# CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA REGULAR MEETING

Wednesday, November 5, 1980

A Regular Meeting of the City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana, convened in the Council Chambers of the City-County Building, at 7:28 p.m., Wednesday, November 5, 1980. President SerVaas in the Chair. Mrs. Beulah Coughenour opened the meeting with a prayer, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

#### **ROLL CALL**

President SerVaas instructed the Clerk to take the roll. Twenty-eight members being present, he announced a quorum.

PRESENT: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West ABSENT: Mrs. Parker

#### OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

The Chair called for the reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

#### Ladies and Gentlemen:

You are hereby notified that there will be a REGULAR MEETING of the City-County Council held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on Wednesday, November 5, 1980, at 7:00 p.m. The purpose of such MEETING being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before the regular meeting of the Council.

Respectfully,

s/Beurt SerVaas, President City-County Council TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in The Indianapolis NEWS and The Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on October 27, 1980 and November 3, 1980, a copy of NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS of a Public Hearing on Proposal Nos. 351, 360, 535, 536, and 546, 1980, to be held on Wednesday, November 5, 1980, at 7:00 p.m. in the City-County Building.

Respectfully,

s/Beverly S. Rippy City Clerk

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

4/5857 [8]

MAN THE

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in the Indianapolis NEWS and The Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on October 31, 1980, a NOTICE TO TAX-PAYERS indicating an opportunity to remonstrate against: Park District Cumulative Building and Sinking Fund; Cumulative Bridge Fund; Drainage Projects, Sewage Treatment, Storm Sewer and Disposal Plant Fund; and Police Cumulative Capital Improvement Fund.

Respectfully,

s/Beverly S. Rippy City Clerk

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Mrs. Beverly S. Rippy, the following ordinances and resolution:

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 96, 1980, amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUD-GET FOR 1980 and appropriating an additional one hundred forty-five thousand dollars in the City General Fund for purposes of the Department of Administration, Finance Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the City General Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 97, 1980, amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUD-GET FOR 1980 and appropriating an additional one hundred forty-five thousand seven hundred thirty-nine dollars in the City General Fund for purposes of the Department of Administration, Finance Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the City General Fund. FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 99, 1980, amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUD-GET FOR 1980 and appropriating an additional eight thousand four hundred dollars in the Community Services Program Fund for purposes of the Community Development Administration, Department of Metropolitan Development and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Community Services Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 100, 1980, amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUD-GET FOR 1980 and appropriating an additional nine hundred forty-eight thousand six hundred dollars in the City General Fund for purposes of the Department of Administration, Central Equipment Management Division and reducing the unappropriated and uncneumbered balance in the City General Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 101, 1980, amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUD-GET FOR 1980 and appropriating an additional twenty-seven thousand nine hundred ninety-onedollars in the Crime Control Fund for purposes of the Marion County Superior Court, Juvenile Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Crime Control Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 102, 1980, amending the ICTY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUD-GET FOR 1980 and appropriating an additional one thousand nine hundred eightyfive dollars in the Crime Control Fund for purposes of the Marion County Superior Court, Juvenile Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Crime Control Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 104, 1980, amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUD-GET FOR 1980 transferring and appropriating two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars in the Park General Fund for purposes of the Department of Parks and Recreation and reducing certain other appropriations for that division.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 64, 1980, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana" by providing for intersection controls at certain intersections.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 65, 1980, providing for intersection control changes at the intersection of West 10th Street with Beachway Drive and Vinewood Street.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 66, 1980, restricting on-street parking on a portion of York Street.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 67, 1980, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana" by deleting gross weights on numerous bridges and roads.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 81, 1980, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

Respectfully submitted,

s/William H. Hudnut, III MAYOR

## PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS

PROPOSAL NO. 578, 1980. Councillor Clark read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Resolution urging the continuation of the efforts of the working subcommittees of the Local Government Study Commission to uniformly and consistently apply the home rule philosophy to the revision of existing State

law." Council discussion and debate then followed, during which Councillors discussed the merits of the local decision making process, philosophys of the Local Government Study Commission in retrospect, and the role of the State Legislature in local government. After these discussions, Proposal No. 578, 1980, was then adopted by unanimous voice vote, retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 88, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 88, 1980

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION urging the continuation of the efforts of the working subcommittees of the Local Government Study Commission to uniformly and consistently apply the home rule philosophy to the revision of existing State law.

WHEREAS, each city or town possesses a unique set of characteristics and challenges which are often best served by legislation specifically designed for that community; and,

WHEREAS, the practice of processing special State legislation for each of Indiana's cities and towns has contributed to the unmanageable volume of legislation which must annually be considered by the Indiana General Assembly; and,

WHEREAS, The Council concurs with the working philosophy of the Local Government Study Commission, that the role of the State Legislature in local government should be limited to the establishment of basic structure and broad guidelines within which that government may operate; and,

WHEREAS, certain State statutes contain provisions which are incompatible with that philosophy and which interfere with the ability of local government to respond to the needs of and deliver services to its citizens; and,

WHEREAS, The Indiana General Assembly has enacted legislation which sanctions the return to local governments of authority over areas governed by such provisions; now, therefore:

### BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Council urges continuation of the efforts of the working subcommittees of the Local Government Study Commission to uniformly and consistently apply the home rule philosophy to the revision of existing State law.

SECTION 2. The Council will support legislative measures to promote the concept of local autonomy in the administration of Indiana cities and towns.

PROPOSAL NO. 553, 1980. Councillor Tintera moved, seconded by Councillor Cottingham, to discharge this Special Resolution from the Economic Development Committee where it was tabled on October 31, 1980 by a vote of 4-0. A roll call vote was then taken on the motion to discharge; Proposal No. 553, 1980, was then discharged from the Economic Development Committee to be heard by the full council, on the following roll call vote; viz:

16 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Miller, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Tintera, Mr. West

12 NOES: Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Schneider, Mr. Strader, Mr. Vollmer

1 NOT VOTING: Mrs. Parker

Council discussion then commenced, led by Councillor Tintera who read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Resolution recommending the establishment of a Foreign Trade Zone in Indiana." Mr. Art Hearn, Past President of the Airport Authority explained the specifics of the project to be located at the Indianapolis International Airport. Mr. Hearn stated that the project would, in his opinion, stimulate the export and import business in Indiana and the midwest, promote good business, and take land that is not in use and convert it into income-producing property. During discussion, Mr. Jones, in whose district the trade zone would be located, voiced concern for the erosion of the area's tax base and was in support of the Economic Development Committee holding more investigative meetings with respect to the establishment of the proposed trade zone. Mrs. Brinkman then moved, seconded by Councillor Jones to send Proposal No. 553, 1980, back to the Economic Development Committee for further consideration. After further discussion, however, Mrs. Brinkman withdrew her motion. Mr. Clark then moved for adoption of Proposal No. 553, 1980, seconded by Councillor Boyd. Council discussion on the motion to adopt then took place, after which, Councillor Boyd moved the previous question, seconded by Councillor Cottingham. Proposal No. 553, 1980, was then adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

15 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Miller, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

13 NOES: Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Schneider, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader

1 NOT VOTING: Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 553, 1980, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 83, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 83, 1980

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recommending the establishment of a Foreign Trade Zone in Indiana.

WHEREAS, the State of Indiana currently contains no Foreign Trade Zone; and

WHEREAS, the creation of such a zone would facilitate economic expansion and increased employment by encouraging foreign businesses to locate in the state and by providing incentives for domestic firms who deal with foreign markets to expand into Indiana; now, therefore:

### BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council expresses its support of efforts to create a Foreign Trade Zone at the Indianapolis International Airport.

SECTION 2. The Mayor is invited to join in the expression of this ordinance by affixing his signature hereto.

PROPOSAL NO. 577, 1980. Councillor Nickell read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Resolution commending the John Marshall High School Football Team for their outstanding achievements during their 1980 season." Councillor Nickell moved for adoption of the resolution which names Eli Garza as top scorer in the City and Coach Ed Bopp who led the team to its undefeated season, seconded by Councillor West. Proposal No. 577, 1980, was then adopted by unanimous voice vote. Proposal No. 577, 1980, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 82, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 82, 1980

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION commending the John Marshall High School Football Team.

WHEREAS, the John Marshall High School Football Team has remained undefeated in their ten 1980 season contests; and,

WHEREAS, John Marshall opponents scored only 36 points against the team during the course of the season; and,

WHEREAS, the team has amassed a season total of 3,312 yards rushing and passing in 1980; and,

WHEREAS, team member Eli Garza has distinguished himself as top scorer in the City, with a season total of 97 points and eight touchdowns; and,

WHEREAS, Coach Ed Bopp has led the John Marshall High School Football Team to the 1980 Indianapolis High School Football Championship; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Council commends the members of the John Marshall High School Football Team and Coach Ed Bopp for their outstanding achievements during the 1980 season.

SECTION 2. The Mayor is invited to join in the expression of this ordinance by affixing his signature hereto.

#### INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

Mr. Jones introduced Mr. and Mrs. Anual C. Sharp, Jr., Vice President and General Manager of State Equipment Company, present on behalf of Proposal No. 529, 1980. Councillor Strader introduced his wife, Diana who was also present for the meeting.

#### INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL NO. 556, 1980. Introduced by Councillor Miller. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating Four Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars (\$4,900) in the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of the City-County Council and reducing certain other appropriations for that division;" and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 557, 1980. Introduced by Councillor Miller. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance approving temporary tax anticipation borrowing, authorizing the City of Indianapolis to make temporary loans for the use of the Park District Fund and Consolidated County Fund during the period January 1, 1981, to June 30, 1981, in anticipation of current taxes levied in the year 1980 and collectible in the year 1981, authorizing the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loans; pledging and appropriating the taxes to be received in said Funds to the payment of said tax anticipation time warrants including the interest thereon: ratifying, approving, and confirming the proceedings had and action taken by the Police Special Service District Council, the Fire Special Service District Council, and the Sanitation Solid Waste District Council in authorizing the making of the temporary loans and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loans for the Consolidated City Police Force Account, the Police Pension Fund, the Consolidated Fire Force Account, the Firemen's Pension Fund, and the Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund; and fixing a time when this ordinance shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 558, 1980. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating Eighteen Thousand Dollars (\$18,000) in the City General Fund for purposes of the Office of the Director, Department of Public Works and reducing certain other appropriations for that division;" and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 559, 1980. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating Forty-eight Thousand Five Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$48,512) in the Consolidated County General Fund for purposes of the Air Pollution Control Division, Department of Public Works and reducing certain other appropriations for that division;" and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 560, 1980. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$70,000) in the Flood Control General Fund for purposes of the Flood Control Division, Department of Public Works and reducing certain other appropriations for that division;" and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 561, 1980. Introduced by Councillor West. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) authorizing changes in the personnel compensation schedule (Section 2.03) of the Juvenile Division, Marion County Superior Court;" and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 562, 1980. Introduced by Councillor West. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating Two Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty-six dollars (\$2,766) in the County General Fund for purposes of the various county agencies and reducing certain other appropriations for those divisions;" and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 563, 1980. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana', providing for intersection control changes at certain intersections. (Amends Code Section 29-92);" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 564, 1980. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana', by providing for a passenger and material loading zone on a portion of Monument Circle. (Amends Code Section 29-311);" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 565, 1980. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana', providing for intersection control changes at the intersection control changes at the intersection control changes at the intersection of English Ave. and S. Keystone Avenue. (Amends Code Section 29-92);" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 566, 1980. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana', prohibiting parking on a portion of Auburn Street. (Amends Code Section 29-267);" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 567, 1980. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana' providing for intersection control changes in the subdivisions of Shenandoah Valley Estates and Walnut Farms. (Amends Code Section 29-92);" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 568, 1980. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana' providing for intersection control changes for the subdivisions of Woodpointe, Normandy Farms, Lincolnwood, and Eagle Creek Parkway. (Amends Code Section 29-92);" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 569, 1980. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana', providing for intersection control changes in the subdivisions of Castlewood, Castle Cove, Castle Knoll Farms, Eagle Nest, and Hunters Glen. (Amends Code Section 29-92);" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL No. 570, 1980. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana' providing for intersection control changes at the intersection of 75th Street and Shadeland Avenue. (Amends Code Section 29-92)," and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 571, 1980. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana" providing for intersection control changes in the new subdivisions of Arlington Acres North, Southern Oaks, and Southernview. (Amends Code Section 29-92);" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 572, 1980. Introduced by Councillor McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana' providing for intersection control changes in the new subdivisions of Castleton E states, Castillia, Glastonbury Court, Brandywine, Farmington, and Parliament Park. (Amends Code Section 29-92);" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 573, 1980. Introduced by Councillor Parker. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Resolution modifying the operating budget of the Capital Improvement Board of Managers of Marion County, Indiana, by amending City-County General Resolution No. 5, 1980, As Amended," and the President referred it to the Municipal Corporations Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 574, 1980. Introduced by Councillor Tintera. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Resolution approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds;" and the President referred it to the Economic Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 575, 1980. Introduced by Councillor Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending City-County General Ordinance No. 83, 1979, authorizing changes in the personnel schedule of the Pike Township Trustee;" and the President referred it to the County and Townships Committee.

