

**MINUTES OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
AND
SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS
OF
INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA**

**REGULAR MEETINGS
MONDAY, JANUARY 22, 1996**

The City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana and the Indianapolis Police Special Service District Council, Indianapolis Fire Special Service District Council and Indianapolis Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Council convened in regular concurrent sessions in the Council Chamber of the City-County Building at 7:10 p.m. on Monday, January 22, 1996, with Councillor SerVaas presiding.

Councillor Borst led the opening prayer and invited all present to join him in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

OATH OF OFFICE

Suellen Hart, Clerk of the City-County Council, administered the oath of office to Curt Coonrod as Councillor of District 5.

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS AND VISITORS

Councillor Coughenour introduced four members from the Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI) exchange program: Harvey Phalatse, Johannesburg; Zamangidi Mabaso, Durban; Brigitte Mueller, Germany; and Reggie Moses, Cape Town. Councillor Hinkle acknowledged the presence of members from the Wayne Township Volunteer Fire Department. Councillor Curry recognized David Brooks, a former Councillor.

ROLL CALL

The President instructed the Clerk to take the roll call and requested members to register their presence on the voting machine. The roll call was as follows:

29 PRESENT: Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams

0 ABSENT:

A quorum of twenty-nine members being present, the President called the meeting to order.

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

The President called for the reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

Ladies And Gentlemen :

You are hereby notified the REGULAR MEETINGS of the City-County Council and Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils will be held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on Monday, January 22, 1996, at 7:00 p.m., the purpose of such MEETINGS being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before regular meetings of the Councils.

Respectfully,
s/Beurt SerVaas
President, City-County Council

January 8, 1996

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in the Indianapolis NEWS and the Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on Thursday, January 11, 1996, a copy of a PUBLIC NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS of a Public Hearing on Proposal No. 11, 1996, said hearing to be held on Monday, January 22, 1996, at 7:00 p.m., in the City-County Building.

Respectfully,
s/Suellen Hart
Clerk of the City-County Council

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The President proposed the adoption of the agenda as distributed. Without objection, the agenda was adopted.

APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL

The President called for additions or corrections to the Journal of January 8, 1995. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved as distributed.

PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS, AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS

PROPOSAL NO. 67, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Schneider, recognizes Daniel C. Cartwright. Councillor Schneider read the proposal and presented a copy of the document and a Council pin to Mr. Cartwright, who expressed appreciation for the recognition. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Gilmer, for adoption. Proposal No. 67, 1996 was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 67, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 1, 1996, and reads as follows:

January 22, 1996

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 1, 1996

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing Daniel C. Cartwright.

WHEREAS, Indianapolis is blessed with citizens who are willing to step forward to volunteer their time and talents to serve their community on important boards and commissions; and

WHEREAS, one such person is Daniel C. Cartwright, a Southside real estate developer, who has served on the Indianapolis Public Transportation Board since 1991, and was the Board's Chairman for the past four years; and

WHEREAS, the early years that Mr. Cartwright was Chairman saw tremendous internal and external tumult for the Board's METRO transportation system; and

WHEREAS, at the completion of his term, METRO has emerged as a stronger entity, significant strides in management have occurred and jobs have been saved; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes the steadfast leadership of Daniel C. Cartwright as Chairman of the Indianapolis Public Transportation Board from 1992 through 1995.

SECTION 2. The Council commends Mr. Cartwright for his volunteer time and his skill to help solve problems that METRO faced.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 68, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Smith, recognizes Franklin Township Firefighters Mark Elder and Randy Weasner. Councillor Smith read the proposal and presented copies of the document and Council pins to Firefighters Elder and Weasner, who expressed appreciation for the recognition. Councillor Smith recognized Mike Swartz, Franklin Township Fire Chief. Councillor Smith moved, seconded by Councillor Curry, for adoption. Proposal No. 68, 1996 was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 68, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 2, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 2, 1996

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing Franklin Township firefighters Mark Elder and Randy Weasner.

WHEREAS, shortly before midnight on December 27, 1995, firefighters Mark Elder and Lieutenant Randy Weasner were dispatched in ambulance 551 to an accident on South Franklin Road near Southeastern Avenue; and

WHEREAS, a Thunderbird and a full size pickup truck had been in a head-on wreck, the car passenger was seriously injured, was entrapped and the car was on fire; and

WHEREAS, Lieutenant Weasner immediately went to work to extricate the victim while Elder fought off the rapidly approaching fire; and

WHEREAS, without the heroic and successful efforts of Franklin Township firefighters Mark Elder and Randy Weasner, and the later arriving units, the rescued victim would have had no chance of survival; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes the exceptional performance of duty, courage and skill of Franklin Township firefighters Mark Elder and Lieutenant Randy Weasner on the night of December 27, 1995, as they attended a nightmarish wreck on South Franklin Road.

SECTION 2. The citizens of Franklin Township can justly be proud of their fire department and of these two heroes.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 80, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor McClamroch, appoints Gene Hendricks to the Common Construction Wage Committee of Decatur Township. Councillor McClamroch stated that last year the state legislature amended the common construction wage statute in Indiana. The law now requires that (1) the awarding governmental agency, prior to advertising for such construction, is to set up a committee to make such wage determinations, and (2) one of the five members on this committee is to be appointed by the Council. Councillor McClamroch said that he just discovered that Mr. Hendricks is going to be appointed to another position and is not available for this appointment. Councillor McClamroch moved to amend Proposal No. 80, 1996 by substituting the name of Jason Holliday for the name of Gene Hendricks. This motion was seconded by Councillor Curry and passed by unanimous voice vote. Councillor McClamroch also moved to suspend the rules to hear the proposal at this meeting.

