

**MINUTES OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
AND
SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS
OF
INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA**

**REGULAR MEETINGS
MONDAY, OCTOBER 14, 1996**

The City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana and the Indianapolis Police Special Service District Council, Indianapolis Fire Special Service District Council and Indianapolis Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Council convened in regular concurrent sessions in the Council Chamber of the City-County Building at 7:12 p.m. on Monday, October 14, 1996, with Councillor SerVaas presiding.

Councillor Brents led the opening prayer and invited all present to join her in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

ROLL CALL

The President instructed the Clerk to take the roll call and requested members to register their presence on the voting machine. The roll call was as follows:

28 PRESENT: Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams
1 ABSENT: Gilmer

A quorum of twenty-eight members being present, the President called the meeting to order.

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS AND VISITORS

Councillor Dowden introduced a delegation of Moscow officials visiting the City of Indianapolis: Deputy Moscow City Dumas Victor Krougluiakov and Alexander Goroshko, Moscow Officers Tatiana Maksimova and Victor Sorokin, and interpreters Anya Tulpakova and Helen Kolodnikova. He also recognized local hosts Kimberley Barber, Mr. and Mrs. George Mattix, Bill Gothard, and Nathan O'Bryon. Ms. Tulpakova interpreted a written greeting prepared expressing a welcome to the Russian delegates. Mr. Krougluiakov thanked the Council for their reception and explained his City's changes in initiating a democratic political system. Mr. Goroshko presented the President with a booklet detailing the history of the Moscow City Duma (Duma is the Russian equivalent of Council), a book about the City of Moscow, and

postcards from his City. Mr. Sorokin presented the President with some souvenirs from Moscow, including a banner from the traffic control department of the City. The President welcomed Moscow's first popularly elected Council and wished them success in their visit to the City of Indianapolis.

Councillor Jones recognized Leon Brents, husband of Councillor Maggie Brents.

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

The President called for the reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

Ladies And Gentlemen :

You are hereby notified the REGULAR MEETINGS of the City-County Council and Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils will be held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on Monday, October 14, 1996, at 7:00 p.m., the purpose of such MEETINGS being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before regular meetings of the Councils.

Respectfully,
s/Beurt SerVaas
President, City-County Council

October 1, 1996

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in the *Court & Commercial Record* on Wednesday, October 2, 1996, and in the *Indianapolis Star* or the *Indianapolis News* on Thursday, October 3, 1996, a copy of a Notice of Public Hearing on Proposal Nos. 581, 641, 642, 643, 645, and 646, 1996, said hearing to be held on Monday, October 14, 1996, at 7:00 p.m. in the City-County Building.

Respectfully,
s/Suellen Hart
Clerk of the City-County Council

October 10, 1996

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in the *Court & Commercial Record* on Wednesday, October 16, 1996, and the *Indianapolis Star* or the *Indianapolis News* on Friday, October 18, 1996, a copy of a Legal Notice of General Ordinance No. 138, 1996.

Respectfully,
s/Suellen Hart
Clerk of the City-County Council

October 14, 1996

October 9, 1996

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Suellen Hart, the following ordinances:

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 91, 1996 - the annual budget for the Revenue Bonds Debt Service Funds for 1997

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 92, 1996 - the annual budget for the Marion County Office of Family and Children for 1997

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 93, 1996 - the annual budget for the Metropolitan Emergency Communications Agency for 1997

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 94, 1996 - the annual budget for Indianapolis and Marion County for 1997

POLICE SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 1, 1996 - the annual budget for the Police Special Service District for 1997

FIRE SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 1, 1996 - the annual budget for the Fire Special Service District for 1997

SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 1, 1996 - the annual budget for the Solid Waste Collection Special Service District for 1997

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 138, 1996 - amends the Code and the Revised Code by replacing the licensure of various business activities with a system of registrations and removes the requirement of annual fees and renewal applications

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 139, 1996 - amends the Code by changing leave benefits and hours worked by sworn firefighters

GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 14, 1996 - approves the Metropolitan Development Commission's determination that the Naval Air Warfare Center Economic Development Area is an economic development area pursuant to the Redevelopment Act

Respectfully,
s/Stephen Goldsmith, Mayor

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The President proposed the adoption of the agenda as distributed. Without objection, the agenda was adopted.

APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL

The President called for additions or corrections to the Journal of September 30, 1996. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved as distributed.

**PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS, AND
COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS**

PROPOSAL NO. 676, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Short, remembers the life of Jack E. Reich. Councillor Short read the proposal and presented copies of the document to Mr. Reich's daughters, Rosemary Semler and Judith Hoyt, and their husbands. Jack Hoyt thanked the Council for this resolution. Councillor Short moved, seconded by Councillor McClamroch, for adoption. Proposal No. 676, 1996 was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 676, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 55, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 55, 1996

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION remembering the life of Jack E. Reich.

WHEREAS, Jack E. Reich was a corporate legend, a visionary and was community-minded; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Reich attended Purdue University from 1928 to 1931, and during the Great Depression was fortunate enough to be hired by the Indiana Gross Income Tax Division; and

WHEREAS, in 1939, he went with the revitalizing Indiana Chamber of Commerce where he rose to the top staff position, and during the 1960's was President of the private Indianapolis Water Company and finally became Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of American United Life Insurance Company; and

WHEREAS, during his leadership at AUL the insurance company grew at an impressive rate, and the insurance giant moved to its striking new downtown headquarters building; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Reich generously gave back to his city his many talents and resources, most notably with the Greater Indianapolis Progress Committee, the United Way and to a number of Catholic Church benevolent agencies; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council pauses to remember the life and works of community leader and friend, Jack E. Reich.

SECTION 2. The Council extends its sympathy to his wife Jean, daughters Rosemary Semler and Judith Hoyt, and to his many grandchildren and great-grandchildren.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 677, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Franklin, recognizes Pearl White Wheeler. Councillor Franklin read the proposal and stated that Ms. Wheeler had passed away the week previous. Councillor Franklin moved, seconded by Councillor Curry, for adoption. Proposal No. 677, 1996 was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 677, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 56, 1996, and reads as follows:

October 14, 1996

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 56, 1996

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing Pearl White Wheeler.

WHEREAS, Pearl White Wheeler has given a lifetime of generous hands-on community service in Indianapolis; and

WHEREAS, in the 1960's, she lead a Scouting Campfire Girls program with 212 girls, and was a Director of Girls Club; and

WHEREAS, she has been a part of the Citizens Health Center since its beginning in the early 1970's; and

WHEREAS, in 1970, Ms. Wheeler worked for the city government's Model City's Department, and two years later was elected President of the Martindale Neighborhood Health Council; and

WHEREAS, she has also served the community as a Board Member of the Marion County Mental Health Association, Mayor Lugar's Advisory Council for Women's Programs, Center Township Chairman of the American Cancer Society and as OIC Youth Program Director; and

WHEREAS, during the past thirty years, Pearl White Wheeler has received many awards and commendations including those from St. John AME Church for Faithfulness, recognition by the Girls Clubs of America, WIFE Radio's Public Servant Award and recognition from the National Association of Community Health Centers at its 21st National Convention; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes Pearl White Wheeler for her decades of continuous generosity to help those who need assistance, and for serving as a role model for literally thousands of folks--especially young people--in Indianapolis.

SECTION 2. It is citizens like Ms. Wheeler who help make our city a more civilized, compassionate and livable place.

SECTION 3. May her life serve as an example and as an inspiration for others to become involved in the life of our community in any one of a thousand different ways.

SECTION 4. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 678, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Curry, Coughenour, and SerVaas, recognizes WCTY Cable TV 16 for earning national awards. Councillor Curry read the proposal and presented Telecommunications Coordinator Rick Maultra, Station Manager Ken Montgomery, and Producer Adriana deAguiar with copies of the document. Mr. Maultra thanked the Council for this recognition. Councillor Curry moved, seconded by Councillor Coughenour, for adoption. Proposal No. 678, 1996 was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 678, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 57, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 57, 1996

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing WCTY Cable TV 16 for earning national awards.

WHEREAS, during the past month, Indianapolis WCTY Cable TV 16 has received the highest acclaim in local programming from two national bodies; and

WHEREAS, The Alliance for Community Media presented Channel 16 its Jewell Ryan White Award for contributions to cultural diversity at its annual conference in Washington, D.C.; and

WHEREAS, additionally, the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors (NATOA) honored the City of Indianapolis with its annual Impact Award For Programming specifically for the Indianapolis television station's Hoosier History episode "Indiana's Jewish Immigrants," which is twice during the past four years that the Hoosier state's capitol city has won this award; and

WHEREAS, the Hoosier History series that was created and produced by WCTY's Rick Maultra has visited and documented the cultures of the city's Jewish, Italian, African-American, Irish, Greek, Slovenian and near-southside German communities while there are first and second generation immigrants still here to preserve this rich cultural heritage; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes and congratulates WCTY Cable TV 16 for its outstanding documentary series, Hoosier History, and its being judged by their peers as being the best in the nation.

SECTION 2. Indianapolis is well served by the history and lore of its people being captured on film that has been well researched and narrated by WCTY Channel 16 TV.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 679, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Hinkle and SerVaas, recognizes the World War II 64th Troop Carrier Group veterans. Councillor Hinkle read the proposal and stated that Councillor SerVaas would present copies of the resolution to veterans attending the troop's annual dinner. Councillor Hinkle moved, seconded by Councillor SerVaas, for adoption. Proposal No. 679, 1996 was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 679, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 58, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 58, 1996

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing the World War II 64th Troop Carrier Group veterans.

