REGULAR MEETING.

COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, February 7, 1898.

The Common Council of the City of Indianapolis met in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, February 7, 1898, at 8 o'clock, in regular meeting.

Present, Hon. John H. Mahoney, President of the Common Council, in the chair, and 20 members, viz.: Messrs. Allen, Bernauer, Bowser, Clark, Colter, Costello, Crall, Harston, Higgins, Knight, Little, Madden, Merrick, Moffett, McGrew, Rauch, Scanlon, Shaffer, Smith and Von Spreckelsen.

Absent-None.

The Clerk proceeded to read the Journal, whereupon Councilman Madden moved that the further reading of the Journal be dispensed with.

Which motion prevailed.

COMMUNICATIONS, ETC., FROM MAYOR.

His Honor, the Mayor, presented the following communication:

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT, CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, January 25, 1898.

Hon. John H. Mahoney, President of the Common Council:

Dear Sir—I have this 24th day of January, 1898, signed General Ordinance No. 3, 1898, the same being "An ordinance regulating the location of morgues and undertaking establishments in the City of Indianapolis, Indiana."

Respectfully,

T. TAGGART, Mayor.

Which was read and ordered spread on the minutes.

[Regular Meeting

REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

Communication from City Attorney:

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, OFFICE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF LAW, February 7, 1898.

Mr. E. M. Johnson, City Comptroller:>

Dear Sir—Referring to the claim of James Reilly against the city, to which you have called my attention, I beg leave to submit the following:

According to the facts presented, a license was granted and issued by the City Comptroller to Mr. Reilly, on the 9th day of June, 1897, authorizing him to sell intoxicants on certain premises named, for the period of one year.

That on the 4th day of January, 1898, he was dispossessed of the premises, and that on that date the City Comptroller issued and granted another license to John Hoffman to sell liquors on the same premises for the period of one year from that date, and that Hoffman is now engaged in selling liquors on said premises, under the license granted him.

It thus appears that the city has obtained money from two persons for the same privilege on the same premises, and that only one of such persons, to-wit, Mr. Hoffman, has enjoyed or will enjoy any benefit from the same, after January 4, 1898, up to June 8th of the same year.

I am not discussing the legal liability of the city in case suit should be brought, but I am impressed with the strong equity of Mr. Reilly's claim. That he has paid to the city the sum claimed, for which he can receive no possible benefit, is too plain for discussion. From the standpoint of justice and right, there is no doubt but that this sum should be refunded to him, and neither is there any doubt as to the right of the Council to pass an ordinance providing for its repayment to him.

The same question was presented by Mr. Reilly to the Board of County Commissioners, and that body very properly, in my judgment, made an order refunding the amount which the county had received for the period named.

Very respectfully, John W. KERN, *City Attorney.*

In accordance with the above opinion, I respectfully recommend that the appropriation be made.

> E. M. JOHNSON, City Comptroller.

February 7, 1898.

With the following petition:

To Eudorus M. Johnson, Comptroller of the City of Indianapolis:

Your petitioner, James Reilly, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is a resident of the City of Indianapolis, Marion county, in the State of Indiana, and has been for more than twenty years last past.

That he was in the retail saloon business at old No. 199 Meek street, in said city, for more than five years prior to January 4, 1898.

That on the 9th day of June, 1897, the City Comptroller of said city

February 7, 1898.]

issued to your petitioner a license authorizing him to sell intoxicants in less quantities than a quart on the aforesaid premises for one year from said day.

That affiant's lease for said premises expired on the 1st day of December, 1897; that said premises were sold on a decree of foreclosure of a mortgage lien on or about the 9th day of November, 1896, and were not redeemed by petitioner within the year allowed by law for redemption of such sales, and said property was deeded by the Sheriff' of Marion county to the Home Brewing Company at the expiration of said year of redemption, and said Brewing Company thereupon insisted upon taking possession of said premises from your petitioner and excluding him therefrom; and on the 4th day of January, 1898, your petitioner was required to and did surrender possession of said property to said Home Brewing Company, and remove all his saloon furniture and fixtures from said premises, and has not conducted any business in said premises of any kind or character from said date, nor will he be able to conduct any business therein during part of the remainder of the period for which said license was issued.

That on or about the 4th day of January, 1898, said City Comptroller issued to John Hoffman a retail saloon keeper's license to sell infoxicating liquors on said premises for one year from that day, and said Hoffman is now conducting a saloon on said premises under the license so granted to him.