Councillor Gilmer asked how many people are on this committee. Councillor McClamroch said that he is not certain of the exact number, but for this project the committee will consist of appointments by the Council, the Decatur Township School Board, and a union organization. Robert G. Elrod, General Counsel, added that the Council's appointment has to be a taxpayer who pays taxes that will fund the project.

Councillor O'Dell asked if the Council's appointment is needed for a quorum. Councillor McClamroch responded that the attorney for the Decatur Township School Board told him that the Council's appointment is needed for a quorum. Councillor Boyd asked what the impact would be if this proposal went through the regular process. Councillor McClamroch said that it would delay the project.

Councillor Cockrum, whose councilmanic district is in Decatur Township, stated that Jason Holliday is a life-long resident of the township, has been active in various civic organizations, has held leadership rolls, and is an appropriate appointment. Councillor Curry said that he is acquainted with Mr. Holiday and concurs with Councillor Cockrum.

The President asked for a voice vote. Councillor Boyd asked for consent to abstain. Consent was given. Proposal No. 80, 1996 passed by a voice vote.

Proposal No. 80, 1996 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 1, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 1, 1996

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION appointing Jason Holliday to the Common Construction Wage Committee for Decatur Township.

January 22, 1996

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. As a member of the Common Construction Wage Committee formed by the Decatur Township School District, the Council appoints:

Jason Holliday

SECTION 2. The person appointed by this resolution shall serve at the pleasure of the Council and until his respective successor is appointed and has qualified.

PROPOSAL NOS. 5, 7, 8, and 9, 1996. The President ruled that these four appointments would be voted on together. PROPOSAL NO. 5, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Dowden, approves the reappointment of Michael E. Beaver as Director of the Department of Public Safety. PROPOSAL NO. 7, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Gilmer, approves the reappointment of Gregory L. Henneke as Director of the Department of Capital Asset Management. PROPOSAL NO. 8, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Curry, approves the appointment of Irma J. Neal as Deputy Mayor for Neighborhoods. PROPOSAL NO. 9, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Curry, approves the appointment of Charles B. Stitt as Deputy Mayor. The President noted that these proposals passed out of their committees by unanimous votes. The President moved, seconded by Councillor Gilmer, for adoption. Proposal Nos. 5, 7, 8, and 9, 1996 were adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 5, 1996 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 2, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 2, 1996

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION approving the Mayor's appointment of Michael E. Beaver as Director of the Department Public Safety for a term ending December 31, 1996.

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 36-3-3-8 and Section 201-4 of the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County, Indiana", a mayoral appointment of the Director of the Department of Public Safety is subject to the approval of the City-County Council; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis has submitted to this Council the name of Michael E. Beaver to serve as Director of the Department of Public Safety at his pleasure for a term ending December 31, 1996; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Michael E. Beaver is approved and confirmed by the City-County Council to serve as Director of the Department of Public Safety at the pleasure of the Mayor for a term ending December 31, 1996.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 7, 1996 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 3, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 3, 1996

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION approving the Mayor's appointment of Gregory L. Henneke as Director of the Department of Capital Asset Management for a term ending December 31, 1996.

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 36-3-3-8 and Section 201-4 of the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County, Indiana", a mayoral appointment of the Director of the Department of Capital Asset Management is subject to the approval of the City-County Council; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis has submitted to this Council the name of Gregory L. Henneke to serve as Director of the Department of Capital Asset Management at his pleasure for a term ending December 31, 1996; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Gregory L. Henneke is approved and confirmed by the City-County Council to serve as Director of the Department of Capital Asset Management at the pleasure of the Mayor for a term ending December 31, 1996.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 8, 1996 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 4, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 4, 1996

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION approving the Mayor's appointment of Irma J. Neal as Deputy Mayor for Neighborhoods for a term ending December 31, 1996.

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 36-3-3-8 and Section 201-4 of the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County, Indiana", mayoral appointments of Deputy Mayors for Neighborhoods are subject to the approval of the City-County Council; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis has submitted to this Council the name of Irma J. Neal to serve as a Deputy Mayor for Neighborhoods at his pleasure for a term ending December 31, 1996; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Irma J. Neal is approved and confirmed by the City-County Council to serve as a Deputy Mayor for Neighborhoods at the pleasure of the Mayor for a term ending December 31, 1996.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 9, 1996 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 5, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 5, 1996

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION approving the Mayor's appointment of Charles B. Stitt as Deputy Mayor for a term ending December 31, 1996.

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 36-3-3-8 and Section 201-4 of the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County, Indiana", a mayoral appointment of a Deputy Mayor is subject to the approval of the City-County Council; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis has submitted to this Council the name of Charles B. Stitt to serve as a Deputy Mayor at his pleasure for a term ending December 31, 1996; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Charles B. Stitt is approved and confirmed by the City-County Council as a Deputy Mayor at the pleasure of the Mayor for a term ending December 31, 1996.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL NO. 56, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which is an appropriation of \$3,273 for the Franklin Township Assessor to pay for 1996 computer lease/purchase financed from the County General Fund balances"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 57, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which is an additional appropriation in the amount of \$316,475 to pay for grants previously approved by S.R. No. 107, 1995, for the Marion County Justice Agency, Prosecuting Attorney, County Auditor, Marion County Superior Court, and Forensic Services Agency financed by a transfer from the Drug Free Community Fund in the amount of \$316,475 and reducing the County General Fund appropriations by the amount of \$104,475"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 58, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which is an appropriation of \$15,014 for the Prosecuting Attorney to pay a portion of a staff person's salary to implement Project Safe Families financed by a state grant"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 59, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which is an appropriation of \$36,750 for the Prosecuting Attorney to pay a portion of a Deputy Prosecutor's salary to work on Regional Gang Interdiction in coordination with the Johnson County Prosecutor financed by a state grant"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 60, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which is an appropriation of \$232,688 for Community Corrections to continue the Jail Work Program financed by revenues in the County General Fund, Jail Reserve Account"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 61, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which is an appropriation of \$84,375 for Community Corrections to pay for five additional Annex officers to facilitate receipt of additional offenders financed by revenues in the County General Fund, Jail Reserve Account"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 62, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which is an appropriation of \$77,234 for Community Corrections to continue the Juvenile Court Intensive Probation Services Program for 1995/1996 financed by a state grant"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 63, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which allows Marion County to participate in the