#### MODIFICATION OF SPECIAL ORDERS

[Clerk's Note: Council consent was given for these proposals to be introduced under the suspension of the Council Rules, although not timely submitted.]

PROPOSAL NO. 576, 1980. Introduced by Councillor Miller. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Resolution declaring December 26, 1980, and January 2, 1981 as special holidays;" and the President referred it to the Rules and Public Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 577, 1980. This proposal was adopted under "Presentation of Petitions, Memorials, Special Resolutions and Council Resolutions".

PROPOSAL NO. 578, 1980. This proposal was also adopted under "Presentation of Petitions, Memorials, Special Resolutions and Council Resolutions".

#### SPECIAL ORDERS - PUBLIC HEARING

PROPOSAL NO. 440, 1980. This proposal, brought from the table by Mr. Boyd, appropriates an additional \$10,400 in the County General Fund for the Superior Court, Civil Division, Room 5, for purposes of providing funds for an additional employee, was tabled indefinitely in Council on October 20, 1980. After brief discussion, Mr. Boyd moved, seconded by Councillor Page, to table this proposal to a time certain, being the November 24, 1980 meeting of the Council. A roll call vote was then taken on the motion to table this proposal until November 24, 1980. The motion was approved by the following roll call vote; viz:

24 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

4 NOES: Dr. Borst, Mr. Holmes, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller

1 NOT VOTING: Mrs. Parker

PROPOSAL NO. 351, 1980. Councillor Durnil reported for the Metropolitan Development Committee that this proposal appropriates an additional \$12,200 in the Redevelopment General Fund for purposes of Metropolitan Development, Division of Economic and Housing Development. This proposal is a companion to Proposal No. 360, 1980; and Councillor Durnil requested that discussion take place with reference to both proposals. Mr. Durnil moved, seconded by Councillor Campbell, that the "committee recommendation" version which amends the appropriated amount to \$4,075 be substituted for the introduced version; consent was given. Mr. Durnil outlined the proposals by stating that these funds will be used for the purpose of allocating rollover money for the Small Business Assistance Center Program. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole for a public hearing on Proposal No. 351, 1980, at 8:53 p.m. and reconvened at 8:54 p.m. After discussion, Proposal No. 351, 1980, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

26 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

3 NOT VOTING: Mr. Clark, Mrs. Parker, Mr. Hawkins

Proposal No. 351, 1980, As Amended, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 110, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 110, 1980

A PROPOSAL FOR A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) and appropriating an additional Four Thousand Seventy-five dollars (\$4,075) in the Redevelopment General Fund for purposes of Metropolitan Development, Economic and Housing Development, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Redevelopment General Fund.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.03 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of allocating Community Development Rollover Money for the Small Business Assistance Center Program.

SECTION 2. The sum of Four Thousand Seventy-five dollars (\$4,075) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT ECONOMIC AND HOUSING DEVELOPMENT 21. Contractual Services

TOTAL INCREASES

REDEVELOPMENT GENERAL FUND \$4,075 \$4,075

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT ECONOMIC AND HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

REDEVELOPMENT GENERAL FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Redevelopment General Fund TOTAL REDUCTIONS

\$4,075 \$4,075

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 360, 1980. Councillor Durnil stated that this proposal appropriates an additional \$12,200 in the Community Services Program Fund for purposes of Metropolitan Development, Community Development Division. Councillor Durnil also moved that the "committee recommendations" version of this proposal be substituted which amends the appropriated figure to \$4,075, keeping in line with the previously passed companion ordinance, Proposal No. 351, 1980 and the Metropolitan Development Committee's recommendation by a vote of 4-1; consent was given for the substitution. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole for public hearing on Proposal No. 360, 1980, at 8:55 and reconvened at 8:56 p.m. After limited debate, Proposal No. 360, 1980, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

NO NOES

4 NOT VOTING: Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Hawkins, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 360, 1980, As Amended, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 111, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 111, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) and appropriating an additional Four Thousand Seventy-five dollars (\$4,075) in the Community Services Program Fund for purposes of Metropolitan Development, Economic and Housing Development, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Community Services Program Fund.

- 693 -

#### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.03 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of allocating Community Development Rollover Money for the Small Business Assistance Center Program.

SECTION 2. The sum of Four Thousand Seventy-five dollars (\$4,075) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappro-

priated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

METROPOLITAN DEV. ECONOMIC & HOUSING DEV. COMMUNITY SERVICES PROGRAM FUND \$4,075

21. Contractual Services TOTAL INCREASES

\$4,075

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions: METROPOLITAN DEV. COMMUNITY SERVICES ECONOMIC & HOUSING DEV. PROGRAM FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Redevelopment General Fund TOTAL REDUCTIONS

\$4,075 \$4,075

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 535, 1980. Councillor West explained that this proposal appropriates an additional \$353,132 in the Crime Control Fund for the Marion County Prosecutor, funding authorized expenditures associated with the Indiana Multi-Jurisdictional Career Criminal Priority Grant; it received a "do pass as amended" recommendation from the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee by a vote of 7-0. Marion County Prosecutor, Stephen Goldsmith, was present to testify the necessity of the appropriation, stating that this grant is made possible through the LEAA Program which runs for eighteen months, and these additional monies will enable the prosecutor's office to continue the program, financed in part by the federal government. Mr. West then moved, seconded by Councillor Journey, to amend Proposal No. 535, 1980, by substituting the "committee recommendation" version which amends Characters 31, 32, and 34; the committee recommendation version of Proposal No. 535, 1980, was then substituted by unanimous voice vote. The Council then recessed to a Committee of the Whole for public hearing at 8:57 p.m. and reconvened at 8:58 p.m. After further Council discussion, Proposal No. 535, 1980, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West NO NOES

2 NOT VOTING: Mr. Clark, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 535, 1980, As Amended, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 112, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 112, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) and appropriating an additional Three Hundred Fifty-three Thousand One Hundred Thirty-two dollars (\$353,132) in the Crime Control Fund for purposes of the Marion County Prosecutor and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Crime Control Fund.

#### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.05 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of providing funds for authorized expenditures for the Indiana Multi-Jurisdictional Career Criminal Priorty Grant through the LEAA Program.

SECTION 2. The sum of Three Hundred Fifty-three Thousand One Hundred Thirtytwo Dollars (\$353,132) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

MARION COUNTY PROSECUTOR	CRIME CONTROL FUND
31. Personnel	\$306,635
33. Travel	596
34. Equipment	6,993
35. Operating Expense	3,628
32. Contractual Services	35,280
TOTAL INCREASES	\$353,132

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions: MARION COUNTY PROSECUTOR CRIME CONTROL FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered

Crime Control Fund \$353,132 TOTAL REDUCTIONS

SECTION 5. The maximum number of personnel and the maximum salaries authorized for all personnel paid from the Crime Control Fund are established in the following schedules, provided that the total paid by each office shall not exceed the appropriation for that purpose, for that office.

\$353,132

PROSECUTOR PERSONNEL MAXIMUM MAXIMUM MAXIMUM PER CLASSIFICATION NUMBER SALARY CLASSIFICATION Deputy Prosecutor 8 9 #18,000 \$22,000 #1#1,846 \$225.846 2 **Witness Coordinators** 16,200 26,000 18 18 11 53,177 1/2 5 10/600 12,000 Secretary Legal Intern 13 38,160 9,000 Paralegals 14.000 36.500 1/1 0 1 266000 O Investigator/Accountant H111.5585 0 Investigator /A 3 MAJEGE 19,000 /8/A/61/ 30,961 //1 3 10/000 12,000 15/769 34,269 Computer Analyst

SECTION 6. The City-County Council has no intention of supplementing or financing the agency and/or projects approved herein by use of revenues from any local tax regardless of source. At anytime that knowledge is received that the state or federal financing of this agency or project is, or will be, reduced or eliminated, the supervisor or the Auditor, or both, are directed to notify the City-County Council in writing of such proposed loss of revenue.

SECTION 7. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 536, 1980. This proposal was heard in the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee; it appropriates an additional \$125,000 in the Crime Control Fund for the Marion County Prosecutor, funding authorized expenditures with respect to the White Collar Crime Grant. Mr. West commenting on the proposal, moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes, to substitute the "committee recommendation" version of Proposal No. 536, 1980, for the introduced version. The Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee recommended this amendment by a vote of 7-0, amending Characters 32 and 35. Consent of the Council was given for the substitute of Proposal No. 536, 1980, Committee Recommendations. The Council then recessed to a Committee of the Whole for public hearing at 9:05 p.m. and reconvened at 9:06 p.m. After discussion, Proposal No. 536, 1980, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West NO NOES

2 NOT VOTING: Mr. Clark, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 536, 1980, As Amended, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 113, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 113, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) and appropriating an additional One Hundred Twenty-five Thousand Dollars (\$125,000) in the Crime Control Fund for purposes of the Marion County Prosecutor and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Crime Control Fund.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.05 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of providing funds for authorized expenditures for the White Collar Crime Grant through the LEAA Program.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Hundred Twenty-five Thousand Dollars (\$125,000) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

MA	RION COUNTY PROSECUTOR	CRIME CONTROL FUND
31.	Personnel	\$88,674
33.	Travel	2,155
34.	Equipment	276
35.	Operating Expense	9,787
32.	Contractual Services	24,108
	TOTAL INCREASE	\$125,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions: CRIME CONTROL FUND

MARION COUNTY PROSECUTOR

Unappropriated and Unencumbered

Crime Control Fund \$125,000 TOTAL REDUCTIONS \$125,000

SECTION 5. The maximum number of personnel, and the maximum salaries authorized for all personnel paid from the Crime Control Fund are established in the following schedules, provided that the total paid by each office shall not exceed the appropriation for that purpose, for that office.