WHEREAS, during the Second World War the Troop Carrier Command's work was to carry airborne troops to their drop locations, deliver supplies by air, fly wounded soldiers to the hospitals and tow glider planes to their drop locations; and

WHEREAS, the Command was headquartered at Stout Field which is now a part of Indianapolis; and

WHEREAS, the principal airplane used by the Troop Carriers was the workhorse of the war and for many years thereafter, the twin engine C-47; and

WHEREAS, the 64th Troop Carrier Group saw an extraordinary amount of activity between 1942 and 1945 in the U.S., England, North Africa, Italy, then on to India to help the Southeast Asian war effort, and finally back to the European Theater for military duties and to air drop relief supplies to newly liberated cities and prisoner of war camps; and

WHEREAS, during those three long and difficult years the Group flew 48,000 tons of supplies and 320,000 passengers, patients and troops, was commended by General Eisenhower and received numerous medals for heroism, sacrifice and achievement; now, therefore:

October 14, 1996

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes and welcomes the air and ground crews of the 64th Troop Carrier Group who were trained to do their jobs and proceeded to do the work that needed to be done during the Second World War.

SECTION 2. The engines are silent and the war has long since ended, and now the 64th Troop Carrier veterans are reuniting in Indianapolis to share their memories, to reflect upon those youthful days so long ago, to reverently remember those comrades who have departed, to recall the good times as well as some terrifying moments and to hope against all hope that someday God's people will learn to live in peace.

SECTION 3. The people of Indianapolis hope that this reunion is a very positive and memorable experience for these American heroes and their families and friends.

SECTION 4. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 699, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor McClamroch, establishes a Task Force on Court Related Budgeting. Councillor McClamroch read the proposal and explained its intent.

Councillor Williams congratulated Councillor McClamroch for initiating a proposal that is long overdue.

Councillor Boyd stated that he will vote against Proposal No. 699, 1996 because he does not understand all the implications of this proposal. Councillor McClamroch stated that he hopes Councillor Boyd will understand more clearly after the Committee discussions.

Councillor McClamroch moved, seconded by Councillor Dowden, for adoption. Proposal No. 699, 1996 was adopted by a voice vote.

Proposal No. 699, 1996 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 52, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 52, 1996

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION establishing a Task Force on Court Related Budgeting.

WHEREAS, the structural unification of the Superior and Municipal Courts in Marion County will be fully effected after January 1, 1997; and

WHEREAS, the 1997 budget requests from the Superior Court and other components of the civil and criminal justice system, suggested for substantial increases in funding; and

WHEREAS, the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee recommended the establishment of a Task Force to review the needs for additional funding and before proposing additional appropriations for the 1997 budget year; now therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Council hereby establishes a Task Force on Court Related Budgeting to examine the civil and criminal justice system in Marion County, including, but not limited to, court unification, probation services, and the public defender agency. The Task Force shall review the staffing, operational,

and budgetary requirements and recommend to the Council such changes and increases as may be appropriate, particularly with respect to those "over-the-guideline" budget requests for 1997.

SECTION 2. Membership of the Task Force shall consist of:

- (1) The majority leader of the Council, who shall serve as chairman of the Task Force;
- (2) Three (3) judges of the Marion Superior Court appointed by the presiding judge of that court;
- (3) The minority leader of the Council and one additional Councillor appointed by the minority leader;
- (4) Councillors William Dowden and Ron Franklin;
- (5) Prosecuting Attorney, Scott Newman; County Auditor, John von Arx; County Sheriff, Jack Cottey; County Clerk, Sarah Taylor; Chief Public Defender, David Cook; Chief Probation Officer, George Walker; and Director of Public Safety, Michael Beaver; and
- (6) Up to four (4) additional members appointed by the president of the Council.

SECTION 3. The president of the Council may authorize such staff for the Task Force as may be appropriate.

INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL NO. 659, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which is an appropriation transferring \$2,636 in the County General Fund for the County Coroner to increase part-time deputy coroners due to an increased case load"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 660, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which is an appropriation of \$140,947 for the Prosecuting Attorney to continue funding six victim advocates working in various courts financed by federal and state grants"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 661, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which is an appropriation transferring \$20,000 in the County General Fund for the Forensic Services Agency to pay for additional chemicals, reagents, and supplies used in processing evidence"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 662, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Curry. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Resolution which concerns cable television"; and the President referred it to the Rules and Public Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 663, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Curry. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Resolution which regards telecommunications policy"; and the President referred it to the Rules and Public Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 664, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Curry. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Resolution which regards local government's authority over the public rights-of-way"; and the President referred it to the Rules and Public Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 665, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Curry. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Resolution which regards cellular and satellite antenna zoning preemption"; and the President referred it to the Rules and Public Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 666, 1996. Introduced by Councillor SerVaas. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes multi-way stops for Arden Drive and Pennsylvania Street, and for Arden Drive and Central Avenue (Districts 2, 7)"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 667, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Gray. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a multi-way stop for 55th Street and Alton Avenue (Districts 2, 9)"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 668, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a multi-way stop for Country Walk Circle/Country Walk Drive and Wild Ivy Drive (District 24)"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 669, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Golc. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a multi-way stop at Market Street and Vine Street (District 17)"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 670, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Hinkle. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a multi-way stop at Fullerton Drive and Thousand Oaks Drive (District 18)"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 671, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Hinkle. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a multi-way stop at Arbor Woods Drive and Bower Drive (District 18)"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 672, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Short. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a multi-way stop at Laurel Street and Spann Avenue (District 21)"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 673, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Brents. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes parking restrictions on both sides of New Jersey Street between Merrill Street and the first alley north of Henry Street (District 16)"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 674, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a decrease in speed limit from 40 mph to 35 mph on Rodebaugh Road between 62nd Street and 71st Street (District 1)"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 675, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a weight limit restriction on Epler Avenue from Concord Street to Warman Avenue (District 25)"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 698, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Hinkle. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which is an appropriation of \$260,000 for the County Recorder to convert 1987 through 1993 microfilm documents to optical platters for the document imaging system financed by County Recorder's Perpetuation Fund balance"; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PRIORITY BUSINESS

Councillor Borst reported that the Economic Development Committee heard Proposal Nos. 680-683, 1996 on October 9, 1996. By 8-0 votes, the Committee reported the proposals to the Council with the recommendation that they do pass.

PROPOSAL NO. 680, 1996. The proposal is a special ordinance for the Archdiocese of Indianapolis authorizing the design, acquisition, construction, equipping and furnishing of educational facilities located in Indianapolis in an amount not to exceed \$60,000,000 (Districts throughout Marion County). Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Coonrod, for adoption. Proposal No. 680, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 YEAS: Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams

0 NAYS:

1 ABSENT: Gilmer

Proposal No. 680, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 17, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 17, 1996

A SPECIAL ORDINANCE authorizing the City of Indianapolis to issue its \$60,000,000 City of Indianapolis, Indiana Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Series 1996 (Archdiocese of Indianapolis Educational Facilities Project) (the "Bonds"), and approving and authorizing other actions in respect thereto.

WHEREAS, Indiana Code Title 36, Article 7, Chapters 11.9 and 12 (collectively, the "Act") declares that the financing and refinancing of economic development facilities constitutes a public purpose; and

WHEREAS, the Act provides that an issuer may, pursuant to the Act, issue revenue bonds and lend the proceeds thereof to a corporation, partnership or individual for the purpose of financing costs of acquisition or construction of facilities, including real and personal property, for diversification of economic development and promotion of job opportunities in or near such issuer; and

WHEREAS, a representative of the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Company") has requested that the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Issuer") issue bonds and lend the proceeds thereof to the Company in order to enable the Company to undertake and complete the following economic development facilities (as defined in the Act): (A) the design, acquisition, construction, equipping and furnishing of two new grade schools (K8) at the following locations (1) Holy Angels Catholic Church, 740 West 28th Street, and (2) St. Simon Catholic Church, 8155 Oaklandon Road; (B) the