County Corrections Fund”; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 64, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a General Resolution which approves a Sewage and Wastewater Transportation and Treatment Services Agreement between the City and the Tri-County Conservancy District”; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 65, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which is an appropriation of \$3,049,453 for the Department of Capital Asset Management, Finance and Administration Division, to pay for the public transportation program financed by revenues in the Transportation General Fund, Federal Grants Fund, and State Grants Fund”; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 66, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a multi-way stop at Emerson Avenue and Wycombe Lane (District 4)”; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 72, 1996. Introduced by Councillor McClamroch. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a Council Resolution which reappoints Alan Retherford to the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals, Division I”; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 73, 1996. Introduced by Councillor McClamroch. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a Council Resolution which reappoints Joanna Walker to the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals, Division I”; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 74, 1996. Introduced by Councillor McClamroch. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a Council Resolution which reappoints Isaac Randolph to the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals, Division II”; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 75, 1996. Introduced by Councillor McClamroch. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a Council Resolution which reappoints Mary Jane Klepek to the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals, Division III”; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 76, 1996. Introduced by Councillor McClamroch. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a Council Resolution which reappoints Robert A. Stewart to the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals, Division III”; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 77, 1996. Introduced by Councillor McClamroch. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a Council Resolution which reappoints Daniel Cartwright to the Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation Board”; and the President referred it to the Municipal Corporations Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 78, 1996. Introduced by Councillor McClamroch. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Council Resolution which reappoints Charles E. Kendall to the Board of Parks and Recreation"; and the President referred it to the Parks and Recreation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 79, 1996. Introduced by Councillor McClamroch. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Council Resolution which reappoints Ann Curry to the Animal Control Board"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 80, 1996. (Clerk's Note: In the Agenda under Special Resolutions.)

PROPOSAL NO. 81, 1996. Introduced by Councillor McClamroch. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Council Resolution which reappoints David Smith to the Marion County Community Corrections Advisory Board"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PRIORITY BUSINESS

PROPOSAL NO. 69, 1996. Councillor Borst reported that the Economic Development Committee heard Proposal No. 69, 1996 on January 18, 1996. The proposal is a final bond ordinance authorizing the issuance of \$3,300,000 City of Indianapolis, Indiana Variable Rate Demand Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 1996A (El-Beulah Retirement Village, Ind. Project) and \$1,100,000 City of Indianapolis, Indiana Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1996B (El-Beulah Retirement Village, Inc. Project) to undertake and complete the acquisition, construction and equipping of 72 apartments constructed as one-story duplexes to be located at 7606 East 82nd Street (District 4). By an 8-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Dowden, for adoption. Proposal No. 69, 1995 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford

0 NAYS:

4 NOT VOTING: Black, Jones, Moriarty Adams, Williams

Proposal No. 69, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 1, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 1, 1996

A SPECIAL ORDINANCE authorizing the City of Indianapolis to issue its \$3,300,000 City of Indianapolis, Indiana Variable Rate Demand Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 1996A (El-Beulah Retirement Village, Inc. Project) and \$1,100,000 City of Indianapolis, Indiana Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1996B (El-Beulah Retirement Village, Inc. Project), and approving and authorizing other actions in respect thereto.

WHEREAS, Indiana Code Title 36, Article 7, Chapters 11.9 and 12 (collectively, the "Act") declares that the financing and refinancing of economic development facilities constitutes a public purpose; and

WHEREAS, the Act provides that an issuer may, pursuant to the Act, issue revenue bonds and lend the proceeds thereof to a corporation, partnership or individual for the purpose of financing costs of acquisition

or construction of facilities, including real and personal property, for diversification of economic development and promotion of job opportunities in or near such issuer; and

WHEREAS, the Act provides that such bonds may be secured by a trust indenture between an issuer and a corporate trustee; and

WHEREAS, a representative of El-Beulah Retirement Village, Inc. (the "Company") has requested that the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Issuer") issue bonds and lend the proceeds thereof to the Company in order to enable the Company to undertake and complete the acquisition, construction and equipping of seventy-two (72) apartments constructed as one-story duplexes to be located at 7606 East 82nd Street, Indianapolis, Indiana on approximately 15 acres of land which will be owned and operated by the Company; the acquisition of machinery, equipment and furnishings for use in the facility; and the acquisition, construction and installation of various site improvements at the facility (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission has rendered a report of the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission concerning the proposed financing of economic development facilities for the Company and the Metropolitan Development Commission of Marion County has commented thereon; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to and in accordance with the Act, the Issuer desires to provide funds to finance the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project by issuing its \$3,300,000 City of Indianapolis, Indiana Variable Rate Demand Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 1996A (El-Beulah Retirement Village, Inc. Project) (the "Series 1996A Bonds") and \$1,100,000 City of Indianapolis, Indiana Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1996B (El-Beulah Retirement Village, Inc. Project) (the "Series 1996B Bonds") (collectively, the "Bonds"); and