	PROSEC	UTOR	
PERSONNEL	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM PER
CLASSIFICATION	NUMBER	SALARY	CLASSIFICATION
Deputy Prosecutor	9	22,000	/22/3/8/46 251,846
Witness Coordinators	2	16,200	26,000
Secretary	5	12,000	/5/3/1/77 <u>74,177</u>
Legal Intern	13	9,000	38,160
Paralegals	2	14,000	36,500
Investigators	3	19,000	/80/9/31 65,961
Computer Analyst	3	12,000	34,269

SECTION 6. The City-County Council has no intention of supplementing or financing the agency and/or projects approved herein by use of revenues from any local tax regardless of source. At anytime that knowledge is received that the state or federal financing of this agency or project is, or will be, reduced or eliminated, the supervisor or the Auditor, or both, are directed to notify the City-County Council in writing of such proposed loss of revenue.

SECTION 7. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 527, 1980. Councillor Tintera requested, due to public interest, that the proposals on the agenda from the Economic Development Committee be heard at this time; Council consent was given. Mr. Tintera reported that this proposal authorizes the issuance of economic development revenue bonds for the RCA Corporation Project in the amount of \$1,000,000. This bond issue will enable RCA to complete the modernization of one of the sixty year old buildings occupied by the Consumer Electronics Division. Mr. Tintera moved, seconded by Councillor Gilmer for substitution of the "committee recommendation" version of this proposal, which adds further commitments made after introduction of the proposal; Council consent was given. Mr. Jim Crawford, bond counsel, reiterated to the Council the location of the planting which contains 106,000 square feet on LaSalle Street between Michigan Street and North Street. After further discussion, Proposal No. 527, 1980, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

2 NOT VOTING: Mrs. Coughenour, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 527, 1980, As Amended, was retitled SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 28, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 28, 1980

A SPECIAL ORDINANCE authorizing the City of Indianapolis to issue its "Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Series 1980, (RCA Indianapolis Project)" in the aggregate principal amount of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) and approving and authorizing other actions in respect thereto.

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission has rendered a report of the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission concerning the proposed financing of economic development facilities for RCA Corporation, and the Metropolitan Development Commission of Marion County has commented thereon; and

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, after a public hearing conducted on October 9, 1980, adopted a Resolution on that date, which Resolution has been previously transmitted hereto, finding that the financing of certain economic development facilities for RCA Corporation complies with the purposes and provisions of Indiana Code 18-6-4.5 and that such financing will be of benefit to the health and welfare of the City of Indianapolis and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission has approved the final forms of the Trust Indenture, Loan Agreement, Underwriting Agreement, and Promissory Note, (such documents being hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Financing Agreement" referred to in Indiana Code 18-6-4.5) by Resolution adopted prior in time to this date, which Resolution has been transmitted hereto; now, therefore:

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. It is hereby found that the financing of the economic development facilities referred to in the Loan Agreement, previously approved by the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and presented to this City-County Council, the issuance and sale of revenue bonds, the loan of the net proceeds thereof to RCA Corporation for the purposes of financing the economic development facilities under construction or to be constructed in Indianapolis, Indiana, and the repayment of said loan by RCA Corporation, to be evidenced and secured by a promissory note of RCA Corporation, will be of benefit to the health and welfare of the City of Indianapolis and its citizens and does comply with the purposes and provisions of Indiana Code 18-6-4.5. SECTION 2. The forms of the Loan Agreement, Underwriting Agreement, Promissory Note and Trust Indenture approved by the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission are hereby approved and all such documents (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Financing Agreement" referred to in Indiana Code 18-6-4.5) shall be incorporated herein by reference and shall be inserted in the minutes of the City-County Council and kept on file by the Clerk of the Council or City Controller.

SECTION 3. The City of Indianapolis shall issue its Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Series 1980 (RCA Indianapolis Project) in the principal amount of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) for the purpose of procuring funds to loan to RCA Corporation in order to finance the economic development facilities, as more particularly set out in the Loan Agreement incorporated herein by reference which Bonds will be payable as to principal, premimum, if any, and interest solely from the payments made by RCA Corporation on its promissory note in the principal amount of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) which will be executed and delivered by RCA Corporation to evidence and secure said loan, and as otherwise provided in the above described Trust Indenture. The Bonds shall never constitute a general obligation of, an indebtedness of, or charge against the general credit of the City of Indianapolis.

SECTION 4. The City-Clerk or City Controller is authorized and directed to sell such Bonds to the purchasers thereof at a stated rate of interest on the Bonds not to exceed 11.0% per annum and at a price not less than 97.0% of the principal amount thereof. SECTION 5. The Mayor and City Clerk are authorized and directed to execute the documents constituting the Financing Agreement approved herein, and their execution is hereby confirmed, on behalf of the City of Indianapolis and any other document which may be necessary or desirable to consummate the transaction, including the Bonds authorized herein. The signatures of the Mayor and City Clerk on the Bonds and coupons may be facsimile signatures. The City Clerk or City Controller is authorized to arrange for the delivery of such Bonds to the purchasers thereof, payment for which will be made to the Trustee named in the Trust Indenture.

SECTION 6. The provisions of this ordinance and the Trust Indenture securing the Bonds shall constitute a contract binding between the City of Indianapolis and the holder of the Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Series 1980, (RCA Indianapolis Project), and after the issuance of said Bonds, this ordinance shall not be repealed or amended in any respect which would adversely affect the right of such holder so long as

any of said Bonds or the interest thereon remain unpaid.

SECTION 7. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after compliance with procedure required by Indiana Code 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 528, 1980. Mr. Tintera reported for the Economic Development Committee that this proposal is a "preliminary resolution" authorizing proceeding with economic development bond financing for Edgcomb Metals Company in the amount of \$10,000,000. Mr. Tintera continued that Edgcomb is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Williams Companies, and added that the committee recommended amendments which include the guarantee by The Williams Companies on the bonds. Mr. Tintera moved, seconded by Councillor Gilmer, for substitution of the "committee recommendation" version of Proposal No. 528, 1980, which incorporates the abovementioned amendments and other technical amendments; consent was given. Mr. Tintera went on to state that the products of Edgcomb Metals Company are concerned with specialized metals processing services. Mrs. Brinkman inquired as to the statistics showing the complete economic impact of the moving of this project into Park 100. Proposal No. 528, 1980, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

26 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr.

Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West NO NOES

3 NOT VOTING: Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Durnil, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 528, 1980, As Amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 84, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 84, 1980

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and precedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "City") is authorized by IC 18-6-4.5 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, and equipping of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a Company or the funds from said financing to be loaned to a Company and said facilities to be directly owned by a Company; and

WHEREAS, Edgcomb Metals Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of The Williams Companies (the "Company") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the City either acquire, construct and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Company for the same, said economic development facilities to be an approximately 185,000 square foot metal service center, and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein to be located in Park 100 at 8300 N. Allison, Indianapolis, Indiana, on an approximate 19 acre tract of land (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (approximately 6 new jobs at the end of one year and 30 new jobs at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City of Indianapolis and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, having received the advice of the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, equipping and construction of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect on any similar facility already constructed or operating in Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

### BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in and near Indianapolis, Indiana, and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis; and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said City take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said City.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies, and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the City ("Issuer") in an approximate amount up to \$10,000,000 to be guaranteed by the Williams Companies, under the Act for the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Company or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Company for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

- 700 -

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Company to proceed with the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies, and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the City and the Company; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Company of moneys expended by the Company for application fees, planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the City will thereafter either lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Company for the same purpose or sell the

same to the Company.

PROPOSAL NO. 529, 1980. Mr. Tintera reported that this proposal authorizes proceeding with economic development bond financing for Parahart Corporation Project in the amount of \$300,000; it received a "do pass as amended" recommendation from the Economic Development Committee by a vote of 4-0. Mr. Tintera moved, seconded by Councillor Brinkman, to substitute the "committee recommendation" version of the proposal which incorporates the leasing of the project to State Equipment Company (the "Users") and Bramco, Inc., as the guarantors of the payment of the bonds. The project, as outlined by Mr. Tintera, will be the construction of a new 8,000 square foot addition to an existing building, located at 3617 Southeastern Avenue on an approximate 7 acre tract of land. After discussion, Proposal No. 529, 1980, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cottingham, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West NO NOES

6 NOT VOTING: Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mrs. Parker, Mrs. Stewart

Proposal No. 529, 1980, As Amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 85, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 85, 1980

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and precedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "City") is authorized by IC 18-6-4.5 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, and equipping of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a Company or the funds from said financing to be loaned to a Company and said facilities to be directly owned by a Company; and

WHEREAS, Parahart Corporation, (the "Company") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the City either acquire, construct and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Company for the same, said economic development facilities to be an approximately 8,000 square foot addition to an existing 18,000 square foot manufacturing facility, and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein, for the production of construction and industrial equipment to be located at 3617 Southeastern Avenue, Indianapolis, Indiana, on an approximate 7 acre tract of land (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the Company will lease the Project to State Equipment Company (the "User") and Bramco, Inc., will guarantee the payment of the bonds; and

WHEREAS, economic development revenue bonds in the amount of \$600,000 have previously been issued to fund the original 18,000 square foot economic development facility plus certain equipment located therein and the Company and User deem it necessary to expand their facilities; and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (approximately 5 to 8 new jobs at the end of one year and 10 to 15 new jobs at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction and equipping of the total Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City of Indianapolis and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, having received the advice of the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, equipping and construction of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect on any similar facility already constructed or operating in Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

### BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in and near Indianapolis, Indiana, and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis; and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said City take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said City.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies, and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the City ("Issuer") in an approximate amount of \$300,000 to be guaranteed by Bramco, Inc. under the Act for the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Company or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Company and the leasing or sub-leasing of the Project to the User for such purposes will serve the public

purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Company to proceed with the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the City and the Company; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Company of moneys expended by the Company for application fees, planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the City will thereafter either lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Company for the same purpose or sell the

same to the Company.

PROPOSAL NO. 530, 1980. Mr. Tintera explained that this proposal authorizes proceeding with economic development bond financing for D & E Properties Project in the amount of \$350,000; it received a "do pass" recommendation from the Economic Development Committee by a vote of 4-0. D & E Properties is a newly formed partnerhsip owned by Donald D. and Eugene M. Dodd, for the purpose of owning and building the project proposed, being a 12,300 square foot structure to be located at 88th Place and Hague Road, on approximately 2 acres of land for lease to Dodd Electric Service which is currently engaged in the residential and commercial electrical contracting business. This new structure will enable the company to concentrate on its sales and services in energy control systems. Proposal No. 530, 1980, was then adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

26 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

NO NOES

3 NOT VOTING: Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 530, 1980 was then retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 86, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 86, 1980

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and precedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "City") is authorized by IC 18-6-4.5 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, and equipping of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a Company or the funds from said financing to be loaned to a Company and said facilities to be directly owned by a Company; and

WHEREAS, D & E Properties (the "Company") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the City either acquire, construct and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Company for the same, said economic development facilities to be an approximately 12,300 square foot warehouse and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein, for the storage of electrical supplies and equipment to be located at 88th Place & Hague Road, Indianapolis, Indiana, on an approximate 2 acre tract of land (the "Project") which will be used by Dodd Electric Service, a parent company; and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (approximately 10 new jobs at the end of one year and 30 new jobs at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City of Indianapolis and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, having received the advice of the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, equipping and construction of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect on any similar facility already constructed or operating in Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

### BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIAN APOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in and near Indianapolis, Indiana, and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis; and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said City take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said City.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies, and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the City ("Issuer") in an approximate amount of \$350,000 to be privately placed under the Act for the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Company or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Company and the use of the Project by Dodd Electric Service for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Company to proceed with the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the City and the Company; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Company of moneys expended by the Company for application fees, planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the City will thereafter either lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Company for the same purpose or sell the same to the Company.