October 14, 1996

design, construction, renovation, equipping and furnishing of existing grade schools (K-8) at the following locations: (1) All Saints School, 337 North Warman Avenue, (2) Central Catholic School, 1155 East Cameron Street, (3) Christ the King Catholic Church, 5858 Crittenden Avenue, (4) Holy Cross Central School, 125 North Oriental Street, (5) Holy Spirit Catholic Church, 7243 East 10th Street, (6) Immaculate Heart Catholic Church, 317 East 57th Street, (7) Nativity of Our Lord Jesus Christ, 7225 Southeastern Avenue, (8) Our Lady of Lourdes Catholic Church, 30 South Downey Avenue, (9) St. Andrew Catholic Church, 3922 East 38th Street, (10) St. Barnabas Catholic Church, 8300 Rahke Road, (11) St. Christopher Catholic Church, 5335 West 16th Street, (12) St. Gabriel Catholic Church, 6000 West 34th Street, (13) St. Joan of Arc Catholic Church, 500 East 42nd Street, (14) St. Jude Catholic Church, 5353 McFarland Road, (15) St. Lawrence Catholic Church, 4650 North Shadeland Avenue, (16) St. Luke Catholic Church, 7650 North Illinois Street, (17) St. Mark Catholic Church, 535 East Edgewood Avenue, (18) St. Matthew Catholic Church, 4100n East 56th Street, (19) St. Michael Catholic Church, 3354 West 30th Street, (20) St. Monica Catholic Church, 6131 North Michigan Road, (21) St. Philip Neri Catholic Church, 545 North Eastern Ave., (22) St. Pius X Catholic Church, 7200 Sarto Drive, (23) St. Rita Catholic Church, 1733 Dr. Andrew J. Brown Avenue, (24) St. Roch Catholic Church, 3603 S. Meridian Street, (25) St. Theresa (Little Flower), 4720 East 13th Street, and (26) St. Thomas Aquinas Catholic Church, 4625 North Kenwood Avenue, (C) the design, construction, renovation, equipping and furnishing of existing high schools (grades 9-12) at the following locations: (1) Bishop Chatard High School, 5885 North Crittenden Avenue, (2) Cardinal Ritter High School, 3360 West 30th Street, (3) Roncalli High School, 3300 Prague Road and (4) Seccina Memorial High School, 5000 North Nowland Avenue, and (D) the acquisition of land, site development, design, construction, equipping and furnishing of support facilities at existing cemeteries at the following locations: (1) Our Lady of Peace Cemetery, 9001 Haverstick Road and (2) Calvary Cemetery, 435 West Troy Avenue (collectively, the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission has rendered a report of the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission concerning the proposed financing of economic development facilities for the Company and the Metropolitan Development Commission of Marion County has commented thereon; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to and in accordance with the Act, the Issuer desires to provide funds to finance the acquisition, installation and equipping of the Project by issuing its \$60,000,000 City of Indianapolis, Indiana Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Series 1996 (Archdiocese of Indianapolis Educational Facilities Project) (the "Bonds"); and

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, after a public hearing conducted pursuant to Indiana Code Title 36, Article 7, Chapter 12, Section 24, adopted a Resolution finding that the financing of the Project complies with the purposes and provisions of the Act and that such financing will be of benefit to the health and welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer intends to issue the Bonds pursuant to the Trust Indenture dated as of October 15, 1996 (the "Bond Indenture") between the Issuer and Fifth Third Bank of Central Indiana, Indianapolis, Indiana, as trustee (the "Bond Trustee") and Fifth Third Bank, as the Paying Agent and Registrar, in order to obtain funds to lend to the Borrower, pursuant to the Loan Agreement dated as of October 15, 1996 (the "Loan Agreement") between the Issuer and the Borrower, pursuant to the Master Trust Indenture (as the same may be amended or supplemented from time to time in accordance with the provisions thereof, hereinafter referred to as the "Master Indenture") the Supplemental Master Indenture No. 1", each dated as of October 15, 1996, by and among the Borrower, the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Indianapolis Properties, Inc., an Indiana nonprofit corporation (hereinafter referred as "Catholic Properties"), the Catholic Community Foundation, Inc., an Indiana nonprofit corporation hereinafter referred as "Catholic Foundation"), and Fifth Third Bank of Central Indiana, Indianapolis, Indiana, as trustee (the "Master Trustee"), an pursuant to the Note issued by the Borrower to the Issuer in a principal amount equal to the aggregate principal amount of the Bonds and dated the same date as the date of the Bonds (the "Note"), for the purpose of financing or providing reimbursement for the costs of financing the Project including a portion of the interest on the Bonds during construction, funding a debt service reserve fund for the Bonds, obtaining credit enhancement for the Bonds, and paying certain costs of issuing the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Loan Agreement, Master Indenture and Note provide for the repayment by the Borrower of the loan of the proceeds of the Bonds pursuant to which the Borrower will agree to make payments sufficient to pay the principal and interest on the Bonds as the same come due and payable and to pay administrative expenses in connection with the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the financing will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating in the same market area or in or about Marion County, Indiana; and

WHEREAS, substantially final forms of the Loan Agreement, Bond Indenture, Master Indenture (including the Supplemental Master Indenture No. 1), Note, Bond Purchase Agreement among the Issuer, Borrower and PaineWebber Incorporated (the "Underwriter"), Preliminary Official Statement, and the form of the Bonds (hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Financing Documents") regarding the Bonds have been presented at this meeting and reviewed by the Commission; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. It is hereby found that the financing of the economic development facilities referred to in the Financing Documents consisting of the Project, the issuance and sale of the Bonds, the loan of the net proceeds thereof to the Borrower for the purposes of financing or providing reimbursement for a portion of the cost of the Project, and the repayment of said loan by the Borrower will be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens and does comply with the purposes and provisions of the Act.

SECTION 2. The forms of the Financing Documents are hereby approved in their substantially final forms.

SECTION 3. The Issuer shall issue its Bonds in the aggregate principal amount not to exceed Sixty Million Dollars (\$60,000,000) for the purpose of procuring funds to loan to the Borrower in order to finance or provide reimbursement for a portion of the cost of the Project which Bonds will be payable as to principal and interest solely from the payments made by the Borrower or other members of the Obligated Group (as defined in the Master Indenture) pursuant to the Loan Agreement, Master Indenture and Note to evidence and secure said loan and as otherwise provided in the above described Financing Documents. The Bonds shall never constitute a general obligation of, an indebtedness of, or charge against the general credit of the Issuer.

SECTION 4. The Issuer shall deem the Preliminary Official Statement final as of its date, except for the omission of no more than the following information: the offering price(s), interest rate(s), selling compensation, aggregate principal amount, principal amount per maturity, delivery dates, ratings and other terms of the securities depending on such matters. The Issuer shall authorize the Mayor, the City Clerk or any other officer of the Issuer familiar with the matters with respect to the Issuer set forth in the Preliminary Official Statement to certify to the Underwriter that the information in the Preliminary Official Statement with respect to the Issuer is deemed to be final as stated above prior to the distribution of the Preliminary Official Statement.

SECTION 5. The Bonds may be sold either as serial or term bonds, or any combination thereof, maturing annually, and with a final maturity date on or before July 1, 2026, as finally set forth in the Financing Documents. The aggregate principal amount of term bonds annually subject to mandatory redemption at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount thereof through the operation of a sinking fund provisions of the Bond Indenture shall not exceed Sixty Million Dollars (\$60,000,000). The bonds shall be sold subject to optional redemption, commencing no sooner than July 1, 2006 and no later than July 1, 2008, at a redemption price not to exceed 102% of its principal amount in the first year such Bonds are subject to optional redemption and declining to 100% of its principal amount by no later than the end of the third year thereafter, as finally set forth in the Financing Documents.

SECTION 6. The City Clerk and City Controller are authorized and directed to sell such Bonds to the Underwriter thereof at a price not less than ninety-five percent (95%) of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest, if any, and at a stated per annum rate of interest not to exceed eight percent (8%) per annum. The use of a Final Official Statement in substantially the same form as the Preliminary Official Statement approved herein is approved for use and distribution by the Underwriter and its agents in connection with the marketing of the Bonds.

SECTION 7. The Mayor and City Clerk are authorized and directed to execute those Financing Documents approved herein which require the signature of the Mayor and City Clerk and any other document which may be necessary or desirable to consummate the transaction, and their execution is hereby confirmed on behalf of the Issuer. The signatures of the Mayor and the City Clerk on the Bonds may be facsimile signatures. The City Clerk and City Controller are authorized to arrange for the delivery of such Bonds to the purchaser, payment for which will be made in the manner set forth in the Financing

October 14, 1996

Documents. The Mayor and City Clerk may, by their execution of the Financing Documents requiring their signatures and imprinting of their facsimile signatures thereon, approve changes therein and also in those Financing Documents which do not require the signature of the Mayor and/or City Clerk without further approval of the Commission if such changes do not affect terms set forth in Indiana Code Title 36, Article 7, Chapter 12, Section 27(a)(1) through (a)(10).