That in view of the aforesaid facts, your petitioner respectfully represents that it would be just, and, as he believes, in keeping with the law in such cases made and provided, that the City of Indianapolis refund to him the amount paid by him for his license covering the period from January 4, 1898, to June 8, 1898, amounting to the sum of \$107.62, and he respectfully prays the Comptroller to draw a warrant against the proper fund, payable to his order, for said sum.

JAMES REILLY.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 22d day of January, 1898.

John M. Wall,

Notary Public.

Which was read and referred to Committee on Accounts and Claims.

REPORTS FROM OFFICIAL BOARDS.

Communication from Board of Public Works:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, OFFICE OF THE BOARD, INDIANAPOLIS, February 7, 1898.

Mr. E. M. Johnson, City Comptroller:

Dear Sir—We would respectfully ask the appropriation of the sum of \$4,200, for the purpose of paving the space between the meat market and Alabama street, and between Market street and Wabash street. This is the space east of the present market house, and this improvement is much needed in order to relieve the streets surrounding the court house.

[Regular Meeting

The amount asked for is in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer.

Very respectfully, M. A. DOWNING, W. SCOTT MOORE, T. J. MONTGOMERY. Board of Public Works.

Examined and approved by me February 7, 1898. E. M. Johnson, City Comptroller.

Which was read and referred to Committee on Finance.

REPORTS, ETC., FROM STANDING COMMITTEES.

Mr. Colter, on behalf of the Committee on Contracts and Franchises, to which was referred:

G. O. No. 1, 1898. An ordinance ratifying, confirming and approving a certain contract and agreement, made and entered into on the 3d day of January, 1898, between the City of Indianapolis, by and through its Board of Public Works, and the Indianapolis Desiccating Company, whereby said company is authorized to construct, maintain, lay and operate upon the property of the City of Indianapolis, comprising a public place known as Sellers farm, a railroad track, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

Made the following report:

Mr. President:

Your Committee on Contracts and Franchises, to whom was referred G. O. No. 1, 1898, have had the same under consideration, and beg leave to report as follows:

We recommend that said ordinance be amended in the following particulars:

1. By inserting at the top of the last page of said ordinance, and immediately following the original contract contained therein, the following words:

And whereas, an amendment to and modification of said contract, by the parties thereto, has been communicated to this body in the words and figures following:

INDIANAPOLIS, January 19, 1898.

Hon. John H. Mahoney, President, and Members of the Common Council, City:

Gentlemen—The undersigned, the parties to a certain contract, made and entered into on the 3d day of January, 1898, by and between the City of Indianapolis and the Indianapolis Desiccating Company, whereby said company is granted permission and authority to lay a railroad track in Sellers farm, hereby consent to a modification of said contract, by the insertion, in Item 2, of said contract, the figures "\$1.00" in place of the figures "\$2.00" wherever the same occurs in said Item 2.

February 7, 1898.]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have hereunto set their hands and seals this 19th day of January, 1898.

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS,

By M. A. Downing, W. Scott Moore, T. J. MONTGOMERY,

Board of Public Works.

INDIANAPOLIS DESICCATING COMPANY, By F. M. BACHMAN,

President.

S. E. RAUH, Treasurer.

2. By inserting in line 3 of section 1 of said ordinance immediately after the figures "1898," the following words and figures: "with the change and modification thereof, as above set forth."

3. And that the title of said ordinance be amended by inserting in line 3 thereof immediately after the figures "1898," the following: "as changed and modified on the 19th day of January, 1898."

And that when so amended, the said ordinance be passed. GEO. R. COLTER,

ALBERT E. RAUCH, GEO. W. SHAFFER, JOHN A. VON SPRECKELSEN, E. W. LITTLE, JAS. H. COSTELLO, JOHN H. SCANLON, Committee.

Which was read and concurred in.

Mr Costello, on behalf of the Committee on Finance, to which was referred:

App. O No. 2, 1898. An ordinance appropriating the sum of forty-three thousand nine hundred and seventy-six dollars and sixty-one cents (\$43,976.61) for the use of the Department of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis, and the sum of forty-one thousand eight hundred and twenty-six dollars and thirty-one cents (\$41,826.31) for the use of the Department of Public Safety of the City of Indianapolis, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

Made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., February 7, 1898.

Mr. President:

Your Committee on Finance have had App. No. 2, 1898, under consideration, and recommend its passage.