PROPOSAL NO. 531, 1980. The Economic Development Committee recommended that the Council "do pass" this proposal which authorizes proceeding with economic development bond financing for Aero Industries, Inc. Project in the amount of \$380,000. Mr. Tintera stated that this project includes the expansion of 18,000 square feet to an existing facility located at 3010 West Morris on an approximate .66 acre tract of land currently used for the manufacturing of trucking industry products. After brief Council discussion, Proposal No. 531, 1980, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West NO NOES

2 NOT VOTING: Mr. Clark, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 531, 1980, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 87, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 87, 1980

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and precedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "City") is authorized by IC 18-6-4.5 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, and equipping of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a Company or the funds from said financing to be loaned to a Company and said facilities to be directly owned by the Company; and

WHEREAS, Aero Industries, Inc. (the "Company") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the City either acquire, construct and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Company for the same, said economic development facilities to be an approximately 18,000 square foot expansion of an existing building for the manufacturing of trucking industry products, and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein to be located at 3010 W. Morris, Indianapolis, Indiana, on an approximate .66 acre tract of land (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase of job opportunities (approximately 3 new jobs at the end of one year and 8 new jobs at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City of Indianapolis and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, having received the advice of the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, equipping and construction of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect on any similar facility already constructed or operating in Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

### BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CIYT—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in and near Indianapolis, Indiana, and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety, and general welfare of the citzens of the City of Indianapolis; and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said City take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said City.

OF THE REAL PROPERTY.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies, and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the City ("Issuer") in an approximate amount of \$380,000 to be privately placed under the Act for the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Company or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Company for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Company to proceed with the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the City and the Company; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Company of moneys expended by the Company for application fees, planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the City will thereafter either lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Company for the same purpose or sell the same to the Company.

PROPOSAL NO. 319, 1980. Councillor Schneider requested that this proposal, placed under "Special Orders - Unfinished Business" be presented for the Council's consideration at this time, due to public interest; consent of the Council was given. Mr. Schneider proceeded by stating that this proposal approves changes in the personnel schedule for the County Recorder and received an indecisive vote in Council meeting of July 28, 1980. It was heard by the County and Townships Committee and received a "do pass" recommendation by a vote of 4-0-1. Increases in salary for two positions will be \$6,000 in total for the remainder of 1980, or

an approximately 9.5% increase over present requests. According to Mr. Harry Eakin, the salary ranges, due to reclassification of job descriptions for these positions have been raised considerably, compared to other County offices, with the funds to be generated from the present vacancy factor. After discussion, Proposal No. 319, 1980 failed on the following roll call vote; viz:

8 AYES: Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Schneider

20 NOES: Mr. Boyd, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Jones, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

1 NOT VOTING: Mrs. Parker

PROPOSAL NO. 354, 1980. Councillor Schneider continued his reporting for the County and Townships Committee by stating that this proposal authorizes increased salaries for personnel in the office of the Pike Township Trustee; it received a "do pass" recommendation from the committee by a vote of 5-0. This salary increase includes the upgrading of four Chauffeurs to Lieutenant classification and a pay increase for three probation officers. Mr. Schneider also stated that due to increases of population in this area, the fire department force is being expanded. Mr. Schneider moved for adoption of this proposal, seconded by Mr. Howard. Proposal No. 354, 1980, was then adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 AYES: Dr. Borst, Mr. Campbell, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

NO NOES

8 NOT VOTING: Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Jones, Mr. McGrath, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 354, 1980, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 68, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 68, 1980

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending City-County General Ordinance No. 83, 1979, authorizing changes in the personnel schedule of the Pike Township Trustee.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Section 7 of City-County General Ordinance No. 83, 1979, be amended by deleting the crosshatched portions and adding the underlined, as follows:

Court Employees   2,000   2,000	-,	avoriou portiono una	ANNUAL	
Township Trustee 1 5,393 5,393 Township Clerk 1 7,600 7,600 Advisory Board  Members 3 400 1,200 Small Claims Court  Judge 1 17,280 17,280 Office Supervisor 1 11,020 11,020 Clerks for Small Claims Court  Clerk I 4 10,420 41,680 Clerk II 1 8,400 8,400 Clerk III 1 7,560 7,560 Clerk (part-time) 1 3,000 3,000 Longevity Pay for Court Employees 2,000 2,000 POOR RELIEF PERSONNEL Investigators 1 6,671 OTHER EMPLOYEES  Lieutenants 4 17,816 71,264 Chauffeurs 1 15,378 Probation 3 11,000 12,000 Private 4 13,705 25,4820 TOTAL 26 252,4820 252,4820 252,4820 252,4820 252,4820 252,4820 252,4820 252,4820 252,4820 252,4820 252,4820 252,4820 252,4820 252,4820 252,4832 252,		NUMBER OF	RATE OF	TOTAL
Township Clerk 1 7,600 7,600 Advisory Board  Members 3 400 1,200 Small Claims Court Judge 1 17,280 17,280 Office Supervisor 1 11,020 11,020 Clerks for Small Claims Court Clerk I 4 10,420 41,680 Clerk II 1 1 8,400 8,400 Clerk III 1 7,560 7,560 Clerk (part-time) 1 3,000 3,000 Clerk (part-time) 1 3,000 2,000 POOR RELIEF PERSONNEL Investigators 1 6,671 OTHER EMPLOYEES Lieutenants Chauffeurs 1/5 1 15,478 Chauffeurs 1 15,378 Probation 3 11,000 12,000 Private 4 13,705 252,4820 TOTAL 26 252,4820 304,834	POSITION	PERSONNEL	COMPENSATION	COMPENSATION
Advisory Board  Members 3 400 1,200  Small Claims Court  Judge 1 1 17,280 17,280  Office Supervisor 1 11,020 11,020  Clerks for Small  Claims Court  Clerk II 4 10,420 41,680  Clerk III 1 8,400 8,400  Clerk III 1 7,560 7,560  Clerk (part-time) 1 3,000 3,000  Longevity Pay for  Court Employees 2,000 2,000  POOR RELIEF PERSONNEL  Investigators 1 6,671 6,671  OTHER EMPLOYEES  Lieutenants 4 17,816 71,264  Chauffeurs 1 15,478 15,478  Chauffeurs 1 15,478  Chauffeurs 1 15,378 15,378  Probation 3 11,000 12,000 36,000  Private 4 13,705 54,820  TOTAL 26 282,4820 304,834	Township Trustee	1	5,393	5,393
Advisory Board  Members 3 400 1,200  Small Claims Court  Judge 1 1 17,280 17,280  Office Supervisor 1 11,020 11,020  Clerks for Small  Claims Court  Clerk I 4 10,420 41,680  Clerk II 1 1 8,400 8,400  Clerk III 1 7,560 7,560  Clerk (part-time) 1 3,000 3,000  Longevity Pay for  Court Employees 2,000 2,000  POOR RELIEF PERSONNEL  Investigators 1 6,671 6,671  OTHER EMPLOYEES  Lieutenants 4 17,816 71,264  Chauffeurs 1 15,478 15,478  Chauffeurs 1 15,478  Chauffeurs 1 15,378 15,378  Probation 3 11,000 12,000 3,000  Private 4 13,705 54,820  TOTAL 26 252,4820 304,834	Township Clerk	1	7,600	7,600
Small Claims Court       Judge       1       17,280       17,280         Office Supervisor       1       11,020       11,020         Clerks for Small       Claims Court         Clerk I       4       10,420       41,680         Clerk II       1       8,400       8,400         Clerk III       1       7,560       7,560         Clerk (part-time)       1       3,000       3,000         Longevity Pay for       Court Employees       2,000       2,000         POOR RELIEF PERSONNEL       Investigators       1       6,671       6,671         OTHER EMPLOYEES       Lieutenants       4       17,816       71,264         Chauffeurs       /5       1       15,478       15,478         Chauffeurs       1       15,378       15,378         Probation       3       11,000       12,000       36,000         Private       4       13,705       54,820         TOTAL       26       292,482       304,834	Advisory Board			
Small Claims Court         Judge         1         17,280         17,280           Office Supervisor         1         11,020         11,020           Clerks for Small         Claims Court           Clerk I         4         10,420         41,680           Clerk II         1         8,400         8,400           Clerk III         1         7,560         7,560           Clerk (part-time)         1         3,000         3,000           Longevity Pay for         Court Employees         2,000         2,000         2,000           POOR RELIEF PERSONNEL         Investigators         1         6,671         6,671           OTHER EMPLOYEES         Lieutenants         4         17,816         71,264           Chauffeurs         /5         1         15,478         15,478           Chauffeurs         1         15,378         15,378           Probation         3         11,000         12,000         36,000           Private         4         13,705         54,820           TOTAL         26         292,#82         304,834	Members	3	400	1,200
Office Supervisor         1         11,020         11,020           Clerks for Small         Claims Court           Clerk I         4         10,420         41,680           Clerk II         1         8,400         8,400           Clerk III         1         7,560         7,560           Clerk (part-time)         1         3,000         3,000           Longevity Pay for         Court Employees         2,000         2,000         2,000           POOR RELIEF PERSONNEL         Investigators         1         6,671         6,671           Lieutenants         4         17,816         71,264           Chauffeurs         /5         1         15,478         15,478           Chauffeurs         1         15,378         15,378         15,378           Probation         3         11,000         12,000         35,000         36,000           Private         4         13,705         54,820         54,820           TOTAL         26         252,1882         304,834	Small Claims Court			200
Clerks for Small   Claims Court   Clerk	Judge	1	17,280	17,280
Claims Court   Clerk I	Office Supervisor	1	11,020	11,020
Clerk I	Clerks for Small			
Clerk II	Claims Court			
Clerk III	Clerk I	4	10,420	41,680
Clerk (part-time)   1	Clerk II	1	8,400	8,400
Longevity Pay for Court Employees  POOR RELIEF PERSONNEL Investigators  1 07HER EMPLOYEES  Lieutenants 4 17,816 71,264 Chauffeurs /5 1 15,478 Chauffeurs 1 15,378 15,378 Probation 3 11,000 12,000 35,000 36,000 Private 4 13,705 54,820 TOTAL 26 292,482 304,834	Clerk III	1	7,560	7,560
Court Employees         2,000         2,000           POOR RELIEF PERSONNEL           Investigators         1         6,671         6,671           OTHER EMPLOYEES           Lieutenants         4         17,816         71,264           Chauffeurs         /5         1         15,478         15,478           Chauffeurs         1         15,378         15,378         15,378           Probation         3         11,000         12,000         36,000         26,000           Private         4         13,705         54,820         54,820           TOTAL         26         292,882         304,834	Clerk (part-time)	1	3,000	3,000
POOR RELIEF PERSONNEL   1 6,671 6,671   1 6,	Longevity Pay for			
Investigators	Court Employees		2,000	2,000
OTHER EMPLOYEES   Lieutenants   4   17,816   71,264		POOR REL	IEF PERSONNEL	
Lieutenants         4         17,816         71,264           Chauffeurs         /5         1         15,478         15,478           Chauffeurs         1         15,378         15,378         15,378           Probation         3         11,000         12,000         36,000           Private         4         13,705         54,820           TOTAL         26         292,482         304,834	Investigators	1	6,671	6,671
Chauffeurs         /5         1         15,478         15,478         15,478           Chauffeurs         1         15,378         15,378         15,378           Probation         3         11,000         12,000         36,000         36,000           Private         4         13,705         54,820         54,820           TOTAL         26         292,182         304,834		OTHER	EMPLOYEES	The state of the s
Probation         3         11,000         12,000         35,000         36,000           Private         4         13,705         54,820           TOTAL         26         292,482         304,834	Lieutenants	4	17,816	71,264
Probation         3         11,000         12,000         35,000         36,000           Private         4         13,705         54,820           TOTAL         26         292,482         304,834	Chauffeurs	//3 1	15,478	15,478
Private 4 13,705 54,820 TOTAL 26 292,#82 304,834	Chauffeurs	1	15,378	15,378
TOTAL 26 292,482 304,834	Probation	3	11,000 12,000	3/3/000 36,000
	Private	4	13,705	54,820
SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and com-	TOTAL	26	and the same of the same of	292,482 304,834
	SECTION 3. This of	ordinance shall be in	full force and effect u	pon adoption and com-