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, after a public hearing conducted on January 17, 1996 pursuant to Indiana Code Title 36, Article 7, Chapter 12, Section 24 and Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), adopted a Resolution on that date, which Resolution has been previously transmitted hereto, finding that the financing of the Project complies with the purposes and provisions of the Act and that such financing will be of benefit to the health and welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer intends to issue the Series 1996A Bonds pursuant to a Series 1996A Trust Indenture (the "Series 1996A Indenture") dated as of January 1, 1996 by and between the Issuer and Peoples Bank & Trust Company, as Trustee (the "Trustee") in order to obtain funds to lend to the Company pursuant to a Loan Agreement (the "Series 1996A Loan Agreement") dated as of January 1, 1996, between the Issuer and the Company for the purpose of financing or providing reimbursement for the cost of the Project and to pay a portion of the costs of issuance of the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Series 1996A Loan Agreement provides for the repayment by the Company of the loan of the proceeds of the Series 1996A Bonds pursuant to which the Company will agree to make payments sufficient to pay the principal and interest on the Series 1996A Bonds as the same become due and payable and to pay administrative expenses in connection with the Series 1996A Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer intends to issue the Series 1996B Bonds pursuant to a Series 1996B Trust Indenture (the "Series 1996B Indenture") dated as of January 1, 1996 by and between the Issuer and Peoples Bank & Trust Company, as Trustee (the "Trustee") in order to obtain funds to lend to the Company pursuant to a Loan Agreement (the "Series 1996B Loan Agreement") dated as of January 1, 1996, between the Issuer and the Company for the purpose of financing or providing reimbursement for the cost of the Project and to pay a portion of the costs of issuance of the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Series 1996B Loan Agreement provides for the repayment by the Company of the loan of the proceeds of the Series 1996B Bonds pursuant to which the Company will agree to make payments sufficient to pay the principal and interest on the Series 1996B Bonds as the same become due and payable and to pay administrative expenses in connection with the Series 1996B Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the financing will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating in the same market area or in or about Marion County, Indiana; and

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission has approved the substantially final forms of the Series 1996A Loan Agreement, Series 1996B Loan Agreement, Series 1996A Indenture,

January 22, 1996

Series 1996B Indenture, Series 1996A Placement Agreement, Series 1996A Land Use Restriction Agreement, Series 1996B Land Use Restriction Agreement, Series 1996A Preliminary Private Placement Memorandum, Series 1996B Real Estate Mortgage, Series 1996B Collateral Assignment of Rents and Leases, the form of the Bonds (hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Financing Documents") and this proposed form of special ordinance by Resolution adopted prior in time to this date, which Resolution has been transmitted hereto; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. It is hereby found that the financing of the economic development facilities referred to in the Financing Documents consisting of the Project, the issuance and sale of the Bonds, the loan of the net proceeds thereof to the Company for the purposes of financing or providing reimbursement for a portion of the cost of the Project, and the repayment of said loan by the Company will be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens and does comply with the purposes and provisions of the Act.

SECTION 2. The forms of the Financing Documents presented herewith are hereby approved and all such documents shall be kept on file by the Clerk of the Council or City-Controller. In compliance with Indiana Code Title 36, Article 1, Chapter 5, Section 4, two (2) copies of the Financing Documents are on file in the office of the Clerk of the Council for public inspection.

SECTION 3. The Issuer shall issue its Series 1996A Bonds in the aggregate principal amount not to exceed Three Million Three Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$3,300,000) and its Series 1996B Bonds in the aggregate principle amount not to exceed One Million One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,100,000) for the purpose of procuring funds to loan to the Company in order to finance or provide reimbursement for a portion of the cost of the Project which Bonds will be payable as to principal and interest solely from the payments made by the Company pursuant to the Series 1996A Loan Agreement and Series 1996B Loan Agreement to evidence and secure said loan and as otherwise provided in the above described Financing Documents. The Bonds shall never constitute a general obligation of, an indebtedness of, or charge against the general credit of the Issuer.

SECTION 4. Rule 15c2-12(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "SEC Rule"), provides that, prior to the time a participating underwriter or placement agent bids for, purchases, offers or sells municipal securities, the participating underwriter or placement agent shall obtain and review an official statement that an issuer of such securities deems a "near final" official statement. The Series 1996A Preliminary Private Placement Memorandum is hereby deemed final as of its date, except for the omission of no more than the following information: the offering price(s), interest rate(s), selling compensation, aggregate principal amount, principal amount per maturity, delivery dates, ratings and other terms of the securities depending on such matters. The Mayor, the City Clerk or any other officer of the Issuer familiar with the matters with respect to the Issuer set forth in the Series 1996A Preliminary Private Placement Memorandum is hereby authorized to certify to NBD Bank, N.A. (the "Placement Agent") that the information in the Series 1996A Preliminary Private Placement Memorandum with respect to the Issuer is deemed to be final within the meaning of the SEC Rule prior to the distribution of the Series 1996A Preliminary Private Placement Memorandum.

SECTION 5. The City Clerk and City Controller are authorized and directed to sell such Bonds to the purchasers thereof at a price not less than 100% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest, if any, and at a rate of interest determined as set forth in the Series 1996A Indenture and the Series 1996B Indenture. The use of a Final Series 1996A Private Placement Memorandum substantially the same form as the Preliminary Private Placement Memorandum approved herein is approved for use and distribution by the Placement Agent and its agents in connection with the marketing of the Bonds.