Respectfully,

JAS H. COSTELLO, E. D. MOFFETT, EDWARD E. BERNAUER, RICHARD MERRICK, JAMES. W. MCGREW, W. F. SMITH, ROBERT M. MADDEN, FRANK S. CLARK, J. R. ALLEN,

Committee.

Which was read and concurred in.

JOURNAL OF COMMON COUNCIL.

[Regular Meeting

Mr. Rauch, on behalf of the Committee on Judiciary, to which was referred:

G. O. No. 4, 1898. An ordinance providing that city employes shall receive their pay from the city at least once every two weeks and may be advanced fifty per cent. of any money due them from the city upon proper application showing dire necessity for the same.

Made the following report:

Mr. President:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., February 7, 1898.

Your Committee on Judiciary, to whom was referred G. O. No. 4, 1898, have had the same under consideration, and beg leave to recommend that the same be amended by striking out of Section 1 the following concluding words of said section: "And said employes may be advanced fifty per cent. of any money due them upon proper application showing dire necessity for the same during their employment with said city."

Also that the title be amended, so as to correspond with said amendment to Section 1, and when so amended, that the ordinance be passed. ALBERT E. RAUCH.

Which was read and concurred in.

Mr. Rauch, on behalf of a majority of the Committee on Judiciary, to which was referred:

G. O. No. 8, 1898. An ordinance providing for a standing committee on parks and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

Made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., February 7, 1898.

Mr. President:

Your Committee on Judiciary, to whom was referred G. O. No. 8, 1898, have had the same under consideration, and beg leave to report that in our judgment, the Committee on Parks which it seeks to create, is not needed.

The Finance Committee of this body, which is composed of nine members, is, we believe, entirely competent to investigate, manage and report intelligently upon all questions relating to parks that may come before this body.

We therefore recommend that said ordinance do not pass.

ALBERT E. RAUCH. J. R. ALLEN.

Which was read.

Mr. Little, on behalf of the minority of the Committee on Judiciary, to which was referred G. O. No. 8, 1898, made the following report:

Mr. President:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., February 7, 1898.

I, as a member of your Committee on Judiciary, beg leave to make a minority report on G. O. No. 8, 1898, and recommend that the same do pass. E. W. LITTLE.

Which was read.

Mr. Colter moved that the majority report be concurred in.

Mr. Little moved to lay Mr. Colter's motion on the table.

The ayes and nays being called for, the roll was called, and Mr. Little's motion was lost by the following vote:

AYES 8—viz.: Messrs. Bowser, Clark, Higgins, Little, Moffett, Scanlon, Smith and President Mahoney.

NAYS 13—viz.: Messrs. Allen, Bernauer, Colter, Costello, Crall, Harston, Knight, Madden, Merrick, McGrew, Rauch, Shaffer and Von Spreckelsen.

Before the vote was announced Mr. Clark changed his vote from the affirmative to the negative.

The question being on the adoption of Mr. Colter's motion.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

AYES 19—viz.: Messrs. Allen, Bernauer, Bowser, Clark, Colter, Costello, Crall, Harston, Higgins, Knight, Madden, Merrick, Moffett, McGrew, Rauch, Scanlon, Shaffer, Smith and Von Spreckelsen.

NAYS 2-viz.: Messrs. Little and President Mahoney.

Mr. Moffett, on behalf of a majority of the Committee on Public Safety and Comfort, to which was referred:

G. O. No. 2, 1898. An ordinance licensing and regulating sparring and boxing matches in the City of Indianapolis, providing a penalty for the violation thereof and fixing the time when the same shall take effect.

Made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., January 12, 1898.

Mr. President:

We, your Committee on Public Safety and Comfort, have had G. Q. No 2, 1898, under consideration, and would recommend it do not pass. Respectfully,

> E. D. MOFFETT. T. A. BOWSER. W. F. SMITH.

Which was read.

Mr. Clark, on behalf of a minority of the Committee on Public Safety and Comfort, to which was referred G. O. No. 2, 1898, made the following report:

Mr. President:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., January 12, 1898.

We, the minority of the Committee on Public Safety and Comfort, would recommend that G. O. No. 2, 1898, do pass.

Respectfully,

FRANK S. CLARK. ALBERT E. RAUCH.

Which was read.

JOURNAL OF COMMON COUNCIL.

[Regular Meeting

Mr. Clark moved that the minority report be substituted for the majority report:

The ayes and nays being called for by Messrs. Knight and McGrew, the roll was called, which resulted in the adoption of Mr. Clark's motion by the following vote:

AYES 11—viz.: Messrs. Bernauer, Clark, Colter, Harston, Higgins, Little, Merrick, Rauch, Shaffer, Von Spreckelsen and President Mahoney. NAYS 10—viz.: Messrs. Allen, Bowser, Costello, Crall, Knight, Madden, Moffett, McGrew, Scanlon and Smith.