SECTION 8. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Councillor Borst asked for consent to vote on Proposal Nos. 681 and 682, 1996 together, as they are project extensions requested by the same developer for the same reasons. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 681, 1996. The proposal extends S.R. 39, 1996 for Oakland Civic Charities Foundation in an amount not to exceed \$6,950,000 to proceed with the acquisition and renovation of a 162-unit building at 38th and Meridian Streets (The Summit House Project) (District 6). PROPOSAL NO. 682, 1996. The proposal extends S.R. 31, 1996 for Oakland Civic Charities Foundation in an amount not to exceed \$8,270,000 to proceed with the acquisition and renovation of a 324-unit facility located on several parcels between 5600 and 5900 West 38th Street (Eagle Terrace Apartments Project) (District 8). Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Shambaugh, for adoption. Councillor Moriarty Adams stated that she will abstain due to a potential conflict of interest. Proposal Nos. 681 and 682, 1996 were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 YEAS: *Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams*
0 NAYS:
1 NOT VOTING: *Moriarty Adams*
1 ABSENT: *Gilmer*

Proposal No. 681, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 59, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 59, 1996

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION amending City-County Special Resolution No. 39, 1996, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "City") is authorized by IC 36-7-11.9 and IC 36-7-12 (collectively, the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a company or the proceeds of the revenue bond issue may be loaned to the company and said facilities directly owned by the company; and

WHEREAS, City-County Special Resolution No. 39, 1996 has been previously adopted by the City-County Council of the City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana concerning certain proposed economic development facilities to be developed by Oakland Civic Charities Foundation (the "Company") for Summit House (the "Project") which resolution set an expiration date of October 31, 1996 unless the economic development revenue bonds for the Project (as defined in the Inducement Resolution) had been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon a showing of good cause by the Company, the City, by official action, extends the terms of the Inducement Resolution; and

WHEREAS, such bonds have not yet been issued as of the date of adoption of this City-County Special Resolution, but the Company has shown good cause to extend the aforesaid expiration date; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determined, ratifies and confirms that the Resolution is hereby amended by deleting the expiration date of October 31, 1996, contained therein and replacing said date with the date of April 30, 1997.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determined, ratifies and confirms that except as modified by Section 1 hereof, all other findings and provisions of the Inducement Resolution shall remain unchanged and are hereby reaffirmed and confirmed.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 682, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 60, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 60, 1996

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION amending City-County Special Resolution No. 31, 1996, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "City") is authorized by IC 36-7-11.9 and IC 36-7-12 (collectively, the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a company or the proceeds of the revenue bond issue may be loaned to the company and said facilities directly owned by the company; and

WHEREAS, City-County Special Resolution No. 31, 1996 has been previously adopted by the City-County Council of the City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana concerning certain proposed economic development facilities to be developed by Oakland Civic Charities Foundation (the "Company") for Eagle Terrace (the "Project") which resolution set an expiration date of October 31, 1996 unless the economic development revenue bonds for the Project (as defined in the Inducement Resolution) had been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon a showing of good cause by the Company, the City, by official action, extends the terms of the Inducement Resolution; and

WHEREAS, such bonds have not yet been issued as of the date of adoption of this City-County Special Resolution, but the Company has shown good cause to extend the aforesaid expiration date; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determined, ratifies and confirms that the Resolution is hereby amended by deleting the expiration date of October 31, 1996, contained therein and replacing said date with the date of April 30, 1997.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determined, ratifies and confirms that except as modified by Section 1 hereof, all other findings and provisions of the Inducement Resolution shall remain unchanged and are hereby reaffirmed and confirmed.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 683, 1996. The proposal is an Inducement Resolution for Ripple Creek, L.P. in an amount not to exceed \$11,500,000 to proceed with the acquisition and rehabilitation of the existing 400-unit Brittany Woods Apartment located at 5018 LeMans Drive (Ripple Creek, L.P. Project) (District 6). Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Black, for adoption. Councillor Moriarty Adams stated that she will abstain due to a potential conflict of interest. Proposal No. 683, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

October 14, 1996

27 YEAS: *Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams*

0 NAYS:

1 NOT VOTING: *Moriarty Adams*

1 ABSENT: *Gilmer*

Proposal No. 683, 1996 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 61, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 61, 1996

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Issuer") is authorized by IC 36-7-11.9 and IC 36-7-12 (collectively, the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a company or the proceeds of the revenue bond issue may be loaned to the company and said facilities directly owned by the company;

WHEREAS, Ripple Creek L.P., a yet to be formed limited partnership (the "Applicant"), has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the Issuer that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities referred to as the acquisition and substantial rehabilitation of the existing 400-unit Brittany Woods Apartment project which is located on an 18 acre parcel of land at 5018 LeMans Drive, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project");

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and the retention of opportunities for gainful employment and the creation of business opportunities to be achieved by the acquisition and substantial renovation of the Project will serve a public purpose and be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens;

WHEREAS, the acquisition and substantial renovation of the Project will not have an adverse competitive effect on similar facilities already constructed or operating within the jurisdiction of the Issuer; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. It finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the diversification of industry and the retention of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer, is desirable, serves a public purpose, and is of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer; and that it is in the public interest that this Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage the diversification of industry, the creation of business opportunities, and the retention of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer.

SECTION 2. It further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an amount not to exceed \$11,500,000 under the Act to be privately placed or publicly offered with credit enhancement for the acquisition and substantial renovation of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loan of the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the acquisition and substantial renovation of the Project will serve the public purposes referred to above in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition and substantial renovation of the Project, this Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided (a) that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant and (b) subject to the further caveat that this inducement resolution expires April 30, 1997, unless such bonds have been issued or an Ordinance authorizing the issuance of such bonds

has been adopted by the governing body of the Issuer prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon a showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer, by official action, extends the term of this inducement resolution; and (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development revenue bonds, provided that at the time of the proposed issuance of such bonds (a) this inducement resolution is still in effect and (b) if applicable, the aggregate amount of private activity bonds previously issued during that calendar year will not exceed the private activity bond limit for such calendar year, it being understood that the Issuer, by taking this action, is not making any representation nor any assurances that (1) any such allocable limit will be available, because inducement resolutions in an aggregate amount in excess of the private activity bond limit may and in all probability will be adopted; (2) the proposed Project will have no priority over other projects which have applied for such private activity bonds and have received inducement resolutions; and (3) no portion of such activity bond limit has been guaranteed for the proposed Project; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Applicant to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in the Act] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of the Act.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the date which is sixty (60) days prior to the adoption of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of monies expended by the Applicant for application fees, planning, engineering, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, and acquisition, renovation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the same purpose. Also certain indirect expenses incurred prior to such date will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project in accordance with the Final Regulations (T 8476) on Arbitrage Restrictions on Tax-Exempt Bonds in particular Section 1.150-2.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 684, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Hinkle. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "REZONING ORDINANCE certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on October 10, 1996." The Council did not schedule Proposal No. 684, 1996 for hearing pursuant to IC 36-7-4-608. Proposal No. 684, 1996 was retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 212, 1996, and is identified as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 212, 1996. 96-Z-113 (Amended)
382 EAST MORRIS STREET & 1104 SOUTH EAST STREET (approximate address),
INDIANAPOLIS.
CENTER TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 25
DONALD J. and MARSH J. THARP, by Peter D. Cleveland, request a rezoning of 2.148 acres, being in
the C-5, D-5, and D-8 Districts, to the C-3 classification to provide for a restaurant.

PROPOSAL NO. 685, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Hinkle. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "REZONING ORDINANCE certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on October 10, 1996." The Council did not schedule Proposal No. 685, 1996 for hearing pursuant to IC 36-7-4-608. Proposal No. 685, 1996 was retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 213, 1996, and is identified as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 213, 1996. 96-Z-123
8610 WEST WASHINGTON STREET and 2101 SOUTH BRIDGEPORT ROAD (approximate
address), INDIANAPOLIS.
WAYNE TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 19
STEVE SHOUP, by Philip Nicely, requests a rezoning of 36.48 acres, being in the D-A and D-3
Districts, to the C-S classification to provide for a mixed use development including commercial retail
uses; self-storage facility with an office and a resident manager; multifamily residential development;
and office/flex warehousing.

October 14, 1996

PROPOSAL NOS. 686-697, 1996. Introduced by Councillor Hinkle. The Clerk read the proposals entitled: "REZONING ORDINANCES certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on October 10, 1996." The Council did not schedule Proposal Nos. 686-697, 1996 for hearing pursuant to IC 36-7-4-608. Proposal Nos. 686-697, 1996 were retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NOS. 214-225, 1996, and are identified as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 214, 1996. 96-Z-179
702 EAST SOUTH COUNTY LINE ROAD (rear) and 6850 BUFFALO RUN DRIVE (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
PERRY TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 25
THE J.C. HART COMPANY, INC., by MICHAEL C. COOK, requests a rezoning of 4.21 acres, being in the D-A District, to the D-6II classification to provide multifamily residential development which may include for the construction of 40 apartment units in 4 buildings.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 215, 1996. 96-Z-196
5101 U.S. 31 SOUTH (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
PERRY TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 20
GENERAL HOTELS CORPORATION, by David R. Warshauer, requests a rezoning of 3.76 acres, being in the SU-34 District, to the C-6 classification to provide for the construction and operation of a hotel, accessory facilities and off-street parking.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 216, 1996. 96-Z-161
440 SOUTH BRIDGEPORT ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
WAYNE TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 19
EVERETT TODD AND SON FARM, INC., by Thomas Michael Quinn, request a rezoning of 71.7 acres, being in the I-3-S(FF) District, to the D-4(FF) classification to provide for the construction of a single-family residential development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 217, 1996. 96-Z-190
782 NORTH CONCORD STREET (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
WAYNE TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 16
INDIANAPOLIS PUBLIC HOUSING AUTHORITY requests a rezoning of 11.927 acres, being in the D-7 District, to the D-P classification to provide for the construction of single- and two-family residences.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 218, 1996. 96-Z-162
527 LEON STREET (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
CENTER TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 22
JOHN and MARLENE SIMEONE request the rezoning of 0.09 acre, being in the I-3-U District, to the D-8 classification to conform to the recommendation of the Lockerbie Square Historic Area Plan and the existing use.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 219, 1996. 96-Z-138
6225 KNOLLTON ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 9
JESUS IS LORD CHRISTIAN FELLOWSHIP CHURCH requests a rezoning of 1.25 acres, being in the D-A District, to the SU-1 classification to provide for a the construction of a church and related use.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 220, 1996. 96-Z-160
1850 SOUTH MUESSING ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
WARREN TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 13
RONALD R. and SANDRA K. COX, by David A. Retherford, requests a rezoning of 76.725 acres, being in the D-A(FF)(FW) District, to the D-S(FF)(FW) classification to provide for the construction of a residential development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 221, 1996. 96-Z-173
279, 281 NORTH ADDISON STREET and 282, 278 NORTH BELLEVIEW PLACE (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
WAYNE TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 17