PROPOSAL NO. 472, 1980. This proposal transfers \$15,800 in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion County Home to purchase supplies to make repairs on equipment. Mr. Schneider reiterated to the Council the report from the County and Townships Committee, that these funds were simply being transferred into the proper account as requested by the County Auditor, to be used for the above purpose; it received a "do pass" recommendation from the committee by a vote of 5-0. Mr. Schneider, after further discussion, moved, seconded by Councillor Hawkins, for adoption of this proposal. Proposal No. 472, 1980, was then adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

pliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

22 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mrs. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West NO NOES

7 NOT VOTING: Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Dowden, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Parker, Mr. Tintera

Proposal No. 472, 1980, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 114, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 114, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating Fifteen thousand eight hundred dollars (\$15,800) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion County Home and reducing certain other appropriations for the Marion County Home.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIAN APOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for the expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.04 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purpose of providing funds for the purchase of maintenance supplies to make repairs on equipment.

SECTION 2. The sum of Fifteen thousand eight hundred dollars (\$15,800) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

MARION COUNTY HOME COUNTY GENERAL FUND
22. Supplies \$15,800

TOTAL INCREASES  $\frac{$15,800}{$15,800}$ 

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

MARION COUNTY HOME

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

21. Contractual Services \$15,800 TOTAL REDUCTIONS \$15,800

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 499, 1980. Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Howard, to postpone this proposal which provides an appeal to the City-County Council for persons denied a variance by the Air Pollution Control Board, until the meeting of the Council on November 24, 1980 at 7:00 p.m.; the proposal was postponed until November 24, 1980, by unanimous voice vote.

PROPOSAL NO. 515, 1980. This proposal, as reported by Mr. Schneider on behalf of the County and Townships Committee, transfers \$449,459 in the County General Fund for various County agencies to balance their 1980 budgets. Mr. Schneider outlined the various requests from the agencies and the proposed utilization of the moneys to be transferred. Mr. Schneider moved the substitution of the "committee recommendation" version of the proposal, seconded by Councillor Clark; the "committee recommendation" version was substituted by consent. Council discussion continued until Mr. Schneider moved for adoption of Proposal No. 515, 1980, As Amended, seconded by Councillor Tintera. Proposal No. 515, 1980, As Amended, was then adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera 4 NOES: Mr. Campbell, Mr. Jones, Mr. Page, Mr. Vollmer 4 NOT VOTING: Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Parker, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. West

Proposal No. 515, 1980, As Amended, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 115, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 115, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating Four Hundred Thirty-eight Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-nine dollars (\$438,539) in the County General Fund for purposes of various county agencies and reducing certain other appropriations for those agencies.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for the expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.04 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purpose of executing the lawful and necessary functions of county government. SECTION 2. The sum of Four Hundred Thirty-eight Thousand Five Hundred Thrity-nine dollars (\$438,539) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

n 1/00 ( 79) HE

LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR	COUNTY GENERAL FUND
21. Contractual Services	\$300
DECATUR TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR	
22. Supplies	300
50. Properties	300
PERRY TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR	
22. Supplies	500
CENTER TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR	
50. Properties	1,130
WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR	
22. Supplies	800
MARION COUNTY COMMISSIONERS	
50. Properties	990
COUNTY AUDITOR	
21. Contractual Services	110
25. Current Obligations	132,890
CENTRAL DATA PROCESSING	
21. Contractual Services	25,000
24. Current Charges	191,619
CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT	
50. Properties	10,500
ELECTION BOARD	
24. Current Charges	13,100
50. Properties	61,000
TOTAL INCREASES	\$438,539

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR
50. Properties
DECATUR TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR
21. Contractual Services
600

PERRY TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR
21. Contractual Services

500

CENTER TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR	
21. Contractual Services	1,130
WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR	
21. Contractual Services	200
24. Current Charges	600
MARION COUNTY COMMISSIONERS	
21. Contractual Services	990
COUNTY AUDITOR	
10. Personal Services	20,000
24. Current Charges	113,000
CENTRAL DATA PROCESSING	
10. Personal Services	216,619
CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT	
22. Supplies	6,500
24. Current Charges	4,000
ELECTION BOARD	
10. Personal Services	25,000
21. Contractual Services	44,100
22. Supplies	5,000
TOTAL REDUCTIONS	\$438,539

SECTION 5. The following subsections of Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979, as amended, are revised by striking the crosshatched numbers and inserting in lieu thereof the underlined numbers.

	(1) CENTRAL D.	ATA PROCESSING	
PERSONNEL	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM PER
CLASSIFICATION	NUMBER	SALARY	CLASSIFICATION
Management	22	\$33,376	\$450,275
Software Employee	3	26,844	72,900
Programmer/Analyst	19	24,720	367,390
Operations Employee	24	16,000	264,320
Software Specialist	2	24,000	48,000
Systems Analyst	6	22,000	132,000
Equity Factor			-0-
Temporary Help			6,420
Vacancy Factor			/(1/36/A9A) (231,110)

The official responsible for hiring and fixing salaries for this office shall limit the number of personnel or the salaries or both so that the total salaries paid shall not exceed the amount of the total personal services appropriation of \$1,826,814 \$1,110,195.

(3	8) WASHINGTON	TOWNSHIP ASSESS	SOR
PERSONNEL	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM PER
CLASSIFICATION	NUMBER	SALARY	CLASSIFICATION
Chief Deputy	1	\$19,470	\$19,470
Secretary	1	9,144	9,144
Key Punch Operator	1	8,327	1818/27 6,877
Personal Property Depu	ty 4	15,597	48,629
Real Estate Deputy	4	17,334	55,749
Technical Clerk	4	9,979	/A919/16/ 35,360
Clerk/Typist	1	8,327	8,327
Draftsman	1	11,204	1/1/204/ 7,704
Temporary			/6,790 15,706

The official responsible for hiring and fixing salaries for this office shall limit the number of personnel or the salaries or both so that the total salaries paid shall not exceed the amount of the total personal services appropriation of \$232,926.

	(6) COUN	TY RECORDER	
PERSONNEL	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM PER
CLASSIFICATION	NUMBER	SALARY	CLASSIFICATION
First Deputy	1	15,000	MINJON 18,000
Second Deputy	1	12,000	/1/2/000 14,000
Secretary II	1	10,471	10,471
Technicians	10	10,471	84,776
Statistical Typists	5	7,782	38,910
Technical Clerks	10	9,326	82,214
CETA	1	6,400	6,400
Temporary			5,000
Vacancy Factor			(5,000)
The official vocaoncible	for hiring and fir	ing coloring for this o	ffice shall limit the numb

The official responsible for hiring and fixing salaries for this office shall limit the number of personnel or the salaries or both so that the total salaries paid shall not exceed the amount of the total personal services appropriation of \$278,771.

	(2) COUNTY E	LECTION BOARD	
PERSONNEL	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM PER
CLASSIFICATION	NUMBER	SALARY	CLASSIFICATION
Board Clerk	2	\$13,500	\$19,850
Supervisor	1	18,750	17,250
Warehouse Clerk	1	14,500	13,500
Chief Mechanic	1	11,500	10,750
Mechanic	4	10,500	39,750
Canvass Board Members			/1/1/000 9,000
Election Board Members	s 3	1,000	3,000
Absent Voters Teams			35,000
Canvass Board Assistant			40,000
Investigators			/ <i>IS</i> (6/06 <u>-</u> 0-
Deputy Election Commi	issioners		/1/1/00/0 6,000
Election Day Poll Worke	ers		/875/NON 360,000
Temporary Help			44,000

The official responsible for hiring and fixing salaries for this office shall limit the number of personnel or the salaries or both so that the total salaries paid shall not exceed the amount of the total personal services appropriation of \$672,400 \$598,100.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 546, 1980. Councillor Coughenour stated that the Public Works Committee recommended that the full Council "do pass" this proposal by a vote of 5-0. This proposal appropriates an additional \$387,000 in the Sanitation General Fund for the Sanitation Division, Department of Public Works, funding increased fuel and power expenses and additional personnel to maintain the Liquid Waste Plant. Mr. Don McPherson was asked to testify to the necessity of the moneys requested. Mr. McPherson sited rising fuel costs necessitating the additional money, and a greater need for increased personnel over the budgeted figure. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole for a public hearing at 9:07 p.m. and reconvened at 9:08 p.m. During the public hearing, Mr. Don Christianson spoke in opposition to the appropriation. After discussion, Mrs. Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Brinkman, for adoption. Proposal No. 546, 1980, was then adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 AYES: Dr. Borst, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West NO NOES

6 NOT VOTING: Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 546, 1980, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 116, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 116, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) and appropriating an additional Three Hundred Eighty-seven Thousand dollars (\$387,000) in the Sanitation General Fund for purposes of Sanitation Division, Department of Public Works, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Sanitation General Fund.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.03 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of providing funds for the increased fuel and power expenses and for additional personnel to maintain the liquid waste plant at operational level.

SECTION 2. The sum of Three Hundred Eighty-seven Thousand (\$387,000) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

TOTAL REDUCTIONS

 SANITATION DIVISION
 SANITATION GENERAL

 DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS
 FUND

 10. Personal Services
 \$125,000

 21. Contractual Services
 200,000

 25. Current Obligations
 62,000

 TOTAL INCREASES
 \$387,000

\$387,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

SANITATION DIVISION SANITATION GENERAL
DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS
Unappropriated and Unencumbered
Sanitation General Fund \$387,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

[Clerk's Note: Mrs. Coughenour requested that the following ordinances, previously heard in the Public Works Committee, be advanced on the agenda, and heard at this time; consent was given.]