APPROPRIATION ORDINANCES.

Under this order of business, the following ordinances were introduced:

By Mr. Costello:

App. O. No. 3, 1898. An ordinance appropriating the sum of four thousand, two hundred dollars (\$4,200), for the use of the Department of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

Section 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, That there be and is hereby appropriated, out of any funds in the City Treasury not otherwise appropriated, the sum of four thousand, two hundred dollars (\$4,200), for the use of the Department of Public Works of said city, to be specifically applied to the improvement of the space east of the East Market House for market purposes.

Sec. 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage.

Which was read a first time and referred to Committee on Finance.

By Mr. Costello:

App. O. No. 4, 1898. An ordinance appropriating the sum of one hundred and six dollars and sixteen cents with which to pay a certain claim made by reason of the City Comptroller issuing a liquor license to John Hoffman, on the 4th day of January, 1898, to do business on the premises known as old No. 199 Meek street, in the City of Indianapolis, upon which premises a license had already been issued by said Comptroller to one James Reilly on the 9th day of June, 1897.

Section 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, That the sum of one hundred and seven and sixtytwo one hundredths dollars be, and the same is hereby appropriated to pay James Reilly the claim made by him under and by virtue of Section 8 of an act of the General Assembly, approved March 11, 1895 (Acts

February 7, 1898.] CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

1895, page 248), filed in the office of the City Comptroller on January 22, 1898.

Which was read a first time and referred to Committee on Accounts and Claims.

INTRODUCTION OF GENERAL AND SPECIAL ORDINANCES.

Under this order of business, the following ordinances were introduced:

By Mr. Harston:

G. O. No. 9, 1898. An ordinance prohibiting the soliciting of custom, or trade upon the streets, sidewalks and other public places in the City of Indianapolis, and fixing penalties for the violation thereof.

Section 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, That it shall be unlawful for any merchant or other tradesman, or the keeper of any hotel, boarding house, restaurant, shop, store, or the proprietor or manager of any other business in said city, to solicit the custom or trade of the public or of any individual, either by outcry made by himself or any employe, in or about any door or window of his place of business, or on any sidewalk or street of said city, or by solicitations, personally made by himself or an employe, to any person or persons passing along on any street or sidewalk of said city, and any person violating any of the provisions of this section shall, on conviction, be fined in any sum not less than two nor more than twenty dollars.

Sec. 2. This ordinance shall be in force from and after its passage and publication for two consecutive weeks in *The Indianapolis Sentinel*, a newspaper of general circulation in said city.

Which was read a first time and referred to Committee on Sewers, Streets and Alleys.

By Mr. Mahoney:

G. O. No. 10, 1898. An ordinance requiring all property owners or agents to obtain a permit from the Park Bureau before planting any tree or trees in or along the streets of the City of Indianapolis, and requiring all trimming or pruning of trees along said streets to be done under the direction of the Park Superintendent.

Section 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, That it shall hereafter be unlawful for any property owner or agent to plant or cause to be planted any tree or trees in or along the streets of said city without first filing with the Park Bureau an application setting forth the species and variety of tree proposed to be planted, giving frontage of lot and distance to nearest trees upon either side of said lot, and other facts connected therewith, and obtaining the approval of said Park Bureau.

Sec. 2. It shall be unlawful for any person to prune or trim any tree planted in or along the streets of the City of Indianapolis unless done under the direction of the Park Superintendent of said city and by authority of a permit granted by him.

[Regular Meeting

Sec. 3. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall, upon conviction thereof, be fined in any sum not less than one dollar nor more than ten dollars.

Sec. 4. This act shall be in full force and effect after its publication once each week for two consecutive weeks in *The Indianapolis Sentinel*.

Which was read a first time and referred to Committee on Public Property and Improvements.

By Mr. Colter:

G. O. No. 11, 1898. An ordinance amending section seven (7) of General Ordinance No. 53, 1894—commonly known as the "Building Ordinance."