SECTION 6. The Mayor and City Clerk are authorized and directed to execute those Financing Documents approved herein which require the signature of the Mayor and City Clerk and any other document which may be necessary or desirable to consummate the transaction, and their execution is hereby confirmed on behalf of the Issuer. The signatures of the Mayor and the City Clerk on the Bonds may be necessary or desirable to consummate the transaction, and their execution is hereby confirmed on behalf of the Issuer. The signatures of the Mayor and the City Clerk on the Bonds may be necessary or desirable to consummate the transaction, and their execution is hereby confirmed on behalf of the Issuer. The signatures of the Mayor and the City Clerk on the Bonds may be facsimile signatures. The City Clerk and City Controller are authorized to arrange for the delivery of such Bonds to the purchaser, payment for which will be made in the manner set forth in the Financing Documents. The Mayor and City Clerk may, by their execution of the Financing Documents requiring their signatures and imprinting of their facsimile

signatures thereon, approve changes therein and also in those Financing Documents which do not require the signature of the Mayor and/or City Clerk without further approval of this City-County Council or the Commission if such changes do not affect terms set forth in Indiana Code Title 36, Article 7, Chapter 12, Section 27(a)(1) through (a)(10).

SECTION 7. The provisions of this special ordinance and the Financing Documents shall constitute a contract binding between the Issuer and the holder or holders of the Bonds and after the issuance of said Bonds, this special ordinance shall not be repealed or amended in any respect which would adversely affect the right of such holder or holders so long as said Bonds or the interest thereon remains unpaid.

SECTION 8. This special ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with Indiana Code Title 36, Article 3, Chapter 4, Section 14.

PROPOSAL NO. 70, 1996. Councillor Borst reported that the Economic Development Committee heard Proposal No. 70, 1996 on January 18, 1996. The proposal is an inducement resolution for Double D Press, Inc. in an amount not to exceed \$2,050,000 to proceed with the acquisition and installation of printing press equipment at 5739 Professional Circle (District 19). By an 8-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Cockrum, for adoption. Proposal No. 70, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 YEAS: Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Hinkle, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford

0 NAYS:

4 NOT VOTING: Gray, Jones, Moriarty Adams, Williams

Proposal No. 70, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 3, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 3, 1996

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Issuer") is authorized by IC 36-7-11.9 and IC 36-7-12 (collectively, the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a company or the proceeds of the revenue bond issue may be loaned to the company and said facilities directly owned by the company;

WHEREAS, Double D Press, Inc., an Indiana corporation (the "Applicant"), has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the Issuer that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities consist of the acquisition and installation of one new Komari Lithrone L-628-III Series 30 six color sheetfed press with multiple accessories to be located at 5739 Professional Circle, Indianapolis, Indiana to be used in the Applicant's existing commercial printing and lithographic business (the "Project");

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and the creation of opportunities for gainful employment (twelve (12) jobs) and the creation of business opportunities to be achieved by the acquisition and installation of the Project will serve a public purpose and be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens;

WHEREAS, the acquisition and installation of the Project will not have an adverse competitive effect on similar facilities already constructed or operating within the jurisdiction of the Issuer; NOW, THEREFORE:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

SECTION 1. It finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the diversification of industry and the creation of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer, is desirable, serves a public purpose, and is of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer; and that it is in the public interest that this Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage the diversification of industry, the creation of business opportunities, and the creation of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer.

SECTION 2. It further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an amount not to exceed Two Million Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$2,050,000) under the Act to be privately placed or publicly offered with credit enhancement for the acquisition and installation of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loan of the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the acquisition and installation of the Project will serve the public purposes referred to above in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition and installation of the Project, this Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided (a) that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant and (b) subject to the further caveat that this inducement resolution expires August 31, 1996, unless such bonds have been issued or an Ordinance authorizing the issuance of such bonds has been adopted by the governing body of the Issuer prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon a showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer, by official action, extends the term of this inducement resolution; and (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development revenue bonds, provided that at the time of the proposed issuance of such bonds (a) this inducement resolution is still in effect and (b) if applicable, the aggregate amount of private activity bonds previously issued during that calendar year will not exceed the private activity bond limit for such calendar year, it being understood that the Issuer, by taking this action, is not making any representation nor any assurances that (1) any such allocable limit will be available, because inducement resolutions in an aggregate amount in excess of the private activity bond limit may and in all probability will be adopted; (2) the proposed Project will have no priority over other projects which have applied for such private activity bonds and have received inducement resolutions; and (3) no portion of such activity bond limit has been guaranteed for the proposed Project; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Applicant to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in the Act] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of the Act.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the date which is sixty (60) days prior to the adoption of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of monies expended by the Applicant for application fees, planning, engineering, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, and acquisition and installation of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the same purpose. Also certain indirect expenses incurred prior to such date will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project in accordance with the Final Regulations (T 8476) on Arbitrage Restrictions on Tax-Exempt Bonds in particular Section 1.150-2.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 71, 1996. Councillor Borst reported that the Economic Development Committee heard Proposal No. 71, 1996 on January 18, 1996. The proposal is an inducement resolution for the Archdiocese of Indianapolis in an amount not to exceed \$60,000,000 to proceed with the renovation, enlargement and construction of some of its educational facilities and cemeteries located in Indianapolis (Districts throughout Marion County). By an 8-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Williams, for adoption.