PROPOSAL NO. 558, 1980. Mrs. Coughenour reported that this proposal transfers \$18,000 in the City General Fund for the office of the Director of Public Works, providing funds for CETA personnel; it received a "do pass" recommendation. These funds represent contractual services performed in the fourth quarter of 1979 and paid in 1980, although not provided for in the 1980 budget. After discussion, Proposal No. 558, 1980, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 AYES: Dr. Borst, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West NO NOES

7 NOT VOTING: Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Gilmer, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 558, 1980, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 117, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 117, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating Eighteen Thousand Dollars (\$18,000) in the City General Fund for purposes of the Office of the Director, Department of Public Works, and reducing certain other appropriations for that division.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for the expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.03 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purpose of providing funds for CETA personnel for work in the fourth quarter of 1979, paid in 1980, but was omitted in the 1980 Budget.

SECTION 2. The sum of Eighteeen Thousand Dollars (\$18,000) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

 OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
 CITY GENERAL

 DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS
 FUND

 10. Personal Services
 \$11,000

 25. Current Obligations
 7,000

 TOTAL INCREASES
 \$18,000

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS
FUND
21. Contractual Services
TOTAL REDUCTIONS
\$18,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 559, 1980. The Public Works Committee recommended that the Council "do pass" this proposal, transferring \$48,512 in the Consolidated County General Fund for the Air Pollution Control Division, Department of Public Works, providing increases in contractual services and equipment for higher priority purchases for the remainder of 1980. Mrs. Coughenour explained that these additional moneys will enable the department to adjust their budget to meet the new EPA requirements set out in the grant application. Proposal No. 559, 1980 was then adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 AYES: Dr. Borst, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

1 NO: Mr. Rhodes

6 NOT VOTING: Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Gilmer, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 559, 1980, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 118, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 118, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (CityCounty Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating Forty-eight Thousand Five Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$48,512) in the Consolidated County General Fund for purposes of the Air Pollution Control Division, Department of Public Works, and reducing certain other appropriations for that division.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for the expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.03 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purpose of providing funds to increase contractual services and equipment for higher priority purchases during the remainder of 1980.

SECTION 2. The sum of Forty-eight Thousand Five Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$48,512) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DIV. CONSOLIDATED COUNTY
DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS GENERAL FUND
21. Contractual Services \$25,131
50. Properties 223,381

TOTAL INCREASES \$48,512

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DIV. CONSOLIDATED COUNTY

DEPT OF PUBLIC WORKS

GENERAL FUND

 DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS
 GENERAL FUND

 10. Personal Services
 \$36,735

 24. Current Charges
 5,000

 25. Current Obligations
 6,652

 50. Properties
 125

 TOTAL REDUCTIONS
 \$48,512

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 560, 1980. Mrs. Coughenour reported for the Public Works Committee that this proposal transfers \$70,000 in the Flood Control General Fund for the Flood Control Division, Department of Public Works, providing additional funds for contractual services, supplies and equipment. Mrs. Coughenour explained that during the Public Works Committee it was pointed out by Mr. Don McPherson, that these moneys will be transferred from Personal Services, due to the vacancy of four positions and placed in contractual services, supplies, and equipment for drainage projects and garage billings. After limited debate, Proposal No. 560, 1980, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 AYES: Dr. Borst, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West NO NOES

7 NOT VOTING: Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 560, 1980, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 119, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 119, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$70,000) in the Flood Control General Fund for purposes of the Flood Control Division, Department of Public Works, and reducing certain other appropriations for that division.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for the expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.03 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purpose of providing additional funds for contractual services, supplies and equipment during the year.

SECTION 2. The sum of Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$70,000) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

 FLOO D CONTROL DIVISION
 FLOOD CONTROL

 DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS
 GENERAL FUND

 21. Contractual Services
 \$60,500

 22. Supplies
 8,000

 50. Properties
 1,500

 TOTAL INCREASES
 \$70,000

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

FLOOD CONTROL DIVISION

DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS

FLOOD CONTROL

GENERAL FUND

 10. Personal Services
 \$60,000

 24. Current Charges
 10,000

 TOTAL REDUCTIONS
 \$70,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 516, 1980. This proposal transferring \$159,056 in the County General Fund for various county agencies to balance their 1980 budgets; received a "do pass as amended" recommendation from the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee. Mr. West moved for substitution of the "committee recommendation" version of Proposal No. 516, 1980; "Proposal No. 516, 1980, Committee Recommendations" was then substituted for the introduced version of the proposal by voice vote. Mr. West stated that the transfer would enable the various county agencies to purchase supplies, and to remodel. After discussion, Proposal No. 516, 1980, As Amended, was then adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

2 NOES: Dr. Borst, Mr. Jones

6 NOT VOTING: Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mrs. Journey, Mrs. Parker

Proposal No. 516, 1980, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 120, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 120, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating One Hundred Sixty-eight Thousand Two Hundred Ninety dollars (\$168,290) in the County General Fund for purposes of various county departments and reducing certain other appropriations for those departments.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for the expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.04 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purpose of expediting lawful and necessary county business.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Hundred Sixty-eight Thousand Two Hundred Ninety dollars (\$168,290) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

PRESIDING JUDGE-MUNICIPAL COURT	COUNTY GENERAL FUND
21. Contractual Services	\$34,500
50. Properties	16,500
SUPERIOR COURT—CIVIL DIVISION—ROOM 5	
24. Current Charges	250
SUPERIOR COURT—CRIMINAL DIVISION—ROOM	1 2
21. Contractual Services	1,850
SHERIFF	
22. Supplies	10,000
PROSECUTOR	
21. Contractual Services	94,860
24. Current Charges	6,000
50. Properties	3,055
SUPERIOR COURT—CIVIL DIVISION—ROOM 3	
50. Properties	1,275
TOTAL INCREASES	\$168,290

### SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

PRE	SIDING JUDGE-MUNICIPAL COURT	COUNTY	GENERAL FU	N
10.	Personal Services		22,000	
22.	Supplies		14,000	
24.	Current Charges		15,000	
SUP	ERIOR COURT—CIVIL DIVISION—ROOM	5		
21.	Contractual Services		250	
SUP	ERIOR COURT—CRIMINAL DIVISION—Re	OOM 2		
10.	Personal Services		1,850	
SHE	RIFF			
21.	Contractual Services		10,000	
PRO	SECUTOR			
10.	Personal Services		102,437	
50.	Properties		852	
22.	Supplies		626	
SUP	ERIOR COURT—CIVIL DIVISION—ROOM	3		
22.	Supplies		775	
24.	Current Charges		500	
	TOTAL REDUCTIONS		\$ <del>168,290</del>	

SECTION 5. The following subsections of City—County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979, are amended by deleting the crosshatched numbers and inserting the underlined numbers, and specifically Section 2.03 (b) (9) of the aforementioned ordinance is amended by increasing the vacancy factor by \$102,437.

(6)SUPERIOR COURT — CRIMINAL DIVISION				
PERSONNEL	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM PER	
CLASSIFICATION	NUMBER	SALARY	CLASSIFICATION	
ROOM ONE:				
Court Reporter	2	\$13,364	\$26,727	
Bailiff	2	10,916	21,831	

Chief Clerk	1	12,315	12,315
Record Clerk	1	10,385	10,385
Clerk	1	9,221	9,221
Master Commissioner	1	14,400	14,400
Secretary	1	10,914	10,914
Professional Salaries	1117	,0	48,000
Pauper Attorney Appeals Fees			59,000
Jury Per Diem			53,500
Temporary Help			1,000
ROOM TWO:			1,000
Court Reporter	2	\$13,363	\$26,726
Bailiff	2	10,917	21,830
Chief Clerk	1	11,530	11,530
Clerk	2	10,409	20,463
Master Commissioner	1	14,400	14,400
Secretary	î	10,914	10,914
Professional Salaries	-	10,514	48,000
Pauper Attorney Appeals Fees			60,000
Jury Per Diem			/#96000 47,150
Temporary Help			2,000
ROOM THREE:			2,000
Court Reporter	2	13,363	26,726
Bailiff	2	12,385	21,830
Chief Clerk	ī	12,673	12,673
Record Clerk	2	9,660	19,320
Master Commissioner	ĩ	14,400	14,400
	î	10,914	10,914
Secretary Professional Salaries	•	10,514	48,000
Pauper Attorney Appeals Fees			60,000
Jury Per Diem			49,000
Temporary Help			2,000
Grand Jury Bailiff-			2,000
Serves all four rooms	1	6,694	6,694
ROOM FOUR:	1	0,034	0,034
Court Reporter	2	13,363	26,726
Bailiff	2	11,874	21,830
Chief Clerk	1	11,556	11,556
Record Clerk	î	11,342	11,342
Clerk	î	9,095	9.095
Master Commissioner	1	14,400	14,400
Secretary	1	10,914	10,914
Professional Salaries	•	10,514	48,310
Pauper Attorney Appeals Fees			60,000
Jury Per Diem			48,690
Temporary Help			2,000
The official responsible for him	a and finin	fan	

The official responsible for hiring and fixing compensation for these rooms shall limit the number of personnel or the compensation or both so that the total compensation by room paid shall not exceed the amount of the total personal services appropriation of each room; room one, \$276,793; room two, \$\$\text{P74,363}\$ \frac{\$272,513}{\$272,513}\$; room three, \$281,057; room four, \$274,363.

(7) SUPERIOR — CIVIL DIVISION — ROOM 3 PERSONNEL MAXIMUM MAXIMUM. MAXIMUM PER CLASSIFICATION NUMBER SALARY CLASSIFICATION ROOM THREE: Reporter 15,000 15,000 Bailiff 2 23,000 Administrative Asst. 1 12,500 12,500 Jury Per Diem 1/9/5/00 10.700 Temporary Help 124,090 800 PERSONNEL CLASSIFICATION Vacancy Factor

SALARY

MAXIMUM PER CLASSIFICATION (456,982) (178,932)

The official responsible for hiring and fixing salaries for this office shall limit the number of personnel or the salaries or both so that the total salaries paid shall not exceed the total appropriation of \$/4/7/47/9/34 \$1,725,924.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 525, 1980. Councillor Schneider reported for the County and Townships Committee that this proposal authorizes Tax Anticipation Time Warrants for Marion County for the first half of 1981 in the amount of \$12,000,000. This proposal received a "do pass" recommendation from the committee. This proposal will enable the County to borrow in anticipation of current taxes levied in the present year, and collectible in the year 1981. This amount is an estimate of monies required to pay the current expenses of the County General Fund, pending the receipt of current revenues actually levied and now in the process of collection. Mr. Schneider moved for adoption, seconded by Councillor Miller. Proposal No. 525, 1980, was then adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

24 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer NO NOES

5 NOT VOTING: Mr. Clark, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mrs. Parker, Mr. West

Proposal No. 525, 1980, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 121, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 121, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE authorizing Marion County to borrow on a temporary loan for the use of the County General Fund during the period January 1, 1981, to June 30, 1981, in anticipation of current taxes levied in the year 1980 and collectible in the year 1981, authorizing the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan; pledging and appropriating the taxes to be received in said fund to the payment of said tax anticipation time warrants including the interest thereon.