Section 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, That section seven (7) of General Ordinance No. 53, 1894, be stricken out and in lieu thereof the following be inserted:

"Section 7. Every permit or license for the construction or repair of any building within said city shall be signed by the Mayor, approved by the Building Inspector, and issued by the Comptroller, upon the payment to him of the fee hereinbefore provided for, and no license or permit shall be valid until thus signed, approved and issued: *Provided*, *however*, That before any permit or license shall be issued it shall be the duty of the contractor, or contractors, to first give a bond, with good and sufficent surety to the satisfaction of the Comptroller, in the sum of one hundred dollars, as a guarantee that the contractor, or contractors, upon the completion of the building or structure for which the license shall be issued will repair or replace, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer of said city, any streets or alleys abutting or in the vicinity of the proposed building, or structure, which may have been injured by or on account of the construction of the same. Said Comptroller shall keep a record of such permits, showing the number, date of issuance, to whom issued and the amount of fees paid. If at any time the Building Inspector shall discover that the applicant to whom such license or permit was issued has in any way violated his rights in the terms thereof, or the provisions of this ordinance, he shall promptly report the same, whereupon the Mayor, for good cause shown, may revoke such license. It shall be unlawful for any person to whom any such permit or license has been issued to refuse or fail to show the same when requested to do so by the Building Inspector or any member or appointee of the Department of Public Safety."

Sec. 2. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage and publication once each week for two successive weeks in *The Indianapolis Sentinel*, a daily newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the City of Indianapolis, Indiana.

Which was read a first time and referred to the special committee appointed to consider G. O. No. 74, 1897 (amendment to building ordinance): Von Spreckelsen, McGrew and Crall.

By Mr. Costello (by request):

G. O. No. 12, 1893. An ordinance regulating the standing of vehicles, teams, horses or cattle, etc., and prohibiting the feeding of any animal

February 7, 1898.]

upon any improved street in the City of Indianapolis; providing a penalty for the violation thereof; repealing conflicting ordinances, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

Section 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, That it shall be unlawful, within that part of the City of Indianapolis bounded by the east line of Alabama street on the east, the north side of New York street on the north, the west side of Senate avenue on the west, and the Union tracks on the south, for any person to stop or station, or cause the stopping or stationing of any horse and vehicle, or teams, horses, mules or cattle on any permanently improved street of said city for a period longer than one hour at any one time, or for such time as is reasonably necessary to discharge or receive a load for such vehicle.

Sec. 2. It shall be unlawful for any person or persons to feed or cause to be fed, any horse, mule or other animal in or upon any permanently improved street of said city.

Sec. 3. Any person convicted of having violated any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be fined for the first offense one dollar and costs, and for the second or any succeeding offense, not less than five dollars and costs nor more than one hundred dollars and costs.

Sec. 4. All ordinancees or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Sec. 5. This ordinance shall be in force from and after its passage and publication one day each week for two successive weeks in *The Indianapolis Sentinel*, a daily newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in said city.

Which was read a first time and referred to Committee on Public Safety and Comfort.

By Mr. Little:

G. O. No. 13, 1898. An ordinance fixing the maximum rate to be charged for the use of water to private consumers, and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith and declaring an emergency.

Section 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, That it shall be unlawful for any person or persons, firm or corporation organized under the laws of the State of Indiana, or any association or company to charge, demand or receive from any private consumer or consumers a greater sum or amount than is herein fixed by the following schedule, to-wit:

AIR PUMPS.

BAKERIES.

BANKS.

BARBER SHOPS.

For each barber shop not exceeding three chairs per annum,	5.00
For each additional chair	50

JOURNAL OF COMMON COUNCIL. [Regular Meeting

BATHS.

For each bathing tub in public bath house per annum, \$7.50 For each bath tub in private family									
tub proper. For each additional bath tub in private family " 1.00 <i>Provided</i> , That in all cases where the faucet at the bath tub is the only water connection in the dwelling, block or hotel, an additional charge for family, tenement or other general uses will be made at the usual rates for such uses.									
BLACKSMITH SHOPS.									
For three fires or less									
BOARDING HOUSES.									
For each room									
BOTTLING BEER.									
For each beer bottling establishment per annum, 15.00 Or meter rates.									
BUILDING RATES.									
Laying brick <t< td=""></t<>									
CANDY FACTORIES.									
For each factory									
CHURCHES.									
For each church with baptistry per annum, 4.00									
For each church without baptistry									
Church organs									
CONCRETE.									
Four inches thick \dots									
Eight inches thick.									
Ten inches thick									
Twelve inches thick $\ldots \ldots \ldots$									
Fifteen inches thick $\dots \dots \dots$									
Eighteen inches thick $\cdots \cdots \cdots$									
Cooling concrete or pavement, $\frac{1}{4}c$. a yard in addition to above charges.									
CURBING.									