DEPARTMENT OF METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT requests a rezoning of 0.478 acre, being in the C-3 District, to the D-5 classification to provide for residential uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 222, 1996. 96-Z-175
6945 WEST MORRIS STREET (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
WAYNE TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 19
WILLIAM E. SODRELL and JEROME BREWSTER request a rezoning of 3.11 acres, being in the D-A District, to the D-5 classification to provide for residential development which may include the construction of 15 lot single-family residential subdivision.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 223, 1996. 96-Z-176
1701 EAST 38TH STREET , 3743-3755 NORTH SUTHERLAND AVENUE, and 3721-3739 NORTH SCHOFIELD AVENUE (approximate addresses), INDIANAPOLIS.
CENTER TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 11
HOOSIER COMPANIES, INC., by Mitch Sever, requests a rezoning of 1.65 acres, being in the D-5 District, to the I-2-U classification to provide for I-2-S industrial uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 224, 1996. 96-Z-177
2301 POST DRIVE (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
WARREN TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 12
POST 70 PARTNERS, L.P., by Thomas Michael Quinn, requests a rezoning of 4.15 acres, being in the I-2-S District, to the C-4 classification to provide for C-4 commercial uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 225, 1996. 96-CP-28Z (96-DP-14)
7625 KNAPP ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 23
AGRI-VEST DEVELOPMENT, INC., by David A. Retherford, requests a rezoning of 37 acres, being in the D-A(FF) District, to the D-P(FF) classification to provide for a single-family residential development.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PUBLIC HEARING

PROPOSAL NO. 309, 1996. Councillor Shambaugh, as Acting Chairman at the October 1, 1996 Committee meeting, reported that the Administration and Finance Committee heard Proposal No. 309, 1996 on October 1, 1996. The proposal is a transfer of \$614,219 in the County General Fund to allow various county agencies to pay their 1996 ISA charges. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass as amended.

Councillor Borst asked if this proposal was simply a re-distribution of budgeted money. Kim Didier, Deputy Director of the Information Services Agency, confirmed that these monies were presently budgeted and will simply be re-distributed between agencies.

The President called for public testimony at 8:19 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Shambaugh moved, seconded by Councillor Coonrod, for adoption. Proposal No. 309, 1996, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 YEAS: Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams
0 NAYS:
1 ABSENT: Gilmer

Proposal No. 309, 1996, as amended was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 95, 1996, and reads as follows:

October 14, 1996

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 95, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) transferring and appropriating an additional Six Hundred Fourteen Thousand Two Hundred Nineteen Dollars (\$614,219) in the County General Fund for purposes of the various county agencies and reducing certain other appropriations for other county agencies.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(b,d,f,g,h,i,k,l,m,n,o,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,x,y,z,aa,bb,cc,ee) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purpose of various county agencies to pay their 1996 ISA charges.

SECTION 2. The sum of Six Hundred Fourteen Thousand Two Hundred Nineteen Dollars (\$614,219) be, and the same is hereby, transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>COUNTY CORONER</u>	<u>COUNTY GENERAL FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	6,300
<u>MARION COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER AGENCY</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	18,585
<u>PROSECUTING ATTORNEY</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	118,394
<u>FORENSIC SERVICES AGENCY</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	18,850
<u>COUNTY SHERIFF</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	50,158
<u>MARION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	368,596
<u>COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	3,172
<u>MARION COUNTY CHILDREN'S GUARDIAN HOME</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	668
<u>COUNTY AUDITOR</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	27,594
<u>PROSECUTOR'S CHILD SUPPORT IV-D AGENCY</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	<u>1,902</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	614,219

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

<u>CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT</u>	<u>COUNTY GENERAL FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	62,191
<u>VOTER'S REGISTRATION</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	148
<u>CENTER TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	10,558

<u>DECATUR TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	5,998
<u>LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	7,767
<u>PERRY TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	7,129
<u>WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	9,974
<u>WAYNE TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	16,358
<u>CIRCUIT COURT</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	700
<u>MARION COUNTY JUSTICE AGENCY</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	12,556
<u>COUNTY RECORDER</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	17,348
<u>COUNTY TREASURER</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	413,992
<u>COUNTY ASSESSOR</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	774
<u>FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	10,091
<u>PIKE TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	22,357
<u>WARREN TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR</u>	
3. Other Services and Charges	<u>16,278</u>
TOTAL DECREASE	614,219

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 581, 1996. Councillor Shambaugh reported that the Administration and Finance Committee heard Proposal No. 581, 1996 on October 1, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$27,956 for the Franklin Township Assessor to allow five full-time employees to reach the midpoint of their pay ranges financed from the County General Fund balances. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee postponed the proposal until October 29, 1996. Councillor Shambaugh moved, seconded by Councillor Smith, to postpone Proposal No. 581, 1996 until November 11, 1996. Proposal No. 581, 1996 was postponed by a unanimous voice vote.

PROPOSAL NO. 585, 1996. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 585, 1996 on October 2, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$2,350,000 for the County Sheriff to pay for renovation of the Service Supply Building to be used as a jail annex financed by a grant from the United States Marshals Service. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

Councillor Williams stated that she will be voting in favor of this proposal but wishes to state for the record that the business people of her district are not opposing this jail facility with the understanding that the relocation of Market Square Arena be kept within her district. Councillor McClamroch stated that a relocation site has not been verified at this time.

The President called for public testimony at 8:23 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Schneider, for adoption. Proposal No. 585, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 YEAS: Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams
1 NAY: Gray
1 ABSENT: Gilmer

Proposal No. 585, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 96, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 96, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) appropriating an additional Two Million Three Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$2,350,000) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the County Sheriff and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(y) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the County Sheriff for the renovation of the Service Supply building for use as a jail annex.

SECTION 2. The sum of Two Million Three Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$2,350,000) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>COUNTY SHERIFF</u>	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
4. Capital Outlay	<u>2,350,000</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	2,350,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
State and Federal Grants Fund	<u>2,350,000</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	2,350,000

SECTION 5. Except to the extent of matching funds, if any, approved in this ordinance, the council does not intend to use the revenues from any local tax regardless of source to supplement or extend the appropriation for the agencies or projects authorized by this ordinance. The supervisor of the agency or project, or both, and the auditor are directed to notify in writing the city-county council immediately upon receipt of any information that the agency or project is, or may be, reduced or eliminated.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal Nos. 586-590, 1996 on October 2, 1996. He asked for consent to vote on these proposals together. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 586, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$66,000 for the Marion County Superior Court to provide additional funds for the balance of 1996 financed by revenues in the Juvenile Probation Fees Fund. PROPOSAL NO. 587, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$39,000 for the Marion County Superior Court to fund Project Impact financed by a state grant. PROPOSAL NO. 588, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$22,500 for the Marion County Superior Court to fund a state grant for Big Sisters. PROPOSAL NO. 589, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$328,620 for the Prosecuting Attorney to continue the comprehensive traffic safety program financed by a federal grant. PROPOSAL NO. 590, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$77,817 for the Prosecuting Attorney and County Auditor to continue a study compiling figures on people sentenced to specific programs after conviction of drunk driving offenses funded by a grant from the Governor's Council on Impaired and Dangerous Driving. By unanimous votes, the Committee reported the proposals to the Council with the recommendation that they do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:33 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Smith, for adoption. Proposal Nos. 586-590, 1996 were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 YEAS: Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty, Adams, O'Dell, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams
0 NAYS:
1 NOT VOTING: Schneider
1 ABSENT: Gilmer

Proposal No. 586, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 97, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 97, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) appropriating an additional Sixty-six Thousand Dollars (\$66,000) in the Juvenile Probation Fees Fund for purposes of the Marion County Superior Court and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Juvenile Probation Fees Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(cc) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Marion County Superior Court for funding for the balance of the year.

SECTION 2. The sum of Sixty-six Thousand Dollars (\$66,000) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

October 14, 1996

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>MARION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT</u>	<u>JUVENILE PROBATION FEES FUND</u>
2. Supplies	8,000
4. Capital Outlay	<u>58,000</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	66,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>JUVENILE PROBATION FEES FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
Juvenile Probation Fees Fund	<u>66,000</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	66,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 587, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 98, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 98, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) appropriating an additional Thirty-nine Thousand Dollars (\$39,000) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Marion County Superior Court and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section I.02(cc) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Marion County Superior Court to fund Project Impact

SECTION 2. The sum of Thirty-nine Thousand Dollars (\$39,000) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>MARION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT</u>	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	<u>39,000</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	39,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
State and Federal Grants Fund	<u>39,000</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	39,000

SECTION 5. Except to the extent of matching funds, if any, approved in this ordinance, the council does not intend to use the revenues from any local tax regardless of source to supplement or extend the appropriation for the agencies or projects authorized by this ordinance. The supervisor of the agency or project, or both, and the auditor are directed to notify in writing the city-county council immediately upon receipt of any information that the agency or project is, or may be, reduced or eliminated.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 588, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 99, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 99, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) appropriating an additional Twenty-two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$22,500) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Marion County Superior Court and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(cc) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Marion County Superior Court to fund a grant for Big Sisters.