Councillor Golc voiced his support of this proposal. Proposal No. 71, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 YEAS: Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford

0 NAYS:

2 NOT VOTING: Jones, Williams

Proposal No. 71, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 4, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 4, 1996

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Issuer") is authorized by IC 36-7-11.9 and IC 36-7-12 (collectively, the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a company or the proceeds of the revenue bond issue may be loaned to the company and said facilities directly owned by the company;

WHEREAS, the Archdiocese of Indianapolis (the "Applicant" or the "Archdiocese"), has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the Issuer that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Applicant for the same. The Archdiocese will use the proceeds of the financing for the acquisition of land, site improvements, infrastructure improvements, buildings, or structures, the rehabilitation, renovation, enlargement of buildings and structures, machinery, equipment, furnishings, or facilities (or any combination of these) and refinancing for educational facilities in Indianapolis and Marion County under the supervision of the Archdiocese. In addition, the Archdiocese will use the proceeds of the financing to (i) refinance or reimburse itself for all or a portion of the costs of the financing, construction, renovation, remodeling, and equipping of certain of its educational facilities; (ii) pay a portion of the interest to accrue on the bonds and to fund certain reserves for the bonds; and (iii) pay certain costs relating to the issuance of the bonds. The educational facilities developed under this proposal will provide educational services and enrichment (including cultural, intellectual, scientific, or artistic opportunities) to school age residents of Indianapolis and Marion County. The Archdiocese will oversee the construction and operation of the educational facilities. The Archdiocese's educational facility admission policy provides that students will be considered for admission without regard to race, sex, color, religion, national origin, ancestry, or handicap. The educational facilities will not be used primarily for sectarian instruction or study or as a place of devotional activities and will not be used primarily in connection with any part of the program of a school or department of divinity for any religious denomination. In addition, the Archdiocese will use the proceeds of the financing for the acquisition of land, site improvements, infrastructure improvements, buildings or structures, the rehabilitation, renovation, enlargement of buildings and structures, machinery, equipment, furnishings, or facilities (or any combination of these) and refinancing for cemeteries in Indianapolis and Marion County under the supervision of the Archdiocese. The cemeteries developed under this proposal will provide burial plots and services to residents of Indianapolis and Marion County. The Archdiocese will oversee the construction and operation of the cemeteries. The Archdiocese's policies for its cemeteries provides that it will not discriminate based on race, sex, color, religion, national origin, ancestry, or handicap. The cemeteries will not be used primarily for sectarian purposes or as a place of devotional activities and will not be used primarily in connection with any part of the program of divinity for any religious denomination (the "Project");

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and the retention and creation of opportunities for gainful employment plus the creation of a construction job payroll and the creation of business opportunities to be achieved by the acquisition, renovation and equipping of the Project will serve a public purpose and be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens;

WHEREAS, the acquisition, renovation and equipping of the Project will not have an adverse competitive effect on similar facilities already constructed or operating within the jurisdiction of the Issuer; now, therefore:

January 22, 1996

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

SECTION 1. It finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the diversification of industry and the retention of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer, is desirable, serves a public purpose, and is of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer; and that it is in the public interest that this Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage the diversification of industry, the creation of business opportunities, and the retention of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer.

SECTION 2. It further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an amount not to exceed Sixty Million Dollars (\$60,000,000) under the Act to be privately placed or publicly offered with credit enhancement for the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loan of the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the acquisition, renovation and equipping of the Project will serve the public purposes referred to above in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project, this Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided (a) that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant and (b) subject to the further caveat that this inducement resolution expires August 31, 1996, unless such bonds have been issued or an Ordinance authorizing the issuance of such bonds has been adopted by the governing body of the Issuer prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon a showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer, by official action, extends the term of this inducement resolution; and (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development revenue bonds, provided that at the time of the proposed issuance of such bonds (a) this inducement resolution is still in effect and (b) if applicable, the aggregate amount of private activity bonds previously issued during that calendar year will not exceed the private activity bond limit for such calendar year, it being understood that the Issuer, by taking this action, is not making any representation nor any assurances that (1) any such allocable limit will be available, because inducement resolutions in an aggregate amount in excess of the private activity bond limit may and in all probability will be adopted; (2) the proposed Project will have no priority over other projects which have applied for such private activity bonds and have received inducement resolutions; and (3) no portion of such activity bond limit has been guaranteed for the proposed Project; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Applicant to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in the Act] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of the Act.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the date which is sixty (60) days prior to the adoption of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of monies expended by the Applicant for application fees, planning, engineering, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, and acquisition, renovation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the same purpose. Also certain indirect expenses incurred prior to such date will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project in accordance with the Final Regulations (T 8476) on Arbitrage Restrictions on Tax-Exempt Bonds in particular Section 1.150-2.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 83, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Hinkle. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "REZONING ORDINANCE certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on January 18, 1996." The Council did not schedule Proposal No. 83, 1996 for hearing pursuant to IC 36-7-4-608. Proposal No. 83, 1996 was retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 27, 1996 and is identified as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 27, 1996. 95-Z-97
3838 WEST 79TH STREET (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
PIKE TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICTS # 1 and 2.
G.C. BOYD CORPORATION requests the rezoning of 40 acres, being in the SU-35(FW)(FF) District, to the D-4(FW)(FF) classification to provide for a single-family residential development.