From $\frac{6}{10}$ cent to 1 cent a foot.

DWELLINGS.

For each dwelling house of six rooms or less, occupied by one								
family	2.50							
Each additional room	50							
Each additional family	1.50							
Lodgers or roomers, when no meals are taken, in addition to								
family use, each.	50							
5 /								
DYEING AND SCOURING.								

February 7, 1898.] CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

FIRE PROTECTION LINES.

Under special contract, 4-inch pipe running into single building, \$50 per annum; 3-inch, \$35; 2-inch, \$25. Fire lines run into buildings supplied with water for general purposes.

FOUNTAINS.

FOUNTAINS.	
One-sixteenth of an inch opening	\$5.00
Each additional one-sixteenth-inch, up to one-half-inch "	2.00
Each glass tumbler washer	5.00
0	
GAS ENGINES.	
One-sixteenth-inch jet	10.00
Larger openings, meter measure.	10.00
Gas engines with tank and return system, not connected with	
city water.	5.00
	0.00
GREEN HOUSES.	
1,000 square feet and under	7 50
2,000 square feet and under.	12.50
Over 2,000 square feet, 50 cents per 100 square feet.	12.00
Over 2,000 square reer, 50 cents per 100 square reer.	
HOSE USE.	
In connection with family use.	
For a lot 30 feet and under	3.00
Over 30 feet, 5 cents for each additional foot.	
For a lot 30 feet or under	3.00
For a lot 30 feet or under	
Hose use, in connection with family use, where streets are	
sprinkled by public contract—	
Twenty feet and under per season,	2.00
Thirty feet and under	2.40
Forty feet and under	2.80
Fifty feet and under	3.00
Sixty feet and under	3.30
Seventy feet and under	3.50
Eighty feet and under	3.75
Ninety feet and under	4.50
One hundred feet and under "	5.00
Hose use alone, where streets are sprinkled by public contract—	
Twenty feet and under.	3.00
Thirty feet and under	3.40
Forty feet and under	3.60
Fifty feet and under	4.00
Sixty feet and under	4.30
Seventy feet and under	4.50
Eighty feet and under	4.75
Ninety leet and under	5.20
One hundred feet and under "	
Washing buggies from street washers per annum,	$5.50 \\ 3.00$

Small children allowed to sprinkle. \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots per annum, 3.00

HYDRAULIC ELEVATORS.

Special contract.

LIVERY STABLES.

Ten stalls and under, each	•	•		•		•	•	•			•	•	•	•	per annum,	1.50
Each additional stall	•	•	•			•		•	•	•	•				`	1.00
No charge less than \$5.																

JOURNAL OF COMMON COUNCIL, [Regular Meeting

.

DELIVERY WAGONS.

DELIVERY WAGONS.	
Eight wagons or under, each	\$1.50 1.50
EXPRESS, HACKS AND OMNIBUSES.	
Ten vehicles and under, each	$2.00 \\ 1.00$
MANUFACTURERS.	
	0.50
For drinking, washing hands, 10 hands or less per annum,	$\frac{2.50}{10}$
Each hand over 20	10
MOTORS FOR POWER.	
One-sixteenth inch jet	2.00
One-eighth inch	3.00
Three-sixteenths inch	4.00
One-fourth inch	5.00
Three-eighths inch	6.00
OFFICES.	
For each office of professional person per annum,	2.50
PHOTOGRAPH GALLERIES.	
	F 0.0
For each photograph gallery	5.00
PRINTING OFFICES.	
Each printing office (without power) per annum,	5.00
	0.00
RESTAURANT.	
For each restaurant	15.00
SALOONS.	
	5.00
For each additional faucet	50
SODA FOUNTAINS.	
	10.00
For each soda fountain	10.00
STABLES.	
For each stable for private family, including water for wash-	
ing carriages by hand, for two horses per annum,	2.00
STEAM BOILERS FOR HEATING.	
For house use	3.00
For store use	$3.00 \\ 3.00$
	0.00
STEAM ENGINES.	
Ten hours run; longer time same proportion.	
For each steam engine—	
1 to 3 horse-power, per annum per horse-power,	2.50
3 to 5 horse-power, per annum ""	2.50
10 horse-power, per annum	2.00
20 norse-power, per annum · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.00
so norse-power, per annum	2.00
to horse-power, per annum	2.00
of horse power, per unitum e e e e e e e e e	1.50
75 horse-power, per annum	1.50
100 horse-power, per annum " " Or meter rates.	1.00
Water from canal, same purpose, per annum " "	75

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

STORES.