SECTION 2. The sum of Twenty-two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$22,500) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>MARION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT</u>	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	<u>22,500</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	22,500

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
State and Federal Grants Fund	<u>22,500</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	22,500

SECTION 5. Except to the extent of matching funds, if any, approved in this ordinance, the council does not intend to use the revenues from any local tax regardless of source to supplement or extend the appropriation for the agencies or projects authorized by this ordinance. The supervisor of the agency or project, or both, and the auditor are directed to notify in writing the city-county council immediately upon receipt of any information that the agency or project is, or may be, reduced or eliminated.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 589, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 100, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 100, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) appropriating an additional Three Hundred Twenty-eight Thousand Six Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$328,620) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and County Auditor and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(b,v) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and County Auditor for the continuation of the comprehensive traffic safety program in Marion County.

October 14, 1996

SECTION 2. The sum of Three Hundred Twenty-eight Thousand Six Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$328,620) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>PROSECUTING ATTORNEY</u>	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
1. Personal Services	148,458
2. Supplies	5,245
3. Other Services and charges	165,912
<u>COUNTY AUDITOR</u>	
1. Personal Services - Fringes	<u>9,005</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	328,620

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
State and Federal Grants Fund	<u>328,620</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	328,620

SECTION 5. Except to the extent of matching funds, if any, approved in this ordinance, the council does not intend to use the revenues from any local tax regardless of source to supplement or extend the appropriation for the agencies or projects authorized by this ordinance. The supervisor of the agency or project, or both, and the auditor are directed to notify in writing the city-county council immediately upon receipt of any information that the agency or project is, or may be, reduced or eliminated.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 590, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 101, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 101, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) appropriating an additional Seventy-seven Thousand Eight Hundred Seventeen Dollars (\$77,817) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and County Auditor and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(b,v) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and County Auditor to continue a statistical study on drunk driving alternative sentencing programs.

SECTION 2. The sum of Seventy-seven Thousand Eight Hundred Seventeen Dollars (\$77,817) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>PROSECUTING ATTORNEY</u>	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
1. Personal Services	55,506
2. Supplies	360
3. Other Services and Charges	8,075

COUNTY AUDITOR

1. Personal Services-Fringes	<u>13,876</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	<u>77,817</u>

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
State and Federal Grants Fund	<u>77,817</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	<u>77,817</u>

SECTION 5. Except to the extent of matching funds, if any, approved in this ordinance, the council does not intend to use the revenues from any local tax regardless of source to supplement or extend the appropriation for the agencies or projects authorized by this ordinance. The supervisor of the agency or project, or both, and the auditor are directed to notify in writing the city-county council immediately upon receipt of any information that the agency or project is, or may be, reduced or eliminated.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 592, 1996. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 592, 1996 on October 2, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$263,880 for the Department of Public Safety, Emergency Management Division, to provide warning siren initiatives financed from revenues in the City Cumulative Capital Development Fund. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:37 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Schneider, for adoption. Proposal No. 592, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 YEAS: *Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams*

0 NAYS:

1 ABSENT: *Gilmer*

Proposal No. 592, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 102, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 102, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) appropriating an additional Two Hundred Sixty-three Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty Dollars (\$263,880) in the City Cumulative Capital Development Fund for purposes of the Department of Public Safety, Emergency Management Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the City Cumulative Capital Development Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.01 (n) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Department of Public Safety, Emergency Management Division for warning sirens.

October 14, 1996

SECTION 2. The sum of additional Two Hundred Sixty-three Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty Dollars (\$263,880) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY</u>	
<u>EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION</u>	<u>CITY CUMULATIVE DEVELOPMENT FUND</u>
4. Capital Outlay	263,880
TOTAL INCREASE	263,880

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>CITY CUMULATIVE DEVELOPMENT FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
City Cumulative Development Fund	263,880
TOTAL REDUCTION	263,880

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 641, 1996. Councillor Shambaugh reported that the Administration and Finance Committee heard Proposal No. 641, 1996 on October 2, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$290,000 in the Information Services Internal Service Fund for the Information Services Agency to pay increases in the wiring contract and voice mail maintenance contract, and in the increased telephone charges associated with supporting over 5,000 telephone lines financed by revenues from telephone customers. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:39 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Shambaugh moved, seconded by Councillor Coonrod, for adoption. Proposal No. 641, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 YEAS: Black, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams
0 NAYS:
1 NOT VOTING: Borst
1 ABSENT: Gilmer

Councillor Williams stated that the recent Convention of Cities and Towns had revealed some attractive telecommunications offers that should be looked into.

Proposal No. 641, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 103, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 103, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) appropriating an additional Two Hundred Ninety Thousand Dollars (\$290,000) in the Information Services Internal Service Fund for purposes of the Information Services Agency and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Information Services Internal Service Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(ff) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Information Services Agency to cover increased Ameritech contract for wiring and maintenance.

SECTION 2. The sum of Two Hundred Ninety Thousand Dollars (\$290,000) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>INFORMATION SERVICES AGENCY</u>	<u>INFORMATION SERVICES INTERNAL SERVICE FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	<u>290,000</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	290,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>INFORMATION SERVICES INTERNAL SERVICE FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
Information Services Internal Service Fund	<u>290,000</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	290,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal Nos. 642, 643, 645, and 646, 1996 on October 2, 1996.

PROPOSAL NO. 642, 1996. The proposal seeks authorization for Mayor Goldsmith, as Chief Executive, to execute a lease on behalf of Marion County with the Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority for real estate located at 730 East Washington Street and 752 East Market Street for use as a supplemental jail facility. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass as amended.

The President called for public testimony at 8:41 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Curry, for adoption. Proposal No. 642, 1996, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

- 25 YEAS: *Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams*
- 1 NAY: *Gray*
- 2 NOT VOTING: *Black, Golc*
- 1 ABSENT: *Gilmer*

Proposal No. 642, 1996, as amended, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 140, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 140, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE approving a form of a Lease (Secondary Jail Facility) of certain buildings after improvements are made thereto by the Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority, authorizing the execution of such Lease and ratifying actions taken in connection therewith.

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority (the "Authority") has been created under and pursuant to the provisions of Indiana Code 36-9-13, as amended (the "Act") for the purpose of, among others, constructing, operating and leasing to governmental units within the territorial boundaries of

October 14, 1996

Marion County, Indiana (the "County"), lands and government buildings for public or governmental purposes; and

WHEREAS, the County desires to have the Authority undertake the renovation of all or a portion of the buildings on the Site (as defined in the Lease) located at 730 East Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana, and 752 East Market Street, Indianapolis, Indiana (collectively, the "Buildings"), for the primary purpose of using such Buildings, once renovated, as an additional location to house inmates, which would otherwise be housed at the Marion County Jail (the "Project") for lease to the County; and

WHEREAS, there have been prepared drawings and estimates for the cost of the Project; and

WHEREAS, the County has negotiated a proposed lease of the Buildings, as renovated, with the Authority, in the form attached to the official copy of this ordinance as Exhibit A (the "Lease"), which Lease has been the subject of a public hearing by the County on October 14, 1996, in accordance with Section 27 of the Act; and

WHEREAS, the County and the Authority have tentatively agreed upon the proposed terms and conditions of the Lease subject to the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, there is before this City-County Council of the City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana (the "Council") a copy of the Lease as submitted to public hearing, together with drawings of the Project as prepared by architects employed by the Authority and an estimate of the costs of the Project; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Council hereby finds and determines that the execution of the Lease with an annual fixed rental not to exceed \$1,611,000, for a term not to exceed twenty-one (21) years, a copy of such Lease is attached to the official copy of this Ordinance as Exhibit A, and inserted in the permanent minutes of the Council, is necessary to provide proper facilities to the County, and that the basis for the determination of the annual fixed rental is fair and reasonable.

SECTION 2. The Council hereby approves the Lease, and the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Mayor"), for and on behalf of the County, is hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the Lease, including the addenda attached thereto, with such technical changes to the Lease and/or the addenda as the Mayor deems necessary or advisable, which will not materially adversely affect the financial terms of the Lease, in the name and on behalf of the County, and the Auditor of Marion County, Indiana (the "Auditor"), be, and hereby is, authorized and directed to affix the seal of the County and to attest such seal, execution and delivery and any such execution and/or attestation heretofore effected be, and hereby are, ratified and approved.

SECTION 3. Any and all publications of notice of the public hearing held before this Council on the Lease in accordance with Indiana Code 5-3-1, as amended, and Section 27 of the Act previously published by the Clerk of the Council are hereby ratified and approved.

SECTION 4. The Clerk of the Council is hereby authorized and directed to publish notices of the approval of the Lease as provided in Section 28 of the Act.

SECTION 5. The Council hereby approves the leasing of the Site to the Authority for the aggregate sum of \$1.00 payable to the County for the entire term of the Lease upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Lease.

SECTION 6. The Mayor, for and on behalf of the County, is hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver such documents and to take such actions as he deems necessary or desirable to effect the foregoing resolution, and any such documents heretofore executed and delivered and any such actions heretofore taken be, and hereby are, ratified and approved.