WHEREAS, the Auditor of Marion County has filed with the Mayor of the Consolidated City an estimate and statement showing the amount of money in the current expenses and to pay the obligations of the County General Fund pending the receipt of current revenues actually levied and now in process of collection, and the Mayor did make and enter of record a finding, and said Auditor and Mayor have requested the City-County Council to authorize temporary borrowing to procure the funds necessary for use by the County General Fund and to pay the incidental expenses necessary to be incurred in connection with the issuance and sale of Tax Anticipation Warrants; and

WHEREAS, the City-County Council now finds that the request should be granted, and that the City-County Council should authorize the making of a loan and the issuance of Tax Anticipation Warrants of the County to evidence the same; now, therefore:

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. That the Auditor of Marion County and Mayor of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis are authorized to borrow on a temporary loan against current revenues actually levied and in process of collection for the County General Fund, for and on behalf of said County, for the purpose of procuring the funds immediately and temporarily necessary for use for expenditures from the County General Fund to be paid from said County General Fund prior to the actual receipt of taxes required for the payment of incidental expenses incurred in connection with the issuance of the Tax Anticipation Warrants of the County in the manner provided for by the statute.

SECTION 2. That the maximum amount of said loan and the Tax Anticipation Warrants issued to evidence the same shall not exceed twelve million dollars (\$12,000,000). Said Tax Anticipation Warrants shall be dated as of date of delivery thereof to the purchaser and shall bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding the maximum rate provided by law, and shall mature and be payable on the 30th day of June, 1981, and the amount of twelve million dollars (\$12,000,000) of the taxes now in process of collection for the County General Fund in the year 1981, together with such amount of said taxes as is necessary to pay the interest on said warrants, is hereby appropriated and pledged for the purpose of paying said tax anticipation warrants together with the interest thereon when due, deductions to be made from semi-annual settlement of said taxes in amounts hereinbefore indicated to the total amount of said warrants coming due on the date of such settlements, with accrued interest thereon.

SECTION 3. Said tax anticipation time warrants shall be issued in substantially the following form (all blanks, including the appropriate amount, dates, statutory citation, and other data, to be properly completed prior to the execution and delivery thereof):

#### STATE OF INDIANA, COUNTY OF MARION

No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\$

### MARION COUNTY GENERAL FUND TAX ANTICIPATION WARRANT

For value received the Board of Commissioners of the County of Marion, in the State of Indiana, promises to pay to the bearer from the Marion County General Fund the sum of \$ dollars on the day of ,19 , with interest thereon at the rate of percent (%) per annum from the date hereof to the time of payment of the principal hereof, which interest is payable on the principal payment date hereof.

Both principal and interest of this warrant are payable in lawful money of the United States of America, at the Office of the Treasurer of Marion County, of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana. This warrant is one of an issue aggregating dollars and is issued pursuant to and in accordance with City-County Fiscal Ordinance No.—, duly adopted by the City-County Council on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, and in strict conformity with an Act of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana, entitled "An Act Concerning County Business", in force April 27, 1899, and the Acts of 1933, Chapter 171 of the Acts of 1969, and Public Law No. 134, 1972.

All acts, conditions and things to be done precedent to and in the execution, issuance and delivery of this warrant have been done and performed in regular and due form as provided by law, and this warrant is within every limit of indebtedness prescribed by the constitution and the laws of the State of Indiana. Sufficient receipts from

taxation for the County General Fund of Marion County from levies actually made and now in process of collection for the current year as may be necessary are hereby irrevocably pledged to the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this warrant according to its terms.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Commissioners of the County of Marion, has caused this warrant to be issued and signed in its name by its duly elected, qualified and acting Commissioners, countersigned by the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis, attested by its duly elected, qualified and acting County Auditor, and the seal of said Board of Commissioners to be hereunto affixed, as of the day of

COMMISSIONERS OF MARION COUNTY
COUNTERSIGNED:

ATTEST:

MAYOR, CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

#### AUDITOR OF MARION COUNTY

PROPOSAL NO. 532, 1980. This proposal transfers \$2,200 in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion County Superior Court, Criminal Division, Room 3, for purposes of funding Pauper Attorney Fees and Petit Jurors Per Diem costs. Mr. West reiterated to the Councillors that this proposal is a simple transfer from Travel to these two characters; and the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee recommended that the full Council "do pass" this proposal by a vote of 6-0. Following discussion, Proposal No. 532, 1980, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

NO NOES

4 NOT VOTING: Mrs. Brinkman, Mrs. Journey, Mrs. Parker, Mr. SerVaas

Proposal No. 532, 1980, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 122, 1980, and reads as follows:

### CITY—COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 122, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating Two Thousand Two Hundred Dollars (\$2,200) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion County Superior Court, Criminal Division, Room III, and reducing certain other appropriations for that division.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for the expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.04 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purpose of providing funds for pauper attorney fees and petit jurors per diem. SECTION 2. The sum of Two Thousand Two Hundred Dollars (\$2,200) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

MARION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

CRIMINAL DIVISION—ROOM III

10. Personal Services

TOTAL INCREASES

COUNTY GENERAL FUND
\$2,200
\$2,200

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

MARION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

CRIMINAL DIVISION—ROOM III COUNTY GENERAL FUND

 21. Contractual Services
 \$2,200

 TOTAL REDUCTIONS
 \$2,200

SECTION 5. Section 2.03 (b) of the City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979, be amended by deleting the crosshatched portions and adding the new amounts herein: PERSONNEL MAXIMUM MAXIMUM MAXIMUM PER CLASSIFICATION NUMBER SALARY CLASSIFICATION Court Reporter 2 13,363 26,726 2 Railiff 12,385 21,830 Chief Clerk 1 12,673 12,673 Record Clerk 2 19,320 9,660 Master Commissioner 1 14,400 14,400 10,914 1 10,914 Secretary **Professional Salaries** 48,000 Pauper Attorney Appeal Fees 1/68/9/9 69,500 Jury Per Diem ///#11000 43,200 Temporary Help 24999 500 Grand Jury Bailiff-Serves all four rooms 6.694

The official responsible for the hiring and fixing compensation shall limit the number of personnel or the compensation or both so that the total compensation shall not exceed the total personal services appropriation of \$281,067 \$283,257.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 533, 1980. Councillor West continued his reports from the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee, by commenting that this proposal transfers \$1,367 in the County General Fund for the Marion County Circuit Court, funding the purchase of equipment and adjusting the personnel schedule. This proposal, which received a "do pass" recommendation from the committee by a vote of 4-0, is being transferred to pay previously purchased recording equipment for the court, in an attempt to pay the balance of the bill from moneys within the 1980 budget. After discussion, Proposal No. 533, 1980, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

NO NOES

4 NOT VOTING: Mr. Clark, Mrs. Journey, Mrs. Parker, Mr. Schneider

Proposal No. 533, 1980, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 123, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 123, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating One Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-seven Dollars (\$1,367) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion County Circuit Court and reducing certain other appropriations for that division.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for the expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.04 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purpose of providing funds for the purchase of equipment and adjusting the personnel schedule.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-seven Dollars (\$1,367) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

MARION COUNTY
CIRCUIT COURT
50. Properties
TOTAL INCREASES
\$1,367
\$1,367

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

MARION COUNTY

COUNTY GENERAL

 CIRCUIT COURT
 FUND

 21. Contractual Services
 \$ 942

 22. Supplies
 400

 24. Current Charges
 25

 TOTAL REDUCTIONS
 \$1,367

SECTION 5. Section 2.03 (b) of the City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979, be amended by deleting the crosshatched portions and adding the under-lined amounts herein:

	(b) (8) CIRC	UIT COURT	
PERSONNEL	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM PER
CLASSIFICATION	NUMBER	SALARY	CLASSIFICATION
Reporter	2	\$14,500	\$29,000
Bailiff	2	11,500	23,000
Jury Commissioner	2	9,600	19,200
Part-time Court Commissone	r 2	13,500	/2/7/00/0 28.274
Jury Per Diem			10,000
Temporary Help			B,700 2,426

The official responsible for hiring and fixing compensation for this office shall limit the number of personnel or the salaries or both so that the total compensation paid shall not exceed the amount of the total personal services appropriation of \$121,400. SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 534, 1980. This proposal transfers \$25,000 in the County General Fund for the Marion County Sheriff's Department to fund the payment of a law suit settlement out of court; it received a "do pass" recommendation from the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee by a unanimous vote of 7-0. Mr. West stated that this case was filed in 1976, associated with a robbery which allegedly took place on June 12, 1975. After Council discussion and questions, Proposal No. 534, 1980, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. McGrath, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

2 NOES: Mr. Cottingham, Mr. Jones

5 NOT VOTING: Mrs. Brinkman, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Parker, Mr. Schneider

Proposal No. 534, 1980, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 124, 1980, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 124, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1980 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979) transferring and appropriating Twenty-five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion County Sheriff's Department and reducing certain other appropriations for that division.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for the expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.04 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1980, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purpose of providing funds to pay settlement of a law suit against the department. SECTION 2. The sum of Twenty-five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

MARION COUNTY
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
24. Current Charges

TOTAL INCREASES

COUNTY GENERAL FUND \$25,000 \$25,000 SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

MARION COUNTY
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

10. Personal Services
TOTAL REDUCTIONS

COUNTY GENERAL
FUND
\$25,000

SECTION 5. Section 2.03 (a) of the City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 106, 1979, be amended by deleting the crosshatched portions and adding the words underlined, as follows:

(a) (7) MARION COUNTY SHERIFF

PERSONNEL MAXIMUM MAXIMUM MAXIMUM PER CLASSIFICATION NUMBER SALARY CLASSIFICATION 1/2779/90900 (295,000)

The official responsible for hiring and fixing compensation for this office shall limit the number of personnel or the salaries or both so that the total compensation paid shall not exceed the amount of the total personal services appropriation of **MPLATPLICH** \$9,394,064.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NOS. 537-543, 1980. Councillor McGrath requested that these proposals be heard jointly, due to the fact that they are all routine traffic ordinances which received unanimous "do pass" recommendations from the Transportation Committee; consent was given. Mr. McGrath stated that Proposal Nos. 537, 538, 541, 542, and 543, 1980, provide for intersection control changes at various intersections, while Proposal No. 539 prohibits parking on a portion of Boulevard Place and Proposal No. 540 changes a segment of Scioto Street to one-way traffic northbound. After discussion on Proposal Nos. 537-543, 1980, they were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Dr. Borst, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Howard, Mr. Jones, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West NO NOES

2 NOT VOTING: Mrs. Journey, Mrs. Parker

Proposal Nos. 537-543, 1980, were retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NOS. 69-75, 1980, respectively, and read as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 69, 1980

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", providing for intersection control changes at the intersection of Westfield Road and E. 91st Street. (Amends Code Section 29-92).

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP INTERSECTION PREFERENTIAL CONTROL
4, Pg. 6 Westfield Rd. & Westfield Rd. Stop
E. 91st Street

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP INTERSECTION PREFERENTIAL CONTROL
4, Pg. 6 Westfield Rd. & 4-Way Stop
E. 91st Street

SECTION 3. Violations of this ordinance shall be subject to those penalties now provided in the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana" for violations of the sections amended by this ordinance.