Stores, drug or liquor, 12 feet and under .		per annum,	\$2.50					
12 feet, not exceeding 15		• •	3.00					
15 feet, not exceeding 18		"	3.50					
18 feet, not exceeding 22			4.00					
22 feet, not exceeding 25	\cdots	"	4.00					
25 feet, not exceeding 30		"	5.00					
30 feet, not exceeding $40 \dots \dots \dots$		"	5.00					
40 feet, not exceeding $50 \dots \dots \dots$.4	5.00 5.00					
Drug and liquor stores.			5.00					
WHOLESALE	STORES.							
30 feet or less than 40		per annum.	5.40					
40 feet or less than 50		"	6.50					
50 feet or less than 60		"	7.20					
60 feet or less than 80		"	7.20					
Larger stores, same proportion.			.1					
Residences in connection with stores wi	ll be charged fam-							
ily rates.	0							
STREET SPRIN	KLERS.							
With cart, for each cart or team, with two	attachmonto	non month	19 00					
Each additional attachment	attachments	per month,	6.00					
Each additional attachment			0.00					
TUMBLER WA	SHERS.							
In connection with other uses		nor sonson	3.00					
In connection with other uses · · · · ·	•••••	· per season,	5.00					
URINAL								
For each public urinal		per annum.	2.50					
For each public urinal		1 (()	1.50					
WATER CLO								
For each public water closet		per annum,	2.50					
For each public water closet For each private water closet			1.50					
-								
WATER LIF								
In addition to house use		per annum,	6.00					
The water for family use must be drawn through the lifter.								
WATER MO	500							
Each water motor for family sewing machi	ne	per annum,	1.80					
Coffee mills		"	1.00					
Coffee mills	• • • • • • •	"	30.00					
Sec. 2. Any person or persons, or corporation, association or company								
furnishing water shall make all connections at any time upon applica-								
tion of such consumer or consumers.								

Sec. 3. Any person or persons, or firm, company or association or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall upon conviction be fined in any sum not less than fifty dollars, nor more than one hundred dollars.

Sec. 4. All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance is hereby repealed.

Sec. 5. No extra charge to be made for automatic fire sprinklers.

Sec. 6. As an emergency is hereby declared to exist for the immediate taking effect of this ordinance it shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and publication for one day each week for two

JOURNAL OF COMMON COUNCIL.

[Regular Meeting

consecutive weeks in *The Indianapolis Sentinel*, a daily newspaper of general circulation.

Which was read a first time and referred to Committee on Judiciary.

By Mr. Moffett:

G. O. No. 14, 1898. An ordinance prohibiting the pollution of streams of water within the corporate limits of the City of Indianapolis; prescribing penalties for its violation.

Section 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, That it shall be unlawful for the proprietor, manager or other person or persons having the control or management of any slaughter house or pork or beef packing establishment in the City of Indianapolis, to allow or permit any blood or other offal of animals slaughtered or packed in or about any such establishment, to be thrown, carried or conveyed into White River, Fall Creek, Pogue's Run, Pleasant Run, or any other running stream or natural water course at any point within the corporate limits of such city: *Provided*, That the blood or fluid offal of animals slaughtered or packed in any such slaughter house or packing establishment situate on the banks of the White River may be conveyed through the sewer known as the White River Interceptor, or any sewer connecting therewith.

Sec. 2. Any person, corporation or partnership violating any of the provisions of Section 1 of this ordinance shall, on conviction thereof, be fined in any sum not less than five nor more than fifty dollars, and each day on which any such violation shall occur shall be deemed as a separate offense.

Sec. 3. This ordinance shall take effect on the 1st day of June, 1898, and after its publication once each week for two consecutive weeks in *The Indianapolis Sentinel*, a newspaper having general circulation in said city.

Which was read a first time and referred to Committee on Public Health.

MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS.

Mr. Smith offered the following resolution:

Resolution No. 2, 1898. Whereas the present mode of levying assessments against property owners for the construction of sewers, as provided by law, has been proven by experience, to be inequitable and unjust; therefore, be it

Resolved, That we, the Common Council, do favor such legislation as will tend to equalize the burdens of taxation. Further, that such legislation require that all sewers be built from a fund created by a tax upon all property, both real and personal, at a uniform rate upon the assessed valuation; be it further

Resolved, That we ask the co-operation and support of the Mayor and the entire administration, in an effort to secure such legislation.