PROPOSAL NO. 643, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$725,294 in the Deferral Fee Fund to pay expenses of the County Auditor, Prosecuting Attorney, County Sheriff, and Marion County Superior Court from fees collected from April through August of 1996 financed by fund

balances. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass as amended.

Councillor Golc asked how deferral fees are tracked. Deputy Chief Mike Sherman explained that records of deferral fees paid can be tracked through the computer database and reports.

The President called for public testimony at 8:45 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Smith, for adoption. Proposal No. 643, 1996, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

- 26 YEAS: *Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams*
- 1 NAY: *Black*
- 1 NOT VOTING: *Moriarty Adams*
- 1 ABSENT: *Gilmer*

Proposal No. 643, 1996, as amended, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 104, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 104, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) appropriating an additional Seven Hundred Twenty-five Thousand Two Hundred Ninety-four Dollars (\$725,294) in the Deferral Fee Fund for purposes of the County Auditor, Prosecuting Attorney, County Sheriff, and Marion County Superior Court and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Deferral Fee Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(b,v,y,cc) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the County Auditor, Prosecuting Attorney, County Sheriff, and Marion County Superior Court to pay various expenses of the listed agencies.

SECTION 2. The sum of Seven Hundred Twenty-five Thousand Two Hundred Ninety-four Dollars (\$725,294) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>COUNTY AUDITOR</u>	<u>DEFERRAL FEE FUND</u>
1. Personal Services - Fringes	68,862
3. Other Services and Charges	142,327
<u>PROSECUTING ATTORNEY</u>	
1. Personal Services	122,765
3. Other Services and Charges	147,761
<u>COUNTY SHERIFF</u>	
2. Supplies	35,893
3. Other Services and Charges	55,000
<u>MARION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT</u>	
1. Personal Services	<u>152,686</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	<u>725,294</u>

October 14, 1996

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>DEFERRAL FEE FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
Deferral Fee Fund	<u>725,294</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	725,294

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 645, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$15,612 for the Prosecuting Attorney to continue the domestic violence emergency shelter and out-patient programs through the Salvation Army financed by a state grant. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

Councillor Coughenour stated for the record that it was sad to see such a small appropriation for domestic violence in proportion to Proposal 646, 1996, which is next on the agenda and calls for a much larger appropriation for an enforcement experiment. Councillor Dowden stated that this was simply an additional appropriation and not the entire amount funded for domestic violence.

The President called for public testimony at 8:48 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Schneider, for adoption. Proposal No. 645, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

26 YEAS: *Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams*
 0 NAYS:
 2 NOT VOTING: *Brents, Curry*
 1 ABSENT: *Gilmer*

Proposal No. 645, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 105, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 105, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) appropriating an additional Fifteen Thousand Six Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$15,612) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(v) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney for the Salvation Army to continue the domestic violence emergency shelter and out-patients programs..

SECTION 2. The sum of Fifteen Thousand Six Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$15,612) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>PROSECUTING ATTORNEY</u>	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	<u>15,612</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	15,612

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
State and Federal Grants Fund	<u>15,612</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	15,612

SECTION 5. Except to the extent of matching funds, if any, approved in this ordinance, the council does not intend to use the revenues from any local tax regardless of source to supplement or extend the appropriation for the agencies or projects authorized by this ordinance. The supervisor of the agency or project, or both, and the auditor are directed to notify in writing the city-county council immediately upon receipt of any information that the agency or project is, or may be, reduced or eliminated.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 646, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$234,077 to provide a violent crime enforcement experiment for the Department of Public Safety, Police Division, financed by a federal grant. By a 4-1 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:52 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Smith, for adoption. Proposal No. 646, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

- 20 YEAS: *Borst, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, SerVaas, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford*
- 7 NAYS: *Black, Boyd, Bradford, Golc, Gray, Schneider, Williams*
- 1 NOT VOTING: *Shambaugh*
- 1 ABSENT: *Gilmer*

Proposal No. 646, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 106, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 106, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) appropriating an additional Two Hundred Thirty-four Thousand Seventy-seven Dollars (\$234,077) in the Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Department of Public Safety, Police Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section (n) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Department of Public Safety, Police Division to provide violent crime enforcement experiment in "hot Spot" target areas.

October 14, 1996

SECTION 2. The sum of additional Two Hundred Thirty-four Thousand Seventy-seven Dollars (\$234,077) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY</u>	
<u>POLICE DIVISION</u>	<u>FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
3. Other Charges and Services	231,077
4. Capital Outlay	<u>3,000</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	234,077

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
Federal Grants Fund	<u>234,077</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	234,077

SECTION 5. Except to the extent of matching funds, if any, approved in this ordinance, the council does not intend to use the revenues from any local tax regardless of source to supplement or extend the appropriation for the agencies or projects authorized by this ordinance. The supervisor of the agency or project, or both, and the controller are directed to notify in writing the city-county council immediately upon receipt of any information that the agency or project is, or may be, reduced or eliminated.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION

PROPOSAL NO. 582, 1996. Councillor Franklin reported that the Community Affairs Committee heard Proposal No. 582, 1996 on October 10, 1996. The proposal is a transfer of \$94,500 in the County General Fund for the Cooperative Extension Service to correct Fiscal Ordinance No. 68, 1996, which appropriated the funds in the wrong character. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Franklin moved, seconded by Councillor Tilford, for adoption. Proposal No. 582, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 YEAS: Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Smith, Tilford, Williams

0 NAYS:

3 NOT VOTING: Jones, Short, Talley

1 ABSENT: Gilmer

Proposal No. 582, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 107, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 107, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) transferring and appropriating an additional Ninety-four Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$94,500) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Cooperative Extension Service and reducing certain other appropriations for that agency.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02 (dd) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Cooperative Extension Service.

SECTION 2. The sum of Ninety-four Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$94,500) be, and the same is hereby, transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE</u>	<u>COUNTY GENERAL FUND</u>
4. Capital Outlay	94,500
TOTAL INCREASE	94,500

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

<u>COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE</u>	<u>COUNTY GENERAL FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	94,500
TOTAL DECREASE	94,500

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 591, 1996. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 591, 1996 on October 2, 1996. The proposal is an appropriation of \$175,000 for the Marion County Justice Agency to pay contractual data processing charges financed by a transfer within the Justice Agency's and County Auditor's County General Fund. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Schneider, for adoption. Proposal No. 591, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 YEAS: Black, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams

0 NAYS:

1 NOT VOTING: Borst

1 ABSENT: Gilmer

Proposal No. 591, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 108, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 108, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) transferring and appropriating an additional One Hundred Seventy-five Thousand Dollars (\$175,000) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion County Justice Agency and County Auditor and reducing certain other appropriations for those agencies.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02 (b, bb) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Marion County Justice Agency to pay for contractual data processing charges.

October 14, 1996

SECTION 2. The sum of additional One Hundred Seventy-five Thousand Dollars (\$175,000) be, and the same is hereby, transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>MARION COUNTY JUSTICE AGENCY</u>	<u>COUNTY GENERAL FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	<u>175,000</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	175,000

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

<u>MARION COUNTY JUSTICE AGENCY</u>	<u>COUNTY GENERAL FUND</u>
1. Personal Services	140,000

<u>COUNTY AUDITOR</u>	
1. Personal Services (Fringes)	<u>35,000</u>
TOTAL DECREASE	175,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 644, 1996. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 644, 1996 on October 2, 1996. The proposal is a transfer of \$6,093 in the County General Fund from the Marion County Superior Court and County Auditor to the Prosecuting Attorney for the purpose of contracting with the Family Advocacy Center to provide a staff person to initiate protective orders. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Hinkle, for adoption. Proposal No. 644, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

26 YEAS: *Black, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford*

0 NAYS:

2 NOT VOTING: *Borst, Williams*

1 ABSENT: *Gilmer*

Proposal No. 644, 1996 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 109, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 109, 1996

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 86, 1995) transferring and appropriating an additional Six Thousand Ninety-three Dollars (\$6,093) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and reducing certain other appropriations for the Marion County Superior Court and County Auditor

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(b,v,cc) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1996 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney, Marion County Superior Court, and County Auditor to contract with the Family Advocacy Center for a person to initiate Protective Orders.