PROPOSAL NOS. 84-92, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Hinkle. The Clerk read the proposals entitled: "REZONING ORDINANCES certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on January 18, 1996." The Council did not schedule Proposal Nos. 84-92, 1996 for hearing pursuant to IC 36-7-4-608. Proposal Nos. 84-92, 1996 were retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NOS. 28-36, 1996 and are identified as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 28, 1996. 95-Z-197
1338 and 1344 COMMERCE AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS.
CENTER TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 22.
CHARLES C. BRANDT CONSTRUCTION, CO., requests the rezoning of 0.25 acre, being in the C-3 District, to the C-ID classification to provide for the warehousing of construction materials in association with an adjacent construction contracting business.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 29, 1996. 95-Z-218
2602 WESTLANE ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
PIKE TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 2.
INDIANAPOLIS PARKS AND RECREATION requests the rezoning of 16 acres, being in the D-611 District, to the PK-1 classification to provide for a public park.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 30, 1996. 95-Z-228
1918-1922 EAST TROY AVENUE (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
CENTER TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 21.
MARK A. BELCHER requests the rezoning of 0.344 acre, being in the D-5 District, to the C-5 classification to provide for commercial development including an automobile repair facility.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 31, 1996. 95-Z-230
915 SOUTH HUBER STREET (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
WARREN TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 13.
ROBERT & ELAINE PAUGH request the REZONING of 3.446 acres, being in the SU-3 District, to the D-2 classification to provide for residential development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 32, 1996. 95-Z-232
2505 NORTH ARLINGTON AVENUE (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
WARREN TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 10.
HEALTH AND HOSPITAL CORPORATION, by Thomas Michael Quinn, requests the rezoning of 3.152 acres, being in the C-ID District, to the C-1 classification to provide for the construction of a health care center.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 33, 1996. 95-Z-233
1970 CAROLINE AVENUE (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
CENTER TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 22.
LAWRENCE M. LINDLEY requests the rezoning of 0.95 acre, being in the D-5 District, to the SU-34 classification to provide for neighborhood community center.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 34, 1996. 95-Z-235
3721 SUTHERLAND AVENUE (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
CENTER TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 11.
GARY W. POLITAN, by J. Murray Clark, requests the rezoning of 0.408 acre, being in the D-5 District, to the I-3-U classification to provide for an industrial use.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 35, 1996. 95-Z-237
5139 WEST 10TH STREET (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
WAYNE TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 8.
FRANK T. STRAYER, VFW POST #1587 requests the rezoning of 0.83 acre, being in the I-2-S District, to the SU-34 classification to provide for an addition to an existing lodge.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 36, 1996. 95-Z-238
1799 SOUTH MINOCQUA AVENUE 1798 SOUTH PERKINS AVENUE (approximate address),
INDIANAPOLIS.
CENTER TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 23.
KERR-McGEE CHEMICAL CORPORATION and STEWART MEMORIAL CHURCH, by David R.
Warshauer, request the rezoning of 2.174 acres, being in the D-8 District, to the SU-I classification to
provide for the construction of a church.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PUBLIC HEARING

PROPOSAL NO. 11, 1996. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 11, 1996 on January 10, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$10,350 for the Marion County Justice Agency to pay for personnel services for the Julian Center financed by a state grant. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 7:49 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Moriarty Adams, for adoption. Proposal No. 11, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 YEAS: *Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford*
0 NAYS:
2 NOT VOTING: *Jones, Williams*

Proposal No. 11, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 1, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 1, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995 appropriating an additional Ten Thousand Three Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$10,350) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of funds for the Marion County Justice Agency and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section I.02 (bb) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of Marion County Justice Agency funding personnel services for the Julian Center.

SECTION 2. The sum of Ten Thousand Three Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$10,350) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>MARION COUNTY JUSTICE AGENCY</u>	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	10,350
TOTAL INCREASE	10,350

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
State and Federal Grants Fund	10,350
TOTAL REDUCTION	10,350

SECTION 5. Except to the extent of matching funds, if any, approved in this ordinance, the council does not intend to use the revenues from any local tax regardless of source to supplement or extend the appropriation for the agencies or projects authorized by this ordinance. The supervisor of the agency or project, or both, and the auditor are directed to notify in writing the city-county council immediately upon receipt of any information that the agency or project is, or may be, reduced or eliminated.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION

PROPOSAL NO. 29, 1996. Councillor Borst reported that the Economic Development Committee heard Proposal No. 29, 1996 on January 18, 1996. The proposal approves the establishment of the Fort Harrison Reuse Area. By a 6-0-1 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Franklin, for adoption.

The President commended Councillor Borst on serving on the Fort Harrison Transition Task Force. Tom Bartlett, Senior Planner, Department of Metropolitan Development, reported on the future development of the Fort Harrison Reuse Area.

Proposal No. 29, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 YEAS: *Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams*
0 NAYS:

Proposal No. 29, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 5, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 5, 1996

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving the establishment of the Fort Harrison Reuse Area.

WHEREAS, the Fort Harrison Reuse Authority ("Authority") did on November 8, 1995, adopt a declaratory resolution ("Declaratory Resolution") establishing the Fort Harrison Reuse Area as a military base reuse area under IC 36-7-30; and

WHEREAS, IC 36-7-30-11(c) requires the determination that a geographic area is a military base reuse area be approved by the City-County Council of Indianapolis and Marion County; and

WHEREAS, the City-County Council reviewed the Declaratory Resolution and the Authority's Base Reuse Plan ("Plan") approved by the Declaratory Resolution and the written order of the Metropolitan Development Commission regarding the Declaratory Resolution and the Plan; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Council hereby finds and determines that it is in the best interests of the City of Indianapolis and Marion County to establish a military base reuse area in the Fort Harrison Reuse Area as described in the Declaratory Resolution.

SECTION 2. The Council hereby approves the establishment in the area known as the Fort Harrison Reuse Area of a military base reuse area as described in the Declaratory Resolution and in Exhibit A to the Declaratory Resolution, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk and shall be attached to the official copy of this resolution.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NOS. 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, and 27, 1996. Councillor Gilmer reported that the Capital Asset Management Committee heard these proposals on January 17, 1996. By 8-0 votes, the Committee reported the proposals to the Council with the recommendation that they do pass.