SECTION 4. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 70, 1980

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", providing for intersection control changes at intersections in College Park West. (Amends Code Section 29-92).

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

TYPE OF

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	CONTROL
2, Pg. 1	Bethany Rd. & Michigan Rd.	Michigan Rd.	Stop
2, Pg. 1	Bethany Rd.,	Wesleyan Rd. &	Stop
	Wesleyan Rd. &	Founders Le.	
	Founders Le.		
2, Pg. 1	DePauw Blvd. &	Michigan Rd.	Stop
	Michigan Rd.		
3, Pg. 2	Founders Le.	Purdue Rd.	Stop
	Founders Rd. &		
	Purdue Rd.		
3, Pg. 2	Founders Rd. &	Founders Rd.	Stop
	Mercer Rd.		
3, Pg. 3	Mercer Rd. &	W. 86th Street	Stop
	W. 86th Street		

SECTION 2. Violations of this ordinance shall be subject to those penalties now provided in the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana" for violations for the section amended by this ordinance.

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 71, 1980

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", prohibiting parking on a portion of Boulevard Place. (Amends Code Section 29-267).

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIAN APOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Boulevard Place, east side, from a point 250 feet south of south curbline of Thirty-sixth Street to a point 275 feet south of the south curbline of Thirty-sixth Street

SECTION 2. Violations of this ordinance shall be subject to those penalties now provided in the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", for violations of the sections amended by this ordinance.

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 72, 1980

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", making a portion of Scioto Street one-way northbound. (Amends Code Section 29-166)

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-166, One-way streets and alleys designated, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

#### NORTHBOUND Scioto Street from Market Street to Wabash Street

SECTION 2. Violations of this ordinance shall be subject to those penalties now provided in the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", for violations of the sections amended by this ordinance.

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 73, 1980

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", providing for intersection control changes at certain intersections, and the prohibiting of parking on a portion of Albany Street. (Amends Code Sections 29-92 and 29-267).

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	CONTROL
33, Pg. 1	Albany St. &	N. 2nd Ave.	Stop
	N. 2nd Ave.		
33, Pg. 1	Albany St. &		4-way Stop
	NT CAL A		

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana". specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
33, Pg. 1	Albany St. &	Albany St.	Stop
	N. 2nd Ave.		
33, Pg. 1	Albany St.	Albany St.	Stop
	N. 6th Ave.		

SECTION 3. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times, on certain street, be, and the same is hereby amended, by the addition of the following, to wit:

Albany Street, on both sides from Sherman Dr. to Emerson Ave.

SECTION 4. Violations of this ordinance shall be subject to those penalties now provided in the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", for violations of the sections amended by this ordinance.

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 74, 1980

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", providing for intersection control changes at certain intersections, and the prohibiting of parking on a portion of Raymond Street. (Amends Code Sections 29-92 and 29-267).

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
31, Pg. 4	W. Kelly St. &		Signal
	mat to a A		

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana". specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP 31, Pg. 1	INTERSECTION PREFERENTIAL Allison Gate (5-9 & 10) &	TYPE OF CONTROL Signal
, - 5	Raymond St.	
31, Pg. 1	Allison Gate (5-2)/	Signal
	Frontage Rd. (2350 S.) &	
	Tibbs Ave.	

31, Pg. 1	Allison Gate (5-21) &		Signal
	Tibbs Ave.		
31, Pg. 1	Allison Gate (8-4 & 5) &		Signal
	Tibbs Ave.		
31, Pg. 1	Allison Gate (8-6) &		Signal
	Tibbs Ave.		
31, Pg. 3	Frontage Rd.	Kelly St.	Stop
	(3500 W.) &		
	Kelly St.		
31, Pg. 4	Kelly St. &	Tibbs Ave.	Stop
	Tibbs Ave.		

SECTION 3. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times, on certain street, be, and the same is hereby amended, by the addition of the following, to wit:

Raymond Street, on both sides, from Holt Road to Bluff Road

SECTION 4. Violations of this ordinance shall be subject to those penalties now provided in the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", for violations for the section amended by this ordinance.

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 75, 1980

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", providing for the installation of traffic signals at five locations and prohibits parking on a portion of Fifty-sixth Street (Amends Code Sections 29-92 and 29-267).

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
75			
13, Pg. 1	Brendon Way S. Dr. & E. 56th St.	E. 56th St.	Stop
13, Pg. 4	Old Colony Rd. &	E. 56th St.	Stop
	E. 56th St.		
12, Pg. 4	E. Fall Creek Py.		Stop
	N. Drv. & E. 56th St.		
12, Pg. 4	Emerson Way &	Emerson Way	Stop
	E. Fall Creek Py. N. Dr.		
12, Pg. 4	Emerson Way &		Stop
	Millersville Rd. &		
	E. 56th St.		
12, Pg. 4	Fall Creek Rd. &		Stop
	Kessler Blvd. E. Dr. &		
	Millersville Rd.		

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
13, Pg. 1	Brendon Way S. Dr.,		Signal
	Old Colony Rd. &		
	E. 56th St.		
12, Pg. 4	Fall Creek Py. N. Dr.,		Signal
	Kessler Blvd. E. Dr. &		
	E. 56th St.		
12, Pg. 4	Emerson Way &		Signal
	E. Fall Creek Py. N. Dr.		
12, Pg. 4	Emerson Way &		Signal
	E. 56th St.		
12, Pg. 4	Emerson Way,		Signal
	Fall Creek Rd. &		
	Kessler Blvd. E. Dr.		

SECTION 3. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Fifty-sixth Street, on both sides, from Emerson Avenue to Franklin Road

SECTION 4. Violations of this ordinance shall be subject to those penalties now provided in the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", for violations for the section amended by this ordinance.

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

PROPOSAL NO. 526, 1980. Councillor Dowden reported for the Community Affairs Committee that this proposal authorizes Tax Anticipation Time Warrants for the County Welfare Fund for the first half of 1981, in an approximate amount of \$3,000,000. Mr. Dowden stated that in the past, the Welfare Department has had to pay for the aide of dependent children in advance, borrowing money from the County General Fund. Through these tax warrants, the money will be borrowed for this specific purpose, and allow the Welfare Department to use its budgeted moneys for other welfare priorities. The proposal received a "do pass" recommendation from the Community Affairs Committee by a vote of 5-0. After brief discussion, Proposal No. 526, 1980, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 AYES: Mr. Borst, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cottingham, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Jones, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mrs. Nickell, Mr. Page, Mr. Rader, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Strader, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. West

NO NOES

7 NOT VOTING: Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mrs. Parker, Mr. Schneider

Proposal No. 526, 1980, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 125, 1980, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 125, 1980

A FISCAL ORDINANCE authorizing Marion County to borrow on a temporary loan for the use of the County Welfare Fund during the period January 1, 1981, to June 30, 1981, in anticipation of current taxes levied in the year 1980 and collectible in the year 1981, authorizing the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan; pledging and appropriating the taxes to be received in said fund to the payment of said tax anticipation time warrants including the interest thereon.

WHEREAS, the Auditor of Marion County has filed with the Mayor of the Consolidated City an estimate and statement showing the amount of money in the current expenses and to pay the obligations of the County Welfare Fund pending the receipt of current revenues actually levied and now in process of collection, and the Mayor did make and enter of record a finding, and said Auditor and Mayor have requested the City-County Council to authorize temporary borrowing to procure the funds necessary for use by the County Welfare Fund and to pay the incidental expenses necessary to be incurred in connection with the issuance and sale of Tax Anticipation Warrants; and

WHEREAS, the City-County Council now finds that the request should be granted, and that the City-County Council should authorize the making of a loan and the issuance of Tax Anticipation Warrants of the County to evidence the same; now, therefore:

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. That the Auditor of Marion County and Mayor of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis are authorized to borrow on a temporary loan against current revenues actually levied and in process of collection for the County Welfare Fund, for and on behalf of said County, for the purpose of procuring the funds immediately and temporarily necessary for use for expenditures from the County Welfare Fund to be paid from said County Welfare Fund prior to the actual receipt of taxes required for the payment of incidental expenses incurred in connection with the issuance of the Tax Anticipation Warrants of the County in the manner provided for by the statute.

SECTION 2. That the maximum amount of said loan and the Tax Anticipation Warrants issued to evidence the same shall not exceed three million dollars (\$3,000,000). Said Tax Anticipation Warrants shall be dated as of date of delivery thereof to the purchaser and shall bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding the maximum rate provided by law, and shall mature and be payable on the 30th day of June, 1981, and the amount of three million dollars (\$3,000,000) of the taxes now in process of collection for the County Welfare Fund in the year 1981, together with such amount of said taxes as is necessary to pay the interest on said warrants, is hereby appropriated and pledged for the purpose of paying said tax anticipation warrants together with the interest thereon when due, deductions to be made from semi-annual settlement of said taxes in amounts hereinbefore indicated to the total amount of said warrants coming due on the date of such settlements, with accrued interest thereon.

SECTION 3. Said tax anticipation time warrants shall be issued in substantially the following form (all blanks, including the appropriate amount, dates, statutory citation, and other data, to be properly completed prior to the execution and delivery thereof):

### STATE OF INDIANA, COUNTY OF MARION

No	\$
	COUNTY WELFARE FUND TICIPATION WARRANTS
in the State of Indiana, promises t	Board of Commissioners of the County of Marion, to pay to the bearer from the Marion County Welfare dollars on theday of,
19, with interest thereon at th	e rate of percent (%) per annum from the at of the principal hereof, which interest is payable on
United States of America, at the Cof Indianapolis, Indiana. This warra dollars and is issued pursuant to a No, duly adopted by the 19, and in strict conformity v Indiana, entitled "An Act Concer	t of this warrant are payable in lawful money of the office of the Treasurer of Marion County, of the City and is one of an issue aggregatingnd in accordance with City-County Fiscal Ordinance City-County Council on theday of, vith an Act of the General Assembly of the State of ning County Business", in force April 27, 1899, and f the Acts of 1969, and Public Law No. 134, 1972.
issuance and delivery of this warra form as provided by law, and this scribed by the constitution and the taxation for the County Welfare F now in process of collection for the	hings to be done precedent to and in the execution, nt have been done and performed in regular and due s warrant is within every limit of indebtedness prelaws of the State of Indiana. Sufficient receipts from und of Marion County from levies actually made and ne current year as may be necessary are hereby irrevayment of the principal and interest of this warrant
Marion, has caused this warrant to qualified and acting Commissioner	c, the Board of Commissioners of the County of be issued and signed in its name by its duly elected, is, countersigned by the Mayor of the City of India, qualified and acting County Auditor, and the seal of the hereunto affixed, as of the day of
SEAL	COMMISSIONERS OF MARION COUNTY
ATTEST:	COUNTERSIGNED:
	MAYOR, CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS
AUDITOR OF MARION COUNTY	

- 733 -

### ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT

Councillor McGrath announced that a joint meeting of the Transportation and Metropolitan Development Committees would take place on November 19, 1980, at 6:30 p.m. in Room 260 to discuss Proposal No. 555, 1980, endorsing and supporting the Indianapolis Regional Center People Mover.

There being no further business, and upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned at 11:01 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis-Marion County Indiana, held at its Regular Meeting on the 5th day of November, 1980.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

ATTEST:

President

Clerk of the City County

(SEAL)