SECTION 2. The sum of Six Thousand Ninety-three Dollars (\$6,093) be, and the same is hereby, transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>PROSECUTING ATTORNEY</u>	<u>COUNTY GENERAL FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	6,093
TOTAL INCREASE	6,093

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

<u>MARION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT</u>	<u>COUNTY GENERAL FUND</u>
1. Personal Services	4,875

<u>COUNTY AUDITOR</u>	
1. Personal Services - Fringes	1,218
TOTAL DECREASE	6,093

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Councillor O'Dell, in the absence of Councillor Gilmer, reported that the Capital Asset Management Committee heard Proposal Nos. 219, 494, 495, 531-535, 537, 539, 541, 593-596, and 598-602, 1996 on October 9, 1996

PROPOSAL NO. 219, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Gilmer, authorizes a traffic signal at 71st Street/Waldemar Drive/Pike High School Access Drive (District 1). By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor O'Dell moved, seconded by Councillor Hinkle, for adoption. Proposal No. 219, 1996 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

26 YEAS: *Black, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams*

0 NAYS:

2 NOT VOTING: *Borst, Franklin*

1 ABSENT: *Gilmer*

Proposal No. 219, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 141, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 141, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

October 14, 1996

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
7	71st St, Waldemar Dr, Pike High School Access Drive	None	Signal

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Councillor O'Dell asked for consent to vote on Proposal Nos. 494, 495, 531-535, 537, 539, and 541, 593-596, and 598-602, 1996 together. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 494, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Gilmer, authorizes parking restrictions on 79th Street, both sides, from Moore Road to Fox Run Road; and on Noel Road, both sides, from 79th Street to Lafayette Road (District 1). PROPOSAL NO. 495, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Short, authorizes a weight limit restriction on Pine Street from I-70 (Fletcher Avenue) to Elm Street (District 21). PROPOSAL NO. 531, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Gilmer, authorizes a traffic signal at Fox Hill Road and Michigan Road (District 1). PROPOSAL NO. 532, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Coonrod, authorizes intersection controls for Cherry Lakes Subdivision, Section 8 (District 5). PROPOSAL NO. 533, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Coonrod, authorizes a multi-way stop at 79th Street and Oaklandon Road (District 5). PROPOSAL NO. 534, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Cockrum, authorizes a multi-way stop at Hadleigh Drive, High School Road southbound and Hanna Avenue (District 19). PROPOSAL NO. 535, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Dowden, authorizes multi-way stops at Johnson Road at 65th Street, Lowanna Way, and Creekside Lane (District 4). PROPOSAL NO. 537, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Black, authorizes a multi-way stop at Carrollton Avenue and 44th Street (District 6). PROPOSAL NO. 539, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Borst, authorizes parking restrictions on Meridian Street from 400 feet south of Epler Avenue to Dudley Avenue (District 25). PROPOSAL NO. 541, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Brents, authorizes one-hour parking meters on University Boulevard, on the east side, from a point 174 feet north of New York Street to a point 483 feet north of New York Street (District 16). PROPOSAL NO. 593, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Massie, authorizes intersection controls for Perry Lakes Subdivision (District 20). PROPOSAL NO. 594, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Coughenour and Massie, authorizes a multi-way stop at Edwards Avenue and State Avenue (Districts 20, 24). PROPOSAL NO. 595, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Talley, authorizes a multi-way stop at 46th Street and McCoy Street (Districts 5, 14). PROPOSAL NO. 596, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Short, authorizes a multi-way stop at Lexington Avenue and Pine Street (District 21). PROPOSAL NO. 598, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor O'Dell, authorizes a multi-way stop at Midnight Drive and Tanning Drive, and at Tanning Drive and Timber Creek Drive (District 13). PROPOSAL NO. 599, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Coonrod, authorizes a change in speed limit from 25 mph to 35 mph on 86th Street between Oaklandon Road and County Line Road (District 5). PROPOSAL NO. 600, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Williams, authorizes parking restrictions on the south side of 13th Street from Delaware Street to a point 242 feet east of Delaware Street (District 22). PROPOSAL NO. 601, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Black, authorizes the deletion of parking restrictions on the west side of Hinesley Avenue from 46th Street to the first alley north of 46th Street (District 6). PROPOSAL NO. 602, 1996. The proposal, sponsored by

Councillor Moriarty Adams, authorizes the removal of the traffic signal located on Sherman Drive at Thomson Consumer Electronics Access Drive (800 N) (District 15). By unanimous votes, the Committee reported the proposals to the Council with the recommendation that they do pass. Councillor O'Dell moved, seconded by Councillor Hinkle, for adoption. Proposal Nos. 494, 495, 531-535, 537, 539, 541, 593-596, and 598-602, 1996 were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 YEAS: *Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Coonrod, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Golc, Gray, Hinkle, Jones, Massie, McClamroch, Moores, Moriarty Adams, O'Dell, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford, Williams*

0 NAYS:

1 NOT VOTING: *Franklin*

1 ABSENT: *Gilmer*

Proposal No. 494, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 142, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 142, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Seventy-ninth Street, both sides,
from Moore Road to Fox Run Road

Noel Road, both sides,
from Seventy-ninth Street to Lafayette Road

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 495, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 143, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 143, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-224, Trucks on certain streets restricted.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-224, Trucks on certain streets restricted, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

11,000 POUNDS GROSS
Pine Street, from
I-70 (Fletcher Avenue) to Elm Street

October 14, 1996

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 531, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 144, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 144, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
10	Fox Hill Dr, Michigan Rd	Michigan Rd	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
10	Fox Hill Dr, Michigan Rd	None	Signal

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 532, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 145, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 145, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
21	Carly Way, Carly Cir	Carly Way	Yield
21	Carly Way, Cherry Lake Rd	Carly Way	Stop
21	Carly Way, Lisa Cir	Carly Way	Yield

Journal of the City-County Council

21	Cherry Lake Rd, Cherry Lake Cir, Cherry Tree Way	Cherry Lake Rd	Stop
21	Cherry Lake Rd, Kristen Cir	Cherry Lake Rd	Yield
21	Cherry Lake Rd Kristen Ln	Kristen Ln	Stop
21	Cherry Lake Rd, Lauren Dr	Cherry Lake Rd	Stop

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 533, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 146, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 146, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
7	79th St, Oaklandon Rd	Oaklandon Rd	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
7	79th St, Oaklandon Rd	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 534, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 147, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 147, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

October 14, 1996

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
37	Hanna Av, High School Rd SB, Hadleigh Dr	Hanna Av	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
37	Hanna Av, High School Rd SB, Hadleigh Dr	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 535, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 148, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 148, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
13	Johnson Rd, 65th St (EB)	65th St (EB)	Stop
13	Johnson Rd, Lowanna Way	Johnson Rd	Stop
13	Johnson Rd, Creekside Ln	Johnson Rd	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
13	Johnson Rd, 65th St (EB)	None	All Way Stop
13	Johnson Rd, Lowanna Way	None	All Way Stop

13 Johnson Rd, None All Way Stop
Creekside Ln

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 537, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 149, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 149, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
18, Pg 4	Carrollton Av, 44th St	Carrollton Av	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
18, Pg 4	Carrollton Av, 44th St	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 539, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 150, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 150, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-268, Stopping, standing or parking prohibited at all times on certain designated streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-268, Stopping, standing or parking prohibited at all times on certain designated streets, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Meridian Street, on both sides,
from 400 feet south of Epler to Dudley Avenue

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

October 14, 1996

Proposal No. 541, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 151, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 151, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-283, Parking meter zones designated.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-283, Parking meter zones designated, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

ONE HOUR

University Boulevard, on the east side,
from a point 174 feet north of New York Street
to a point 483 feet north of New York Street

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 593, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 152, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 152, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
46	Remington Ct, Snug Harbor Dr	Remington Ct	None
46	Snug Harbor Ct, Snug Harbor Dr	Snug Harbor Dr	Yield
46	Snug Harbor Dr, Stop 11 Rd	Stop 11 Rd	Stop
46	Snug Harbor Ln, Stop 11 Rd	Stop 11 Rd	Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 594, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 153, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 153, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
39	Edwards Av, State Av	State Av	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
39	Edwards Av, State Av	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 595, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 154, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 154, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
20	46th St, McCoy St	46th St	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
20	46th St, McCoy St	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

October 14, 1996

Proposal No. 596, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 155, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 155, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
32	Lexington St, Pine St	Lexington St	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
32	Lexington St, Pine St	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 598, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 156, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 156, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
35	Midnight Dr, Tanning Dr	Tanning Dr	Stop
35	Tanning Dr, Timber Creek Dr	Tanning Dr	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Journal of the City-County Council

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
35	Midnight Dr, Tanning Dr	None	All Way Stop
35	Tanning Dr, Timber Creek Dr	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 599, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 157, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 157, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-136, Alteration of prima facie speed limits.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-136, Alteration of prima facie speed limits, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

25 MPH

Eighty-sixth Street, from
Oaklandon Road to County Line Road East

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-136, Alteration of prima facie speed limits, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

35 MPH

Eighty-sixth Street, from
Oaklandon Road to County Line Road East

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 600, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 158, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 158, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

October 14, 1996

Thirteenth Street, on the south side,
from Delaware Street to a point 242 feet
east of Delaware Street

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 601, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 159, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 159, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

Hinesley Avenue, on the west side,
from Forty-sixth Street to the first alley
north of Forty-sixth Street

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 602, 1996 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 160, 1996, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 160, 1996

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Sec. 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
26	Sherman Dr, Thomson Consumer Electronics Access Drive (800 N)	None	Signal

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

NEW BUSINESS

Councillor Williams asked when Proposal No. 555, 1996 would appear before the Administration and Finance Committee so that the process could be expedited in order to be heard by the full Council at their next meeting. Councillor Schneider, Chairman of the Administration and Finance Committee, stated that Proposal No. 555, 1996 was not scheduled to

be heard until November 19, 1996 due to the availability of Corporate Counsel. He added that the proposal would come before the full Council at their November 25th meeting.

Councillor Golc referred to a handout he had prepared for the Council regarding budgeting questions he brought up at the September 30, 1996 Council meeting. He stated that he would like to see a task force formed to implement an instructional training for interpretation of budget materials before next year.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, and upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned at 9:13 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the regular concurrent meetings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis-Marion County, Indiana, and Indianapolis Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils on the 14th day of October, 1996.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.



President

ATTEST:



Clerk of the Council

(SEAL)