PROPOSAL NO. 13, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Schneider, authorizes a traffic signal at 82nd Street and Sycamore Springs Road (4700 E) (District 3). Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Schneider, for adoption. Proposal No. 13, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 YEAS: Black, Borst, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams

0 NAYS:

1 NOT VOTING: Boyd

Proposal No. 13, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 1, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 1, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
5, Pg. 9	82nd St, Sycamore Springs Rd (4700 E)	None	Signal

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 14, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Coughenour and Smith, authorizes a traffic signal for Menard's located at 7100 South Emerson (Districts 24, 23). Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Smith, for adoption. Proposal No. 14, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams

0 NAYS:

2 NOT VOTING: Black, Coughenour

Proposal No. 14, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 2, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 2, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
47, Pg. 2	Emerson Av, Menard's at 7100 S. Emerson	None	Signal

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 15, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Coughenour and Smith, authorizes a traffic signal at Stop 11 Road and Emerson Avenue (Districts 23, 24). Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Coughenour, for adoption. Proposal No. 15, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 YEAS: Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams
0 NAYS:

Proposal No. 15, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 3, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 3, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
47, Pg. 2	Emerson Av, Stop 11 Rd	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
47, Pg. 2	Emerson Av, Stop 11 Rd	None	Signal

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 16, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Smith, authorizes a traffic signal at Southport Road and Rampart Road (Meijer's access drive) (District 23). Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Smith, for adoption. Proposal No. 16, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 YEAS: *Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams*

0 NAYS:

1 NOT VOTING: *Black*

Proposal No. 16, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 4, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 4, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
47, Pg. 5	Southport Rd, Rampart Rd (5200 East, Meijer's Access Drive)	None	Signal

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 19, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Jones and Tilford, authorizes a traffic signal for Shadeland Avenue (2525 N) and Western Select Properties Access Drive (Districts 10, 12). Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Jones, for adoption. Proposal No. 19, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 YEAS: *Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams*

0 NAYS:

Proposal No. 19, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 5, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 5, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
20	Shadeland Av (2525 N) Western Select Access Dr	None	Signal

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NOS. 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, and 27, 1996. Councillor Gilmer asked for consent to vote on these eight proposals together. Consent was given. PROPOSAL NO. 20, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Bradford, authorizes a multi-way stop at 64th Street and Rural Street (District 7). PROPOSAL NO. 21, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Bradford, authorizes stop signs at 67th Street and Oxford Street (District 7). PROPOSAL NO. 22, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Gray, authorizes a multi-way stop at Coburn Avenue and 64th Street (District 9). PROPOSAL NO. 23, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Moriarty Adams, authorizes a multi-way stop at Oakland Avenue and North Street (District 15). PROPOSAL NO. 24, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Talley, authorizes "Yield" signs at Euclid Avenue and Linwood Avenue (District 14). PROPOSAL NO. 25, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Hinkle, authorizes parking restrictions for Maradona Drive and 21st Street to a point 500 feet south of 21st Street (District 18). PROPOSAL NO. 26, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Tilford, authorizes parking restrictions for 33rd Street from Franklin Road to Post Road (District 12). PROPOSAL NO. 27, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Jones, authorizes parking restrictions for 30th Street at Forest Manor to a point 100 feet west of Forest Manor Avenue (District 10). Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Schneider, for adoption. Proposal Nos. 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, and 27, 1996 were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 YEAS: Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams
0 NAYS:

Proposal No. 20, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 6, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 6, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

January 22, 1996

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
11, Pg. 24	64th St, Rural St	Rural St	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
11, Pg. 24	64th St, Rural St	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 21, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 7, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 7, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
11, Pg. 21	67th St, Oxford St	Oxford St	Stop

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 22, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 8, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 8, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
10, Pg. 4	Coburn Av, 64th St	64th St	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
10, Pg. 4	Coburn Av, 64th St	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 23, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 9, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 9, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
4, Pg. 10	Oakland Av, North St	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 24, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 10, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 10, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
19, Pg. 13	Euclid Av Linwood Av	Euclid Av	Yield

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 25, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 11, 1996, and reads as follows:

January 22, 1996

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 11, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-268, Stopping, standing or parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-268, Stopping, standing, parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Maradona Drive, on both sides,
from Twenty-first Street to a point 500 feet
south of Twenty-first Street

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 26, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 12, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 12, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-268, Stopping, standing or parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-268, Stopping, standing, parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Thirty-third Street, on the north side,
from Franklin Road to Post Road

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 27, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 13, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 13, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-268, Stopping, standing or parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-268, Stopping, standing, parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Thirtieth Street, on the south side,
from Forest Manor Avenue to a point
100 feet west of Forest Manor Avenue

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT

The President said that the docketed agenda for this meeting of the Council having been completed, the Chair would entertain motions for adjournment.

Councillor Boyd stated that he has been asked to offer the following motion, for adjournment by:

- (1) Councillors Borst and Coughenour in memory of Urban I. Merl, Jr.;
- (2) Councillors McClamroch and Coughenour in memory of John R. Hammond;
- (3) Councillors Smith and Bradford in memory of James V. Fitzpatrick;
- (4) Councillor Smith in memory of William M. Schreiber;
- (5) Councillor Shambaugh in memory of Dr. Jordan L. Scull;
- (6) Councillors Jones and Boyd in memory of Richard Fuqua; and
- (7) Councillor Boyd in memory of Dr. Barbara A. Smith-Williams.

Councillor Boyd moved the adjournment of this meeting of the Indianapolis City-County Council in recognition of and respect for the life and contributions of Urban I. Merl, John R. Hammond, James V. Fitzpatrick, William M. Schreiber, Dr. Jordan L. Scull, Richard Fuqua, and Dr. Barbara A. Smith-Williams. He respectfully asked the support of fellow Councillors. He further requested that the motion be made a part of the permanent records of this body and that a letter bearing the Council seal and the signature of the President be sent to the families advising of this action.

There being no further business, and upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned at 8:20 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the regular concurrent meetings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis-Marion County, Indiana, and Indianapolis Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils on the 22nd day of January, 1996.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.



President

ATTEST:



Clerk of the Council

(SEAL)