Which was read and referred to Committee on Judiciary.

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING.

On motion of Mr. Clark, the following entitled ordinance was taken up, read a second time, ordered engrossed, and then read a third time:

G. O. No. 2, 1898. An ordinance licensing and regulating sparring and boxing matches in the City of Indianapolis, providing a penalty for the violation thereof and fixing the time when the same shall take effect.

Mr. Smith moved that G. O. No. 2, 1898, be referred to the Committee on Judiciary for the purpose of consulting City Attorney as to legality of same.

Mr. Clark moved to lay Mr. Smith's motion on the table.

The ayes and nays being called for, the roll was called, which resulted in the adoption of Mr. Clark's motion by the following vote:

AYES 16—viz.: Messrs. Allen, Bernauer, Bowser, Clark, Colter, Costello, Crall, Harston, Higgins, Little, Merrick, Moffett, Rauch, Scanlon, Shaffer and Von Spreckelsen.

NAYS 5—viz.: Messrs. Knight, Madden, McGrew, Smith and President Mahoney.

On motion of Mr. Clark, G. O. No. 2, 1898, was then placed on its final passage, and passed by the following vote:

AYES 11—viz.: Messrs. Bernauer, Clark, Colter, Harston, Higgins, Little. Merrick, Rauch, Shaffer, Von Spreckelsen and President Mahoney.

NAYS 10—viz.: Messrs. Allen, Bowser, Costello, Crall, Knight, Madden, Moffett, McGrew, Scanlon and Smith.

On motion of Mr. Merrick, the following entitled ordinance was taken up and read a second time:

App. O. No. 1, 1898. An ordinance appropriating the sum of one hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$125) with which to pay a certain claim made by virtue of Section 8, of an act entitled "An act to better regulate and restrict the sale of intoxicating and malt liquors," etc., approved March 11, 1895, and fixing the time when the same shall take effect.

On motion of Mr. Harston, App. O. No. 1, 1898, was ordered engrossed, read a third time, and passed by the following vote:

AYES 21—viz.: Messrs. Allen, Bernauer, Bowser, Clark, Colter, Costello, Crall, Harston, Higgins, Knight, Little, Madden, Merrick, Moffett, McGrew, Rauch, Scanlon, Shaffer, Smith, Von Spreckelsen and President Mahoney.

NAYS-None.

On motion of Mr. Colter, the following entitled ordinance was taken up and read a second time:

[Regular Meeting.

G. O. No. 1, 1898. An ordinance ratifying, confirming and approving a certain contract and agreement, made and entered into on the 3d day of January, 1898, between the City of Indianapolis, by and through its Board of Public Works, and the Indianapolis Desiccating Company, whereby said company is authorized to construct, maintain, lay and operate upon the property of the City of Indianapolis, comprising a public place known as Sellers farm, a railroad track, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

Mr. Colter moved that the amendment to G. O. No. 1, 1898, as recommended by the Committee on Contracts and Franchises, be adopted.

Which motion prevailed.

On motion of Mr. Colter, G. O. No. 1, 1898, was then ordered engrossed, as amended, read a third time, and passed by the following vote:

AYES 11—viz.: Messrs. Bernauer, Bowser, Clark, Colter, Costello, Crall, Harston, Knight, McGrew, Rauch and Shaffer.

NAY 10-viz.: Messrs. Allen, Higgins, Little, Madden, Merrick, Moffett, Scanlon, Smith, Von Spreckelsen and President Mahoney.

On motion of Mr. Costello, the following entitled ordinance was taken up, read a second time, ordered engrossed, and then read a third time:

App. O. No. 2, 1898. An ordinance appropriating the sum of fortythree thousand nine hundred and seventy-six dollars and sixty-one cents (\$43,976.61) for the use of the Department of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis, and the sum of forty-one thousand eight hundred and twenty-six dollars and thirty-one cents (41,826.31) for the use of the Department of Public Safety of the City of Indianapolis, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

And was passed by the following vote:

AYES 21—viz.: Messrs. Allen, Bernauer, Bowser, Clark, Colter, Costello, Crall, Harston, Higgins, Knight, Little, Madden Merrick, Moffett, McGrew, Rauch, Scanlon, Shaffer, Smith, Von Spreckelsen and President Mahoney.

NAYS-None.

On motion of Mr. Harston, the Common Council, at 9:25 o'clock, P. M., adjourned.

President.TTEST