS:

6 NOT VOTING: Borst, Brooks, Giffin, Holmes, Howard, Solenberg

2 NOT PRESENT: Hawkins, Shaw

Proposal No. 512, 1991 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 78, 1991 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 78, 1991

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1991 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 95, 1990) transferring and appropriating an additional One Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$1,950) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Superior Court - Civil Division - Room One and reducing certain other appropriations for that Court.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (mm) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1991, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Superior Court - Civil Division - Room One to pay for new furniture and supplies.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Thousand Nine Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$1,950) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

SUPERIOR COURT - CIVIL **DIVISION - ROOM NO. ONE**

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

2. Supplies

\$ 625

4. Capital Outlay

1,325

TOTAL INCREASE

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

SUPERIOR COURT - CIVIL **DIVISION - ROOM NO. ONE**

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

3. Other Services & Charges TOTAL REDUCTION

\$1,950 \$1,950

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT

The President stated that because of the United Airlines matter he may have to call a special meeting of the Council and some meeting dates may be changed in November and December.

Journal of the City-County Council

Councillor Gilmer asked how much notice needs to be given to hold a special meeting of the Council. The President replied 72 hours.

Councillor Clark stated that there were some discussions within the last two years regarding the sale of Citizens Gas and he would like copies of all documents and material concerning that discussion. The President stated that the information would be given to the Councillors.

There being no further business, and upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned at 9:02 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the regular concurrent meetings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis-Marion County, Indiana, and Indianapolis Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils on the 11th day of November, 1991.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

Beurt Servaar_ President

ATTEST:

(SEAL)